

SQT

Scale-invariant Quantum Theory

“ The ^{new}~~only~~ game in town “

- ° Author Koenraad M.L.L. Van Spaendonck © 2025
- ° UA Universiteit Antwerpen Master in Design Sciences 1995
- ° Publication date August 12, 2025
- ° ISBN - edition 2 9789465313382
- ° Pages / Words / Graphs 16/1950/9
- ° Summary in 8 graphs p. 7 - 15

Is there a common ancestor
for
GR, QM and EMR [& strong nuclear force] ?

*The problem seems to me how one can formulate statements
about a discontinuum without calling upon a continuum...
...but we still lack the mathematical structure unfortunately.
How much have I already plagued myself in this way !*

Albert Einstein [1916, Letter to H.W. Dällenbach]

' SQT Scale-invariant Quantum Theory '
is the intellectual property of
Koenraad M.L.L. Van Spaendonck.

This work is published by Koenraad M.L.L. Van Spaendonck

Publishing extracts of this work is authorized only when this reference is included :

Van Spaendonck, K.M.L.L. [2025], SQT Scale-invariant Quantum Theory, Herenthout: K.M.L.L.
Van Spaendonck, ISBN 9789465312323.

[In addition references to the scribd links are possible.]

Bluesky : @koenraadvs1.bsky.social

X : @KoenraadVS1

website : www.vanspaendonck.info

email : kvanspaendonck1@gmail.com

The key

A specific generalisation of GR by solving the rotating disc problem differently.

The strategy

The concept of the toy model in SQT came to life when we applied
the De Broglie matter wave constraint (no overlapping waves)
to a compressible medium of discrete units (size-varying quanta)
which reacts to the presence of a gravitational well.

That led to such a geometrical exactness, that we could show how Einstein's rotating disc
could hold contracting rods (quanta) not just radially BUT ALSO tangentially.

Call it a tangential or transverse gravitational redshift as a consequence if you will.

This new equal proportionality of circumference to radius (as #quanta)
prevents the disc from breaking, no curved surfaces needed anymore (!).

Using the equivalence principle, this feature now carries over into
the generalized performance of the gravitational field, and into other levels of scale.

From the map to the territory, cheating is difficult because the toy model is extremely
self-constraining, no curve-fitting, and no plethora of desired post-diction outcomes.

No time to read it all ?

Go straight to the slides on pages 7-15.

Assess for yourself the multi-functional characteristics
of one single unifying geometry, no curve-fitting involved.

The geometrical model stays exactly the same for every single one of the 6 characteristics.

Table of contents

Preface - " Less is more IF less does more " [P.5]

° Unifying features of the scale-invariant model : a 'catch all'

A – 1 rule from 4 assumptions at the origin of the SQT-model [2D]

° Action-reaction principle, compressible & expandable units, matter wave constraint [P.6]

B – 6 unifying features of SQT-model on different scales of size

° Preliminary 1 & 2 : A causal principle for gravity - Discrete energy density 'jumps' [P.7]

° 1. Rotating disc solution : 'Tangential length contraction' appears (generalising GR) [P.9]

Radius stays proportional to circumference, when using discrete quanta

An exact discrete and Euclidean solution for the Eherenfest Paradox

° 2. Star : correct doubling of bending angle of light compared to Newton [P.10]

'Time' bending angle = exactly 'spacial' bending angle : doubling the angle

When using momentum transfer between quanta for propagation of light

° 3. Hydrogen : discrete E-levels of hydrogen excited states from simply counting quanta [P.11]

Exact discrete E-levels from sharply self-constrained model

Taken as pairs, the summation of quanta follows exactly : $E = -13.6 \text{ eV} / n^2$

° 4. Gamma γ derived from the SQT-model by comparing local quantum densities of the field [P.13]

We use Hooke's Law to show this directly in the SQT-model

° 5. Galaxy : reinterpreting redshift leads to a new prediction for star orbit velocities [P.14]

Gravitational redshift not only has a radial component

Model says there is a tangential component equal in size to the radial component

This reinterprets redshift in side-faced star orbit velocities : no DM necessary

° 6. Universe : accelerated expansion is only apparent with the compressed state [P.15]

Quantum size is always proportional to radius : $Q_{\text{size}} \sim R$: Redshift $\sim$ Distance

Static equilibrium of contracted quanta mimics accelerated expansion : no DE

C – Conclusions [P.16]

D – References [P.17-19]

E – Appendices [P.20-33]