

Emergent Gravity  
from Discrete Geometry  
[ EG from DG ]

° Author                            K.M.L.L.Van Spaendonck © 2017  
° Publication date                2017 [Submitted January 16th]  
° ISBN                                9789402158601  
° # text pages / # graphs       27 / 22

‘Emergent Gravity from Discrete Geometry [ EG from DG ]’  
is the intellectual property of Koenraad M.L.L.Van Spaendonck.

Referencing this work in publications or on media is authorized :

Van Spaendonck, K.M.L.L. (2017), *Emergent Gravity from Discrete Geometry [ EG from DG ]*, Mol:  
K.M.L.L. Van Spaendonck, ISBN 9789402158601

This work is published by K.M.L.L. Van Spaendonck

Website : [www.vanspaendonck.info](http://www.vanspaendonck.info) Email : [koenraadmllvanspaendonck@gmail.com](mailto:koenraadmllvanspaendonck@gmail.com)

[www.generalquantumgravity.com](http://www.generalquantumgravity.com)

*The problem seems to me how one can formulate statements  
about a discontinuum without calling upon a continuum...  
...but we still lack the mathematical structure unfortunately.  
How much have I already plagued myself in this way !*

Albert Einstein [6]



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MOTIVATION**    ° *On the physics*    ° *On the mathematics*

**HYPOTHESIS**    ° *Geometrical visual of transition*

**ADOPTED SYMBOLS IN DCC**

## **PART A – MATHEMATICAL ELABORATION**

### **CHAPTER A.I. - DCC : 2D features of the Discrete Contracting Coordinate system**

P.1 – **A.I.1. Geometrical origin of DCC (Discrete contracting coordinates)**

- ° *First key ingrediënt : isotropic nature of the distance units.*
- ° *Second key ingrediënt : the origin of the system is not a point but a circle of quanta*
- ° *Third key ingrediënt : the quanta (distance units) feature ‘accelerating’ expansion in size*

P.2 – **A.I.2. Mathematical derivation to formalize DCC (Discrete Contracting Coordinates)**

- ° *What’s the size of quantum 2, given the size of quantum 1 ?*
- ° *What’s the expansion rate  $X$  in  $RQ = Rq (1 + X)^n$  ?*

P.5 – **A.I.3. Discrete count of number, and size of circles (quanta) in DCC**

- ° *Procedure to count the units in DCC*
- ° *Software program to count the number and size of the quanta in DCC*

P.8 – **A.I.4. Transition from DCC to a non-Euclidean mathematical object with curved surfaces featuring ‘the increase of the increase’ radially and tangentially outward**

- ° *Deriving the values for the exponential curve at each point by comparing to  $RQ11$*
- ° *Non-Euclidean geometry of a curved surface derived from a Euclidean coord. system : DCC*

### **CHAPTER A. II. – DCC : 3D features of the Discrete Contracting Coordinate system**

P.14 – **A.II.1. Extending the mathematical 2D XY-plane to a 3D XYZ-space**

P.15 – **A.II.2.** Non-static solutions of DCC : the impact of changing the radius of Q1

- *Changing quantum size at the origin-surface, changes the ‘density’ per fixed volume*
- *Doubling # quanta on circumference, doubles # quanta of Euclidean radius-section*

## **PART B – INTERPRETATION FOR PHYSICS**

### **CHAPTER B.I. - Unified Field : space & time, energy & gravity, light & matter**

P.20 – **B.I.1.** Quanta as Discrete Contracting Coordinates are universal building block

- *DCC - Discrete Contracting Coordinates drive energy density and gravity*

P.23 – **B.I.2.** Quanta as Discrete Contracting Coordinates ‘curve spacetime’

- *Interpretation towards generalized equivalent of GR’s gravitational time dilatation*
- *Interpretation towards generalized equivalent of GR’s wavelength and redshift.*
- *Interpretation towards generalized equivalent of SR’s kinetic time dilatation*
- *Conclusions from the equivalence principle*

P.29 – **B.I.3.** Experiment : Proving anisotropy of space and predicting generalized redshift

### **CHAPTER B.II. – Decisive factors to turn SR and GR inside out for a generalization**

*(Recommend reading ‘motivation on physics’ first)*

P.35 – **B.II.1.** Diagram of decisive factors for the proposed approach to DCC

**NOTES** p.37

**APPENDICES** App.1 p.39 / App 2 p.41 / App 3 p.43 / App. 4 p.45

**REFERENCES** p.47-50

# MOTIVATION

## ° *On the physics*

An elaborate motivation for the Emergent Gravity Paradigm can be found in reference (1). Here we focus on the mathematical organization of processes which are proposed to exist at the origin of the negative curvature of spacetime in General Relativity. And we focus on the generalisation of General Relativity, arising from new insights found in that underlying organisation. Generalisation here means that the long-term goal is a theory with more explaining power (or alternatives) concerning concepts like dark matter, dark energy, inflation, a unifying building block for space and matter hypothesized necessity of a discreteness of space, for such a unification.

On the road to General Relativity, Einstein contemplated on several concepts towards his final version in 1915. An important decision concerned the Ehrenfest Paradox (2). Paul Ehrenfest proposed a problem with a rotating disk (3). “Ehrenfest had pointed out that a uniformly rotating rigid disk would be a paradoxical object in special relativity, since on setting it into motion its circumference would undergo a contraction whereas its radius would remain uncontracted (As prescribed by Special Relativity: contraction in the direction of motion only.) Einstein concluded that the possibility for a Euclidean geometry on a uniformly rotating disk was unacceptable, and that a system in a gravitational field had to have non-Euclidean geometry (4 – page 3). Further taking the form of a mathematical object ‘spacetime’, consisting of a continuous curved surface with negative curvature.

But in reality the apple does not fall to the earth along a curved line consisting of (x,y,z,t)-coordinates. The hyperbolic nature of spacetime arises from an exponential relationship between cause and effect. We argue that Einstein’s decision lead to ‘emergent gravity’ [EG] right here at this cross-roads. And that assigning time to the Newtonian radii, prevented an intrinsic expansion of the geometry in GR.

**Consequently we argue for a new option which will avoid resorting to non-Euclidean geometry, by solving the issue of the rotating disk differently than Einstein did, with a discrete geometry which will maintain proportionality of radius and circumference under any change in the field.** (Page 19 slides 11,12 : Doubling # quanta on circumference, doubles # quanta on the radius section).

We explain the intensity of the gravitational field along the straight path of the apple instead of the ‘emergent’ curved path : the option of a discrete geometry [DG] resembling a special kind of polar or spherical coordinate system, arising from a Cartesian background : Discrete Contracted Coordinates [DCC]. Elaborated in the Preface ‘On the mathematics’, and in reference 5.

From this discrete contracting geometry, arises an intrinsic expansion of distance units. Time along the direction of motion instead of perpendicular to the direction of motion, as a physical characteristic of the field itself, leads to the concept of an intrinsic contraction of the field towards the gravitational well. Giving rise to the illusion of an expanding universe. Thus leading to an all-in-one unification where the quantum (unit, discrete coordinate with intrinsic dimensions) of a unified field, holding 3x2 functions : space & time, energy & gravity, light & matter. And the latter follows from the former.

Einstein might have liked the idea of a quantized, discrete geometry for space(time), since he already plagued himself in 1916 with the validity of the continuum and the lack of the appropriate mathematical structure (6). Furthermore Max Planck showed that energy comes in finite quanta. So we argue that the problem cannot be addressed with infinitesimal calculus, because it does not reflect the underlying processes leading to an emergent gravity adequately, and it does not solve the discrepancy between discrete matter and continuous spacetime. [Obviously we do not want to criticize those areas where this calculus proved to be fruitful. The question concerns the application in a theory of Emergent Gravity with the characteristic of unifying space and matter (7).]



## ° *On the mathematics*

“ Bonaventura Cavalieri is considered a pioneer on Polar Coordinate systems. He published his ‘*Geometria invisibilis continuorum*’ in 1635, with a second edition in 1653. The first writer to visualize polar coordinates as a means to locating any point in a plane appears to be Newton. The work appeared in 1736, but was undoubtedly composed in 1771. “ (8)

We develop a coordinate system which uses its own coordinates to model 2D (or 3D) items in a circularly (or spherically) symmetric configuration. Hence the input = the output : It’s not the landscape upon which we build something. Polar (or spherical) coordinate systems traditionally cannot handle this because they consist of dimensionless points, and so they are a priori incapable of being intrinsically 2D or 3D. This ‘discreteness’ is obtained when the circles are turned into either spheres, or pivoting circles. The coordinates now have dimensions of their own. We could call it ‘*Geometria visibilis discontinuorum*’, but we’ll just call it DCC : Discrete Contracting Geometry from which we can derive a finite amount of coordinates, and extrapolate these into curves and negative curvature.

It is important to mention the log-polar coordinate system, because it entails a kind of discontinuum. However, it differs significantly from our proposed coordinate system in the following ways :

°In DCC, radial distances (circles or ‘quanta’ with radius  $R_q$  upto  $R_Q$ ) evolve with a fixed expansion rate  $X$  (or contraction rate, leading to an very specific ‘accelerated’ increase of the size of the distance units (quanta featuring as circles) radially outward (or decrease inward) along :  $R_Q = R_q [(1 + X)^n]$ . Whereas with log-polar coordinates the radial coordinate is transformed according to  $r = e^{\rho}$ . With  $\rho$  as the logarithm of the distance between a given point and the origin.

°In DCC, the radial distances evolve isotropically. This means that the radial size increase of the circles (quanta) is equal to the tangential size increase (x-y-z-axis symmetry of the quanta), leading to a model with circles instead of e.g. distorted squares. And this feature is precisely the cause of the above special case of ‘isotropical increase of the increase’. Whereas with log-polar coordinates the segment angle (determining the tangential size) is set as a separate parameter, independent of the radial evolution. This isotropy also leads to a linear proportionality of radius to circumference.

°In DCC, the origin of the coordinate system is not the origin of the polar or spherical coordinate system. The origin is instead a circle or a spherical surface (2D or 3D case : the surface of the blue sphere in de slides) instead of a point in the centre of the origin-circle. Whereas with log-polar coordinates, this point-origin features an infinitesimal character of the evolution of the units radially inward, as they approach zero. So DCC avoids this infinitesimal characteristic inwards, because the distance units (quanta) on the origin-circle or origin-spherical surface, always have a non-zero size, and will not approach towards zero. Outwards, there is no approach of infinite quantum size either.

This ‘discreteness’ of DCC thus entails a finite amount of radial and tangential allowed points, each with 3 dimensions, symmetrically (isotropically) varying in size : a Euclidean finite malleable ‘abacus’ driving the non-Euclidean negative curvature. ‘Abacus’ because one can actually count the individual units of the unified field.