Maartje Verhoeven

The Costanzo Obligation

The obligations of national administrative authorities in the case of incompatibility between national law and European law





Ius Commune Europaeum

Maartje Verhoeven

The Costanzo Obligation

The obligations of national administrative authorities in the case of incompatibility between national law and European law

CRC prepared by C.J.Wiarda Institute for Legal Research, Utrecht University, Janskerkhof 3, 3512 BK Utrecht, the Netherlands

Cover photograph © iStockphoto.com

ISBN 978-94-000-0194-7 D/2011/7849/40 NUR 823

© 2011 Intersentia Cambridge - Antwerp - Portland www.intersentia.com

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photo print, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

This book is the published version of the Ph.D thesis defended on May 20, 2011.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people without whom I could not have written this thesis. It is impossible to mention everyone, but I would like to name a few. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Professor Rob Widdershoven and Professor Sacha Prechal. My 'doctoral parents' made a good couple to supervise this thesis. Sacha stimulated me to get to the bottom of complicated concepts, and encouraged me to attend conferences in the Netherlands and abroad to present different stadia of my research. Rob was always available to discuss each and every obstacle I came across and provided me with other tasks which diverted me from the research when I needed it. They both encouraged me by showing their confidence in my work and provided me with different views in the countless discussions we had, often followed by an enjoyable dinner. Furthermore, I would like to thank the members of the reading committee: Professor Von Danwitz, Professor Dubos, Professor Hessel, Professor Jans, and particularly Professor Besselink for his valuable comments.

There are many other people to whom I owe thanks. My colleagues made the Institute for Administrative and Constitutional Law and the Chair of European Law great places to work. People such as Anna, Barbara, Brecht, Imelda, Loes, Maaike, Paulien, Remco, Sybe and Ton made working on the PhD very pleasant. In that regard a special word of thanks to Hanneke and Rolf, not only for their uninvited offer to read important parts of the manuscript but also for their friendship. Ernst, many thanks for helping me by accurately improving the sloppy references in this thesis – all mistakes are of course my own. Klaartje, thank you very much for preparing the manuscript so accurately in a very short period of time.

Outside the context of work, I am very grateful that my family and friends have supported me in many ways. That applies particularly to my parents. The illness and death of my mother has unambiguously showed me what is really important in life, and that writing a thesis in the end is of limited significance. I regret that my mother did not live to see the completion of my PhD research. I am very happy that my father is here to witness the event.

Finally, the last words are for Stef – for simply everything.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ack	nowledgements	v
Abb	reviations	xiii
Cha	pter 1 Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Research question	2
1.3	Theoretical backgrounds	4
	1.3.1 Multilevel constitutionalism and the Composite Constitution	4
	1.3.2 Dédoublement fonctionnel	5
1.4	Research methods	6
1.5	Key concepts	8
	1.5.1 European law	8
	1.5.2 Administrative authorities	8
	1.5.3 Statutory law and secondary legislation	9
	1.5.4 The Costanzo obligation	10
1.6	Plan	11
Cha	pter 2 European law in the national legal order	13
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2.	The principles governing the effect of European law in the national legal order	14
	2.2.1 Primacy	14
	2.2.2 Direct effect	18
	2.2.3 Consistent interpretation	31
	2.2.4 Relation of primacy, direct effect and consistent interpretation	34
2.3	The relationship between the European Union and its Member States	40
	2.3.1 The principle of sincere co-operation	40
	2.3.2 National institutional and procedural autonomy: principles allowing large	
	discretion for the Member States	43
	2.3.3 but some specific remedies have to be available	52

Table of Contents

2.4	European law in the national legal orders of Germany, France and	
	The Netherlands	58
	2.4.1 Introduction	58
	2.4.2 The European Union in the constitution	59
	2.4.3 The primacy of European law over domestic statutory law	60
	2.4.4 The primacy of European law over constitutional law	65
	2.4.5 Direct effect of European law	71
	2.4.6 Consistent interpretation	74
2.5	Findings and summary	74
	2.5.1 Principles	74
	2.5.2 Effects of European law in the national legal orders	76
Cha	apter 3 The development of the <i>Costanzo</i> obligation in the case law of	
	the Court of Justice	79
3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Direct and indirect collisions	80
3.3	Direct collisions: the obligation to apply European law and set aside national	
	law in cases of conflict	83
	3.3.1 Introduction	83
	3.3.2 The first indications for the existence of the 'Costanzo obligation' in	
	infringement procedures	83
	3.3.3 The birth of the Costanzo obligation	86
3.4	Indirect collisions: the principle of national procedural autonomy and the	
	accompanying principles of equivalence and effectiveness	96
	3.4.1 Wells	97
	3.4.2 Kühne & Heitz	98
	3.4.3 i-21 and Arcor	102
3.5	The exceptional case of <i>Gervais Larsy</i>	103
3.6	European limits to the powers of administrative authorities	107
	3.6.1 Absence of the preliminary procedure	108
	3.6.2 Absence of the power to examine the validity of secondary EU law	111
3.7	Drawing the lines together	114
	3.7.1 The 'grey zone' between direct and indirect collisions	114
	3.7.2 Direct collisions: direct effect and primacy	116
	3.7.3 The Costanzo obligation and different instruments of European law	119
	3.7.4 Indirect collisions: national procedural autonomy	120
	3.7.5 Gervais Larsy: the exception that proves the rule?	120
	3.7.6 Drawing the threads together	121
Cha	pter 4 The principle of legality of the administration	123
4.1	Introduction	123
4.2	Background and historical development of the legality principle	124

4.3	The principle of legality in Germany, the Netherlands and France	126
4.4	Hierarchy of norms	130
4.5.	The precedence of statutory law	136
	4.5.1 Germany	136
	4.5.2 France	139
	4.5.3 The Netherlands	141
4.6	The requirement of a statutory basis	141
	4.6.1 Germany	141
	4.6.2 The Netherlands	147
	4.6.3 France	152
4.7	Legality on the European level	155
	4.7.1 The principle of conferral	155
	4.7.2 The requirement of a legal basis	156
	4.7.3 Implied powers and Article 352 TFEU	158
	4.7.4 Hierarchy of norms	159
	4.7.5 Legality on the European level and legality in the Member States:	
	parallels and differences	161
4.8	Interim conclusion	163
Cha	pter 5 The Costanzo obligation and precedence of statutory law	165
5.1	Introduction	165
5.2	Germany	166
	5.2.1 The power of administrative authorities to set aside secondary	
	legislation that is incompatible with higher sources of law	166
	5.2.2 The power of administrative authorities to set aside statutory law that is	
	incompatible with the German Constitution	167
	5.2.3 The power of administrative authorities to set aside statutory law that is	
	incompatible with European law	174
	5.2.4 Interim conclusion	185
5.3	France	187
	5.3.1 The power of administrative authorities to set aside secondary	
	legislation that is incompatible with higher sources of law	187
	5.3.2 The power of administrative authorities to set aside statutory law that is	
	incompatible with the French Constitution	190
	5.3.3 The power of administrative authorities to set aside statutory law that is	
	incompatible with European law	193
	5.3.4 Interim conclusion	200
5.4	The Netherlands	201
	5.4.1 The power of administrative authorities to set aside secondary	
	legislation that is incompatible with higher sources of law	201
	5.4.2 The power of administrative authorities to set aside statutory law that is	
	incompatible with the Dutch Constitution	202

Table of Contents

	5.4.3 The power of administrative authorities to set aside statutory law that is	20.4
	incompatible with European law	204
	5.4.4 Interim conclusion	207
5.5	Intermezzo: Views on the <i>Costanzo</i> obligation from other Member States	208
5.6	Drawing the lines together	211
	5.6.1 Secondary legislation that is incompatible with higher-ranking law 5.6.2 National statutory law that is incompatible with the domestic	211
	Constitution	211
	5.6.3 National statutory law that is incompatible with EU law	212
	5.6.4 Tensions between the Costanzo obligation and fundamental principles	214
	5.6.5 Balance	215
Cha	apter 6 The Costanzo obligation and the requirement of a statutory basis	217
6.1	Introduction	217
6.2	The French distinction between compétence and la base juridique	219
6.3	Competence and the principle of national institutional autonomy	222
6.4	The different instruments of European law as legal basis	228
	6.4.1 The Treaties	229
	6.4.2 Regulations	233
	6.4.3 Directives	238
	6.4.4 Decisions	240
6.5	Interim conclusion	245
Cha	apter 7 Supervision of administrative authorities in the application of the	
	Costanzo obligation	249
7.1	Introduction	249
7.2	German Federalism	251
	7.2.1 Introduction	251
	7.2.2 Distribution of competences between Bund and Länder	252
	7.2.3 Supervision	257
7.3	Decentralised administrative authorities	261
	7.3.1 Introduction	261
	7.3.2 France	262
	7.3.3 The Netherlands	267
	7.3.4 Germany	270
7.4	Independent administrative authorities	272
	7.4.1 Introduction	272
	7.4.2 Supervision in general	275
	7.4.3 The national competition authorities and telecommunications	
	authorities as examples	275
7.5	Interim conclusion	280

Chapter 8 Synthesis and conclusions		
8.1	General	285
8.2	The emergence of the <i>Costanzo</i> obligation	286
8.3	The obligations of national administrative authorities in case of direct collisions	286
8.4	The contents and scope of the principle of legality of the administration	288
8.5	The <i>Costanzo</i> obligation and the precedence of the law	289
	8.5.1 General	289
	8.5.2 Tension with the separation of powers	292
	8.5.3 Tension with the principle of legal certainty and the requirement of	
	uniform application of EU law	294
8.6	The <i>Costanzo</i> obligation and the requirement of a statutory basis:	
	competence and legal basis	296
	8.6.1 Competence	297
	8.6.2 Legal basis	298
8.7	Supervision of national administrative authorities	299
8.8	Balance	302
	8.8.1 Constitutional obstacles	302
	8.8.2 Mitigation of the Costanzo obligation	303
Nede	erlandse samenvatting	311
1.1	Inleiding	311
1.2	Het ontstaan van de Costanzo-verplichting	312
1.3	De verplichtingen van nationale bestuursorganen bij directe botsingen	312
1.4	De inhoud en werkingssfeer van het legaliteitsbeginsel	314
1.5	De Costanzo-verplichting en het primaat van de wet	315
	1.5.1 Algemeen	315
	1.5.2 Spanningen met het beginsel van machtenscheiding	317
	1.5.3 Spanningen met het beginsel van rechtszekerheid en het vereiste van	
	uniforme toepassing van EU-recht	317
1.6	De Costanzo-verplichting en het vereiste van een wettelijke	
	bevoegdheidsgrondslag	318
	1.6.1 Competentie	319
	1.6.2 Rechtsbasis	320
1.7	Toezicht op nationale bestuursorganen	321
1.8	Balans	322
	1.8.1 Constitutionele obstakels	322
	1.8.2 Nuancering van de <i>Costanzo</i> -verplichting	323
Bibli	ography	327
Table of cases		353
Curriculum vitae		367

ABBREVIATIONS

AB Administratiefrechtelijke beslissingen

ABRvS Afdeling Bestuursrechtspraak Raad van State

AG Advocate General

AJDA Actualité Juridique – droit administratif

Awb Algemene wet bestuursrecht

BNB Beslissingen in belastingzaken / Nederlandse Belastingrechtspraak

BVerfG Bundesverfassungsgericht

BVerfGE Entscheidungen des Bundersverfassungsgericht BVerfGG Gesetz über das Bundesverfassungsgericht

BVerwG Bundesverwaltungsgericht

BVerwGE Enscheidungen des Bundesverwaltungsgericht

CC Conseil Constitutionnel

CE Conseil d'État

CMLR Common Market Law Reporter
CMLRev Common Market Law Review

DC Décision portant declaration de conformité à la Constitution

DVBl Deutsches Verwaltungsblatt

EC (former) Treaty on the European Community

EEC (former) Treaty on the European Economic Community

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights

ECJ European Court of Justice
ECR European Court Reports
ELRev European Law Review
EU European Union

EuZW Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht

GG Grundgesetz Gw Grondwet HR Hoge Raad

JuS Juristische Schulung JZ Juristenzeitung

L Décision rendue sur examen de textes de forme legislative

Abbreviations

LJN Landelijk Jurisprudentie Nummer

M en R Milieu en Recht

NJ Nederlandse Jurisprudentie NJB Nederlands Juristenblad

NTB Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Bestuursrecht NTER Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Europees Recht NVwZ Neue Zeitschrift für Verwaltungsrecht

RDP Revue du Droit Public

Realaw Review of European Administrative Law
Rec. Receuil des decisions du Conseil d'État
Rfda Revue Française de Droit administratif
RFDC Revue Française de Droit consitutionnel
RTDE Revue Trimestrielle de droit Européen
SEW Sociaal-Economische Wetgeving
TEU Treaty on the European Union

TFEU Treaty on the functioning of the European Union

VwGO Verwaltungsgerichtsordnung VwVfG Verwaltungsverfahrengesetz