## SOCIAL FEDERALISM: THE CREATION OF A LAYERED WELFARE STATE

The Belgian case

Edited by
Bea Cantillon

Patricia Popelier Ninke Mussche



Distribution for the UK: Hart Publishing Ltd. 16C Worcester Place Oxford OX1 2JW

UK

Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30 Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for Austria: Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Argentinierstraße 42/6 1040 Wien Austria

Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Email: office@nwv.at

Distribution for the USA and Canada: International Specialized Book Services 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300

Portland, OR 97213

**USA** 

Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)

Tel.: +1 503 287 3093 Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for other countries: Intersentia Publishers Groenstraat 31 2640 Mortsel Belgium

Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50

Email: mail@intersentia.be

Social Federalism: The creation of a layered welfare state. The Belgian case Bea Cantillon, Patricia Popelier and Ninke Mussche (eds.)

© 2011 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com

ISBN 978-94-000-0166-4 D/2011/7849/6 NUR 825

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

## **CONTENTS**

|    | location of competences and solidarity circles in a layered welfare state. |      |
|----|--|------|
| Th | e case of social policy in Belgium   |      |
|    | Bea Cantillon, Patricia Popelier and Ninke Mussche                         | 1    |
| 1. | Belgium for beginners.   | 2    |
|    | 1.1. Belgium's institutional design  |      |
|    | 1.2. Social federalism in Belgium  |      |
| 2. |  |      |
|    | 2.1. The Layered Welfare State   |      |
|    | 2.2. Conflicts of competences in a layered welfare state                   |      |
|    | 2.3. Towards alternative forms of power allocation                         |      |
| 3. |  |      |
|    | 3.1. The idea of division in historical perspective: how did questioning   |      |
|    | national solidarity come to be in Belgium?                                 | . 14 |
|    | 3.2. The emergence of multilayered welfare states in Belgium and           |      |
|    | in Europe  | . 15 |
|    | 3.3. Concerning the necessity and desirability of multilayered social      |      |
|    | security in Belgium  | . 16 |
|    | 3.4. From exclusive to concurrent and/or parallel powers: which            |      |
|    | division of power for the layered welfare state?                           | . 16 |
|    | 3.5. Social citizenship and solidarity circles: who enjoys which rights?   |      |
| 4. | Some concluding remarks  |      |
|    | 50 <b>.</b> 00 <b>.</b> 00 <b>.</b> 00                                     |      |
| Be | lgium – challenging the concept of a national social security.             |      |
|    | short history of national partition  |      |
|    | Herman Van Goethem   | . 21 |
|    |  |      |
| 1. | The linguistic situation in Belgium – now and in the nineteenth century.   | . 22 |
| 2. | The period of the census voting system, 1831–1893                          | . 24 |
| 3. | The critical juncture of 1893  | . 26 |
| 4. | Flanders and administrative monolingualism                                 | . 29 |
| 5. | The 1930s: the first steps towards Belgian federalism                      | . 31 |
| 6. | 1940–1960: Flanders and Wallonia drift further apart                       | . 32 |
| 7. | The emotional breaking-point of Belgium: language facilities               |      |

Intersentia

| 8.  | The economic breaking-point of Belgium: responsibilities, power            | •  |
|-----|--|----|
| _   | and money  |    |
| 9.  | The end of Belgium?  | 40 |
| To  | wards a multilevel welfare state? On the relative autonomy of regional     |    |
| soc | cial policy  |    |
|     | Jan Beyers and Peter Bursens   | 45 |
| 1.  | Introduction   | 45 |
| 2.  | Why do sub-national entities seek more autonomy in social policy?          | 49 |
|     | 2.1. Institutional preferences   | 49 |
|     | 2.2. Policy preferences  | 51 |
|     | 2.3. Nuances in the exclusivity principle                                  | 51 |
|     | 2.4. Creating identity   |    |
|     | 2.5. Nuances in the exclusivity principle                                  | 56 |
| 3.  | Hollowing out the central welfare state or                                 |    |
|     | evolving towards a multilevel one?   |    |
|     | 3.1. Hollowing out the central welfare state upwards?                      |    |
|     | 3.2. Is the central welfare state being hollowed out downwards?            |    |
| 4.  | Conclusions  | 64 |
|     |  |    |
| Or  | n the possibilities and limitations of a layered social security system    |    |
| in  | Belgium.   |    |
| Co  | onsiderations from a social efficacy perspective                           |    |
|     | Bea Cantillon  | 67 |
| 1.  | The Flemish Care Insurance and the emergence of a layered social           |    |
|     | security system  | 69 |
|     | 1.1. Centralisation and decentralisation in Belgium's social               |    |
|     | security system  | 69 |
|     | 1.2. From federal proposal to Flemish decree on the introduction of a care | )  |
|     | insurance  | 72 |
|     | 1.3. The Flemish care insurance scheme and Belgium's social security       |    |
|     | system: between complementariness and a race-to-the-top                    | 74 |
| 2.  | The benefits and drawbacks of decentralisation:                            |    |
|     | what does theory say?  |    |
|     | 2.1 The classical theory of fiscal federalism                              | 77 |
|     | 2.2. The benefits of decentralisation                                      | 80 |
| 3.  | Interpersonal solidarity and territorial redistribution in Belgium:        |    |
|     | what do the figures tell us?   |    |
|     | 3.1. The social security transfers from Flanders to Wallonia               | 85 |

vi Intersentia

|    | 3.2. Intraregional differences  |
|----|---|
|    | 3.3. Are there alternatives to national interpersonal solidarity? $\dots 8$                   |
| 4. | Social federalism: an answer to the great challenges facing social                            |
|    | security?   |
| 5. | Conclusion  |
| 6. | Appendix  |
| So | cial federalism and the allocations of powers in a comparative law                            |
|    | rspective – the case for shared powers  |
| г  | Patricia Popelier   |
|    |   |
| 1. | Starting-point: the judgements on care insurance call the exclusivity principle into question |
| 2  |   |
| 2. | The allocation of powers embedded in a theory of state structures 10                          |
|    | 2.1. Forms of State   |
|    | 2.2. Techniques for the allocation of powers  |
| _  | 2.3. Techniques for the allocation of powers, autonomy and cohesion 10                        |
| 3. | Division of authority in Belgium:   |
|    | exclusive powers, deviations and nuancing   |
|    | 3.1. The principle: the exclusivity of powers   |
|    | 3.2. Nuances in the exclusivity principle   |
|    | 3.2.1. The splitting up of subject matters, and   |
|    | cooperation obligations 10  |
|    | 3.2.2. Implied powers   |
|    | 3.3. Deviations from the exclusivity principle 10   |
|    | 3.3.1. Parallel powers  |
|    | 3.3.2. Framework powers   |
|    | 3.3.3. Concurrent powers  |
| 4. | Exclusive powers: an exclusively Belgian principle?   |
|    | An overview of comparative law  |
|    | 4.1. Basic points for the system of allocation of powers                                      |
|    | 4.1.1. Observation 1.   |
|    | Variation in the power-division system is the rule 11   |
|    | 4.1.2. Observation 2. Exclusivity as a principle is not per se a                              |
|    | guarantee of more autonomy  |
|    | 4.1.3. Observation 3. A concurrent power system also leads to                                 |
|    | centralisation 11   |
|    | 4.1.4. Observation 4. The technique of concurrent powers is                                   |
|    | not isolated: the quest for a balance between autonomy and                                    |
|    | coherence   |
|    | 4.1.4.1. Guarantees regarding the question of whether   |
|    | the federal State may act   |

Intersentia vii

|    | 4.1.4.2. Participation of sub-national entities in the case of the federal exercise of authority | 116 |
|----|--|-----|
|    | 4.1.4.3. Federal State policy space in the case of the exercise                                  |     |
|    | 1  | 118 |
|    | 4.2. Allocation of powers regarding social policy: Austria, Switzerland                          |     |
|    | and Germany  | 120 |
| 5. | I  |     |
|    | for Belgium  | 123 |
|    | 5.1. Is it desirable to supplement the system of exclusive powers with a                         |     |
|    | system of concurrent powers?   |     |
|    | 5.2. Compensatory guarantees in the Belgian constitutional system                                | 125 |
|    | 5.2.1. Guarantees regarding the question of whether the federal                                  |     |
|    | State may act or not   | 125 |
|    | 5.2.2. Participation of federated entities in the case of the federal                            |     |
|    | exercise of authority  | 128 |
|    | 5.2.3. Federal entity policymaking space in the case of the exercise                             |     |
|    | of federal authority   | 130 |
| 6. | Conclusion   | 132 |
|    |  |     |
| So | icial federalism and the distribution of competences in Belgium                                  |     |
|    | Jan Velaers  | 137 |
| 1. | The foundations of Belgium's social federalism   | 138 |
| 2. | The material distribution of competences in social matters                                       | 140 |
|    | 2.1. The lacunal constitutional distribution of competences                                      | 140 |
|    | 2.2. The federal competence regarding social security  | 141 |
|    | 2.3. Community competences in social assistance  | 144 |
|    | 2.4. The relationship between the federal competence in matters of                               |     |
|    | social security and the community competence in matters of                                       |     |
|    | social assistance  | 146 |
| 3. | The territorial distribution of competences in social assistance                                 | 148 |
|    | 3.1. The principle of territorial exclusivity  | 148 |
|    | 3.2. The place-of-residence criterion and person-related matters                                 |     |
|    | 3.3. The place-of-employment principle and European coordination                                 | 151 |
|    | 3.4. European free movement law and the territorial distribution of                              |     |
|    | competences  | 152 |
| То | owards a two-speed social security system in federal Belgium?                                    |     |
| 10 | Jürgen Vanpraet  | 159 |
|    | ,  |     |
| 1. | Community authority regarding "health care" and "assistance                                      |     |
|    | to persons"  | 160 |

viii Intersentia

| 2. | The    | traditional starting-point: social security is an exclusively      |     |
|----|--------|--|-----|
|    | fede   | ral power  | 160 |
| 3. | A Fl   | emish social security system based on the double aspect doctrine?  | 161 |
|    | 3.1.   | The double aspect doctrine in social policy and social security    | 161 |
|    | 3.2.   | Are the "modalities" of a social programme decisive in determining |     |
|    |        | which level of government has the (exclusive) power?               |     |
|    | 3.3.   | The interference of federal and regional social security norms     |     |
| 4. |        | clusion: towards a two-speed social security system                |     |
|    |        | elgium?  | 168 |
| So | cial c | itizenship vs. the territoriality principle: lessons for Flanders' |     |
| so | idari  | ty circle  |     |
|    | Ni     | nke Mussche  | 171 |
|    |        |  |     |
|    |        | oduction   |     |
| 2. |        | al citizenship in federations requalified                          |     |
|    |        | Social citizenship as dominant paradigm                            |     |
|    |        | Social citizenship and federal states                              |     |
|    | 2.3.   | Territoriality behind social citizenship                           | 177 |
| 3. |        | development of the territoriality principle in Belgium             |     |
|    | 3.1.   | Labour accidents – 1903  |     |
|    |        | 3.1.1. The Act's proposal  | 180 |
|    |        | 3.1.2. The Parliamentary Debate                                    | 181 |
|    |        | 3.1.2.1. International positioning                                 | 181 |
|    |        | 3.1.2.2. Equal treatment in favour of Belgians                     | 182 |
|    |        | 3.1.2.3. Humanitarian concerns                                     | 182 |
|    |        | 3.1.3. The actual Labour Accidents Act                             | 182 |
|    | 3.2.   | Family Allowances – 1930.  | 183 |
|    |        | 3.2.1. The Act's proposal  | 183 |
|    |        | 3.2.2. The Parliamentary Debate                                    | 184 |
|    |        | 3.2.2.1. Belgium's good international relations                    | 185 |
|    |        | 3.2.2.2. More policy motives                                       | 185 |
|    |        | 3.2.2.3. Result of the debate                                      | 186 |
|    |        | 3.2.2.4. Territoriality in practice                                | 187 |
|    | 3.3.   | Welfare: 1845–1971   | 188 |
|    |        | 3.3.1. The pre-modern welfare as territoriality's cradle           |     |
|    |        | 3.3.2. Belgian welfare in the nineteenth century                   |     |
|    |        | 3.3.2.1. The first Belgian Welfare Act – 1845                      |     |
|    |        | 3.3.2.2. The Welfare Act of 1876                                   |     |
|    |        | 3.3.2.3. The Welfare Act of 1891                                   |     |
|    |        | 3.3.3. The guaranteed incomes                                      |     |
| 1  | Con    | clusion  | 105 |

Intersentia ix

| So | cial fe | ederalism and EU law on the free movement of persons                 |     |
|----|---------|--|-----|
|    | He      | rwig Verschueren   | 197 |
| 1. | Intro   | oduction   | 198 |
|    |         | European strand of social federalism                                 |     |
|    |         | No separate European social protection                               |     |
|    |         | The impact of the European principle of the free movement of         |     |
|    |         | persons on social protection schemes in the Member States            | 201 |
|    |         | 2.2.1. European social security coordination                         |     |
|    |         | 2.2.2. Determination of the legislation applicable in cross-border   |     |
|    |         | situations: State of employment and State of residence               | 202 |
| 3. | The     | impact of European social federalism on social federalism in the     |     |
|    |         | nber States  | 206 |
|    |         | Can European Union law intervene in a regionalised Member            |     |
|    |         | State's internal distribution of competences in the field of         |     |
|    |         | social protection?   | 207 |
|    | 3.2.    | Some critical reflections on the application of the European right   |     |
|    |         | of free movement of persons in matters concerning interregional      |     |
|    |         | relations within a Member State                                      | 211 |
|    |         | 3.2.1. Failure to recognise that the internal distribution of powers |     |
|    |         | in the field of social protection is a matter of national social     |     |
|    |         | security legislation of the Member States                            | 212 |
|    |         | 3.2.2. Legal uncertainty over who precisely has recourse to          |     |
|    |         | EU law   | 213 |
|    |         | 3.2.3. The (non-)applicability of the place-of-employment principle  |     |
|    |         | to purely internal situations and the issue of reverse               |     |
|    |         | discrimination   | 217 |
|    |         | 3.2.4. The treatment as Member States of sub-national entities       |     |
|    |         | of a regionalised Member State in the application of EU law          |     |
|    |         | on the freedom of movement for persons flouts the singular           |     |
|    |         | nature of those States   | 219 |
| 4. | Con     | clusion  | 223 |
| Eu | ropea   | an legal limitations to the repartition of fiscal competences in a   |     |
|    | _       | state structure  |     |
|    | Br      | uno Peeters  | 227 |
| 1. | Intro   | oduction   | 228 |
| 2. |         | repartition of fiscal competences and the European state             |     |
|    |         | regulation   | 228 |
|    |         | General  |     |
|    | 2.2.    | Community jurisprudence concerning regional selectivity of state     |     |
|    |         | aid measures and fiscal autonomy                                     | 232 |

X Intersentia

|    | 2.2.1. Jurispr       | udence factual data                                | 232 |
|----|----------------------|--|-----|
|    | 2.2.1.1.             | The Judgement of the Court of Justice concerning   |     |
|    |                      | the Azores dated 6 September 2006                  | 232 |
|    | 2.2.1.2.             | The judgement of the Court of Justice dated        |     |
|    |                      | 11 September 2008 concerning UGT Rioja             | 233 |
|    | 2.2.1.3.             | The decision of the Court of Justice dated         |     |
|    |                      | 17 November 2009 concerning the region             |     |
|    |                      | of Sardinia  | 234 |
|    | 2.2.1.4.             | The decision of the Court of First Instance (now   |     |
|    |                      | General Court) dated 18 December 2008 concerning   |     |
|    |                      | Gibraltar  | 234 |
|    | 2.2.2. Region        | al selectivity of fiscal state aid measures        | 236 |
|    | 2.2.2.1.             | The difference between aid and special burden      | 236 |
|    | 2.2.2.2.             | The delineation of the frame of reference          | 236 |
|    | 2.2.2.3.             | Symmetrical repartition of competences             | 237 |
|    | 2.2.2.4.             | Asymmetrical repartition of competences            | 239 |
|    | 2.2.3. Conseq        | quences for the Belgian state structure            | 245 |
| 3. | European legal lim   | nitations to the exercise of fiscal competences by |     |
|    | regional (and local  | l) authorities                                     | 249 |
| 4. | Conclusion           |  | 252 |
|    |                      |  |     |
|    | flection by Arthur I |  |     |
| Fe | deralism and social  |  |     |
|    | Arthur Benz          |  | 255 |
|    |                      |  |     |
|    | flection by Fritz W. | •  |     |
| M  | •                    | t - Suggestions for and learning from Belgium      |     |
|    | Fritz W. Scharp      | F  | 261 |
| 1  | Rolaion institution  | as and politics                                    | 261 |
|    | •                    | rspective on Belgium: the role of the judiciary    |     |
|    |                      | ild learn from Belgium                             |     |
| Э. | •                    | iid learn from beigium                             |     |
|    | Reference            |  | 203 |
| Be | lgium, as seen from  | elsewhere  |     |
|    |                      |  | 267 |
|    |                      |  |     |
| In | praise of cowboy fe  | deralism: juridical and political federalism in    |     |
|    | lgium and Canada     | -<br>-   |     |
|    | Keith Banting        |  | 273 |
|    |                      |  |     |
|    | References           |  | 277 |

Intersentia xi

## Contents

| La  | yered social federalism: from the myth of exclusive competences to |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| the | e categorical imperative of cooperation                            |     |
|     | Johanne Poirier  | 279 |
|     |  |     |
| 1.  | The end of a myth: some (overly optimistic?) advantages            | 279 |
| 2.  | Parallel, concurrent, shared competences: broadening the catalogue |     |
|     | of options   | 281 |
| 3.  | Legitimising the "spending power"?                                 | 285 |
| 4.  | The ghost of the "joint-decision trap"?                            | 286 |
| 5.  | Cooperation, communication, coordination: a cure-all?              | 287 |
| 6.  | Social protection and identity politics                            | 288 |
|     |  |     |

Xii Intersentia