

# SOCIAL SECURITY AS A PUBLIC INTEREST

A multidisciplinary inquiry  
into the foundations of the  
regulatory welfare state

*Edited by*

Gijsbert VONK  
Albertjan TOLLENAAR

*In co-operation with:*

Alex CORRÀ  
Mirjam PLANTINGA  
Ko DE RIDDER  
Bram RIJPKEMA



Antwerp – Oxford – Portland

*Distribution for the UK:*

Hart Publishing Ltd.  
16C Worcester Place  
Oxford OX1 2JW  
UK  
Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30  
Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

*Distribution for the USA and Canada:*

International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213  
USA  
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)  
Tel.: +1 503 287 3093  
Email: info@isbs.com

*Distribution for Austria:*

Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag  
Argentinerstraße 42/6  
1040 Wien  
Austria  
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24  
Email: office@nwv.at

*Distribution for other countries:*

Intersentia Publishers  
Groenstraat 31  
2640 Mortsel  
Belgium  
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Social Security as a Public Interest  
Gijsbert Vonk and Albertjan Tollenaar

© 2010 Intersentia  
Antwerp – Oxford – Portland  
[www.intersentia.com](http://www.intersentia.com)

ISBN 978-94-000-0110-7  
D/2010/7849/18  
NUR 825

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This book is the result of the research programme ‘public governance and the welfare state’. The members of the research group are Gijsbert Vonk (programme leader), Ko de Ridder (supervision), Mirjam Plantinga, Albertjan Tollenaar (both post doctoral researchers), Bram Rijpkema and Alex Corrà (both PhD fellows). They are all affiliated with the University of Groningen. The researchers wish to acknowledge their gratitude to the Stichting Instituut Gak (SIG) that funded this research programme.

Earlier versions of the chapters included in the book have been discussed at an international conference organized by the newly founded Groningen Centre for Law and Governance (GCL). The GCL ([www.rug.nl/gcl](http://www.rug.nl/gcl)) conducts legal research into the balance between public and private interests.

Groningen, July 2010

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                               |      |
|-------------------------------|------|
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> ..... | v    |
| <i>Abbreviations</i> .....    | xiii |

## Research plan and outline of the book

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Gijsbert VONK and Albertjan TOLLENAAR .....  | 1  |
| 1. Background and purpose of this study .....  | 1  |
| 2. Central research questions and composition of this book .....   | 2  |
| 3. Some preliminary observations .....   | 3  |
| 3.1. Social security as a public interest: a tautology? .....  | 3  |
| 3.2. Chosen abstraction.....   | 5  |
| 3.3. Social security versus social welfare .....   | 5  |
| 3.4. Nature and ambit of the study .....   | 5  |
| 4. Short analysis of the contributions .....   | 6  |
| 4.1. Part A: Social security as a public interest .....  | 6  |
| 4.2. Part B: The instrumentalisation of the public interest:<br>towards the regulatory welfare state ..... | 11 |
| 5. Conclusions .....   | 16 |
| 5.1. Social security as a public interest .....  | 16 |
| 5.2. Social security and private responsibility.....   | 16 |
| 5.3. Division of responsibilities between the state and others .....                                       | 17 |
| 5.4. Clouds on the horizon: the instrumentalisation of the<br>public interest .....                        | 18 |
| 5.5. The regulatory welfare state: a viable concept? .....   | 18 |

## PART A

### SOCIAL SECURITY AS A PUBLIC INTEREST

#### The public interest in social security: an economic perspective

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Andries NENTJES and Edwin WOERDMAN .....                    | 23 |
| 1. Introduction .....                                       | 23 |
| 2. An economic definition of the public interest .....      | 24 |
| 3. Market failure and the emergence of social security..... | 27 |
| 3.1. Caring: an economic theory of solidarity.....          | 27 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 3.2. Solidarity in the Netherlands .....   | 30 |
| 3.3. Mandatory insurance for wage-dependent workers .....                              | 34 |
| 3.4. Summary .....   | 39 |
| 4. The economic-political life cycle in social security .....                          | 39 |
| 5. Public sector failures and the reform of social security .....                      | 41 |
| 5.1. Overproduction .....  | 42 |
| 5.2. Overconsumption.....  | 44 |
| 5.3. Lack of choice .....  | 45 |
| 5.4. X-inefficiency.....   | 45 |
| 5.5. Lack of innovation .....  | 47 |
| 6. Conclusion .....  | 47 |
| <br>The public interests of social security: a social science perspective              |    |
| Mirjam PLANTINGA .....   | 49 |
| 1. Introduction .....  | 49 |
| 2. State of the art .....  | 50 |
| 2.1. From public interests to public values.....                                       | 50 |
| 2.2. Identifying public values.....  | 51 |
| 2.3. Identifying public values failures.....   | 53 |
| 3. Applying the social science approach to the welfare state .....                     | 54 |
| 3.1. Identifying public values in welfare states .....                                 | 54 |
| 3.2. Identifying public values failures in welfare states .....                        | 55 |
| 4. Identifying public values failures in Western welfare states.....                   | 58 |
| 4.1. Western welfare states .....  | 58 |
| 4.2. Public support for Western welfare states .....                                   | 59 |
| 4.3. Explaining differences in public support .....                                    | 61 |
| 5. Towards an interdisciplinary approach to defining public interests.....             | 63 |
| <br>The public interest and the welfare state: a legal approach                        |    |
| Gijsbert VONK and George KATROUGALOS.....  | 67 |
| 1. Introduction .....  | 67 |
| 2. The public interest as a legal concept .....  | 68 |
| 3. The historical foundation of social security as a<br>socio-economic right .....     | 69 |
| 3.1. The ‘social question’ of the 19 <sup>th</sup> century .....                       | 69 |
| 3.2. The constitutionalisation of socio-economic rights .....                          | 71 |
| 4. Social security as a fundamental socio-economic right;<br>state responsibility..... | 74 |
| 5. Social security; substantive legal standards .....                                  | 79 |
| 5.1. Protection.....   | 81 |
| 5.2. Universality.....   | 83 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 5.3. Inclusion.....   | 84  |
| 5.4. Reliability.....   | 86  |
| 5.5. Solidarity .....   | 87  |
| 5.6. Equality and non discrimination.....   | 88  |
| 5.7. Good governance .....  | 89  |
| 6. Concluding remarks .....   | 90  |
| <br>The public interest and the welfare state: contemporary political philosophy              |     |
| Onno BRINKMAN .....   | 91  |
| <br>1. Introduction .....   | 91  |
| 2. The communitarian roots of social security .....   | 93  |
| 3. Egalitarian liberalism .....   | 97  |
| 3.1. Capability approach .....  | 100 |
| 3.2. Luck egalitarianism .....  | 101 |
| 3.3. Democratic liberalism .....  | 102 |
| 4. Libertarianism .....   | 103 |
| 4.1. Nozick.....  | 103 |
| 4.2. Left libertarians.....   | 107 |
| 5. Communitarian criticism .....  | 108 |
| 5.1. MacIntyre.....   | 108 |
| 5.2. Walzer.....  | 109 |
| 5.3. Etzioni and Giddens.....   | 111 |
| 6. Balance .....  | 112 |
| 7. Epilogue.....  | 116 |
| <br>PART B  |     |
| THE INSTRUMENTALISATION OF THE PUBLIC INTEREST  |     |
| <br>Instrumentalisation of the public interest in social security:<br>an economic perspective |     |
| Andries NENTJES and Edwin WOERDMAN .....  | 119 |
| <br>1. Introduction .....   | 119 |
| 2. Three decades of repair and reconstruction .....   | 120 |
| 2.1. Macro-economic signals.....  | 120 |
| 2.2. Lower benefits, fewer entitlements, more obligations.....                                | 121 |
| 2.3. Restricting eligibility .....  | 122 |
| 2.4. Changing public sector supply incentives .....   | 124 |
| 2.5. Mitigating X-inefficiency.....   | 125 |
| 2.6. Strengthening competition between suppliers .....  | 126 |
| 2.7. Increasing choice for consumers .....  | 129 |
| 3. Construction and reconstruction in health care .....                                       | 130 |

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| 4. A paradigm change? ..... | 132 |
| 5. Conclusion .....         | 134 |

**Instrumentalisation of public values in social security:  
a public administration perspective**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Ko DE RIDDER .....                                    | 137 |
| 1. Introduction.....                                  | 137 |
| 2. Safeguarding.....                                  | 139 |
| 3. Variations in safeguarding.....                    | 141 |
| 3.1. Variations in intervention.....                  | 141 |
| 3.2. Variations in levels of safeguarding.....        | 143 |
| 3.3. Variations in the tools of government.....       | 144 |
| 4. Safeguarding public values in social security..... | 145 |
| 5. Safeguarding at the input side.....                | 146 |
| 6. Safeguarding through system design.....            | 148 |
| 7. Safeguarding at the output side.....               | 149 |
| 8. Conclusion .....                                   | 151 |

**Instrumentalisation of public interests: a legal perspective**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Albertjan TOLLEAAR .....   | 153 |
| 1. Introduction.....   | 153 |
| 1.1. The legal character of instruments.....                                   | 153 |
| 1.2. Approach .....  | 154 |
| 2. Law as a safeguarding instrument .....                                      | 154 |
| 2.1. Identifying legal instruments.....  | 154 |
| 2.2. Legislative powers.....   | 155 |
| 2.3. Division of powers between the administration and the judiciary ..        | 157 |
| 2.4. Private law as a safeguarding instrument.....                             | 161 |
| 3. Legal safeguarding instruments in social security.....                      | 163 |
| 3.1. Legislative powers in social security .....                               | 164 |
| 3.2. The division of powers between the administration and the judiciary ..... | 167 |
| 3.3. Private law as a safeguarding instrument in social security .....         | 169 |
| 4. How do the legal instruments work? .....                                    | 171 |

**Instrumentalisation of the public sphere: a philosophical perspective**

|                                    |     |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| Pauline WESTERMAN .....            | 173 |
| 1. Introduction .....              | 173 |
| 2. Making oneself understood ..... | 174 |
| 3. Degrees of abstraction .....    | 177 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 4. Public interests .....                        | 179 |
| 5. The welfare state and the public sphere ..... | 181 |
| 6. Alternatives: the norm-addressees .....       | 185 |
| 7. The judiciary.....                            | 188 |
| 8. Possible directions.....                      | 190 |
| 9. Conclusion .....                              | 192 |

## PART C

### CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS & PROSPECTS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Conference proceedings .....   | 197 |
| 1. Introduction .....  | 197 |
| 2. Defining public interests .....   | 197 |
| 2.1. Finding the equilibrium .....   | 197 |
| 2.2. The social context of the welfare state.....  | 199 |
| 2.3. The origin of the welfare state.....  | 202 |
| 3. Instruments to safeguard public interests.....  | 203 |
| 3.1. The necessity of a strong public sphere .....   | 203 |
| 3.2. Muddling through or the pursuit of values .....   | 204 |
| 3.3. The need for a careful analysis, in the light of international<br>standards, of private social security arrangements..... | 206 |
| Prospects for the regulatory welfare state: recommendations for further<br>research into social markets                        |     |
| Gijsbert VONK and Albertjan TOLLENAAR .....  | 209 |
| 1. The outcome of the experiment.....  | 209 |
| 2. Articulating the public interest.....   | 210 |
| 3. Governance instruments .....  | 210 |
| 4. Conceptualising social markets .....  | 211 |
| <i>List of references</i> .....  | 213 |
| <i>About the authors</i> .....   | 227 |

## ABBREVIATIONS

|       |  |
|-------|--|
| BGB   | Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch (German Civil Code)  |
| BW    | Burgerlijk Wetboek (Dutch Civil Code)  |
| CBS   | Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (Statistics Netherlands)                          |
| CESCR | Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights                                    |
| CFREU | Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union                                  |
| CPB   | Centraal Plan Bureau (Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis)               |
| EC    | European Commission  |
| ECJ   | European Court of Justice  |
| ECSR  | European Committee of Social Rights  |
| ECtHR | European Court of Human Rights   |
| EEC   | European Economic Community  |
| ESC   | European Social Charter  |
| EU    | European Union   |
| ICESR | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights                       |
| ILO   | International Labour Organization  |
| SGB   | Sozialgesetzbuch (German Social Security Act)  |
| VAT   | Value Added Tax  |
| UN    | United Nations   |
| UWV   | Uitvoeringsinstituut WerknemersVerzekeringen (Netherlands Employee Insurance Agency) |