ANNOTATED LEADING CASES OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS

VOLUME XXVII

THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA 2005

André KLIP and Göran SLUITER (eds.)



Intersentia Ltd
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road
Cambridge CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom
mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK: Hart Publishing Ltd. 16C Worcester Place Oxford OX1 2JW

UK

Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30 Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for Austria: Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Argentinierstraße 42/6 1040 Wien

Austria Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Email: office@nwv.at Distribution for the USA and Canada: International Specialized Book Services

920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300 Portland, OR 97213

USA

Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for other countries: Intersentia Publishers

Groenstraat 31 2640 Mortsel Belgium

Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 Email: mail@intersentia.be

Please cite as: ICTY, Decision on Johan Tarčulovski's motion challenging jurisdiction, *Prosecutor v. Boškoski et al.*, Case No. IT-04-82, T. Ch. II, 1 June 2005, Klip/ Sluiter, ALC-XXVII-11.

Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals André Klip and Göran Sluiter (eds.) Cover Illustration: Eva Sterkens

© 2011 Intersentia
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-94-000-0001-8 D/2011/7849/47 NUR 828

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	5
Preface	9
Part 1/ Preliminary matters	
1. Jurisdiction	
Decision on Johan Tarčulovski's motion challenging jurisdiction, <i>Prosecutor v. Boškoski et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-82-PT, T. Ch. II, 1 June 2005	11
Decision on interlocutory appeal on jurisdiction, <i>Prosecutor v. Boškoski et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-82-AR72.1, A. Ch., 22 July 2005	15
Decision to dismiss the preliminary objections against the Tribunal's jurisdiction, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-PT, T. Ch. I, 26 September 2005.	19
Commentary Göran Sluiter	26
2. Indictment	
Decision on Vladimir Lazarević's preliminary motion on form of the indictment, <i>Prosecutor v. Pavković et al.</i> , Case No. IT-03-70-PT, T. Ch., 8 July 2005	29
Decision on defence preliminary motions alleging defect in the form of the indictment, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-PT, T. Ch. I, 22 July 2005	45
Decision on preliminary motions, <i>Prosecutor v. Perišić</i> , Case No. IT-04-81-PT, T. Ch., 29 August 2005.	63
Decision on prosecution motion for leave to amend the original indictment and defence motions challenging the form of the proposed, amendend indictement <i>Prosecutor v. Boškoski et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-82-PT, T. Ch. II, 1 November 2005.	73
Commentary Jake Hirsch-Allen	88
Part 2/ Procedural matters	
4. Provisional release	
Decision on Ramush Haradinaj's motion for provisional release, <i>Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-84-PT, T. Ch. II, 6 June 2005	95
Decision on interlocutory appeal from trial chamber decision denying Savo Todović's application for provisional release, <i>Prosecutor v. Todović</i> , Case No. IT-97-25/1-AR65.1, A. Ch., 7 October 2005	109
Decision on defence motion on behalf of Ramush Haradinaj to request re-assesment of conditions of provisional release granted on 6 June 2005, <i>Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al.</i> , Case. No. IT-04-84-PT, T. Ch. II., 12 October 2005.	119
Dissenting opinion of Judge Carmel Agius.	124

Decision on prosecution's interlocutory appeal of Mico Stanišić's provisional release, <i>Prosecutor v. Mićo Stanišić</i> , Case No. IT-04-79-AR65.1, A. Ch., 17 October 2005.	127
Decision on interlocutory appeal from trial chamber decision granting Nebojša Pavković's provisional release, <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-AR65.1, A. Ch., 1 November 2005	137
Commentary Karel de Meester	143
5. Counsel	
Decision on the accused's motion to re-examine the decision to assign Standby Counsel, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-PT, T. Ch. II, 1 March 2005.	151
Opinion dissidente du Judge Antonetti	155
Decision on motion seeking review of the Registry decision stating that Mr. Stéphane Bourgon cannot be assigned to represent Rasim Delić, <i>Prosecutor v. Rasim Delić</i> , Case No. IT-04-83-PT, T. Ch. I, 10 May 2005	161
Reasons for oral decision denying Mr. Krajišnik's request to proceed unrepresented by counsel, Prosecutor v. Krajišnik, Case No. IT-00-39-T, T. Ch. I., 18 August 2005	165
Decision following Registrar's notification of Radovan Stanković's request for self representation, Prosecutor v. Janković et al., Case No. IT-96-23/2-PT, T. Ch. I, 19 August 2005	177
Decision on appointment of co-counsel for Radivoje Miletić, <i>Prosecutor v. Tolimir et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-88-PT, T. Ch. II, 28 September 2005	185
Decision on appointment of co-counsel for Mile Mrkšić, <i>Prosecutor v. Mrkšić</i> , Case No. IT-95-13/1-PT, T. Ch. II., 7 October 2005	195
Commentary Till Gut	201
6. Contempt	
Decision on contempt of the Tribunal, <i>Prosecutor v. Milošević</i> , Case No. IT-02-54-T-R77.4, T. Ch., 13 May 2005	209
Separate opinion of Judge Bonomy on contempt of the Tribunal	217
Judgement on contempt allegations, <i>Prosecutor v. Limaj et al.</i> , Case No. IT-03-66-T-R77, T. Ch. I, 27 May 2005	223
Decision on interlocutory appeal on Kosta Bulatović contempt proceedings, <i>Prosecutor v. Milošević</i> , Case No. IT-02-54-A-R77.4, A. Ch., 29 August 2005.	243
Decision on motions to dismiss the indictment due to lack of jurisdiction and order scheduling a status conference, <i>Prosecutor v. Blaškić</i> , Case No. IT-95-14-R77.2, T. Ch., 7 October 2005	257
Commentary Christodoulos Kaoutzanis	263
7. Joinder and aspects of the right to a fair trial	
Decision on Interlocutory Appeal of Decision on second defence motion for adjournment, <i>Prosecutor v. Krajišnik</i> , Case No. IT-00-39-AR73.1, A. Ch., 25 April 2005	271
Decision on the prosecution's application to re-open its case, <i>Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović et al.</i> ,	285

Decision on Defence's motions for separate trials and severance of counts, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-PT, T. Ch. I, 1 July 2005
Interlocutory decision on length of defence case, <i>Prosecutor v. Orić</i> , Case No. IT-03-68-AR73.2, A. Ch., 20 July 2005
Decision on prosecution motion for joinder, <i>Prosecutor v. Martić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-PT, T. Ch. III, 10 November 2005
Commentary Masha Fedorova
8. Admissibility of and access to evidence
Decision on appellant's motion for judicial notice, <i>Prosecutor v. Momir Nikolić</i> , Case No. IT-02-60/1-A, A. Ch., 1 April 2005
Decision on defence motion by Franko Simatović for access to transcripts, exhibits, documentary evidence and motions filed by the parties in the Simić <i>et al.</i> case, <i>Prosecutor v. Simić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-95-9-A, A. Ch., 12 April 2005.
Dissenting opinion of Judge Pocar.
Separate opinion of Judge Shahabuddeen and Judge Schomburg
Decision on admission into evidence of interview of the accused, <i>Prosecutor v. Halilović</i> , Case No. IT-01-48-T, T. Ch. I, 20 June 2005.
Decision on motion for exclusion of statement of accused, <i>Prosecutor v. Halilović</i> , Case No. IT-01-48-T, T. Ch. I, 8 July 2005
Decision on interlocutory appeal concerning admission of record of interview of the accused from the Bar Table, <i>Prosecutor v. Halilović</i> , Case No. IT-01-48-AR73.2, A. Ch., 19 August 2005
Commentary Menno Dolman
9. Subpoena to Witnesses
Decision on application of Dragoljub Ojdanić for binding orders pursuant to Rule 54 <i>bis, Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-99-37-PT, T. Ch., 23 March 2005.
Separate and concurring opinion of Judge Iain Bonomy
Commentary Erica Finkle
10. Referral: Rule 11 <i>bis</i>
Decision on Rule 11bis referral, Prosecutor v. Stanković, Case No. IT-96-23/2-AR11bis.1, A. Ch., 1 September 2005
Decision on Rule 11 <i>bis</i> referral, <i>Prosecutor v. Janković</i> , Case No. IT-96-23/2-AR11 <i>bis</i> .2, A. Ch., 15 November 2005
Commentary Göran Sluiter

Part 3/Judgements and sentencing

11. Decisions on motions for judgements of acquitt	11.	Decisions or	motions	for judg	gements o	of acc	ıuitta
--	-----	--------------	---------	----------	-----------	--------	--------

Decision on joint defence interlocutory appeal of Trial Chamber decision on Rule 98bis motions for acquittal, <i>Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-01-47-AR73.3, A. Ch., 11 March 2005.	457
Commentary Grace Lee	471
12. Judgements	
Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Halilović</i> , Case No. IT-01-48-T, T. Ch. I, 16 November 2005	475
Commentary Harmen van der Wilt	694
13. Sentencing judgements	
Judgement on sentencing appeal, <i>Prosecutor v. Babić</i> , Case No. IT-03-72-A, A. Ch., 18 July 2005.	701
Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Mumba.	733
Judgement on sentencing appeal, <i>Prosecutor v. Deronjić</i> , Case No. IT-02-61-A, A. Ch., 20 July 2005	741
Judgement on sentencing appeal, <i>Prosecutor v. Jokić</i> , Case No. IT-01-42/1-A, A. Ch., 30 August 2005	785
Commentary Gerard de Jonge	813
Index	819
Contributors and Editors	821

PREFACE

This is the twenty-seventh volume in the series "Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals" and contains the most important decisions of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) from 29 February 2005 up to and including 16 November 2006. It is the twelfth volume containing decisions of the ICTY, indicating the abundant production of that institution.

The present volume is in its approach and structure similar to the previous volumes. Thus, the book contains the full text of all the decisions and judgements, including separate, concurring and dissenting opinions, as well as annexes to the decisions. As with the previous volumes, the editors have ensured that the decisions are fully identical to the *written* original text, as issued by the ICTY Press and Information Office and which bears the signatures of the judges. We are aware that more and more decisions are available on the internet. However, only the written decisions bearing the signatures of the judges can be considered as authoritative versions. In the course of our editorial work on this and previous volumes, we have discovered inconsistencies between the written original version of the decision and the internet version, if the latter is available at all. Much of our editorial efforts consist in making the texts in this series identical to the written original version.

We could only include the full text of the decisions in this volume by reducing their original format. Still, we wanted the reader to be able to identify the page number of the original text, which is throughout the text put in brackets []. We are again very happy that a number of scholars in the field of international criminal law were prepared to write interesting and stimulating commentaries regarding the decisions.

A few words regarding the selection of decisions may give the user insight into our working method. In principle, we select all final judgements. In addition, we publish decisions taken at any stage of the procedure that are important for other reasons: because they deal with a specific legal question, because they are representative of a specific type of decision or because they enter new legal waters. Of course, we cannot publish all decisions. As a result, we may not publish decisions in which issues have been decided in a way similar or identical to a decision that has already been selected.

The decisions are presented in different parts and under different headings.

Part 1, 'Preliminary Matters', contains several decisions on jurisdiction and indictment issues.

Part 2, 'Procedural Matters', is divided into several different sections. It contains chapters on provisional release, counsel, contempt, joinder and aspects of the right to a fair trial, admissibility of and access to evidence, subpoena to witnesses and Rule 11bis referral.

Part 3, 'Judgment and Sentencing', contains a decision on appeal of a motion for acquittal, the trial judgment in the Halilovic case and three judgments on sentencing appeal.

We owe acknowledgements to many people without whom we could not have completed this twenty-seventh volume. These include the Press and Information Office of the ICTY, which offered generous assistance in obtaining all the hard copies of decisions. Our publisher Intersentia, in particular Hans Kluwer, Tom Scheirs and Isabelle van Dongen, facilitated our work. We also acknowledge the work of our student assistants, Mariam Pathan (Maastricht) and Robbert-Jan Winters (Amsterdam), who assisted with the corrections of the text and without whom we would not be able to publish this series. The Netherlands School of Human Rights Research stimulated our work. Steven Freeland from the University of Western Sydney, Australia, offered tremendous help by correcting our English. Last but not least, we wish to thank the distinguished authors of for their commentaries on the decisions.

We hope that this volume will contribute to the further dissemination of the important work of the ICTY and that it will provide access to its decisions to practitioners, academics and students.

André Klip and Göran Sluiter Maastricht/Amsterdam, February 2011