THE UNITED STATES AND INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS

An Introduction

Harry M. Rhea



Intersentia Publishing Ltd.

Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road

Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom

Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK: Distribution for the USA and Canada: Hart Publishing Ltd. International Specialized Book Services

16C Worcester Place 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300 Oxford OX1 2JW Portland, OR 97213

UK USA

Tel.: +44 1865 517 530 Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)

Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for Austria: Distribution for other countries:
Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Intersentia Publishing ny

Argentinierstraße 42/6 Groenstraat 31 1040 Wien 2640 Mortsel Austria Belgium

Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 Email: office@nwv.at Email: mail@intersentia.be

The United States and International Criminal Tribunals: An Introduction Harry M. Rhea

© 2012 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Cover illustration: Francisco de Goya (1746-1828), Etching, Plate 14 from *The Disparates*, "Foolishness at the Carnival".

ISBN 978-90-5095-954-4 D/2012/7849/88 NUR 820

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

PREFACE

This study was originally submitted as a Ph.D. thesis to the School of Law, National University of Ireland, Galway, in 2012. The book examines the policies put forth by the United States during international debates that developed international and other forms of special tribunals after the First World War. These tribunals were established to prosecute persons alleged to have committed international crimes, namely, genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, and aggression. Arguably, the United States's policies on these institutions have had the greatest impact, both positive and negative, on international criminal tribunals. For example, the United States policy after the First World War prevented the creation of an international criminal court. Though the International Criminal Court is currently functioning, the lack of financial and personnel support from the United States has had a negative impact on it.

Conversely, the United States greatly contributed to the establishment and success of the International Military Tribunal, International Military Tribunal for the Far East, International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, Special Tribunal for Sierra Leone, and Special Tribunal for Lebanon. By no means has the United States been alone in its support for these tribunals; however, as a super power in the international community, the United States has had the greatest impact on the successes and failures of each international criminal tribunal. As a result, the United States is most often both praised and criticized for its policies on international criminal tribunals.

The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court was adopted on 17 July 1998 and entered into force on 1 July 2002. Since its adoption, the attitude of the United States towards the International Criminal Court has changed, but its policy regarding the Court has not. In each era covered in this book, there have been some members of the United States government and its general population who supported establishing the International Criminal Court; however, the majority of people have not. The United States's policy regarding the International Criminal Court did not begin in 2002, 1998, or even 1989. At the very latest, the policy began in 1919. To understand and attempt to resolve the issues the United States has with the International Criminal Court, it is important to understand United States policy regarding international criminal tribunals during each international debate, beginning as early as 1919. That is what this book attempts to achieve.

Harry M. Rhea Miami, 14 August 2012

Intersentia V

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The idea for the thesis on which this book is based was born in 2006 during a conference held by Professor William A. Schabas at the Irish Centre for Human Rights in Ireland. At the time, there had been much literature written on the relationship between the United States and the International Criminal Court, most of which was critical of the United States's refusal to accede to the Rome Statute. Conversely, there had been much literature written immediately after the Second World War on the leadership the United States displayed in establishing the International Military Tribunal and the International Military Tribunal for the Far East. While there was a library of literature on the individual international criminal tribunals, I recognized there was nothing written on United States policy overall concerning international criminal tribunals. As a former United States Marine, this question was important enough for me to pursue my doctorate on the topic.

My acknowledgments here are of no insignificance and the names I mention will remain with me for years to come. I am greatly indebted to Professor William Schabas for his guidance in developing the research question: What is the overall policy of the United States regarding international criminal tribunals? Professor Schabas was my research supervisor from 2006 to 2012 at the Irish Centre for Human Rights. I learned much not only from his academic excellence, but also his fine qualities as a mentor. I thank Professor Roger S. Clark for the time he spent with me discussing both my research project and international criminal justice. I thank Benjamin B. Ferencz for our discussions on international criminal justice and for taking me to lunch at the Harvard Club in NYC during the meeting of the American Branch of the International Law Association in 2008. I also thank those who spared their time to share their knowledge and experiences relating to my topic. These include M. Cherif Bassiouni, John B. Bellinger III, David M. Crane, Richard J. Goldstone, Sandra Hodgkinson, William K. Lietzau, John F. Murphy, Brett D. Schaefer, Michael P. Scharf, David J. Scheffer, David Tolbert, and Ruth Wedgwood.

I thank and especially acknowledge the members of my family. I am grateful for the love and patience of my Mother, Father, and Sister, of which I am a product. Finally, I thank my wife, Aimee, for her unconditional love and support, as well as our four young sons, Aidan, Logan, Reis, and Brady, all who were born during my doctorate studies and have overwhelmed me with love and energy.

Intersentia vii

Mr. Gene Brice Mr. Larry Bunkowski Ms. Marjorie Chodikoff Mr. James A. Duda Ms. Marja Galas Master William Hamilton Mr. Ernest Leven and Mr. Ed Ruff

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

This study examines the policies put forth by the United States during international debates that established international criminal tribunals from the pre-First World War era to 2012. Each chapter closely considers the United States's role and position during each era. The first chapter covers the era prior to the First World War, including the development of the Lieber Code and the prosecution of Henry Wirz. The second chapter analyzes the United States's position on establishing an international criminal court for the prosecution of Germany's former Emperor, William II, at the Paris Peace Conference in 1919. Chapter three covers the interwar period. Chapter four covers the post-Second World War era and the United States's role in establishing the International Military Tribunal and the International Military Tribunal for the Far East. Chapter five considers the Cold War era and the United States's policy during the debates in the General Assembly, Genocide Convention, and Apartheid Convention on the topic of establishing an international criminal court. Chapter six considers the post-Cold War era and includes discussions in the United States to establish an international criminal tribunal for Iraq, as well as the United States's role in establishing the international criminal tribunals for the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda and the special courts for Sierra Leone and Lebanon. Furthermore, this study devotes two chapters to the relationship between the United States and the International Criminal Court. Chapter seven analyzes the period immediately following the Cold War from 1989 through the Rome Conference in 1998. Chapter eight analyzes the United States's position on the International Criminal Court during the period following the Rome Conference to the present time, including the last two years of William Clinton's presidency, the eight years of George W. Bush's presidency, and the first term of Barack Obama's presidency.

Intersentia Xi

CONTENTS

Prefi	ace
Ackr	nowledgementsvii
Sum	mary of Contents xi
Intro	oduction1
1.	Brief History of the International Criminal Court
2.	United States Policy and International Criminal Tribunals 5
3.	Purpose of Study
4.	Methodology9
5.	Concepts of International Courts and Tribunals
6.	Structure of Study
	pter 1
Pre-	First World War Era
1.	General Orders No. 100
2.	International Committee of the Red Cross
3.	Henry Wirz Trial
4.	Conclusion
	pter 2
	-First World War Era
1.	Commission on the Responsibility of the Authors of the War and on
	the Enforcement of Penalties
2.	Initial Proposals for a Tribunal
3.	Debates in Sub-Commission No. 2
4.	Report of Sub-Commission No. 2
5.	Debates in Sub-Commission No. 3
6.	Conclusions of the Sub-Commissions
7.	Proposed Tribunal in the Commission's Final Report
	7.1. Composition
	7.2. Jurisdiction over Persons and Crimes
	7.2.1. Violations of the Laws of Treaties
	7.2.2. Violations of the Laws and Customs of War and the Laws
	of Humanity
	7.3. Procedure

Intersentia Xiii

8.	American Minority Report	38				
	8.1. Reservations of the Charge of Violations of Laws of Humanity	38				
	8.2. Reservations of Negative Criminality	39				
	8.3. Reservations of Infringement of Head of State Immunity					
9.	Content of the Treaty of Peace					
10.	Germany's Concerns with the Special Tribunal					
11.	Post-First World War Prosecutions of War Criminals					
	11.1. William II					
	11.2. Leipzig Trials					
12.	Conclusion					
Char	oter 3					
_	war Era	47				
1.	Advisory Committee of Jurists					
2.	Committee for the International Repression of Terrorism					
۷.	Committee for the international Repression of Terrorism	31				
	pter 4					
Post-	-Second World War Era					
1.	Declarative Threats of Punishment for War Crimes					
2.	United Nations War Crimes Commission					
	2.1. Establishing the Commission	57				
	2.2. United Nations War Crimes Court	59				
3.	A Different Course	63				
4.	The United States Initiative for Prosecutions by National Courts	65				
5.	Henry v. Henry	68				
6.	International Military Tribunal	70				
	6.1. United States Initiative	70				
	6.2. London Conference	74				
	6.3. Jurisdiction over Crimes	76				
	6.4. Jurisdiction over Persons	77				
	6.5. Judgment					
	6.6. Possibility of a Second Trial by the International Military Tribunal					
7.	Nuremberg War Crimes Trials					
8.	International Military Tribunal for the Far East					
	8.1. Jurisdiction over Crimes.					
	8.2. Jurisdiction over Persons					
	8.3. Judgments					
9.	Conclusion					
01						
_	oter 5					
	War Era					
1.	Genocide Convention					
	1.1 International Panal Tribunal	വ				

XiV Intersentia

	1.2. The United States and the "International Penal Tribunal"					
	1.3. Fear of Political Charges of Genocide	98				
2.	Attempts within the General Assembly to Create an International					
	Criminal Court	99				
3.	International Penal Tribunal under the Apartheid Convention					
4.	Conclusion	.06				
	pter 6					
	Cold-War Era					
1.	An International Criminal Tribunal for Iraq					
	1.1. United States Investigation into Iraqi War Crimes					
	1.2. Echoes from Nuremberg.	10				
	1.3. Internal Attempts to Establish an International Criminal					
	Tribunal for Iraq					
2.	International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia					
	2.1. Commission of Experts.					
	2.2. The United States Calls for a "Second Nuremberg"					
	2.3. The United States's Role in Creating the ICTY					
	2.4. United States Early Support the ICTY					
	2.5. United States Support to Affirm ICTY's Legitimacy					
2	2.6. Unforeseen Circumstances					
3.	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda					
	3.1. ICTR Statute					
4	3.2. Conclusion					
4.	Special Court for Sierra Leone					
	4.1. SCSL Statute					
	4.2. Indicting Charles Taylor14.3. Conclusion1					
F						
5.	Special Tribunal for Lebanon					
	5.1. STE statute					
	5.2. Conclusion	.32				
Cha	pter 7					
Cre	ating the International Criminal Court: 1989 – Rome Conference 1	.53				
1.	Proposals within the United States and the United Nations	.53				
2.	United States Responses to ILC Reports on an ICC	.59				
3.	Ad Hoc Committee	.65				
	3.1. Complementarity Jurisdiction	.65				
	3.2. Well-Established International Crimes	.66				
	3.3. Preparatory Committee	68				
4.	Rome Conference	.73				
	4.1 Indomendant Dugge guton	72				

Intersentia XV

Contents

	4.2.	Jurisdiction over Non-State Party Nationals	175
	4.3.	The Crime of Aggression	175
Chap	oter 8		
_		nal Criminal Court: Post-Rome Conference – 2012	181
1.	Unit	ed States Reaction to Rome	181
2.	The	United States Signs the Rome Statute	185
3.	Geor	rge W. Bush's Presidency: First Term	188
	3.1.	"Unsigning" the Rome Statute	188
	3.2.	Security Council Deferrals	191
	3.3.	Article 16 Deferrals for Non-State Party Nationals	192
	3.4.	American Service Members' Protection Act	193
4.	Geoi	rge W. Bush's Presidency: Second Term	194
	4.1.	Security Council Resolution 1593	195
		Diminishing Bilateral Immunity Agreements	
		Damage Control.	
5.		ck Obama's Presidency: First Term	
		2010 Review Conference of the International Criminal Court \dots	
		Security Council Resolution 1970	
6.	Con	clusion	206
	oter 9		
Con	clusio	n	207
Rihli	ografi	hv	211

xvi Intersentia