ANNOTATED LEADING CASES OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS

VOLUME XIX:

THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA 2003-2004

André KLIP and Göran SLUITER (eds.)



Distribution for the UK: Hart Publishing Ltd. 16 C Worcester Place Oxford OX1 2JW

UK

Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30 E-mail:mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for Austria: Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Argentinierstraße 42/6 1040 Wien Austria

Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Email: office@nwv.at Distribution for the USA and Canada: International Specialized Book Services 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300

920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 3 Portland, OR 97213

USA

Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)

Tel.: +1 503 287 3093 Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for other countries: Intersentia Publishers

Intersentia Publish Groenstraat 31 2640 Mortsel Belgium

Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 Email: mail@intersentia.be

Please cite as: ICTY, Decision on Motion by Vojislav Šešelj Challenging Jurisdiction and Form of the Indictment, *Prosecutor v. Šešelj*, Case No. IT-03-67/PT, T. Ch. II, 26 May 2004, Klip/ Sluiter, ALC-XIX-13

Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals André Klip and Göran Sluiter (eds.) Cover illustration: Jelle Boudrez

© 2010 Intersentia Antwerp – Oxford – Portland www.intersentia.com

ISBN 978-90-5095-949-0 D/2010/7849/42 NUR 828

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	5
Preface	11
Part 1/ Preliminary Matters	
1. Jurisdiction	
Decision on Motion by Vojislav Šešelj Challenging Jurisdiction and Form of Indictment, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67/PT, T. Ch. II, 26 May 2004	13
Reasons for Decision Dismissing Interlocutory Appeal Concerning Jurisdiction over the Territory of Kosovo, <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović</i> , <i>Šainović and Ojdanić</i> , Case No. IT-99-37-AR72.2, A. Ch., 8 June	20
2004	29 33
Commentary André de Hoogh	34
2. Provisional Release	
Decision on Marion Čerkez's Request for Provisional Release, <i>Prosecutor v. Kordić and Čerkez</i> , Case No. IT-95-14/2-A, A. Ch., 12 December 2003	45
Decision on the Request for Provisional Release of Miroslav Kvočka, <i>Prosecutor v. Kvočka, Radić, Žigić and Prcać</i> , Case No. IT-98-30/1-A, A. Ch., 17 December 2003	49
Decision on Provisional Release, <i>Prosecutor v. Kovačević</i> , Case No. IT-01-42/2, T. Ch. I, 2 June 2004	53
Commentary Micaela Frulli	57
3. Indictment	
Decision on Form of Consolidated Amended Indictment and on Prosecution Application to Amend, <i>Prosecutor v. Mrkšić, Radić and Šljivančanin</i> , Case No. IT-95-13/1-PT, T. Ch. II, 23 January 2004.	63
Decision on Prosecution's Motion to Amend the Amended Indictment, <i>Prosecutor v. Limaj, Balu and Musliu</i> , Case No. IT-03-66-PT, T. Ch. I, 12 February 2004	78
Decision Regarding Defence Preliminary Motion on the Form of the Indictment, <i>Prosecutor v. Rasević</i> , Case No. IT-97-25/1-PT, T. Ch. II, 28 April 2004	87
Commentary Desislava Stoitchkova	101

Part 2/ Procedural Matters

4. Presentation of and Access to Evidence

Decision on the Interlocutory Appeal by the <i>Amici Curiae</i> Against the Trial Chamber Order Concerning the Presentation and Preparation of the Defence Case, <i>Prosecutor v. Milošević</i> , Case No. IT-02-54-AR73.6, A. Ch., 20 January 2004	107 114
Decision on Defence Motion for Clarification of the Oral Decision of 17 December 2003 Regarding the Scope of Cross-Examination Pursuant to Rule 90(H) of the Rules, <i>Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović and Kubura</i> , Case No. IT-01-47-T, T. Ch. II, 28 January 2004.	117
Decision on the Defence's Motion to Preclude Miroslav Deronjić From Giving Testimony Prior to Being Sentenced, <i>Prosecutor v. Krajišnik</i> , Case No. IT-00-39-T, T. Ch. I, 16 february 2004	121
Decision on Prosecution's Motion for Clarification of the Appeals Chamber's Decision Dated 4 December 2002 on Paško Ljubičić's Motion for Access to Confidential Material, Transcripts and Exhibits in the Blaškić Case, <i>Prosecutor v. Blaškić</i> , Case No. IT-95-47-A, A. Ch., 8 March 2004.	125
Commentary Menno Dolman	135
5. Admissibility of Evidence	
Decision on the Admission Into Evidence of Intercept-Related Materials, <i>Prosecutor v. Blagojević and Jokić</i> , Case No. IT-02-60-T, T. Ch. I, Section A, 18 December 2003	143
Commentary Göran Sluiter	151
6. Judicial Notice	
Final Decision on Prosecution Motion for Judicial Notice of Adjudicated Facts, <i>Prosecutor v. Milošević</i> , Case No. IT-02-54-T, T. Ch. III, 16 December 2003	155
Decision on Prosecution's Motion for Judicial Notice of Adjudicated Facts and Documentary Evidence, <i>Prosecutor v. Blagojević and Jokić</i> , Case No. IT-02-60-T, T. Ch. I, Section A, 19 December 2003	161
Decision on Prosecution Motion for Judicial Notice Pursuant to Rule 94(B), <i>Prosecutor v. Mejakić</i> , <i>Gruban, Fuštar and Knežević</i> , Case No. IT-02-65-PT, T. Ch. III, 1 April 2004	169
Final Decision on Judicial Notice of Adjudicated Facts, <i>Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović and Kubura</i> , Case No. IT-01-47-T, T. Ch. II, 20 April 2004.	175
Commentary Ruth Kok and Els Dinjens	181
7. Fitness to Stand Trial	
Decision <i>re</i> the Defence Motion to Terminate Proceedings, <i>Prosecutor v. Strugar</i> , Case No. IT-01-42-T, T. Ch. II, 26 May 2004	187
Commentary Göran Sluiter	201

8. Counsel

Public and Redacted Reasons for Decision on Appeal by Vidoje Blagojević to Replace his Defence Team, <i>Prosecutor v. Blagojević</i> , Case No. IT-02-60-AR73.4, A. Ch., 7 November 2003
Decision on the Defence's Motion for an Order Setting Aside the Registrar's Decision Declaring Momčilo Krajišnik Partially Indigent for Legal Aid Purposes, <i>Prosecutor v. Krajišnik</i> , Case No. IT-00-39-PT, T. Ch. I, 20 January 2004
Decision on the Defence's Request for an Order Setting Aside, in Part, the Deputy Registrar's Decision of 3 February 2004, <i>Prosecutor v. Krajišnik</i> , Case No. IT-00-39-T, T. Ch. I, 14 May 2004
Decision of the Registry on Assignment of Counsel and the Extent to Which the Accused is Able to Remunerate Counsel, <i>Prosecutor v. Simatović</i> , Case No. IT-03-69-PT, Registrar, 17 May 2004
Decision on Prosecution's Second Motion to Resolve Conflict of Interest Regarding Attorney Jovan Simić, <i>Prosecutor v. Mejakić, Gruban, Fuštar and Knežević</i> , Case No. IT-02-65-T, T. Ch. III, 17 June 2004
Decision of the Registry on Assignment of Counsel and the Extent to Which the Accused is Able to Remunerate Counsel, <i>Prosecutor v. Orić</i> , Case No. IT-03-68-PT, Registrar, 18 June 2004
Commentary Rebecca Mori
9. Impartiality
Decision on Application for Disqualification, <i>Prosecutor v. Brđanin</i> , Case No. IT-99-36-R77, Bureau, 11 June 2004.
Commentary Mohamed El Zeidy
10. Contempt
Decision on Motion by <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Prosecutor to Amend Allegations of Contempt of the Tribunal, <i>Prosecutor v. Brāanin</i> , Case No. IT-99-36-R77, 6 February 2004
Decision on Motion for Acquittal Pursuant to Rule 98bis, Prosecutor v. Brđanin, Case No. IT-99-36-R77, T. Ch II, 19 March 2004
Commentary Megan Fairlie.
Part 3/ Judgements and Sentencing
11. Judgement on Motions for Acquittal
Decision on Interlocutory Appeal, <i>Prosecutor v. Brđanin</i> , Case No. IT-99-36-A, A. Ch., 19 March 2004
Judgement on Motions for Acquittal Pursuant to Rule 98bis, Prosecutor v. Blagojević and Jokić, Case No. IT-02-60-T, T. Ch. I, Section A, 5 April 2004

Decision on Mation for Indoment of Acquittel Bureautous Milesuri Cose No. IT 02 54 T. T. Ch.	
Decision on Motion for Judgement of Acquittal, <i>Prosecutor v. Milošević</i> , Case No. IT-02-54-T, T. Ch. III, 16 June 2004.	3
Separate Opinion of Judge Patrick Robinson	4
Dissenting Opinion of Judge O-Gon Kwon.	4
Commentary Karel de Meester	4
12. Judgements	
Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Vasiljević</i> , Case No. IT-98-32-A, A. Ch., 25 February 2004	4
Commentary Olaoluwa Olusanya	5
Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Krstić</i> , Case No. IT-98-33-A, A. Ch., 19 April 2004	5
Commentary Alexander Zahar	6
13. Sentencing Judgements	
Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Obrenović</i> , Case No. IT-02-60/2-S, T. Ch. I, Section A, 10 December 2003	6
Commentary Christine Byron	6
Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Nikolić</i> , Case No. IT-94-2-S, T. Ch. II, 18 December 2003	6
Commentary Christine Byron	7
Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Češić</i> , Case No. IT-95-10/1-S, T. Ch. I, 11 March 2004	7
Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Jokić</i> , Case No. IT-01-42/1-S, T. Ch. I, 18 March 2004	7
Commentary Christopher Gevers and Max du Plessis	8
Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Deronjić</i> , Case No. IT-02-61-S, T. Ch. II, 30 March 2004 Dissenting Opinion of Judge Wolfgang Schomburg	8 8
Commentary Denis Abels	8
Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Mrđa</i> , Case No. IT-02-59-S, T. Ch. I, 31 March 2004	8
Commentary Chantal Meloni	9
Part 4/ Post Conviction or Acquittal Issues and Detention Matters	
14. Detention	
Decision, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-PT, Registrar, 11 December 2003	ç
Decision, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-PT, Registrar, 8 January 2004	9
Commentary Gerard de Jonge	g

Decision on the Defence's Request for an Order Setting Aside, in Part, the Deputy Registrar's Decision of 3 February 2004, <i>Prosecutor v. Krajišnik</i> , Case No. IT-00-39-T, T. Ch. I, 14 May 2004	941
Commentary Gerard de Jonge	945
15. Early Release	
Decision of the President on the Application for Pardon or Commutation of Sentence of Miroslav Tadić, <i>Prosecutor v. Simić, Tadić and Zarić</i> , Case No. IT-95-9, Pres., 24 June 2004	947
Commentary Roman Graf	951
Index	957
Contributors and Editors	061

PREFACE

This is the nineteenth volume in the series 'Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals' and contains the most important decisions of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) from 6 December 2003 up to and including 20 June 2004. It is the eleventh in the series containing decisions of the ICTY.

The present volume is in its approach and structure similar to the previous volumes. Thus, the book contains the full text of all the decisions and judgements, including separate, concurring and dissenting opinions, as well as annexes to the decisions. As with the previous volumes, the editors have ensured that the decisions are fully identical to the *written* original text, as issued by the ICTY Press and Information Office and which bears the signatures of the Judges. We are aware that more and more decisions are available on the internet. However, only the written decisions bearing the signatures of the Judges can be considered as authoritative versions. In the course of our editorial work on this and previous volumes, we have discovered inconsistencies between the written original version of the decision and the internet version, if the latter is available at all. Much of our editorial efforts involve ensuring that the texts in this series are identical to the written original version.

We could only include the full text of the decisions in this volume by reducing their original format. Still, we wanted the reader to be able to identify the page number of the original text, which is throughout the text put in brackets []. We are again very happy that a number of scholars in the field of international criminal law were prepared to write interesting and stimulating commentaries regarding the decisions.

A few words regarding the selection of decisions may give the user insight into our working method. In principle, we select all final judgements. In addition, we publish decisions taken at any stage of the procedure that are important for other reasons: because they deal with a specific legal question, because they are representative of a specific type of decision, or because they enter new legal waters. Of course, we cannot publish all decisions. As a result, we may not publish decisions in which issues have been decided in a way similar or identical to a decision that has already been selected.

The decisions are presented in different parts and under different headings.

Part 1, 'Preliminary Matters', includes decisions on jurisdiction (1), matters regarding the indictment (2) and provisional release (3).

Part 2 deals with procedural matters. Under heading 4 are included decisions regarding the presentation of evidence. Admissibility of evidence is the topic of heading 6. Judicial notice, as a device to shorten the evidentiary process, has gained in importance at the ICTY and decisions in that area are incorporated under heading 6. An important decision on fitness to stand trial, in the Strugar case, follows under heading 7. Decisions related to the appointment and position of counsel are grouped under heading 8. Under headings 9 and 10, the reader will find decisions related to impartiality and contempt of court respectively.

Part 3, 'Judgement and Sentencing', contains ten judgements and covers by far the largest part of this volume. It contains judgements on a motion for acquittal (11), trial and appeals judgements (12) and sentencing judgements (13). As mentioned above, judgements are by definition included in this series, because of their importance, both from a factual and legal perspective.

Part 4, 'Post Conviction or Acquittal Issues and Detention Matters', deals with decisions regarding treatment of detainees (14) and a decision on an application for early release (15).

We owe acknowledgements to many persons without whom we could not have completed this nineteenth volume. These include the Press and Information Office of the ICTY, which offered generous assistance in obtaining all the hard copies of decisions. Our publisher Intersentia, in particular Hans Kluwer, Tom Scheirs and Isabelle Van Dongen, facilitated our work. We also acknowledge the work of our (former) student assistants, Thom Dieben, Mariam Pathan (Maastricht) and Robbert-Jan Winters (Amsterdam), who assisted with the corrections of the text and without whom we would not be able to publish this series. The Netherlands School of Human Rights Research stimulated our work. Professor Steven Freeland from the University of

Western Sydney, Australia, offered tremendous help by correcting our English. Last but not least, we wish to thank the distinguished authors for their commentaries on the decisions.

We hope that this volume will contribute to the further dissemination of the important work of the ICTY and that it will provide access to its decisions to practitioners, academics and students.

André Klip and Göran Sluiter Maastricht/Amsterdam, December 2009