

Editors:
A. Uzelac
C.H. van Rhee

Access to Justice and the Judiciary:
Towards New European Standards of
Affordability, Quality and Efficiency
of Civil Adjudication

Editors:

A. Uzelac

C.H. van Rhee

Editorial Advisory Board:

Prof. Dr. Dirk Heirbaut (Ghent, Belgium); Prof. Dr. Burkhard Hess (Heidelberg, Germany); Prof. Dr. Rob Jagtenberg (Rotterdam, Netherlands); Prof. Dr. Jon T. Johnsen (Oslo, Norway); Prof. Dr. Paul Oberhammer (Zurich, Switzerland); Prof. Dr. Vesna Rijavec (Maribor, Slovenia); Prof. Dr. Annie de Roo (Rotterdam, Netherlands); Prof. Dr. Marcel Storme (Ghent, Belgium).

Access to Justice and the Judiciary: Towards New European Standards
of Affordability, Quality and Efficiency of Civil Adjudication

ISBN 978-90-5095-925-4

D/2009/7849/34

NUR 822

© 2009 Intersentia Antwerp – Oxford – Portland

www.intersentia.com

Cover photograph © iStockphoto.com/Kermarrec Aurelien – ‘Vienna law courts’

This book was published with the financial support of the MATRA programme of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photo copy, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the author.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book is the result of the cooperation of many. First, we would like to thank the Inter-University Centre in Dubrovnik and its leading bodies, in particular the IUC Secretariat team led by the Executive Secretary, Ms. Nada Bruer, for allowing us to organize our meetings within the premises of the Centre and supporting us morally and logistically. For a third time in a row, the Inter-University Centre proved to be an inspiring environment for our discussions on issues related to public and private justice. These discussions have contributed tremendously to the final content of the present volume.

We would also like to thank the Croatian Ministry of Justice, more particularly the State Secretary Mr. Dražen Bošnjaković, for being willing to address the participants at the opening session. Financial and moral support was provided by the Dutch Embassy in Zagreb and its representative, Mrs. W. van Haaften; by the World Bank and its representative, Mr. Amit Mukherjee; by the Croatian Ministry of Science and the HESP scheme of the Soros Foundation. Many hours of work have been invested by the organizing team of the PPJ course, in particular by Slađana Aras, who was responsible for many tasks in maintaining the high standards of the Dubrovnik programme.

Invaluable editorial assistance was provided by Mrs. Marjo Mullers of Maastricht University and the Research School Ius Commune. Without her efforts and editorial skills this book would not have been published. A special word of thanks is due to the students of Maastricht University, Ms. Teagan Jefferson, Mr. Iain Gillespie and Mr. Freddie Leclercq, who helped us with revising the English of the various contributions to this volume.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements v

List of Contributors xv

A. Uzelac & C.H. van Rhee

Introduction 1

ACCESS TO JUSTICE AND LEGAL AID

F. Fernhout

Outcome-Related Fee Agreements in Europe and Hong Kong 13

1. Introduction 13

2. Definitions 14

3. Freedom of Contract and Fee Agreements 15

4. Arguments in Favour of or Against Outcome-Related Fees 16

5. Comparing Lawyers' Fees in Some Western European Countries 19

5.1. Belgium 20

5.2. Denmark 21

5.3. Germany 22

5.4. England and Wales 23

5.5. France 24

5.6. Greece 24

5.7. Ireland 24

6. The Hong Kong Solution 25

7. Overview and Concluding Remarks 26

Bibliography 28

J.T. Johnsen

Vulnerable Groups at the Legal Services Market 31

1. Legal Need in the Perspective of Economic Theory 31
2. Market Conditions on the Demand Side 33
 - 2.1. Deficiencies in Market Transparency 33
 - 2.2. Some Remedies 34
 - 2.3. Economic Barriers Against Market Access 35
 - 2.4. Final Remarks on Market Conditions on the Demand Side 38
3. Market Conditions for Legal Service Providers. Restrictions on Competition 38
4. Other Delivery Models 40

Bibliography 43

I. Pushkarova

Access to Justice: Legal Aid Systems 45

1. Introduction 45
2. Problem Statement 45
3. England & Wales – the Global Leading Model of Legal Aid 46
4. The Chilean Experience 49
5. The Emerging Lithuanian Model 50
6. The First Steps in Bulgaria 51
7. Conclusions 52

Bibliography 53

ACCESSIBILITY BY IMPROVEMENT OF QUALITY

P. Albers

Quality Assessment of Courts and the Judiciary: From Judicial Quality to Court Excellence 57

1. Introduction 57
2. Judicial and Court Quality 58
3. Underlying Values of a Court 59
4. The US Trial Court Performance Standards (TCPS) and Future Improvements of this Model 60
5. Quality Initiatives in Singapore: eJustice Scorecard 61

6.	Integral Court Quality Systems in Europe: Two Cases (the Netherlands and Finland)	62
6.1.	The Netherlands: Rechtspraak	62
6.2.	Finland: Quality Benchmarks	64
7.	European Initiatives: ‘Costa Report’ of the European Parliament, Quality Topics of the CEPEJ and the European Network of Councils for the Judiciary (ENCJ).....	65
7.1.	The European Parliament: A Quality Charter for (Criminal) Justice	66
7.2.	The CEPEJ: Quality Working Group (2007).....	66
7.3.	The European Network of Councils for the Judiciary: Working Group on Quality Management.....	68
8.	Towards a Global Framework for Court Excellence.....	69
9.	Concluding Remarks	71
	Bibliography	73

T. Domej

Efficiency in the Relationship between the Judge and the Parties:

	A Perspective on the Future Swiss Code of Civil Procedure	75
1.	Introduction	75
2.	The Role of Procedural Efficiency in the Swiss Legislative Process	76
2.1.	Unification as a Boost for Efficiency by Itself?	76
2.2.	Court Organisation	76
2.3.	Keeping Cases Away from the Courts	77
3.	Specific Procedural Rules Designed to Ensure Procedural Efficiency	78
3.1.	Introduction	78
3.2.	Control over the Formal Course of the Proceedings	79
3.3.	Duty to Ask Questions and Give Instructions.....	79
3.4.	Admissibility of Late Allegations.....	82
4.	Conclusion.....	85
	Bibliography	87

D. Heirbaut

Efficiency: the Holy Grail of Belgian Justice? Civil Procedure in Belgium (1806-2008).....

	89	
1.	Civil Procedure before the French Code de Procédure Civile	89
2.	The 1806 Code of Civil Procedure.....	90
3.	The Failure, for a Long Time, to Heed the Call from the 1831 Constitution for a New Code.....	91
4.	The 1869 Allard-Draft.....	92

5.	Other Failures	95
6.	The Conservative 1967 Judicial Code	96
7.	After 1967: Proceeding without Vision.....	100
8.	The Future: The Need of ‘Fundamentally Rethinking’	102
	Bibliography	105

G. Finocchiaro

Access to the Judiciary under the New Italian Law on the Judicial System:

	Critical Remarks	119
1.	Introduction	119
1.1.	Purpose	119
1.2.	The Ordinary Judiciary	121
1.3.	The Career Judiciary	122
1.4.	Methodology	123
2.	The Recruitment of the Ordinary Career Judges in Italy	124
2.1.	The Requirements for Entering the Judiciary	124
2.1.1.	The Competitive Examination in its 1941 Version.....	124
2.1.2.	The Introduction of the Pre-Selection Test.....	125
2.1.3.	The ‘Simplified’ Examination	127
2.1.4.	The ‘Upgraded’ Examination (the Introduction of More Selective Requirements to Sit the Examination)	129
2.1.5.	An Overall Assessment of the ‘Upgraded’ Examination for Entering the Judiciary	132
	Addendum I – The Numbers of Students in the Faculties of Law and in the Schools of Specialisation for the Legal Professions	135
	Addendum II – Synoptic Tables.....	136
	Bibliography	142

ACCESS TO JUSTICE THROUGH MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION

A. de Roo & R. Jagtenberg

	Mediation and the Concepts of Accountability, Accessibility and Efficiency	149
1.	Introduction	149
2.	Key Concepts	150
3.	Mediators.....	152
3.1.	Mediators and Accountability	152
3.2.	Mediators and Accessibility	155
3.3.	Mediators and Efficiency.....	157
4.	Judges.....	159

4.1. (Referring and Reviewing) Judges and Accountability	159
4.1.1. The Judge as Referral Authority.....	159
4.1.2. The Judge as Reviewing Authority.....	162
4.2. Judges and Accessibility.....	164
4.3. Judges and Efficiency.....	165
5. Concluding Observations.....	166
Bibliography	169
M. Mitrović	
Mandatory Mediation in Divorce Disputes: An Obsolete Legal Practice. Critical Overview of the Croatian Divorce System	
	173
1. Introduction	173
2. A Critical Overview of the Divorce System in Croatia	175
3. Voluntary Mediation in Divorce Disputes as a European Principle	178
4. European Union Viewpoints regarding Mediation in Divorce Disputes	179
5. Conclusion.....	181
Bibliography	183
A. Keglević	
International Commercial Arbitration. What do Parties and Arbitrators owe to Each Other?	
	187
1. Introduction	187
2. Why Arbitration?.....	188
3. The Main Duties and Obligations of the Arbitral Tribunal	189
3.1. Source of Arbitrator Powers - General.....	189
3.2. Duties Imposed by the Parties - Contractual Duties.....	190
3.3. Duties Imposed by Law - Non-Contractual Duties	191
3.3.1. Duty to Ensure Impartiality and Independence	191
3.3.2. Duty of Disclosure.....	193
3.3.3. Duty to Act with Due Diligence and Due Care.....	194
3.3.4. Liability and Immunity	195
3.3.5. Duty to Act Judicially	196
3.3.6. Duty to Render and Sign an Award	197
3.4. Ethical Duties	199
4. Main Duties and Obligations of the Parties	200
4.1. Duty to Select an Arbitrator.....	200
4.2. Presenting the Case and Imposing Duties on Arbitrators	201
4.3. Duties Related to Party Autonomy.....	202
4.4. The Power/Authority to Decide the Case	203

4.5. Duty to Pay Fees and Expenses.....	203
4.6. Duty to Act in Accordance with the Requirements of a Proper and Expeditious Conduct of Arbitral Proceedings.....	204
5. Conclusion.....	204
Bibliography	206

ACCESSING JUSTICE THROUGH EFFICIENT ENFORCEMENT

S. Petkova

Reforming Judgment Enforcement in Bulgaria.....	213
1. Introduction	213
2. Background	213
3. Choosing a Reform Path.....	214
4. Parliamentary Life and Passage of the Law.....	215
5. Preparatory Work for the Launch of the New Profession	216
6. Current State of Private Judgment Enforcement in Bulgaria	216
7. Conclusions	217
Bibliography	219

V. Rijavec

The Recovery of Debts Secured by Mortgage or Pledge in Slovenia	221
1. General Remarks	221
2. Civil Enforcement in Slovenia	221
3. Enforcement Agency.....	222
3.1. General.....	222
3.2. Slovenian System.....	223
4. Ordinary Legal Remedies in the Enforcement Procedure	223
5. Special Legal Remedies against Enforcement Orders	223
6. Actions for Setting Aside Enforcement Orders	224
7. Types of Enforcement	224
8. Types of Pledge	224
9. Pledge Arising out of a Directly Enforceable Notarial Deed.....	225
10. Types of Mortgages.....	226
11. Special Court Proceedings to Enforce a Mortgage.....	227

12. Enforcement against Real Estate under Mortgage Established by a Notarial Deed	227
13. Procedure for the Enforcement against Real Estate under Mortgage	227
14. Conclusion.....	229
Bibliography	230



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Pim Albers, Special Advisor of the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ), Council of Europe; Former President of the CEPEJ-GT-EVAL and Former Member of the CEPEJ Bureau; Senior Policy Advisor, Strategy Department for the Administration of Justice, Ministry of Justice, The Hague (Netherlands)

Tanja Domej, Senior Research and Teaching Associate of Civil Procedure, Enforcement and Insolvency Law, Faculty of Law, University of Zurich (Switzerland)

Fokke Fernhout, Associate Professor of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Maastricht (The Netherlands)

Giuseppe Finocchiaro, Associate Professor of Civil Procedure, School of specialisation for legal professions, Faculty of Law, University of Brescia (Italy)

Dirk Heirbaut, Professor of Legal History and Roman law, Faculty of Law, Ghent University (Belgium)

Rob Jagtenberg, Associate Professor of Comparative Law, Faculty of Law, University of Rotterdam (Netherlands); General Rapporteur on the subject of mediation, Council of Europe

Jon T. Johnsen, Professor at the Department of Public and International Law, Former Dean of the Law Faculty of the University of Oslo (Norway); Former Member of the CEPEJ's Task Force for Timeframes of the Judicial Proceedings (TF-DEL) and Member of the SATURN Centre for judicial time management of the CEPEJ

Ana Keglević, LL.M., Assistant at the Chair of Civil Law, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb (Croatia); former manager at the Permanent Arbitration Court attached to the Croatian Chamber of Commerce in Zagreb

Maja Mitrović, Trainee at the County Court in Zagreb (Croatia), Postgraduate student, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb (Croatia)

Svetozara Petkova, Attorney-at-Law, Sofia, Bulgaria; Rule of Law consultant for the World Bank and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID)

Iva Pushkarova, Consultant for the World Bank, Lecturer in criminal law at Sofia University 'St. Klement of Ohrid', Legal Researcher on organised crime at the Risk Monitor Foundation, Sofia (Bulgaria); Executive Director of the Bulgarian Judges Association

Vesna Rijavec, Head of the Institute for Civil, Comparative and International Private Law, Faculty of Law, University of Maribor (Slovenia)

Annie de Roo, Associate Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Rotterdam (Netherlands); Accredited Mediator (NMI); Key Expert in the CILC Project on Labour Dispute Conciliation in Croatia

Editors

C.H. (Remco) van Rhee, Professor of European Legal History, Faculty of Law, Maastricht University (Netherlands)

Alan Uzelac, Professor of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb (Croatia); Former Croatian delegate in the CEPEJ; Former President of the TF-DEL; Former Member of the CEPEJ Bureau; Member of the SATURN Centre for judicial time management of the CEPEJ