# ANNOTATED LEADING CASES OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS

### **VOLUME XXV**

## THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA 2006–2007

André KLIP and Göran SLUITER (eds.)



Distribution for the UK: Hart Publishing Ltd. 16 C Worcester Place Oxford OX1 2JW

UK

Austria

Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30 E-mail:mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for Austria: Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Argentinierstraße 42/6 1040 Wien

Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Email: office@nwv.at

Distribution for the USA and Canada: International Specialized Book Services 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300

Portland, OR 97213

USA

Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (tol1 free)

Tel.: +1 503 287 3093 Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for other countries: Intersentia Publishers Groenstraat 31 2640 Mortsel Belgium

Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 Email: mail@intersentia.be

Please cite as: ICTR, Decision on the Prosecutor's Application for Severance and Leave to Amend the Indictment Against Idelphonse Hategekimana, *Prosecutor v. Nizeyimana and Hategekimana*, Case No. ICTR-00-55-I, T. Ch. III, 25 September 2007, Klip/Sluiter, ALC XXV-27.

Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals André Klip and Göran Sluiter (eds.) Cover illustration: Eva Sterkens

© 2010 Intersentia Antwerp - Oxford - Portland www.intersentia.com

ISBN 978-90-5095-916-2 D/2010/7849/54 NUR 828

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Table of Contents  | 5  |
|--|----|
| Preface  | 11 |
| Part 1/ Preliminary matters  |    |
| 1. Indictment and severance  |    |
| Decision on Aloys Ntabakuze's Interlocutory Appeal on Questions of Law Raised by the 29 June 2006 Trial Chamber I Decision on Motion for Exclusion of Evidence, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva,</i> Case No. ICTR-98-41-AR73, A. Ch., 18 September 2006.   | 13 |
| Decision on the Prosecutor's Application for Severance and Leave to Amend the Indictment Against Idelphonse Hategekimana, <i>Prosecutor v. Nizeyimana and Hategekimana</i> , Case No. ICTR-00-55-I, T. Ch. III, 25 September 2007  | 27 |
| Commentary Eduardo Faria Coracini  | 35 |
| Part 2/ Procedural matters   |    |
| 2. Disclosure  |    |
| Decision on Interlocutory Appeal Relating to Disclosure Under Rule 66(B) of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva,</i> Case No. ICTR-98-41-AR73, A. Ch., 25 September 2006  | 41 |
| Decision on Joseph Nzirorera's Motion for Inspection of Statement of Pierre Celestin Mbonankira (Rule 66 (B) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 20 September 2007  | 47 |
| Commentary Shamiso Zinzombe  | 51 |
| 3. Use of statements of witnesses and accused  |    |
| Decision on Request for Subpoenas of United Nations Officials, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva,</i> Case No. ICTR-98-41-T, T. Ch. I, 6 October 2006   | 55 |
| Decision on "Appeal of Accused Arsène Shalom Ntahobali Against the Decision on Kanyabashi's Oral Motion to Cross-Examine Ntahobali Using Ntahobali's Statements to Prosecution Investigators in July 1997", <i>Prosecutor v. Ntahobali and Nyiramasuhuko</i> , Case No. ICTR-97-21-AR73, A. Ch., 27 October 2006.  | 61 |
| Decision on the Prosecution Joint Motion for Re-Opening its Case and for Reconsideration of the 31 January 2006 Decision on the Hearing of Witness Michel Bagaragaza via Video-Link (Rules 54, 73, 73bis (E), and 85 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Zigiranyirazo</i> , Case No. ICTR-2001-73-T, T. Ch. III, 16 November 2006 | 67 |

| Decision on Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence of Rape and Sexual Assault Pursuant to Rule 92bis of the Rules; and Order for Reduction of Prosecution Witness List (Rules 92bis and 73bis (D) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 11 December 2006 | 75  |
|---|-----|
| Decision on Prosecution Prospective Experts Witnesses Alison Des Forges, André Guichaoua and Binaifer Nowrojee (Rules 89 and 94bis of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 25 October 2007   | 83  |
| Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Admission Into Evidence of Post-Arrest Interviews With Joseph Nzirorera and Mathieu Ngirumpatse (Rules 89 (C) and 95 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 2 November 2007  | 91  |
| Decision on Prosecution Motion for Reconsideration of the Decision on Prospective Experts Guichaoua, Nowrojee and Des Forges, or for Certification (Rule 73(B)bis of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 16 November 2007.                                      | 101 |
| Commentary Rosemary Rayfuse   | 107 |
| 4. Witness proofing   |     |
| Decision on Defence Motions to Prohibit Witness Proofing (Rule 73 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 15 December 2006   | 117 |
| Decision on Interlocutory Appeal Regarding Witness Proofing, <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-AR73.8, A. Ch., 11 May 2007   | 125 |
| Commentary Stefanie Bock  | 130 |
| 5. Other evidentiary issues   |     |
| Decision on Ferdinand Nahima's Motion for the Translation of RTLM Tapes in Exhibit C7, <i>Nahimana</i> , <i>Barayagwiza and Ngeze v. Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-99-52-A, A. Ch., 20 November 2006   | 137 |
| Decision on Motions Relating to the Appellant Hassan Ngeze's and the Prosecution's Requests for Leave to Present Additional Evidence of Witnesses ABC1 and EB, <i>Nahimana, Barayagwiza and Ngeze v. Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-99-52-A, A. Ch., 27 November 2006   | 147 |
| Decision on the <i>Voir Dire</i> Hearing of the Accused's <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> (Rules 2, 42 and 95 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Zigiranyirazo</i> , Case No. ICTR-2001-73-T, T. Ch. III, 29 November 2006.  | 163 |
| Decision on Appellant Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza's Motions for Leave to Present Additional Evidence Pursuant to Rule 115 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, <i>Nahimana, Barayagwiza and Ngeze v. Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-99-52-A, A. Ch., 8 December 2006  | 167 |
| Decision on Appeals Chamber Remand of Judicial Notice (Rules 94 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 11 December 2006   | 181 |

| Decision on the Prosecutor's Application to Admit Into Evidence the Transcript of the Accused's Interview as a Suspect and the Defense's Request to Hold a <i>Voir Dire</i> (Article 17 of the Statute; Rules 42, 43 and 95 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Nchamihigo</i> , Case No. ICTR-2001-63-T, T. Ch. III, 5 February 2007.                                      |     |
|---|-----|
| Commentary Michele Caianiello   | 211 |
| 6. Right to be present  |     |
| Decision on Interlocutory Appeal, <i>Zigiranyirazo v. Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-2001-73-AR73, A. Ch., 30 October 2006  | 219 |
| Decision on Nsengiyumva Motion for Adjourment due to Illness of the Accused, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva,</i> Case No. ICTR-98-41-T, T. Ch. I, 17 November 2006  | 229 |
| Decision on Joseph Nzirorera's Motion for Stay of Proceedings While he is Unfit to Attend Trial or Certification to Appeal (Article 20 of the Statute, Rule 73(B) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera, Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 11 July 2007   | 233 |
| Decision on Nzirorera's Interlocutory Appeal Concerning his Right to be Present at Trial, <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-AR73.10, A. Ch., 5 October 2007  | 239 |
| Commentary Goreth Kyakuwa   | 244 |
| 7. Cooperation of States  |     |
| Modalities for Presentation of a Witness, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva,</i> Case No. ICTR-98-41-T, T. Ch. I, 20 September 2006  | 251 |
| Decision on Request for Subpoena of Ami R. Mpungwe, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva,</i> Case No. ICTR-98-41-T, T. Ch. I, 19 October 2006  | 255 |
| Decision on Prosecution's Motion to Unseal the Transcripts of Witness WDUSA, <i>Prosecutor v. Ndayambaje</i> (Case No. ICTR-96-8-T), <i>Prosecutor v. Kanyabashi</i> (Case No. ICTR-96-15-T), <i>Prosecutor v. Nyiramasuhuko and Ntahobali</i> (Case No. ICTR-97-21-T), <i>Prosecutor v. Nsabimana and Nteziryayo</i> (Case No. ICTR-97-29-T), Joint Case No. ICTR-98-42-T, T. Ch. II, 1 November | 250 |
| 2006  | 259 |
| Kingdom of the Netherlands, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagaragaza</i> , Case No. ICTR-2005-86-11 <i>bis</i> , T. Ch. III, 6 March 2007  | 263 |
| Decision on Prosecutor's Request for Referral of the Indictment to the Kingdom of the Netherlands, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagaragaza</i> , Case No. ICTR-2005-86-11bis, T. Ch. III, 13 April 2007   | 267 |
| Decision on Prosecutor's Extremely Urgent Motion for Revocation of the Referral to the Kingdom of the Netherlands Pursuant to Rule 11 <i>bis</i> (F) & (G), <i>Prosecutor v. Bagaragaza</i> , Case No. ICTR-2005-86-11 <i>bis</i> , T. Ch. designated under Rule 11 <i>bis</i> , 17 August 2007   | 277 |

| Commentary André Klip  |                              |
|--|------------------------------|
| . Certification and reconsideration  |                              |
| Decision on Request for Reconsideration of the Decision on Request for Review, <i>Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-96-14-R, A. Ch., 27 September 2006  |                              |
| Declaration of Judge Shahabuddeen  |                              |
| eparate Opinion of Judge Meron   |                              |
| Decision on Motion for Reconsideration, <i>Ntabakuze v. Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-98 Ch., 4 October 2006  |                              |
| Decision on Defence Motion for Certification to Appeal the Chamber's Decision on Notate Motion for Order for Interview of Defence Witnesses NZ1, NZ2 and NZ3 (Rules of Procedure and Evidence), Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirora CTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 30 October 2006 | e 73(B) of the era, Case No. |
| Decision on Request for Review, <i>Niyitegeka v. Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-96-14-R, A. 007  |                              |
| Declaration of Judge Shahabuddeen  |                              |
| Separate Opinion of Judge Meron  |                              |
| Order Concerning the Filing of the Notice of Appeal, <i>Prosecutor v. Seromba</i> , Case No. 6-A, A. Ch., 22 March 2007.   |                              |
| Declaration of Judge Schomburg.  |                              |
| Decision Reconsidering Exclusion of Evidence Related to Accused Kabiligi, <i>Prosecutor Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-41-T, T. Ch. I, 23 April 200  |                              |
| Decision on Defence Request for Certification to Appeal the Chamber's Decision Pur 8bis, Prosecutor v. Ndindiliyimana, Bizimungu, Nzuwonemeye and Sagahutu, Case 16-T, T. Ch. II, 24 April 2007  | No. ICTR-00-                 |
| Commentary Steven W. Becker  |                              |
| . Fair trial (Rule 15 <i>bis</i> of the ICTR RPE)  |                              |
| Decision on Continuation of the Proceedings (Rule 15bis of the Rules of Procedure a Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera, Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch  | . III, 6 March               |

| Scheduling Order for the Resumption of the Trial (Rules 15bis (F) and 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence), <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 2 May 2007   |
|--|
| Decision on Motion for Disqualification of Judges, <i>Prosecutor v. Bagosora, Kabiligi, Ntabakuze and Nsengiyumva,</i> Case No. ICTR-98-41-T, The Bureau, 28 May 2007  |
| Decision on "Joseph Nzirorera's Interlocutory Appeal of Decision on Obtaining Prior Statements of Prosecution Witnesses After They Have Testified", <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-AR73.9, A. Ch., 31 May 2007                                     |
| Decision on Joseph Nzirorera's Submission to Substitute Judge, <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera</i> , <i>Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 8 June 2007   |
| Decision on Motions to Vacate Decisions, <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera, Ngirumpatse and Nzirorera</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44-T, T. Ch. III, 17 July 2007  |
| Commentary Maria Kaiafa-Gbandi and Yannis Naziris  |
| 10. Undue delay  |
| Decision on Prosper Mugiraneza's Second Motion to Dismiss for Deprivation of his Right to Trial Without Undue Delay (Articles 19 and 20(4)(c) of the Statute of the Tribunal), <i>Prosecutor v. Bizimungu, Mugenzi, Bicamumpaka and Mugiraneza</i> , Case No. ICTR-99-50-T, T. Ch. II, 29 May 2007 |
| Decision on Justin Mugenzi's Motion Alleging Undue Delay and Seeking Severance, <i>Prosecutor v. Bizimungu, Mugenzi, Bicamumpaka and Mugiraneza</i> , Case No. ICTR-99-50-T, T. Ch. II, 14 June 2007   |
| Commentary Göran Sluiter   |
| Part 3/ Judgement and sentencing   |
| 11. Judgements   |
| Judgement, Prosecutor v. Rwamakuba, Case No. ICTR-98-44C-T, T. Ch. III, 20 September 2006.   |
| Commentary Alexander Zahar   |
| Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Seromba</i> , Case No. ICTR-2001-66-T, T. Ch. III, 13 December 2006  |
| Commentary Caroline Fournet  |
| Judgement, Ndindabahizi v. Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-01-71-A, A. Ch., 16 January 2007  |
| Commentary Steven Freeland   |
| Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Nzabirinda</i> , Case No. ICTR-2001-77-T, T. Ch. II, 23 February 2007   |
| Commentary Denis Abels   |
| Judgement, Muhimana v. Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-95-1B-A, A. Ch., 21 May 2007  |
| Commentary Pedro Caeiro  |

| Sentencing Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Rugambarara</i> , Case No. ICTR-00-59-T, T. Ch. II, 16 November 2007                                     | 78 |
|---|----|
| Commentary Denis Abels  | 80 |
| Judgement, Simba v. Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-07-76-A, A. Ch., 27 November 2007   | 8  |
| Commentary Fabián Raimondo  | 90 |
| Part 4/ Post conviction or acquittal issues   |    |
| 12. Appropriate remedy and early release  |    |
| Decision on Appropriate Remedy, <i>Prosecutor v. Rwamakuba</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44C-T, T. Ch. III, 31 January 2007                            | 9( |
| Decision on Appeal Against Decision on Appropriate Remedy, <i>Rwamakuba v. Prosecutor</i> , Case No. ICTR-98-44C-A, A. Ch., 13 September 2007   | 92 |
| Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Shahabuddeen   | 9. |
| Commentary Piet-Hein van Kempen.  | 9. |
| Decision on Samuel Imanishimwe's Application for Early Release, <i>Prosecutor v. Imanishimwe</i> , Case No. ICTR-99-46-S, Pres., 30 August 2007 | 9  |
| Commentary Piet-Hein van Kempen.  | 9: |
| Index   | 9  |
| Contributors and Editors  | 90 |

#### **PREFACE**

This is the twenty-fifth volume in the series 'Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals' and contains the most important decisions of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) from 12 September 2006 up to and including 27 November 2007. It is the ninth volume in the series containing decisions of the ICTR. Further selected volumes on ICTR case law will be volumes 31 and 32, which cover decisions up to 1 January 2009.

The present volume is in its approach and structure similar to previous volumes. Thus, the book contains the full text of all the decisions and judgements, including separate, concurring and dissenting opinions, as well as annexes to the decisions. As with previous volumes, the editors have ensured that the decisions are fully identical to the *written* original text, as issued by the ICTR Press and Information Office and which bears the signatures of the Judges. We are aware that more and more decisions are available on the internet. However, only the written decisions bearing the signatures of the Judges can be considered as authoritative versions. In the course of our editorial work on this and previous volumes, we have occasionally discovered inconsistencies between the written original version of the decision and the internet version, if the latter is available at all. Much of our editorial efforts consist in making the texts in this series identical to the written original version.

We could only include the full text of the decisions in this volume by reducing their original format. Still, we wanted the reader to be able to identify the page number of the original text, which is throughout the text put in brackets []. We are again very happy that a number of scholars in the field of international criminal law were prepared to write interesting and stimulating commentaries regarding the decisions.

A few words regarding the selection of decisions may give the user an insight into our working method. In principle, we select all final judgements. In addition, we publish decisions taken at any stage of the procedure that are important for other reasons: because they deal with a specific legal question, because they are representative of a specific type of decision, or because they enter new legal waters. Of course, we cannot publish all decisions. As a result, we may not publish decisions in which issues have been decided in a way similar or identical to a decision that has already been selected.

The decisions are presented in different parts and under different headings.

Part 1, 'Preliminary Matters', relates to two decisions concerning the indictment and the severance of proceedings.

Part 2 deals with procedural matters. Whereas some decisions concern the way the proceedings are conducted in view of the requirements of a fair trial, others relate to the rights and obligations of witnesses, or to disclosure and the cooperation of states.

Part 3, 'Judgement and Sentencing', contains seven judgements and covers by far the largest part of this volume. As mentioned above, judgements are by definition included in this series, because of their importance, both from a factual and legal perspective.

Part 4, 'Post Conviction or Acquittal Issues', deals with various issues arising after the proceedings have come to an end.

We owe acknowledgements to many persons without whom we could not have completed this twenty-fifth volume. These include Registrar Adama Dieng of the ICTR and his staff, who offered generous assistance in obtaining all the hard copies of decisions. Our publisher Intersentia, in particular Hans Kluwer, Tom Scheirs and Isabelle van Dongen, facilitated our work. We also acknowledge the work of our student assistants, Mariam Pathan (Maastricht), who took over in 2009 from Thom Dieben, and Robert-Jan Winters (Amsterdam), who both assisted with the corrections of the text and without whom we would not be able to publish this series. We are most grateful to Thom for the excellent work he has done for all the volumes since volume 9 on the Special Court for Sierra Leone, which appeared in 2006. The Netherlands School of Human Rights Research stimulated our work. Professor Steven Freeland from the University of Western Sydney,

Australia, offered tremendous help by correcting our English. Last but not least, we wish to thank the distinguished authors for their commentaries on the decisions.

We hope that this volume will contribute to the further dissemination of the important work of the ICTR and that it will provide access to its decisions to practitioners, academics and students.

André Klip and Göran Sluiter Maastricht/ Amsterdam, April 2010