

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD: WHY THE REVISED TREATY ON EUROPEAN UNION IS A CONSTITUTIONAL TREATY

JEAN-LUC DEHAENE v

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xix

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS xxi

EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONALISM BEYOND LISBON: INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

JAN WOUTERS, LUC VERHEY and PHILIPP KIIVER 1

1. A dramatic decade of political constitutionalism..... 1
2. Judicial constitutionalism..... 4
3. Continuity after all?..... 6
4. The present book 6
 - 4.1. Treaties and referendums..... 6
 - 4.2. De-pillarization and human rights..... 8
 - 4.3. Citizenship, migration and social policy..... 9
 - 4.4. National parliaments and accountability 10
 - 4.5. Democracy and transparency 11
 - 4.6. The future of European constitutionalism 12

PART I

TREATIES AND REFERENDUMS

THE END OF EUROPE? A FLAVOUR OF DÉJÀ-VU

Reflections on the French Referendum and Its Aftermath

JACQUES ZILLER 17

1. The French Referendum of 29 May 2005: Déjà-vu I..... 17
 2. French hesitations towards European integration: Déjà-vu II..... 22
 3. France in the lead for a new treaty: Déjà-vu III?..... 26
- Bibliographical note 30

**BEYOND FIRST ORDER VERSUS SECOND ORDER EXPLANATIONS
OF EUROPEAN REFERENDUM OUTCOMES**

Understanding the Dutch ‘Neen’ and the Luxembourg ‘Jo’

PETER BURSENS and MARJOLEIN MEIJER	33
1. Introduction	33
2. Conceptualising referendum outcome explanations	34
3. The Netherlands and Luxembourg: most similar EU Member States.	38
4. The referendums in the Netherlands and Luxembourg.	39
4.1. The Dutch referendum	41
4.2. The Luxembourg referendum	42
5. Explaining the different outcome	43
5.1. Issue-specificity: what did voters think of the Constitution?	43
5.2. Egocentric Europeanness.	44
5.3. Identification with pro or anti EU/Constitution political parties	46
5.4. Referendum campaigns	49
5.5. Identification with parties in the national government	55
6. Conclusion: towards a multilevel approach to explain EU referendum outcomes?	56

PART II

HUMAN RIGHTS AND SOCIAL POLICY

**THE PROTECTION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS WITHIN THE
EUROPEAN UNION – A HISTORICAL APPROACH**

JAKOB VAN DER VELDE	61
1. Introduction	61
2. Protection of fundamental rights by the European Court of Justice.	62
3. The contribution of the Member States and the institutions of the European Union.	65
4. Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe	69
5. The Treaty of Lisbon	72
6. Interpretation and application of rights and principles in the EU Charter.	74
7. Concluding remarks	78

THE FRAGMENTED DEVELOPMENT OF EU IMMIGRATION AND INTEGRATION POLICY. Which Status for Third-Country Nationals in Europe?

MARIE-CLAIRE FOBLETS	81
1. Introduction	81
2. Towards a European (EU) migration policy: the progressive establishment of a common framework on immigration, integration and asylum	84
3. The unwillingness of EU Member States to address immigration and integration of TCNs in a truly harmonized way	88
4. Two illustrations: family reunification and the newly created status of long-term residents	91
4.1. Directive 2003/86/EC and the ECJ Judgment of 27 June 2006 on the right to family reunification	92
4.2. The Directive on long-term resident status: towards equal treatment?	98
5. How to develop a more coherent regulatory framework? Qualified majority voting in the Council, co-decision for the Parliament, Open Method of Coordination, or any other mechanisms?	103
6. Conclusion	108

THE SOCIAL JANUS HEAD OF THE EUROPEAN UNION: THE SOCIAL MARKET ECONOMY VERSUS ULTRALIBERAL POLICIES

ANTOINE T.J.M. JACOBS	111
1. Introduction	111
2. The dismantling of the welfare state	112
3. The insufficiency of European social law	113
4. The discredited role of labour law and social policy	116
5. The ambiguous role of the European Commission	118
6. The relation with the reform of the Constitution of the EU	120
7. No substantial changes in the Treaty of Lisbon	122
8. Enhanced cooperation in social affairs	124
9. Conclusion	127

PART III
DEMOCRACY AND ACCOUNTABILITY

**EUROPEAN TREATY REFORM AND THE NATIONAL PARLIAMENTS:
TOWARDS A NEW ASSESSMENT OF PARLIAMENT-FRIENDLY
TREATY PROVISIONS**

PHILIPP KIIVER	131
1. Introduction	131
2. National parliaments and the European Union	132
3. Parliament-friendly Treaty provisions	135
3.1. Parliaments addressed	136
3.2. First assessment: a marginal conferral of power	139
4. Towards a new assessment	142
5. Conclusion	145

**WANTED: MORE DEMOCRATIC LEGITIMACY FOR THE EUROPEAN
UNION**

**Some Suppositions, Propositions, Tests and Observations in Light of
the Fate of the European Constitution**

WALTER VAN GERVEN	147
1. Introduction	147
2. Three suppositions regarding the failure of the Constitutional ratification process	148
2.1. First supposition	148
2.2. Second supposition	150
2.3. Third supposition	151
3. Three propositions concerning the democratic character of the European Union	152
3.1. First proposition	152
3.2. Second proposition	154
3.3. Third proposition	155
4. Four tests to assess the democratic legitimacy of the European executive	155
4.1. First test	155
4.2. Second test	157
4.3. Third test	160
4.4. Fourth test	162

5.	To what extent did the Constitution and does the Lisbon Treaty contribute to the legitimacy of the Union?	165
5.1.	Political accountability of the Commission to the European Parliament	166
5.2.	Rule of law	167
5.3.	Good governance.....	168
5.4.	Open government	170
5.5.	Overall evaluation.....	173
6.	The way forward: three observations, three questions and one proposal	176
6.1.	First observation	176
6.2.	Second observation.....	177
6.3.	Third observation	178
6.4.	Three (unanswered) questions.....	180
6.5.	Final proposal	181

THE DEMOCRATIC LEGITIMACY OF THE EU AFTER THE TREATY OF LISBON

	KOEN LENAERTS and NATHAN CAMBIEN.....	185
1.	Introduction	185
2.	Input legitimacy.....	186
2.1.	Strengthened representation of citizens in the decision-making process	186
2.1.1.	European Parliament.....	187
2.1.2.	National parliaments.....	188
2.2.	Strengthened participation of citizens in the decision-making process.....	188
2.3.	Greater transparency	189
2.3.1.	Transparency in the division of powers	190
2.3.2.	Transparency in the functioning of institutions.....	192
3.	Output legitimacy	193
3.1.	Composition and status of decision-making bodies	193
3.1.1.	The European Parliament	193
3.1.2.	The European Council.....	194
3.1.3.	The Council	195
3.1.4.	The Commission.....	196
3.2.	More democratic decision-making procedures	197
3.2.1.	Legislator	197
3.2.2.	Executive	199

3.3. Enhanced cooperation	203
4. Fundamental rights	204
5. Conclusion	207

**TRANSPARENCY IN THE EUROPEAN UNION: WEIGHING
THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INTEREST**

CAROL HARLOW	209
1. Introduction	209
2. Unbundling transparency	209
3. Freedom of information and private rights	216
4. Access to information and standing	223
5. Transparency, privacy and secrecy	227
6. Conclusions	237

**FOSTERING EXECUTIVE ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE EU:
A KEY ISSUE**

L.F.M. VERHEY	239
1. Introduction	239
2. Representative and participatory democracy	240
3. Political accountability and the European Parliament	241
4. The role of the Council	243
5. Horizontal accountability	245
6. Practical guidelines of accountability	246
6.1. Introduction	246
6.2. A clear definition of accountability	249
6.3. Evading accountability	250
6.4. Reducing fragmentation of the executive	251
6.5. Enhancing transparency	253
6.6. Focusing on the quality of the account	255
7. Concluding remarks	256

PART IV
THE FUTURE OF EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONALISM

**THE NOTION AND NATURE OF THE EUROPEAN CONSTITUTION
AFTER THE LISBON TREATY**

LEONARD F.M. BESSELINK	261
1. Types of Constitution	264
1.1. Constitutional functions	264
1.2. Revolutionary and evolutionary constitutions	264
1.3. Formal instruments	266
2. What is ‘constitutional’?	267
2.1. The formal character of the founding instrument: treaty and constitution.	267
2.2. The amendment procedure	268
3. The temporary nature of historical constitutions: constitutional moment or series of constitutional snapshots?	273
3.1. Old constitutions’ handling of historically induced unconstitutional amendments	273
3.2. German constitutional eternity and temporary constitutional settlement	275
3.3. The temporary nature of the EU constitutional settlement	276
3.4. The lack of constitutional moment and the motivating myth ...	278
4. Incorporating the evolutionary constitutional tradition: A European constitution for a future which builds on the past	279

**THE CONSTITUTIONAL UNITY OF THE EUROPEAN UNION:
THE INCREASING IRRELEVANCE OF THE PILLAR STRUCTURE?**

RAMSES A. WESSEL	283
1. Introduction: from polarization to depillarization?	283
2. Institutions and decision-making: the ‘constitutional architecture of the pillars’	286
3. Instruments: a complex binding nature	294
4. Judicial scrutiny: ‘integrated but separate legal orders’	298
5. Conclusion: constitutional unity without the constitution.	304