

Editors:
Jan Smits
Daniel Haas
Geerte Heslen

Specific Performance in Contract Law: National and Other Perspectives



Editors:

Jan Smits

Daniel Haas

Geerte Hesen

Specific Performance in Contract Law:
National and Other Perspectives

ISBN 978-90-5095-714-4

D/2008/7849/6

NUR 822

© 2008 Intersentia Antwerp - Oxford - Portland
www.intersentia.com

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photo copy, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the author.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Authors	xv
-----------------------	----

Daniel Haas, Geerte Hesen & Jan Smits

Introduction	1
1. Introduction	1
2. The Contributions to this Book.....	1
3. Specific Performance in National Legal Systems: General Overviews	2
4. European and International Aspects	5
5. Some Specific Aspects.....	5
6. The Historical and Economic Perspective.....	7
7. Acknowledgments	8
Bibliography	9

Daniel Haas & Chris Jansen

Specific Performance in Dutch Law.....	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Specific Performance Primary Remedy.....	11
2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. Few Conditions and Defences in Comparison to other Remedies	12
2.3. Additional Time for Performance not Required	12
2.4. No Basis in the Civil Code: 'Pacta Sunt Servanda' Suffices.....	14
3. Civil Code Limitations to Specific Performance Claims	15
3.1. Introduction	15
3.2. 'The Law'	15
3.3. 'The Nature of the Obligation'	16

3.4.	'A Juridical Act'	17
4.	Case Law Limitations to Specific Performance	17
4.1.	Introduction	17
4.2.	Impossibility.....	17
4.3.	Reasonableness and Equity.....	19
5.	Enforcement of an Order for Specific Performance	21
5.1.	Introduction	21
5.2.	Direct Enforcement Instruments	21
5.3.	Indirect Enforcement Instruments	22
5.4.	Enforcement Law Limitations	24
6.	Conclusion.....	25
	Bibliography	27
Patrick Wéry		
	Specific Performance in Belgian Law	31
1.	The Principle of Specific Performance	32
2.	The First Consequence of the Principle of Specific Performance: the Right to Obtain a Judgment Ordering this Remedy.....	33
3.	The Legal Means for Obtaining Satisfaction against the Unwilling Debtor	35
4.	Comparison between Belgian law and the Principles of European Contract Law.....	39
	Bibliography	42
Florian Faust & Volker Wiese		
	Specific Performance - a German Perspective	47
1.	Introduction	47
2.	On the Notion of Specific Performance.....	48
2.1.	Specific Performance and the Enforcement of Claims	48
2.2.	Specific Performance and Breach of Contract.....	49
2.3.	A Brief Summary	50
3.	Impossibility of Specific Performance	51
3.1.	Uncontroversial Cases	51
3.2.	Controversial Cases.....	52
3.2.1.	Loss of Promisee's Interest in Performance	52
3.2.2.	Excessive Efforts by the Promisor	53
4.	Other Limitations on Specific Performance	54
4.1.	Disproportionate Cost of Performance (§ 275 II BGB).....	54
4.1.1.	The Promisor's Expenditure and the Promisee's Interest in Performance ..	55

4.1.2.	The Balancing Test and the Notion of Gross Disproportion	56
4.1.3.	§ 275 II BGB Compared to the Theory of Efficient Breach.....	58
4.2.	Unreasonable Personal Efforts (§ 275 III BGB)	59
4.3.	Interference with the Basis of the Contract (§ 313 BGB).....	60
5.	Imperfect Performance	61
6.	Conclusion.....	62
	Bibliography	64
Laura Macgregor		
	Specific Implement in Scots Law.....	67
1.	Introduction	67
2.	Basic Issues.....	68
3.	Sanctions for Breach of an Order of Specific Implement	69
4.	Status of Specific Implement as the Primary Remedy and the Court's Discretion.....	70
4.1.	The Primary Remedy	70
4.2.	The 'Shaping' of the Court's Discretion	72
4.3.	Exercise of Discretion where Performance would be Inequitable or Cause undue Hardship.....	75
5.	Categories in which Specific Implement is Refused.....	76
5.1.	Impossibility.....	76
5.2.	Where Performance is Readily Available from Another Source.....	77
5.3.	Contracts Involving a Personal Relationship	79
6.	The Commercial Leasing Cases.....	82
6.1.	The Difference in Approach between Scots and English Law	84
6.2.	Precision in the Drafting of the Decree.....	84
6.3.	Holding the Defender to the Bargain v. the Financial Implications of a Decree	87
7.	<i>White and Carter (Councils) Ltd v. McGregor</i>	88
8.	Conclusions	90
	Bibliography	92
Gerhard Lubbe		
	Contractual Derogation and the Discretion to Refuse an Order for Specific Performance in South African Law	95
1.	Introduction	95
2.	The Judicial Discretion to Refuse the Specific Performance of Contracts....	98

Table of Contents

3.	Theoretical Implications of the Specific Performance Discretion	100
3.1.	A Remedial Discretion?	100
3.2.	Substantive Implications of the Exercise of the Discretion	102
3.2.1.	The Right to Specific Performance	102
3.2.2.	The Granting of the Order	104
3.2.3.	The Refusal of the Order	105
4.	Whither the Discretion?	107
4.1.	The Likely Retention of the Remedial Discretion	107
4.2.	The Advantages and Disadvantages of a Remedial Discretion	108
4.2.1.	A Need to Revisit <i>Bank of Lisbon and South Africa Ltd v. De Ornelas</i> ?	108
4.2.2.	The Operation of Abstract Considerations at Both the Substantive and Remedial Levels: the Discontinuity Problem	109
4.2.3.	The Distinction Between Law and Equity	110
4.2.4.	Is the <i>Benson</i> Approach Sustainable?	110
4.2.5.	The Remedial Discretion as an Obstacle to the Development of Substantive Law	111
4.3.	An Alternative Approach: Remedial Practice as a Source of Substantive Law	112
4.3.1.	The So-called <i>Fallgruppen</i> Methodology	112
4.3.2.	The Continued Relevance of the Discretion	113
5.	Conclusion	114
	Bibliography	117

Vanessa Mak

	Specific Performance in English Consumer Sales Law	121
1.	Introduction	121
2.	Specific Performance and the Discretion of the Courts	122
3.	Choice of Remedy – the Buyer’s or the Seller’s?	125
4.	Specific Performance Reviewed	128
	Bibliography	130

Aneta Wiewiórowska-Domagalska

	Certain Aspects of the Right of Repair and Replacement under EC Directive 1999/44 and its Implementation in Poland	133
1.	Introduction	133
2.	Some General Observations on Repair and Replacement	134
2.1.	Repair and Replacement – Two Separate Solutions	134
2.2.	Variety of Sales Types	134
2.3.	The Key Problems	135
2.4.	Impact of the Economic Analysis	136

3.	Polish Sales law – An Overview	137
4.	The Right of Repair and Replacement in the Consumer Sales Directive and in Polish Law	139
4.1.	Remedies Available.....	139
4.2.	The Party Entitled to Make the Choice and Limits to its Choice	139
4.3.	Internal Relationship between Repair and Replacement.....	140
5.	Conclusions	142
	Bibliography	145

Viola Heutger & Janwillem Oosterhuis

	Specific Performance within the Hierarchy of Remedies in European Contract Law	147
1.	Introduction	147
2.	Specific performance in CISG, UNIDROIT Principles, PECL and the Directive.....	148
3.	Cases.....	150
3.1.	Business to Business.....	150
3.1.1.	Business to Business: Late Performance.....	151
3.1.1.1.	Period of Grace and Choice of Remedies	151
3.1.1.2.	Specific Performance.....	152
3.1.1.3.	Enforcement	152
3.1.1.4.	Rescission	153
3.1.1.5.	Specific Performance versus Rescission	154
3.1.2.	Business to Business: Defective Performance.....	154
3.1.2.1.	Withholding the Purchase Price.....	154
3.1.2.2.	Period of Grace and Choice of Remedies	155
3.1.2.3.	Specific Performance: Repair and Replacement.....	155
3.1.2.4.	Damages and Price Reduction.....	156
3.1.2.5.	Enforcement	157
3.1.2.6.	Rescission	157
3.1.2.7.	Specific Performance versus Rescission	158
3.2.	Business to Consumer.....	158
3.2.1.	Business to Consumer: Late Performance.....	159
3.2.1.1.	The Directive.....	159
3.2.1.2.	PECL.....	159
3.2.1.3.	Specific Performance versus Rescission	159
3.2.2.	Business to Consumer: Defective Performance.....	160
3.2.2.1.	Withholding the Purchase Price.....	160
3.2.2.2.	Period of grace	160
3.2.2.3.	Specific Performance: Repair and Replacement.....	160
3.2.2.4.	Enforcement	162
3.2.2.5.	Rescission	162
3.2.2.6.	Specific Performance versus Rescission	163

3.3.	Consumer to Business.....	163
3.3.1.	Consumer to Business: Late Performance.....	164
3.3.1.1.	PECL.....	164
3.3.1.2.	Specific Performance versus Rescission	164
3.3.2.	Consumer to Business: Defective Performance.....	164
3.3.2.1.	Withholding the Purchase Price.....	164
3.3.2.2.	Period of Grace	165
3.3.2.3.	Specific Performance: Repair and Replacement.....	165
3.3.2.4.	Enforcement	165
3.3.2.5.	Rescission	165
3.3.2.6.	Specific Performance versus Rescission	166
3.4.	Private to Private	166
4.	Conclusions	167
4.1.	Requirements in Order to Invoke Specific Performance and Borderline Cases.....	167
4.2.	Open Formulas, Access to Remedies and Notice Requirement.....	167
4.3.	Other Cure.....	168
4.4.	Choice of Methods of Specific Performance: Repair or Replacement?.....	168
4.5.	Judicial Decisions	168
4.6.	The Overall Picture	169
	Bibliography	170
 Anthonie W. Jongbloed		
	Specific Performance: Procedural Aspects in Dutch Law.....	171
1.	Introduction	171
2.	Position within the System of the Law	172
3.	Terminology.....	173
4.	Scope of the Rules.....	175
5.	The Equalization of Specific Performance and Enforced Performance.....	176
6.	The Injunction of and (Actually) Action or Omission	179
7.	Specific Performance and the Obligation to Perform a Juridical Act	182
8.	A Closer Look at the Three Possibilities of Section 3:300 DCC	185
9.	Further Requirements of Section 3:301 DCC	186
10.	Conclusion.....	188
	Bibliography	189

Elke Swaenepoel

Specific Performance in Summary Proceedings: State of Affairs according to Belgian Law	193
1. Introduction	193
2. Summary Proceedings in General.....	193
3. Conditions	194
3.1. Legal Conditions	194
3.1.1. Urgency.....	195
3.1.2. Provisional Decision	196
3.2. Restrictions Developed by Case Law and Doctrine.....	197
4. Measures.....	198
5. Conclusion.....	201
Bibliography	203

Flavie Vermander

The Redress of a Terminated Contract in Belgian Law.....	207
1. Introduction	207
2. Terminological Clarification	209
2.1. Different Types of Termination.....	209
2.2. Duration of Contracts	210
3. Possibility for the Court to Redress a Terminated Contract.....	211
3.1. Unilateral Termination of the Contract	211
3.1.1. Introduction	211
3.1.1.1. Principle.....	211
3.1.1.2. Grounds for Unilateral Termination.....	211
3.1.1.3. Termination Clauses	212
3.1.2. A Double Test	213
3.1.2.1. Compliance with the Terminating Conditions.....	213
3.1.2.2. Exercising the Right in Good Faith and without Abuse of Rights.....	214
3.1.2.3. Review: Intermediary Conclusion	216
3.1.3. Remedies	216
3.1.3.1. Damages	216
3.1.3.2. Ineffectiveness of the Termination or Redress of the Terminated Contract	216
3.1.3.2.1. Ineffective Termination when Formal Requirements have not been Satisfied.....	217
3.1.3.2.2. Redress of an irregularly or abusively terminated contract	218
3.2. Termination for Breach.....	219
3.2.1. (Three) Different Regimes	219
3.2.2. Review and Remedies.....	221
3.2.2.1. Introduction	221

3.2.2.2. More Formal Review and the Remedies	222
3.2.2.3. Abuse of Rights: Review and Remedies.....	223
4. Conclusion.....	224
Bibliography	225

Carlos Bollen

Enforcement of the Duty to Carry on Negotiations: (should it be) a Possibility in Europe or not?	231
1. Introduction	231
2. Breaking off Negotiations under Dutch Law	232
3. ... and in France, Germany and England	234
3.1. France	234
3.2. Germany	235
3.3. England.....	236
4. The PECL and Unidroit Principles of International Commercial Contracts.....	237
5. Continuation of the Negotiations: Why would a Party want this?	238
6. Continuation of the Negotiations under Dutch Law	239
6.1. The Legal Basis	239
6.2. Formal Requirements.....	240
6.3. But what if a Party does not want to continue the Negotiations?.....	241
6.4. An Obligation to Contract?	241
6.5. Practical Procedural Problems.....	242
6.6. Again: Why take the Gamble to continue the Negotiations?	242
7. The Situation in Europe	243
7.1. France	244
7.2. Germany	244
7.3. England.....	245
8. Concluding Remarks	247
Bibliography	249

F. Willem Grosheide

Enforcement of Side-Letters.....	253
1. Introduction	253
2. The Legal Nature of Side-Letters.....	255
2.1. Contactual or Contractual Instruments?	255
2.2. Legal Characteristics	257

3.	The Legal Enforcement of Side-Letters.....	258
3.1.	General.....	258
3.2.	Between the Parties	259
3.3.	Against or By Third Parties.....	259
4.	Facit	260
	Bibliography	262
Harry Dondorp		
	Specific Performance: A Historical Perspective	265
1.	Introduction	265
2.	Roman Law: the <i>Corpus Iuris Civilis</i>	267
3.	Glossators	269
4.	Commentators	271
5.	The canonists.....	274
6.	Roman-Dutch Law	276
7.	The Nineteenth Century	278
8.	Conclusion.....	282
	Bibliography	283
Geerte Hesen & Robert Hardy		
	Is the System of Contract Remedies in the Netherlands Efficient from a Law and Economics Perspective?.....	287
1.	Introduction	287
2.	The Economic Theory of Contracts.....	289
3.	Remedies for Breach of Contract in Common Law Jurisdictions	291
4.	Arguments Against and in Favour of Specific Performance as the Normal or Presumptive Remedy for Breach of Contract.....	294
4.1.	The Theory of Efficient Breach	294
4.2.	The Under-compensatory Effect of Damages.....	296
4.3.	Pre-breach Negotiation costs	298
4.4.	Post-breach Negotiation Costs.....	301
4.5.	Transaction Costs	306
4.6.	Liberty Interests	307
4.7.	Supervision Costs.....	308
4.8.	Empirical Evidence	309
5.	Remedies for Breach of Contract in Dutch Law	310
5.1.	Damages for Breach of Contract.....	311

5.2.	Specific Performance.....	315
6.	Dutch Remedies for Breach, and Law and Economics.....	318
7.	Conclusion.....	320
	Bibliography	322
Gerard de Vries		
	Do Economic Analysis and Fairness Influence the Right to Performance in Ways Contrary to one Another?	327
1.	Introduction	327
2.	Three Types of Situations where a Party to a Contract may claim Performance	329
2.1.	The Right to Performance of Monetary Obligations.....	331
2.2.	The Right to Performance of Non-Monetary Obligations in General	332
2.3.	The Right to Performance of Non-Monetary Obligations in Cases where a Disproportion has arisen between the Effort Performance would require of the Debtor and the Creditor’s Interest therein.....	334
3.	What do Economic Analysis and Fairness have to say on Rights to Performance?	337
3.1.	The Right to Performance of Monetary Obligations.....	338
3.2.	The Right to Performance of Non-Monetary Obligations in General	339
3.3.	The Right to Performance of Non-Monetary Obligations in Cases where a Disproportion has arisen between the Effort Performance would require of the Debtor and the Creditor’s Interest therein.....	340
4.	Conclusions	341
	Bibliography	342

LIST OF AUTHORS

Carlos Bollen is lecturer in private law at Maastricht University and at the University of Aruba.

Harry Dondorp is lecturer in European legal history at the VU University Amsterdam.

Florian Faust is Professor of civil law, German, European and international commercial and business law and comparative Law at Bucerius Law School in Hamburg.

F. Willem Grosheide is Professor of intellectual property law and Emeritus Professor of civil law, Utrecht University (Center for Intellectual Property Law/Molengraaff Institute for Private Law).

Daniel Haas is a lecturer in private law at the VU University Amsterdam.

Robert Hardy is a PhD candidate at Maastricht University and visiting attorney at Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP, New York, NY.

Geerte Hesen is a PhD researcher at Maastricht University.

Viola Heutger is an associate professor of private law at the University of the Netherlands Antilles.

Chris Jansen is professor of private law at the VU University Amsterdam.

Anthonie W. Jongbloed is professor of procedural law at Utrecht University.

Gerhard Lubbe is professor of private law at Stellenbosch University.

Laura Macgregor is a senior lecturer in commercial law at the University of Edinburgh.

Vanessa Mak is a lecturer in law at Oriel College, Oxford.

Janwillem Oosterhuis is a PhD researcher at the VU University Amsterdam.

Jan M. Smits was professor of European private law at Maastricht University and is now professor of European private law and comparative law at the University of Tilburg.

Elke Swaenepoel is junior-researcher at the Instituut voor verbintenissenrecht, KU Leuven.

Flavie Vermander is junior-researcher at the Instituut voor verbintenissenrecht, KU Leuven.

Gerard de Vries is a senior lecturer in Private Law and researcher at the Centre for the Study of European Contract Law, Amsterdam Institute for Private Law (AIP), Universiteit van Amsterdam.

Patrick Wéry is professor of private law at the University of Louvain-la-Neuve.

Volker Wiese is a lecturer at Bucerius Law School in Hamburg.

Aneta Wiewiórowska-Domagalska is a PhD candidate at Utrecht University and a collaborator of the Polish Civil Law Codification Commission.