

**SENTENCING AND SANCTIONING
IN SUPRANATIONAL
CRIMINAL LAW**

**ROELOF HAVEMAN
OLAOLUWA OLUSANYA
(eds.)**

Distribution for the UK:

Hart Publishing
Salter's Boat Yard
Folly Bridge
Abingdon Road
Oxford OX1 4LB
UK
Tel: + 44 1865 24 55 33
Fax: + 44 1865 79 48 82

Distribution for North America:

Gaunt Inc.
Gaunt Building
3011 Gulf Drive
Holmes Beach
Florida 34217-2199
USA
Tel: + 1 941 778 5211
Fax: + 1 941 778 5252

Distribution for Switzerland and

Germany:
Schulthess Verlag
Zwingliplatz 2
CH-8022 Zürich
Switzerland
Tel: + 41 1 251 93 36
Fax: + 41 1 261 63 94

Distribution for other countries:

Intersentia Publishers
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel: + 32 3 680 15 50
Fax: + 32 3 658 71 21

Sentencing and Sanctioning in Supranational Criminal Law
Roelof Haveman and Olaoluwa Olusanya (eds.)

© 2006 Intersentia
Antwerp – Oxford
<http://www.intersentia.be>

ISBN-10: 90-5095-607-6
ISBN-13: 978-90-5095-607-9
D/2006/7849/67
NUR 824

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. SENTENCING AND SANCTIONING IN SUPRANATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

ROELOF HAVEMAN	1
1. Introduction	1
2. Complementarity	2
3. Standard and Legality of Sentencing and Sanctioning	4
4. The Subject of Sanctioning	7
5. Goals of Sentencing and Sanctioning	10
6. Epilogue: Sentencing Guidelines	13

II. *NULLA POENA SINE LEGE* IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

KAI AMBOS	17
1. Historical Background of the Principle	17
2. <i>Nulla Poena</i> as a Fundamental but Imprecise Principle in International Law	23
3. Minimalist vs. Maximalist Approaches	28
4. Final Remarks	32

III. COMPLEMENTARITY ANALYSIS OF NATIONAL SENTENCING

HECTOR OLASOLO	37
1. Introduction	37
2. The Relevance of National Sentencing in a Complementarity Analysis ...	38
3. National Sentencing as the Object of a Complementarity Analysis	41
4. The Complementarity Analysis of the Legislative Determination of Penalties	43
4.1 A Priori Determination of the Penalties Applicable to a Given Crime	43
4.2 Variation in Penalty Ranges on the Basis of the Subjective Element	49

Table of Contents

4.3	Variation in Penalty Ranges on the Basis of the Modes of Liability	54
4.4	Variation in Penalty Ranges on the Basis of the Lack of Completion of the Actus Reus	57
4.5	Concursus Delictorum	58
5.	The Complementarity Analysis of the Judicial Determination of Penalties	59
6.	The Irrelevance of the Executive Determination of Penalties for the Purpose of a Complementarity Analysis	61
7.	The Complementarity Analysis of National Sentencing Against the Backdrop of Situation and Cases	63
8.	Conclusion	64

IV. HUMAN ACTION OR STATE ACTION?

Locating the site of supranational criminality

	CHRISTOPHER HARDING	67
1.	States and Human Individuals as Alternative Subjects of International Criminal Law	67
2.	Governmental Delinquency (or Delinquency in Government): Three Models of Legal Control	70
3.	The Basis for State Criminal Responsibility	73
4.	Scepticism Regarding the Criminal State	78
5.	Three Types of Offence: Organisational and Individual Action, and Complicity in Enterprise	82
6.	Concluding Comments: Capturing a Complex Subjectivity in Supranational Criminal Law	85

V. GRANTING IMMUNITY TO CHILD COMBATANTS

SUPRANATIONALLY

	OLAOLUWA OLUSANYA	87
1.	Introduction	87
2.	Criminal Liability of Child Combatants for International Crimes	88
2.1	Prosecution for Genocide	88
2.2	Prosecution for Crimes Against Humanity	90
2.3	Prosecution for War Crimes	92
3.	Obstacles to Establishing a Universal age Limit for Immunity for Child Combatants	92

3.1	Economic Inequalities	95
3.2	Cultural Differences	97
3.3	Gravity of the Offence	99
4.	Punishment Theories Applicable to Child Combatants	101
4.1	Rehabilitation	101
4.2	Retribution	103
5.	Youth Generally as a Mitigating Factor in Sentencing	104
6.	Conclusion	107

VI. PUNISHING MENTALLY INCAPABLE OFFENDERS UNDER SUPRANATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

	OLAOLUWA OLUSANYA	109
1.	Introduction	109
2.	Mental Incapacity Under Common Law, Islamic Law and International Criminal Law	110
2.1	Insanity	110
2.2	Diminished Responsibility	114
3.	Main Sentencing Principles Applicable to Mental Incapacity as Recognised Under all Three Jurisdictions	119
3.1	Retribution and Deterrence	119
3.2	Rehabilitation	120
4.	Recognition of Mental Incapacity as a Sentencing Factor: Pros and Cons	121
4.1	Arguments for: Individualisation and Human Rights	121
4.2	Argument Against: Encouraging Impunity	122
5.	Conclusion	122

VII. EXECUTION OF SANCTIONS IMPOSED BY SUPRANATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS

	JAN CHRISTOPH NEMITZ	125
1.	Introductory Remarks	125
2.	Defining the Term ‘Execution of Sanctions’	126
3.	The Agreements Concluded Between UN Member States and the UN on the Enforcement of Sentences of the ICTR and the ICTY	126
4.	The Designation of the Enforcing State and the Subsequent Enforcement of Prison Sentences	128
5.	Executing a Sentence Imposed by the ICTY – The Case Of <i>Duško Tadić</i>	130

6.	The Law Relating to the Enforcement of Terms of Imprisonment in Mali	132
7.	Early Release Granted by the President of the ICTY	133
8.	The <i>ne bis in idem</i> Principle	136
9.	The Legal Regime Governing the Detention of Persons in the United Nations Detention Unit	137
9.1	General Remarks	137
9.2	The – Limited – Right of a Detainee to Receive Visits	140
10.	The Execution of Sanctions Pursuant to the Applicable Law of the ICC	141
11.	Conclusion	144
VIII. SUPRANATIONAL EXPECTATIONS OF A PUNITIVE APPROACH		
	ROELOF HAVEMAN	145
1.	Introduction	145
2.	The Supranational Goals	146
3.	Supranational Goal Achievement	148
3.1	Concretisation: Strategy	149
3.2	Maintenance of the Law: a Fair and Expeditious Trial	150
3.3	Subsequent Goals	151
4.	Communication, Information, Transparency	155
5.	Expectations	157
6.	Supranational Criminal Law as a False Expectation	159
IX. RWANDA’S GACACA: OBJECTIVES, MERITS AND THEIR RELATION TO SUPRANATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW		
	BARBARA OOMEN	161
1.	Introduction	161
2.	A Background to the <i>Gacaca</i> System	164
2.1	The Rwandan Genocide and its Aftermath	164
2.2	Doing Justice in the Wake of Genocide	166
2.3	Legal Alternatives and the Return to Tradition	168
3.	<i>Gacaca</i> in Present-day Rwanda: Central Features	170
3.1	Setup: The <i>Gacaca</i> Organs and their Jurisdiction	171
3.2	Rules of Procedure	172
3.3	Sanctions	172

4.	<i>Gacaca</i> Tribunals and Their Aims	174
4.1	Retributive Justice	175
4.2	Truth-telling	176
4.3	Individual Reconciliation	177
4.4	Promotion of National Unity	178
4.5	Distributive Justice	179
5.	Legal and Political Considerations in Evaluating the <i>Gacaca</i>	180
5.1	Legal Flaws in the <i>Gacaca</i> System	180
5.2	Political Stumbling Blocks	182
6.	Conclusion	183
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	185
	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	209