

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                        |      |
|------------------------|------|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | V    |
| PREFACE.....           | XIII |
| LIST OF AUTHORS.....   | XV   |

### I: General Part

#### C.H. van Rhee

|   |    |
|---|----|
| INTRODUCTION.....   | 3  |
| 1. The Success of the 1806 French Code of Civil Procedure in the Nineteenth Century.....  | 5  |
| 2. A New Procedural Model: The 1895 Austrian Code of Civil Procedure ...  | 11 |
| 3. Codifications in the Second Half of the Twentieth Century: The Belgian <i>Code Judiciaire</i> and the French <i>Nouveau Code de Procédure Civile</i> ..... | 14 |
| 4. The End of the Twentieth Century: The 1998 English Civil Procedure Rules.....  | 16 |
| 5. Conclusion.....  | 21 |

#### A. Wijffels

|  |    |
|--|----|
| FRENCH CIVIL PROCEDURE (1806-1975).....                  | 25 |
| 1. External History.....                                 | 25 |
| 1.1. Introduction: The 1806 Code of Civil Procedure..... | 25 |
| 1.2. Post-Codification History, 1807-1975.....           | 41 |
| 1.2.1. Statutory Developments and Law Reform.....        | 41 |
| 1.2.2. Legal Practice, Legal Cultures.....               | 44 |
| 1.2.3. Foreign Models.....                               | 46 |

#### L. Cadiet

|  |    |
|--|----|
| THE NEW FRENCH CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (1975)..... | 49 |
| 1. Introduction.....                               | 49 |

|        |  |    |
|--------|--|----|
| 2.     | Genesis .....  | 50 |
| 3.     | Form .....   | 52 |
| 3.1.   | Plan of the New Code of Civil Procedure .....  | 53 |
| 3.2.   | Style of the New Code of Civil Procedure .....   | 54 |
| 4.     | Substance .....  | 55 |
| 4.1.   | The Political Conception of Civil Litigation in the New Code of Civil Procedure.....                                     | 55 |
| 4.1.1. | The Meaning of the Guiding Procedural Principles .....   | 56 |
| 4.1.2. | The Origin of the Guiding Procedural Principles .....  | 58 |
| 4.2.   | The Technical Conception of Civil Litigation under the New Code of Civil Procedure .....                                 | 59 |
| 4.2.1. | The Models of Procedure Recognized by the New Code of Civil Procedure: Written and Oral Procedures .....                 | 60 |
| 4.2.2. | The Models of Procedure Recognized by the New Code of Civil Procedure: Ordinary Procedure and Particular Procedures..... | 62 |
| 5.     | Destiny .....  | 62 |
| 5.1.   | The Contemporary Evolution of 'Private Judicial Law' .....   | 63 |
| 5.1.1. | The Application of the New Code in Case Law .....  | 63 |
| 5.1.2. | Legislative Modifications .....  | 64 |
| 5.1.3. | Academic Acceptance .....  | 66 |
| 5.2.   | The External Influence of 'Private Judicial Law' .....   | 67 |
| 6.     | Final Remarks.....   | 67 |

**A.W. Jongbloed**

|                                   |  |    |
|-----------------------------------|--|----|
| THE NETHERLANDS (1838-2005) ..... | 69   |    |
| 1.                                | 1838: A 'New' Dutch Code of Civil Procedure is Born.....   | 69 |
| 2.                                | Major Developments in the Nineteenth Century after the Introduction of the Dutch Code of Civil Procedure: The Lex Hartogh..... | 70 |
| 3.                                | Major Developments in the First Part of the Twentieth Century: The Gratama Proposal.....                                       | 71 |
| 4.                                | Major Developments in the Second Part of the Twentieth Century: The Dorhout Mees Commission.....                               | 75 |
| 5.                                | Late Twentieth-Century Revision Plans .....  | 77 |
| 6.                                | Wide Support for Changes due to Many Commission Reports .....  | 79 |
| 7.                                | At Last: The Acceleration of the Procedure from 2002 .....   | 82 |
| 7.1.                              | Introduction.....  | 82 |
| 7.2.                              | Simplifying the Law of Procedure.....  | 83 |
| 7.3.                              | Dispensing with some of the Formalities .....  | 84 |
| 7.4.                              | Modernising the Relationship between the Court and the Parties (and vice versa) .....  | 85 |
| 7.5.                              | To Aim at More Efficiency.....   | 87 |
| 7.6.                              | Harmonising the Law of Procedure.....  | 88 |
| 8.                                | Towards a Fundamental Review (within European Union Boundaries)...   | 90 |
| 9.                                | Conclusion.....  | 93 |

**P. Van Orshoven**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| THE BELGIAN JUDICIAL CODE (1967).....   | 97 |
| 1. Introduction.....                    | 97 |
| 2. The 1967 Reforms .....               | 97 |
| 3. Changes after the 1967 Reforms ..... | 99 |

**P. Oberhammer and T. Domej**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| GERMANY, SWITZERLAND, AUSTRIA (CA. 1800-2005) .....   | 103 |
| 1. Introduction.....  | 103 |
| 2. Germany .....  | 107 |
| 2.1. General.....   | 107 |
| 2.2. Prussia .....  | 109 |
| 2.3. Hanover .....  | 110 |
| 2.4. German Confederation and North German Confederation .....                                    | 111 |
| 2.5. The Reichscivilproceßordnung of 1877.....  | 112 |
| 2.6. Development of German Civil Procedure after the Enactment of the<br>Zivilprozessordnung..... | 113 |
| 3. Austria.....   | 118 |
| 4. Switzerland.....   | 124 |

**C.H. van Rhee**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| ENGLISH CIVIL PROCEDURE UNTIL THE CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES (1998) .....       | 129 |
| 1. Introduction.....   | 129 |
| 2. Pre-Nineteenth-Century Court Organisation .....                         | 131 |
| 2.1. Courts of Common Law .....  | 131 |
| 2.2. Court of Chancery .....   | 131 |
| 3. Pre-Nineteenth-Century Court Procedure .....                            | 132 |
| 3.1. Introduction.....   | 132 |
| 3.2. Courts of Common Law .....  | 132 |
| 3.3. Court of Chancery .....   | 140 |
| 4. Nineteenth-Century Reforms before the Judicature Acts (1873-1875) ..... | 142 |
| 4.1. Introduction.....   | 142 |
| 4.2. Courts of Common Law .....  | 143 |
| 4.3. Court of Chancery .....   | 145 |
| 5. The Judicature Acts (1873-1875) .....                                   | 146 |
| 6. The 1883 Rules of Court until 1949.....                                 | 152 |
| 7. The Evershed Committee (1949) and Beyond.....                           | 154 |

**N. Andrews**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| THE NEW ENGLISH CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES (1998)..... | 161 |
| 1. Introduction.....                              | 161 |
| 1.1. Survey of the New Landscape .....            | 161 |
| 1.2. Terminological Changes .....                 | 162 |
| 2. Sources of Civil Procedure .....               | 163 |
| 2.1. Pre-action Protocols.....                    | 163 |

|        |   |     |
|--------|---|-----|
| 2.2.   | Primary Legislation .....                                   | 163 |
| 2.3.   | Secondary Legislation .....                                 | 163 |
| 2.4.   | Judicial Decisions.....                                     | 164 |
| 2.5.   | The Inherent Jurisdiction.....                              | 165 |
| 2.6.   | Human Rights Legislation.....                               | 165 |
| 3.     | Lord Woolf’s ‘Access to Justice’ Reports .....              | 166 |
| 4.     | Main Features of the Civil Procedure Rules.....             | 168 |
| 4.1.   | Three Levels of First Instance Procedure.....               | 168 |
| 4.2.   | Judicial Control of the Process .....                       | 168 |
| 4.3.   | Curbing Documentary Disclosure.....                         | 168 |
| 4.4.   | Focussing the Receipt of Evidence .....                     | 169 |
| 4.5.   | Appeals .....   | 169 |
| 4.5.1. | Need for Permission.....                                    | 169 |
| 4.5.2. | Routes of Appeal .....                                      | 170 |
| 4.5.3. | Nature of Appeal .....                                      | 170 |
| 5.     | Other Changes under the New System .....                    | 170 |
| 5.1.   | ‘Single-joint Experts’ .....                                | 170 |
| 5.2.   | Settlement Offers .....                                     | 171 |
| 5.3.   | Alternative Dispute-Resolution.....                         | 172 |
| 6.     | The Quest for Accurate Decisions .....                      | 172 |
| 6.1.   | Balancing Accuracy against Practical Constraints .....      | 172 |
| 6.2.   | Defining, Investigating and Assessing the Dispute .....     | 173 |
| 7.     | Economic Access to Justice: Conditional Fee Agreements..... | 176 |
| 7.1.   | Nature of Conditional Fee Agreements.....                   | 176 |
| 7.2.   | Merits and Problems of Conditional Fee Agreements.....      | 177 |
| 7.3.   | The US Contingency Fee Compared .....                       | 178 |
| 7.4.   | ‘Hourly Billing’ and the Expense of Litigation .....        | 179 |
| 8.     | Conclusion.....   | 180 |

## II: Specific Topics

### C.H. van Rhee

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| INTRODUCTION.....                        | 185 |
| 1. Conciliation .....                    | 185 |
| 2. Party Interrogation as Evidence ..... | 187 |
| 3. Powers of the Judge.....              | 189 |

## IIA Conciliation and Other Types of Alternative Dispute Settlement

### A. Wijffels

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| FRANCE.....   | 197 |
| 1. Preliminary Conciliation Enacted in 1790 .....                 | 198 |
| 2. The Code of Civil Procedure .....                              | 199 |
| 3. Implementation: Legal Practice and Doctrine .....              | 200 |
| 4. Incorporating Conciliation into the Procedural Framework ..... | 203 |

**R. Verkijk**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| THE NETHERLANDS.....  | 207 |
| 1. Conciliation in The Netherlands: An Historical Overview.....             | 207 |
| 1.1. No Conciliation in the Nineteenth Century.....                         | 207 |
| 1.2. Miscellanea; Mandatory Conciliation.....                               | 209 |
| 2. Developments in Modern Times: From the Twentieth Century<br>Onwards..... | 209 |
| 2.1. The Abolition of Mandatory Conciliation in Divorce Proceedings.....    | 209 |
| 2.2. Appearance before the Court early in the Proceedings.....              | 210 |
| 2.3. ADR.....   | 211 |

**P. Van Orshoven**

|              |     |
|--------------|-----|
| BELGIUM..... | 213 |
|--------------|-----|

**P. Oberhammer and T. Domej**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| GERMANY, SWITZERLAND AND AUSTRIA.....                                     | 215 |
| 1. Dispute Settlement by Institutions outside the Court Organisation..... | 215 |
| 2. Dispute Settlement by the Court.....                                   | 219 |

**R. Verkijk**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| ENGLAND AND WALES.....                                    | 223 |
| 1. Introduction.....                                      | 223 |
| 2. Justices of the Peace.....                             | 224 |
| 3. Industrial Conciliation.....                           | 225 |
| 4. Conciliation and Mediation in Family Matters.....      | 226 |
| 5. The Access-to-justice Movement: The Rise of ADR.....   | 228 |
| 6. Access-to Justice: The 1998 Civil Procedure Rules..... | 229 |

**IIB Party Interrogation as Evidence****A. Wijffels**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| FRANCE.....  | 235 |
| 1. Two Systems.....  | 235 |
| 1.1. Non-adversarial Interrogation at a Party's Request.....   | 235 |
| 1.2. Adversarial Interrogation Ordered Ex Officio or at a Party's Request....  | 237 |
| 1.3. Legal Practice.....   | 237 |
| 2. The Statute of 23 May 1942: 'Personal Appearance' ( <i>Comparution<br/>Personnelle</i> ) as the Standard Form of Party Interrogation..... | 240 |

**R. Verkerk**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| THE NETHERLANDS.....  | 245 |
| 1. Introduction.....  | 245 |
| 2. Interrogation of Parties under the 1838 Code.....                | 245 |
| 3. Developments with Respect to Party Interrogation since 1838..... | 248 |
| 4. Summary and Conclusion.....                                      | 251 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>P. Van Orshoven</b>  |     |
| BELGIUM .....   | 253 |
| <b>P. Oberhammer and T. Domej</b>   |     |
| GERMANY, SWITZERLAND AND AUSTRIA .....  | 255 |
| <b>C.H. van Rhee</b>  |     |
| ENGLAND AND WALES.....  | 261 |
| <b>IIC Powers of the Judge</b>  |     |
| <b>A. Wijffels</b>  |     |
| FRANCE.....   | 269 |
| <b>R. Verkerk</b>   |     |
| THE NETHERLANDS.....  | 281 |
| 1. Introduction.....  | 281 |
| 2. Framing the Issues.....  | 282 |
| 3. Fact-finding .....   | 283 |
| 4. The Role of the Dutch Judge: Applying the Law .....  | 288 |
| 5. Conclusion.....  | 289 |
| <b>P. Van Orshoven</b>  |     |
| BELGIUM .....   | 291 |
| 1. Powers of the Judge as Regards the Framing of the Issue and the<br>Applicable Rules of Law ..... | 291 |
| 2. Foreign Law.....   | 292 |
| 3. Powers of the Judge as Regards the Fact-finding Process.....                                     | 292 |
| <b>P. Oberhammer and T. Domej</b>   |     |
| GERMANY, SWITZERLAND AND AUSTRIA .....  | 295 |
| 1. Development.....   | 295 |
| 2. The Position of the Judge in Today's Civil Procedure.....  | 300 |
| 2.1. The Duty to Ask Questions and Give Instructions .....  | 300 |
| 2.2. Control over the Formal Course of the Proceedings.....   | 302 |
| 2.3. Iura Novit Curia .....   | 303 |
| 2.4. Taking of Evidence Ex Officio.....   | 304 |
| <b>R. Verkerk</b>   |     |
| ENGLAND AND WALES.....  | 307 |
| 1. Introduction.....  | 307 |
| 2. The Powers of the English Judge: Framing the Issues.....   | 308 |
| 2.1. The Powers of the English Judge: Fact-finding in General .....                                 | 309 |
| 2.2. The Powers of the English Judge: Fact-finding and Pre-trial .....                              | 311 |
| 2.3. The Powers of the English Judge: Fact-finding and Trial .....                                  | 312 |

3. The Role of the English Judge: Applying the Law ..... 314

**III: Bibliography**

FRANCE ..... 319  
THE NETHERLANDS ..... 325  
BELGIUM ..... 329  
GERMANY, SWITZERLAND AND AUSTRIA ..... 331  
ENGLAND AND WALES ..... 339