

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## ON THE MERITS – COMPETITION ON THE MERITS:

### AN INTRODUCTION

LEIGH HANCHER .....	1
1. Introduction .....	1
2. Modernisation and Economic Analysis .....	2
3. Competition and Innovation: Competition in Innovation .....	3
4. Patent Pools and the Speed of Development and Change .....	5
5. Some Continuing Anomalies? .....	6
6. Conceptual Convergence and Ideological Divergence .....	6
7. Discrimination and Divergence .....	9
8. Institutional Co-operation/ Convergence .....	11
9. Institutional Design .....	12
10. Private Enforcement .....	12
11. Some Brief Conclusions .....	13

## ON THE MERITS – 25 YEARS OF PROGRESS IN COMPETITION POLICY.

### A TRIBUTE TO PETER PLOMPEN

PAUL LUGARD .....	15
-------------------	----

## 1. COMPETITION AND INNOVATION: TWO HORSES PULLING THE SAME CART!

LUC PEEPERKORN and EMIL PAULIS .....	17
1. Introduction .....	17
2. Some History .....	18
3. Some Economics .....	20
4. The Goal of Competition Policy .....	24
5. Conclusion .....	27

<b>2. COMPETITION IN INNOVATION: A NOVEL CONCEPT? THE CASE LAW ON PHARMACEUTICALS</b>	
LUC GYSELEN .....	31
Introduction .....	31
1. Guidelines on Horizontal Restraints .....	32
1.1. When Must One Assess the Impact of R&D Co-operation on “Competition in Innovation”? .....	33
1.2. How Does One Measure the Impact of R&D Co-operation on “Competition in Innovation”? .....	34
2. Case Law in the Pharmaceutical Sector .....	37
2.1. Co-operative joint venture cases .....	38
2.1.1. Pasteur-Mérieux and Merck .....	38
2.1.2. Pfizer/Eisai .....	41
2.1.3. Pfizer/Inhale/Aventis .....	42
2.2. Merger Cases .....	43
2.2.1. Impact of pipeline products on competition in existing product markets .....	44
2.2.2. Impact of pipeline products on future product markets whose emergence is still uncertain .....	46
3. Conclusion .....	48
<b>3. REFORMING COMMUNITY COMPETITION POLICY: BUSINESS PRIORITIES</b>	
ERIK BERGGREN .....	51
1. A Discussion Paper .....	51
2. A Green Paper .....	52
3. A White Paper .....	53
4. A Communication .....	56
<b>4. SINGLE-FIRM CONDUCT: THE SEARCH FOR THE HOLY GRAIL OF ADMINISTRABLE PROCOMPETITIVE STANDARDS</b>	
WILLIAM KOLASKY .....	59
1. The Need for Administrable Standards that Will not Stifle Competition ...	61
2. The U.S. Experience with Guidelines: A Cautionary Tale .....	62
3. What is Dominance? .....	64

4. What is an Abuse? .....	65
5. What is Competition? A Proposed Standard for Exclusionary Conduct .....	69
<b>5. PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT OF COMPETITION LAW: SOME REFLECTIONS FROM A DUTCH BACKGROUND</b>	
ERIK PIJNACKER HORDIJK .....	71
1. Introduction .....	71
2. The European Minimum Standard: <i>Courage v. Crehan</i> .....	74
3. The Stage of Development of Damage Claims; General Considerations in the Light of (Dutch) Enforcement Practice .....	76
4. An Overview of Existing Case-law Concerning Damage Claims .....	80
4.1. Historical Cases .....	80
4.2. The Current Picture .....	82
5. Concluding Remarks .....	86
<b>6. ASSESSING UNILATERAL EFFECTS IN BIDDING MARKETS: MIXED PROGRESS</b>	
THEON VAN DIJK .....	87
1. Introduction .....	87
2. Empirical Bidding Studies .....	88
2.1. The Theory .....	88
2.2. Market Shares and Bidding Data .....	90
2.3. What Tender Data is Typically Collected? .....	90
2.3.1. Win data .....	91
2.3.2. Loss data .....	92
2.3.3. Other possible information attached to win and loss data .....	93
2.4. Recent EU Merger Cases: Medical Imaging Equipment and Software ..	94
3. Nature of Competition in Bidding Markets .....	97
3.1. Quotes on Bidding Markets from Past Commission Decisions .....	97
3.2. Key Economic Features of Bidding Markets .....	99
3.2.1. Individually quoted prices lead to more intense competition ...	99
3.2.2. Lumpy demand leads to more intense competition .....	100
4. Conclusion .....	101

<b>7. A TRANSATLANTIC SWIM THROUGH PATENT POOLS: KEEPING ANTITRUST SHARKS AT BAY</b>	
ROBERT SKITOL and LAWRENCE WU .....	103
1. Introduction .....	103
2. Marketplace Realities that Make the Rules too Rigid .....	105
2.1. Essentiality .....	108
2.2. Separate License Options .....	110
2.3. Rand License Terms .....	112
2.3.1. Reasonable License Fees .....	113
2.3.2. Nondiscriminatory Licensing .....	114
3. Conclusion .....	116
<b>8. JOINT VENTURES AND EUROPEAN COMPETITION LAW – A CONTINUING CHALLENGE FOR THE PRACTITIONER</b>	
JUERGEN LINDEMANN .....	117
1. Introduction .....	117
2. The Cooperative v. Concentrative Distinction .....	119
3. The Lead Glass Case .....	119
4. Ford/Volkswagen .....	121
5. The Modernisation Regulation .....	123
6. Conclusion .....	124
<b>9. COORDINATED INTERACTION AND COLLECTIVE DOMINANCE: A REMARKABLE JOURNEY TOWARDS CONVERGENCE</b>	
JAMES F. RILL and HOWARD ROSENBLATT .....	127
1. Introduction .....	127
2. Coordination Through the Ages .....	128
2.1. Collective Dominance in Europe .....	129
2.2. Notable Recent EC Cases .....	135
2.2.1. Air Liquide/ Messer .....	135
2.2.2. Sony/BMG Recording Music Joint Venture .....	136
2.3. Coordinated Interaction in the United States .....	136
2.4. Notable Recent U.S. Cases .....	142
2.4.1. Cruise Line Cases .....	143
2.4.2. United States v. SunGard Data Systems, Inc .....	144
2.4.3. FTC v. Arch Coal, Inc. ....	144

3. Selected Issues of Convergence and Divergence .....	145
3.1. Market Definition and Concentration .....	146
3.2. Market Share Presumptions .....	148
3.3. Efficiencies .....	151
4. Conclusion .....	155
<b>10. PRICING PRACTICES: WHAT’S WRONG WITH INCENTIVES?</b>	
TOM OTTERVANGER .....	157
1. Competition on the Merits .....	157
1.1. The Concept of Abuse .....	158
1.1.1. Michelin .....	159
1.1.2. British Airways .....	161
2. Economic Analysis .....	162
3. Concluding Remarks .....	164
<b>11. TOWARD RECOMMENDED PRACTICES FOR THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION IN INTERNATIONAL HARDCORE CARTEL INVESTIGATIONS</b>	
CALVIN S. GOLDMAN Q.C., ROBERT E. KWINTER, CRYSTAL WITTERICK .....	167
1. Introduction .....	167
2. International Cartels: The Need for Greater Inter-agency Co-operation ...	170
2.1. Seriousness of Cartel Activity .....	170
2.2. Inter-agency Co-operation .....	172
2.3. Multilateral Co-operation .....	175
3. Business Concerns Surrounding Inter-agency Information Exchange .....	176
4. The Development of a Framework for the Facilitation of Information Exchange in the Context of International Cartel Investigations .....	178
5. Conclusion .....	184

<b>12. TYING A GORDIAN KNOT: PUTTING PRINCIPLES OF ACCESS TO JUSTICE FROM THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND PRINCIPLES OF EFFECTIVE REMEDIES OF THE EC TREATY TOGETHER TO ENSURE AN EFFECTIVE SYSTEM OF CLASS ACTIONS IN THE WAKE OF REGULATION 1/2003</b>	
PIERRE V.F. BOS .....	187
1. Class Actions .....	190
2. Contingency-fee Arrangements .....	191
3. The Right to a Fair Trial .....	192
4. Remedy Principles under Community Law .....	193
5. Tying a Gordian Knot .....	194
<b>13. ACHIEVING BETTER PRACTICES IN THE DESIGN OF COMPETITION POLICY INSTITUTIONS</b>	
WILLIAM E. KOVACIC .....	195
1. Introduction .....	195
2. Toward Better Institutions: Means .....	196
3. Conclusion: Critical Self-assessment, Institutional Improvement, and Legitimacy .....	199
<b>14. UNCERTAINTY AND THE DISINCENTIVE TO CREATE EFFICIENCIES: THE NEED FOR A SAFE HARBOR FOR PATENT POOLS</b>	
GARRARD R. BEENEY .....	201
1. The Role of Patent Pools .....	203
1.1. Safe Harbor Rule No. 1 – A Defined Field of Use .....	206
1.2. Safe Harbor Rule No. 2 – Most Intellectual Property in the Pool Must Be Complements .....	206
1.3. Safe Harbor Rule No. 3 – A Good Faith and Effective Effort Must Be Made to Insure that Intellectual Property for Which a Royalty Is Collected Must Be “Essential” to the Defined Field of Use .	209
1.3.1. What is essential? .....	209
1.3.2. What is good faith? .....	210
1.4. Safe Harbor Rule No. 4 – Grant Back Clauses Generally Should Be Royalty Bearing, Non-exclusive, and Similar in Scope to the License Grant .....	212

1.5. Safe Harbor Rule No. 5 – Licensees Should Be Free to Develop Competing Products and Standards . . . . .	213
1.6. Safe Harbor Rule No. 6 – There Should Be no Discrimination among Similarly Situated Licensees . . . . .	213
1.7. Safe Harbor Rule No. 7 – Competitively Sensitive Information of Licensees Should not Be Available to Personnel of Licensors who are Responsible for Competing with one or More Licensees . . . . .	214
1.8. Safe Harbor Rule No. 8 – Licensors Should Be Free to Develop Competing Products and Standards . . . . .	215
1.9. Safe Harbor Rule No. 9 – The Pool License Should Be Non-exclusive for Individually Owned Intellectual Property . . . . .	215
2. Conclusion . . . . .	216

## 15. SHIFTING SANDS AND TROUBLED WATERS: THE TREATMENT OF NON-HORIZONTAL TRANSACTIONS UNDER EC COMPETITION LAW

PAUL LUGARD . . . . .	217
1. Introduction . . . . .	217
2. Part I . . . . .	219
2.1. The Evolution of EC Enforcement Norms in the Area of Vertical Restraints . . . . .	219
2.2. Excessive Inter-brand Restrictions May Still Infringe Article 81 . . . . .	221
2.3. Hurdles for Assessing and Quantifying the Efficiencies of Vertical Restraints . . . . .	224
2.4. Should the Elimination of Double Marginalisation Be Recognised as Possible Efficiency? . . . . .	225
2.5. Does the Commission Need to Develop a Clearer Theory on the Significance of Buyer Power? . . . . .	226
2.6. And What About Exclusionary Distribution Practices by Dominant Firms? . . . . .	228
2.7. Private Litigation of Vertical Restraints in Europe . . . . .	231
3. Part II . . . . .	232
3.1. The Evaluation of EC Enforcement Norms in the Area of Vertical and Conglomerate Mergers . . . . .	232
3.2. The Potential for Anticompetitive Effects . . . . .	233
3.3. Some Observations on Recent Non-horizontal Merger Decisions . . . . .	235
3.4. The Evidentiary Standard in Respect of Conglomerate Mergers . . . . .	236
3.5. Non Horizontal Mergers, Post-merger Leveraging and Article 82 . . . . .	238
3.6. The Role of Efficiencies in Non-horizontal Mergers . . . . .	241