

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE v

LIST OF AUTHORS vii

COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS

BREAKING THE SHACKLES OF CULTURE AND RELIGION IN THE FIELD OF DIVORCE?

STEPHEN CRETNEY 3

1. Introduction: Religion and the Law in Times Past 3
2. Reform: A Protracted Process 4
3. An Intellectual and Legislative Revolution 5
4. Dissenting Views 6
5. Demographic Change 7
6. Yet Divorce Law Remains Controversial 7
7. Divorce Law and Pressure Groups 9
8. The Political Nature of Family Law Reform 9
9. The European Union and Democratic Legitimacy 12
10. Conclusion: The Role of Scholarship 13

THE WORKING METHOD OF THE COMMISSION ON EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW

KATHARINA BOELE-WOELKI 15

1. Introduction 15
2. Selecting the Fields Most Suitable for Harmonisation 18
3. Drafting the Questionnaire 21
4. Drawing up the National Reports 23
5. Collection and Dissemination of the Comparative Material 24
6. Drafting the Principles of European Family Law 25

Table of Contents

6.1.	Selection of the Subjects and Some Practical Aspects	26
6.1.1.	Divorce	26
6.1.2.	Maintenance Between Former Spouses	29
6.2.	The Interrelationship Between the Principles, Comparative Overviews and Comments	30
6.3.	“Common Core” and/or “Better Law”?	31
7.	Publication of the Principles on European Family Law	37
8.	Conclusion	38

***PART ONE: DIVORCE AND MAINTENANCE BETWEEN FORMER
SPOUSES***

FUTURE DIVORCE LAW – TWO TYPES OF DIVORCE

	MARIANNE ROTH	41
1.	Introduction	41
2.	General Principles	43
2.1.	Permission of Divorce	43
2.2.	Procedure by Law and Competent Authority	44
2.3.	Types of Divorce	44
3.	Divorce by Mutual Consent	45
3.1.	Mutual Consent	45
3.1.1.	Consent as a Basis for Divorce	45
3.1.2.	No Separation Period	45
3.1.3.	Definition	46
3.1.4.	Application	47
3.2.	Reflection Period	47
3.2.1.	Rationale	47
3.2.2.	Requirements and Duration	48
3.2.3.	Summary	49
3.3.	Content and Form of the Agreement on the Consequences	49
3.3.1.	Content of the Agreement	49
3.3.2.	Writing Requirement	50
3.4.	Determination of the Consequences	50
3.4.1.	Consequences Regarding Children	50
3.4.2.	Consequences Regarding Spouses	51
4.	Divorce Without the Consent by One of the Spouses	52
4.1.	Factual Separation	52
4.1.1.	Meaning	52

4.1.2. Comparative Overview	53
4.1.3. Duration	54
4.2. Exceptional Hardship to the Petitioner	55
4.3. Determination of the Consequences	55
5. Conclusion	56

THE UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES OF CONSENSUAL DIVORCE

ANDERS AGELL	59
1. Introduction	59
2. The Value of Harmonisation?	59
3. The Importance of a Spouse's Wish to Terminate the Marriage	60
4. The Relationship Between a Period of Reflection and Factual Separation	62
5. The Ancillary Matters	63
6. A Request for an Agreement "in Advance"	64
7. Alternative Policies for Modern Divorce Rules	65
8. Additional Remarks	66
Annex. Principles of European Family Law Regarding Divorce	67

DIVORCE AND SPOUSAL AGREEMENTS

FRÉDÉRIQUE FERRAND	71
1. Introduction	71
2. The Admissibility of Spousal Agreements on the Consequences of Divorce	72
2.1. Contents of the Agreement	74
2.1.1. Agreement Relating to the Consequences of Divorce for the Spouses Themselves	74
2.1.2. Agreement Relating to the Consequences of Divorce for the Children	75
2.2. Date of the Agreement	76
2.3. Form Requirement	77
3. The Possible Scrutiny of Spousal Agreements by the Competent Authority	77
3.1. Should there be any Scrutiny?	77
3.2. Extent of the Scrutiny	79
4. Conclusion	81

CEFL'S MAINTENANCE PRINCIPLES: THE CONDITIONS FOR MAINTENANCE

CRISTINA GONZÁLEZ BEILFUSS 83

1. Introduction 83

2. General Questions 83

 2.1. The Definition of Post Divorce Maintenance 83

 2.2. One Maintenance Claim 86

 2.3. The Self-Sufficiency Principle 87

3. Conditions for the Attribution of Maintenance 88

 3.1. General Considerations 88

 3.2. General Framework 89

 3.3. Factors to be Taken into Account 91

 3.3.1. The Spouse's Employment Ability, Age and Health 91

 3.3.2. The Care of Children 91

 3.3.3. The Division of Duties During the Marriage 92

 3.3.4. The Duration of the Marriage 93

 3.3.5. The Standard of Living During the Marriage 93

 3.3.6. Any New Marriage and Long-Term Relationship 94

 3.4. The Hardship Clause 95

 3.5. Calculation and Method of Maintenance Provision 96

4. Specific Issues 98

 4.1. Limitation in Time 99

 4.2. Termination of the Maintenance Obligation 100

CLEAN-BREAK OR LONG-TERM PAYMENT OF MAINTENANCE

GEOFFREY SHANNON 103

1. Introduction 103

2. Clean Break 103

3. Long-Term Payment of Maintenance 106

4. Rehabilitative Maintenance 115

5. Compensatory Maintenance 116

6. Conclusion 117

**MAINTENANCE AS A SEPARATE ISSUE – THE RELATIONSHIP
BETWEEN MAINTENANCE AND MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY**

TONE SVERDRUP	119
1. Introduction	119
2. Contribution and Loss	122
2.1. Co-ownership	122
2.2. Valuation of Non-market Contributions	124
2.3. The Equal Distribution Rule	126
2.4. Spousal Maintenance	127
2.5. Modern Marriages	128
3. The Adjustment of Behaviour During Marriage	129
4. Conclusion	132

**COMMENTS ON THE PRINCIPLES OF EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW:
DIVORCE AND MAINTENANCE BETWEEN FORMER SPOUSES**

BEA VERSCHRAEGEN	135
------------------------	-----

PART TWO: PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITIES

PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITIES – CEFL’S INITIAL RESULTS

KATHARINA BOELE-WOELKI	141
1. Introduction	141
2. Selecting Parental Responsibilities as CEFL’s Second Working Field	142
3. Structure of the Questionnaire	144
4. Selected Issues Compared	145
4.1. The Concept(s) of Parental Responsibilities – Question 2	146
4.2. Protection of Children from Indebtedness Caused by the Holder(s) of Parental Responsibilities – Question 13	147
4.3. Attribution of Parental Responsibilities Affected by Divorce, Legal Separation, Annulment of the Marriage, Factual Separation – Question 16	148
4.4. Agreement upon the Attribution of Parental Responsibilities After Divorce, Legal Separation or Annulment of the Marriage – Question 17	150
4.5. Non-Married Parents and Parental Responsibilities – Question 20	152

Table of Contents

4.6.	Rights of a Partner of a Parent Holding Parental Responsibilities – Question 27	153
4.7.	Disagreement Between the Holders of Parental Responsibilities – Question 38	157
4.8.	The Right of the Child to Have Contact with... – Question 44	158
4.9.	Delegating the Exercise of Parental Responsibilities – Question 49	160
4.10.	Regaining Parental Responsibilities After Having Been Discharged from Them – Question 54	162
4.11.	Hearing of the Child in Disputes Relating to Parental Responsibilities – Question 59	164
5.	Preliminary Conclusions	167

CONTACT ARRANGEMENTS: UNIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF EUROPEAN PRINCIPLES?

	VELINA TODOROVA	169
1.	Introduction	169
2.	The Approach of the Convention	171
2.1.	Unification on the Basis of Common Principles	171
2.2.	‘Good Practices’	171
2.3.	Areas Outside the Principles	172
3.	The Definition of Contact	173
4.	What Is ‘Contact’ According to Bulgarian Family Law?	175
5.	Contact Following Divorce	176
6.	Who Determines this Contact?	179
7.	Professional Support for Agreements/ Dispute Resolution	180
8.	Who Has the Right to Contact?	183
8.1.	Forms of Contact	184
8.2.	Contact With ‘Other’ Persons	185
9.	Enforcement of Contact Orders	186
10.	Conclusion	191

MOBILITY AND THE POST-DIVORCE FAMILY: RESOLUTION OF RELOCATION DISPUTES IN THE U.S.

	THERESA GLENNON	193
1.	Introduction	193
2.	U.S. Child Custody Law	195

2.1.	Legal Parentage	195
2.2.	Legal Standards Applicable to Custody Disputes	196
3.	Post-Divorce Relocation Disputes	198
3.1.	Competing Visions of the Post-Divorce Family	199
3.2.	Sources of Relocation Law	202
3.3.	Specific Relocation Doctrine	203
3.4.	Modification of Custody Doctrine	207
3.5.	Joint Custody	208
3.6.	Are Parties Bound by a Prior Agreement not to Relocate?	209
4.	Constitutional Concerns in Relocation Debates	210
5.	Broad Judicial Discretion	213
6.	Conclusion	215

THE COPARENTALITÉ IN FRENCH LAW

	LAURE TALARICO	217
1.	Introduction	217
2.	The Strengthening of <i>Coparentalité</i>	219
2.1.	The Suppression of All Distinctions Based on the Family Situation	219
2.2.	Promoting Parental Agreements as a Guarantee for the Non-Conflicting Exercise of Parental Authority	220
3.	The <i>Coparentalité</i> Consecrated as a Right of the Child to <i>Biparental</i> Relations	221
3.1.	The Effectiveness of <i>Coparentalité</i> Ensured by the Legal Recognition of Shared Residence	221
3.2.	The Strengthening of Parental Responsibilities	222

DECIDING ON SOLE OR JOINT CUSTODY RIGHTS IN THE CHILD'S BEST INTEREST

	ROSA MARTINS	225
1.	Introduction	225
2.	The Joint Exercise of Parental Care During Marriage or Cohabitation Between Unmarried Parents	226
2.1.	The Rule of the Joint Exercise of Parental Care During Marriage or Cohabitation Between Unmarried Parents	226
2.2.	The Meaning of the Joint Exercise of Parental Care	228

Table of Contents

2.3.	The New Form of Exercising Parental Care after a Family Breakdown	229
3.	The Evolution of the Portuguese Legal System Regarding Custody Matters	230
3.1.	The 1966 Civil Code	230
3.2.	The 1977 Reform of the Civil Code	232
3.3.	Law 84/95 of 31 st August and the Introduction of Joint Custody	233
3.4.	Law 59/99 of 30 th June and the Preference for Joint Custody	234
4.	Sole or Joint Custody?	234
4.1.	The Preference for Joint Custody	235
4.2.	The Legal Meaning of Joint Custody	235
4.3.	To a Certain Extent there is no Perfect Model to Be Applied in all Cases of Family Breakdown	236
4.4.	The Intervention of Social/Psychological Counselling or Mediation Services	238
5.	Conclusion	238

PART THREE: INFORMAL LONG-TERM RELATIONSHIPS

FINANCIAL COMPENSATION UPON THE ENDING OF INFORMAL RELATIONSHIPS – A COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO ENSURE THE PROTECTION OF THE WEAKER PARTY

SABINE AESCHLIMANN	243	
1.	Introduction	243
2.	The Purpose and Aim of this Paper	244
3.	Legal Orders Without a Statutory Regime	245
3.1.	The Present Situation in Switzerland	245
3.2.	Protection Granted by the Courts	245
3.3.	Deficiencies Caused by the Absence of Statutory Rules	247
4.	A Registration System for Unmarried Cohabitation	249
4.1.	The Expanding of Registration Systems	249
4.2.	Experiences with the “Contracting-in” Approach	249
5.	Unified Approach	250
5.1.	The Situation in New Zealand: The Relationship itself as the Starting Point for Financial Consequences	250

5.2.	The Scope of the Statutory Rules with Regard to Unmarried Couples	251
5.2.1.	Limitation by the Statutory Definition of “de facto – Partnership”	251
5.2.2.	Limitation by the Requirement of a Minimum Duration	252
5.3.	Extent of Financial Consequences	252
5.4.	Experiences and Criticism	253
5.5.	Proposal for a Future Modification	254
6.	Conclusion	255

GENERAL LESSONS FOR EUROPE BASED ON A COMPARISON OF THE LEGAL STATUS OF NON-MARITAL COHABITANTS IN THE NETHERLANDS AND GERMANY

	WENDY M. SCHRAMA	257
1.	Introduction	257
2.	Legal Implications of Sociological Research on Informal Lifestyles	258
2.1.	What is Non-Marital Cohabitation?	258
2.2.	Prevention and Control of Conflicts when a Relationship Breaks Down	261
2.2.1.	High Separation Rate	261
2.2.2.	The Special Nature of Love-Based Relations	263
2.2.3.	Alternative Solutions?	264
2.2.4.	Case Law	267
2.3.	Protection of the Financially Vulnerable Partner	269
2.4.	Protection of Children	272
2.5.	Conclusions	273
3.	Constitutional Dimension	274
4.	Lifestyles as a Means to Order Relations in Society	277
5.	Concluding Remarks	280

THE LEGAL STATUS OF COHABITANTS – REQUIREMENTS FOR LEGAL RECOGNITION

	JENS M. SCHERPE	283
1.	Introduction: Why Cohabitation Needs to be Regulated	283
2.	The Two Basic Models	284
2.1.	Formal Cohabitation	285
2.2.	Informal Cohabitation	287

Table of Contents

3.	A Three-Step Approach to Regulate Cohabitation	288
3.1.	The First Situation: Basic Informal Cohabitation	289
3.2.	The Second Situation: Children Involved	290
3.3.	The Third Situation: More Rights and Duties	291
3.4.	Summing Up: Steps to Regulate Cohabitation	292
4.	What Is “Cohabitation” Anyway?	293

LEGISLATION ON INFORMAL COHABITATION IN NORWAY

JOHN ASLAND	295
-------------	-----

1.	Introduction	295
2.	Legal Definition of Cohabitation	296
3.	Proposals Regarding Private Law	298
4.	Proposals Regarding Public Law	300
5.	What Is the Present Status of the Implementation?	301
6.	Critical Comments on Some Proposals Regarding Private Law	302
6.1.	Adoption	302
6.2.	Right of Inheritance	303

THE SPANISH RELATIONSHIPS LEGISLATION

LEONOR BUENO MEDINA	305
---------------------	-----

1.	Introduction	305
2.	Status According to the State	306
2.1.	Dissolution of the Partnership	307
2.2.	Compensations	308
2.2.1.	Unjust Enrichment	308
2.2.2.	Article 97 Civil Code	309
3.	The Autonomous Community Laws	309
3.1.	The Legal Economic Structure	309
3.2.	Financial Provisions	310
3.2.1.	Financial Compensation	310
3.2.2.	Income Support	310
4.	The Common Residence	311
5.	Parenting	311
6.	Conclusion	311

UNMARRIED PARTNERSHIPS IN HUNGARY	
ORSOLYA SZEIBERT ERDÖS	313
1. The History of the Regulation on Unmarried Partners in Hungary	
Since the 1940s	313
1.1. The Legal Position of Cohabitation up to 1977	313
1.2. The Legal Regulation of the Unmarried Partnership from	
1977 Onwards	316
1.2.1. The Amendment of the Civil Code in 1977	316
1.2.2. The Amendment of the Civil Code in 1996	316
2. The Effective Regulation Concerning Unmarried Partners	319
2.1. The Rights of Unmarried Partners under the Civil Code	319
2.1.1. The “Community Property” of Unmarried Partners	319
2.1.2. The Unmarried Partner’s Right of Inheritance	320
2.2. The Rights of Unmarried Partners According to the	
Family Act	320
2.2.1. Parental Responsibilities	320
2.2.2. Rights which cannot be Claimed by an Unmarried	
Partner	321
2.2.3. Other Legal Rules Guaranteeing Rights for Unmarried	
Partners	322
3. The Judicial Practice Concerning the Legal Status of Unmarried	
Partners	323
3.1. The Definition of Unmarried Partnership and the	
Examination of Its Existence	323
3.1.1. Definition	323
3.1.2. What is an Unmarried Partnership According to	
Judicial Practice?	324
3.2. The Connection Between the Matrimonial Property of	
Spouses and the Community Property of Unmarried Partners ...	327
3.3. Arranging the Unmarried Partners’ Financial Issues	328
3.4. The Obligation of Unmarried Partners to Maintain Each	
Other During Cohabitation	329
4. The Regulation of the Unmarried Partnership – De Lege Ferenda	331
5. Conclusion	332

NEUTRALITY: THE DEATH OR THE REVIVAL OF THE TRADITIONAL FAMILY?

CAROLINE SÖRGJERD	335
1. Introduction	335
1.1. The Family Concept	335
1.2. The Purpose and Scope of this Article	336
2. Relevant Legislation and Terminology	336
2.1. The Personal and Material Scope of the Cohabitees Act of 2003 ..	336
2.2. The Registered Partnership Act	338
3. Legal Development and Ideological Aspects	339
3.1. Regulating Cohabitee Relationships	339
3.1.1. Legislative History	340
3.1.2. The Neutrality Theory	342
3.1.3. Forcing Rules on Unmarried Couples?	344
3.1.4. What Kind of Regulation do Cohabitees Prefer?	345
3.2. Regulating Same-Sex Partnerships	346
3.2.1. Legislative History	346
3.2.2. The Legislator Creating Public Opinion	348
3.2.3. Possible Explanations for the Low Figures on Partnership Registration	349
4. Concluding Remarks	351

PART FOUR: THE REVISED BRUSSELS II REGULATION

HABITUAL RESIDENCE CONSIDERED AS A EUROPEAN HARMONIZATION FACTOR IN FAMILY LAW (REGARDING THE “BRUSSELS II-BIS” REGULATION)

ANNE RICHEZ-PONS	355
1. Introduction	355
2. The Function of Habitual Residence in the “Brussels II- <i>bis</i> ” Regulation	356
2.1. Habitual Residence, Expression of a Material and Geographical Proximity	357
2.2. Habitual Residence as a Mark of Belonging to a Social Background	358
3. The Determination of Habitual Residence under the Brussels II- <i>bis</i> Regulation	359
4. Conclusion	360

**THE IMPACT OF SUBSEQUENTLY CHANGED FACTS ON THE
EXEQUATUR PROCEDURE UNDER BRUSSELS IIBIS**

CLAUDIA RAMSER 361

1. Introduction 361

2. Jurisdiction for Modification 363

3. Enforcement 364

 3.1. Enforcement Without a Declaration of Enforceability 364

 3.1.1. Judgments Relating to Rights of Access 365

 3.1.2. Judgments on the Return of the Child 367

 3.2. The Exequatur Procedure 368

4. Conclusion 370