

**DOUBLE JEOPARDY WITHOUT  
PARAMETERS**

**Re-characterisation in International  
Criminal Law**

OLAOLUWA OLUSANYA

 intersentia  
Antwerp – Oxford

*Distribution for the UK:*

Hart Publishing  
Salter's Boat Yard  
Folly Bridge  
Abingdon Road  
Oxford OX1 4LB  
UK  
Tel: + 44 1865 24 55 33  
Fax: + 44 1865 79 48 82

*Distribution for North America:*

Gaunt Inc.  
Gaunt Building  
3011 Gulf Drive  
Holmes Beach  
Florida 34217-2199  
USA  
Tel: + 1 941 778 5211  
Fax: + 1 941 778 5252

*Distribution for Switzerland and  
Germany:*

Schulthess Verlag  
Zwingliplatz 2  
CH-8022 Zürich  
Switzerland  
Tel: + 41 1 251 93 36  
Fax: + 41 1 261 63 94

*Distribution for other countries:*

Intersentia Publishers  
Churchillaan 108  
2900 Schoten  
Belgium  
Tel: + 32 3 680 15 50  
Fax: + 32 3 658 71 21

Double Jeopardy Without Parameters  
Olaoluwa Olusanya

© 2004 Intersentia  
Antwerp – Oxford  
<http://www.intersentia.com>

ISBN 90-5095-389-1  
D/2004/7849/37  
NUR 824

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
1.1. Overall Goals .....	13
1.2. Literature Overview .....	14
1.3. Chapter Overview and Methodology .....	21
1.3.1. Chapter 1: Introduction .....	21
1.3.2. Chapter 2: History of Re-characterisation and Methodology .....	21
1.3.3. Chapter 3: Contemporary Reasons for Re-charac- terisation and Methodology .....	22
1.3.4. Chapters 4 and 5: Solutions, Conclusion and Methodology .....	23
<b>CHAPTER 2. RE-CHARACTERISATION: HISTORY; EMERGENCE AND INSTITUTIONALISATION IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW: 391 A.D. – 1949</b> .....	25
2.1. Introduction .....	25
2.2. Historical Sources of Re-characterisation .....	27
2.2.1. The Bible 391 A.D. ....	28
2.2.2. The Digest of Justinian 533 A.D. ....	28
2.2.3. Constitutions of Clarendon 1164 .....	29
2.2.4. Blackstone Commentaries 1765 .....	31
2.2.5. National Laws of the Allied Powers of 1945 .....	32
2.3. Factors Responsible for the Institutionalisation of Re-characterisation by World War II Tribunals .....	33
2.3.1. Circumvention of the Black Letter of International Conventions .....	35
2.3.1.1. Article 6 (a) of the IMT Charter and the Kellogg-Briand Pact .....	35
2.3.1.2. Article 6 (b) of the IMT Charter and the Hague Conventions .....	39
2.3.2. The Inclusion of Derivative Concepts .....	44
2.3.2.1. Article 6 (c) of the IMT Charter and the Hague Conventions .....	44
2.3.2.1.1. The Medical Case .....	48
2.3.2.1.2. The Pohl Case .....	51
2.3.2.1.3. The Milch Case .....	53

2.3.2.1.4. The Hostage Case . . . . .	57
2.3.2.1.5. The High Command Case . . . . .	60
2.3.3. Over-reliance on Natural Law by the Tribunal . . . . .	62
2.4. Genocide and the 1948 Genocide Convention . . . . .	66
2.4.1. Scope: Article 1 . . . . .	68
2.4.2. The conduct: Article 2 . . . . .	68
2.4.3. Individual responsibility: Article 3 . . . . .	69
2.4.4. Prosecution: Article 5 . . . . .	70
2.5. Conclusion . . . . .	71

**CHAPTER 3. RE-CHARACTERISATION: CONTEMPORARY CAUSES (1993 TILL DATE) . . . . . 73**

3.1. Introduction . . . . .	73
3.2. Contemporary International Criminal Law Enforcement Institutions and Re-characterisation . . . . .	75
3.3. Causes of Re-characterisation under the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY) . . . . .	76
3.3.1. Primary Cause . . . . .	78
3.3.1.1. Inclusion of Crimes Derived from the Same Source . . . . .	78
3.3.2. Secondary Causes . . . . .	84
3.3.2.1. Intra Tribunal Divisions . . . . .	84
3.3.2.1.1. Celibici Case . . . . .	84
3.3.2.1.2. Jelusic Case . . . . .	91
3.3.2.1.3. Krstic Case . . . . .	94
3.3.2.1.4. Miroslav Kvocka et al. Case . . . . .	98
3.3.2.1.5. Kunarac et al. Case . . . . .	98
3.3.2.1.6. Vasiljevic Case . . . . .	99
3.3.2.1.7. Naletilic and Martinovic Judgment . . . . .	101
3.3.2.1.8. Kupreskic Case . . . . .	103
3.3.2.2. ICTY Ratio on Cumulative Charging and Conviction . . . . .	104
3.3.2.2.1. The War Nexus Requirement . . . . .	105
3.3.2.2.2. Widespread and Systematic Elements Requirement . . . . .	111
3.4. Causes of Re-characterisation Under the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) . . . . .	115
3.4.1. Primary Cause . . . . .	116
3.4.1.1. Inclusion of Derivative Concepts and Circumvention of Customary International Law . . . . .	116

3.4.2. Secondary Cause .....	119
3.4.2.1. ICTR Ratio on Cumulative Charging and Conviction .....	119
3.4.2.1.1. Akayesu Case .....	119
3.4.2.1.2. Kambanda case .....	124
3.4.2.1.3. Rutaganda Case .....	127
3.4.2.1.4. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana Case ..	131
3.4.2.1.5. Kayishema Case .....	131
3.5. Causes of Re-characterisation Under the Special Court for Sierra Leone .....	134
3.5.1. Primary Cause .....	137
3.5.1.1. Inclusion of Crimes Derived from the Same Source .....	137
3.5.2. Secondary Cause .....	138
3.5.2.1. Over Reliance on the ICTY Ratio on Cumulative Charging and Conviction .....	138
3.5.2.1.1. Morris Kallon .....	139
3.5.2.1.2. Sam Hinga Norman .....	140
3.6. Cause of Re-characterisation Under the International Criminal Court (ICC) .....	141
3.6.1. Circumvention of Customary International Law .....	143
3.6.1.1. Article 7 .....	143
3.6.1.2. Article 8 .....	146
3.7. Cause of Re-characterisation Under National Law .....	147
3.7.1. Corresponding Effects .....	147
3.7.1.1. Ethiopia .....	150
3.7.1.2. Cambodia .....	153
3.7.1.3. Australia .....	155
3.7.1.4. Canada .....	156
3.7.1.5. United States .....	157
3.7.1.6. France .....	161
3.7.1.7. Argentina .....	161
3.7.1.8. Colombia .....	162
3.7.1.9. Costa Rica .....	163
3.7.1.10. Ivory Coast .....	163
3.7.1.11. Estonia .....	164
3.7.1.12. Peru .....	164
3.7.1.13. Paraguay .....	165
3.7.1.14. Lithuania .....	166
3.7.1.15. Albania .....	167
3.7.1.16. Slovenia .....	167
3.8. Effects of Re-characterisation .....	168
3.8.1. Higher Penalties .....	168

3.8.2. Unfair Stigmatisation .....	169
3.8.3. Splitting of Charges .....	171
3.9. Conclusion .....	171

**CHAPTER 4. RE-CHARACTERISATION: SOLUTION AND RECOMMENDATION .....** 177

4.1. Introduction .....	177
4.2. Solution: Article 38 (1) ICJ Statute .....	178
4.2.1. Creating a Hierarchical System for International Crimes based on Article 38 (1) of the ICJ Statute ....	180
4.2.1.1. Rank 1: Jus Cogens .....	180
4.2.1.2. Rank 2 and 3: Customary International Law and Treaty Law Norms .....	182
4.2.1.3. Rank 4: International Protocol Law Norms ..	185
4.2.2. Fitting International Crimes into the Hierarchical System derived from Article 38(1) of the ICJ Statute ..	186
4.2.2.1. Genocide / Jus Cogens .....	187
4.2.2.2. Grave Breaches / Customary International Law .....	189
4.2.2.3. Crimes against Humanity / Treaty Law Norms .....	191
4.2.2.3.1. Definition under the Tokyo Charter of the International Military Tribunal for the Far East .....	191
4.2.2.3.2. Definition under Control Council Law No. 10 1945 .....	192
4.2.2.3.3. Definition under the 1996 Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind .....	193
4.2.2.3.4. Definition under Israeli law: Eichmann ....	195
4.2.2.3.5. Definition under French law: Klaus Barbie ..	195
4.2.2.3.6. Definition under the ICTY and ICTR Statutes (1992 and 1994) .....	196
4.2.2.3.7. Definition under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 1998 .....	197
4.2.2.4. Violations of the Laws or Customs of War / International Protocol Law Norms .....	198
4.2.3. Utilizing this Hierarchical System to eliminate Re-characterisation .....	200
4.2.3.1. Grave Breaches and Other Violations of War	200
4.2.3.1.1. Celibici Case .....	201

4.2.3.2.	Crimes against Humanity and Other Violations of War . . . . .	204
4.2.3.2.1.	Jelisc Case . . . . .	205
4.2.3.2.2.	Miroslav Kvočka et al. Case . . . . .	206
4.2.3.2.3.	Kunarac et al. Case . . . . .	206
4.2.3.2.4.	Vasiljevic Case . . . . .	207
4.2.3.2.5.	Kupreskic Case . . . . .	208
4.2.3.3.	Genocide and Crimes against Humanity . . . .	208
4.2.3.3.1.	Krstic Case . . . . .	209
4.2.3.3.2.	Akayesu Case . . . . .	210
4.2.3.3.3.	Kambanda Case . . . . .	211
4.2.3.3.4.	Rutaganda Case . . . . .	211
4.2.3.3.5.	Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana Case . .	212
4.2.3.3.6.	Kayishema Case . . . . .	212
4.2.3.3.7.	Ethiopian Law . . . . .	213
4.2.3.3.8.	Cambodian Law . . . . .	214
4.2.3.3.9.	Australian Law . . . . .	215
4.2.3.3.10.	Canadian Law . . . . .	215
4.2.3.3.11.	French Law . . . . .	215
4.2.3.3.12.	Colombian Law . . . . .	216
4.2.3.3.13.	Costa Rican Law . . . . .	216
4.2.3.3.14.	Ivory Coast Law . . . . .	216
4.2.3.3.15.	Estonian Law . . . . .	216
4.2.3.3.16.	Peruvian Law . . . . .	216
4.2.3.3.17.	Paraguayan Law . . . . .	217
4.2.3.3.18.	Lithuanian Law . . . . .	217
4.2.3.3.19.	Slovenian Law . . . . .	217
4.2.3.4.	Other combinations of International Crimes . . . . .	217
4.2.3.4.1.	Krstic . . . . .	218
4.2.3.4.2.	Naletilic and Martinovic Judgment . . . . .	219
4.2.3.4.3.	Article 7 ICC Statute . . . . .	220
4.2.3.4.4.	Article 8 ICC Statute . . . . .	220
4.3.	Conclusion . . . . .	221
4.4.	Recommendation: “Motive” Should Be Ranked Above “Intent” in the Context of International Criminal Law for the Purpose of Eliminating Re-characterisation . . . . .	221
4.4.1.	Ranking of “Motive and “Intent” under Criminal Law . . . . .	221
4.4.2.	Ranking of “Motive and “Intent” under Interna- tional Criminal Law . . . . .	223
4.4.2.1.	“Intent” vis-à-vis Re-characterisation . . . . .	224
4.4.2.1.1.	Murder . . . . .	226

4.4.2.1.2. Torture .....	226
4.4.2.1.3. Rape .....	230
4.4.2.2. "Motive" vis-à-vis Re-characterisation .....	231
4.4.2.2.1. Rape .....	232
4.4.2.2.2. Deportation .....	235
4.4.2.2.3. Ethnic Cleansing .....	236
4.4.2.2.4. Apartheid .....	238
4.5. Chapter Conclusion .....	239
<b>CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>257</b>