List of abbreviations

1. Introduction

- 1.1. From clinical to clinico-genomic research: New ethical and legal challenges
- 1.2. The ACGT project: Developing an ICT infrastructure
- 1.3. Aim and structure of the book

2. Ethical requirements

- 2.1. Introduction
- 2.2. Informed consent
- 2.2.1. Ethical foundations of the doctrine of informed consent
- 2.2.1.1. Historical background

2.2.1.2. General aspects

- 2.2.1.3. Informed consent in tissue-based research
- 2.2.2. The scope of consent

2.2.2.1. Models of consent

2.2.2.1.1. Specified consent

2.2.2.1.2. Broad or blanket consent for future research

2.2.2.1.3. Tiered consent models

2.2.2.1.4. Patientsqand donorsqperspectives

2.2.2.1.5. Particularities of consent to research involving children

2.2.2.2. Informed consent and communication

2.2.2.2.1. The character of information

2.2.2.3. Issues to be consented to

2.2.2.3.1. General requirements

2.2.2.3.2. Sharing data and information

2.2.2.3.3. Re-contact

2.2.2.3.4. Commercial interests

2.2.2.3.5. The timeframe of consent

2.2.2.4. The right to withdraw consent

2.3. The right to know, the duty to inform, and the quality of feedback

- 2.3.1. Access to personal information: a donor driven inquiry process
- 2.3.2. Feedback of research results: an investigator driven disclosure process

2.3.2.1. Informing about general research results

2.3.2.1.1. Ethical foundations

2.3.2.1.2. Practical challenges of feedback processes regarding general research results

2.3.2.2. Information about individually relevant research results

2.3.2.2.1. Ethical foundations

2.3.2.2.2. What to feed back?

2.3.2.2.3. Characteristics of genetic research results in the context of cancer trials

2.3.2.2.4. To whom to feed back?

2.3.2.2.5. Practical challenges of feedback processes regarding individual research results

2.4. Summary of consolidated ethical requirements

2.4.1. Ethical requirements

2.4.1.1. Summary: The informed consent process

2.4.1.2. Conclusions: How to design the informed consent process

2.4.1.3. Summary: Donor driven inquiry processes and investigator driven individual feedback processes

2.4.1.4. Conclusion: How to organize donor driven inquiry processes and investigator driven individual feedback

processes

2.5. Outlook: Ethical challenges in the european context

2.5.1.1. Revision of data protection and information flows

2.5.1.2. Community interests

3. Legal requirements

3.1. Introduction

3.2. Theoretical analysis

3.2.1. European Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC

3.2.1.1. Genesis

3.2.1.2. Scope of the Directive

3.2.1.2.1. Personal data

3.2.1.2.1.1. Anonymous data

3.2.1.2.1.2. Pseudonymous data

3.2.1.2.2. Territorial application

3.2.1.3. Fair and lawful data processing

3.2.1.3.1. General

3.2.1.3.1.1. Requirement of a legal basis

3.2.1.3.1.2. Technical and organisational measures

3.2.1.3.2. Sensitive data

3.2.1.3.2.1. Definition

3.2.1.3.2.2. Prohibition of data processing

3.2.1.3.2.3. Exceptions

3.2.1.4. Duties of the data controller

3.2.1.5. Rights of the data subject

3.2.1.5.1. Information duties regarding data collection from the data subject

3.2.1.5.2. Information duties regarding data which has not been obtained from the data subject

3.2.1.5.3 Right of access

3.2.1.5.4. Right to rectification, erasure or blocking

3.2.1.5.5. Exemptions and restrictions

3.2.1.5.6. Right to object

3.2.1.6. Transfer of personal data to third countries

3.3 Data protection within a trans-european research project . using the example of ACGT

3.3.1. Data flows

3.3.2. Legitimate processing of genetic data (Directive 95/46 EC)

3.3.2.1. Genetic data

3.3.2.1.1. Special characteristics of genetic data with regard to data protection

3.3.2.1.2. Anonymisation of genetic data

3.3.2.2. Relevance of the character of data processing for the distinction between personal data and anonymous data

3.4. Data protection framework within genetic research networks

3.4.1. Anonymisation of genetic data within research networks

3.4.2. Necessary legal agreements, contracts and informed consents

3.4.2.1. Contract between the Data Protection Authority and the data exporter (e.g. a hospital)

3.4.2.1.1. Guarantee of a %state-of- the-art+pseudonymisation

3.4.2.1.2. Technically and organisationally separated project database

3.4.2.2. Contract between Data Protection Authority and end users

3.4.2.2.1. Data controllers within genetic research networks

3.4.2.2.2. Data Protection Authority as central Data Protection Authority within the research network

3.4.2.2.3. Ensuring the context of anonymity

3.4.2.2.4. Separated database

3.4.2.2.5. No matching

3.4.2.3. Second pillar and first fallback scenario: Informed Consent

3.4.2.3.1. Regulatory framework

3.4.2.3.1.1. Definition

3.4.2.3.1.2. Declaration of intention

3.4.2.3.1.3. Freely given

3.4.2.3.1.4. For a specific case

3.4.2.3.1.5. Informed indication

3.4.2.3.2. Scope of the consent

3.4.2.3.2.1. Object of the consent/purpose specification/future research

3.4.2.3.2.2. Expected period of usage/temporal scope of the consent 3.4.2.3.2.3. Death of the patient

3.4.2.3.2.4. Data transfer to third parties/third countries

3.4.2.3.3. Consent of relatives needed?

3.4.2.3.3.1. Additional information of relatives is collected

3.4.2.3.3.2. No additional information of relatives is collected

3.4.2.3.4. Consent of the minor data subject or person with intellectual disabilities needed?

3.4.2.3.5. The right to withdraw and right to erasure

3.4.2.4. The right to know and the duty of notification

3.4.2.5. Third Pillar and second fallback scenario: Exceptions for genetic research in national legislations

4. Legal conclusion

5. References

6. Appendix 1. legal terminology

7. Appendix 2. relevant regulation