
Contents

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
Introduction	1
1 Key Aspects of Set-off	7
I Definition	7
II Significance and Functions of Set-off	9
A Set-off as a Means of Economic Efficiency	9
B Set-off as an Equitable Instrument	10
C Set-off Providing Security	11
III Significance of Set-off in Modern Business Life	12
A Set-off Agreements in Banking Law	12
B Importance of Unilateral Set-off	13
IV Modern Problems with Set-off	14
A Jurisdictional Questions	14
B Choice-of-law Questions	18
V Terminology	19
A 'Set-off' Versus 'Compensatio'	19
B 'Set-off' Versus 'Counterclaim'	20
C 'Cross-claim', 'Cross-demand', and 'Counter-demand'	22
2 Set-off in a Comparative Survey	23
I Incipencies: Roman Law	23
A Introduction	23
B Development	24
C Operation of Set-off in Roman Law—The Various Stages	26
i <i>Iudicia Bonae Fidei</i>	26
ii <i>Iudicia Stricti Iuris</i>	28
iii Special Cases	29
iv Set-off Under Justinian	30
a Development of the Roman Post-classical Judicial System	30

viii Contents

	b	<i>Ex officio</i> Compensatio?	31
		The Dispute over ‘ <i>Ipsa Iure Compensatur</i> ’	31
		The Significance of the <i>Ipsa Iure</i> -Dispute	
		in the 21st Century	32
	c	Allegation of Fact or Submission of Claim?	33
	d	The Requirement of Ascertained Claims	
		(‘Liquidity’) as a Tool of Discretion	34
	e	Connectivity and Similarity of Claim and	
		Cross-claim?	34
	D	Observations and Comments	35
	i	Set-off as a Procedural Mechanism in	
		(Pre-)Classic Roman Law	35
	ii	Set-off Asserted in Court: Assertion of a	
		Countervailing Right or Assertion of a Fact?	36
	iii	Judicial Discretion	36
	iv	Summary and Outlook	37
II		Set-off in the Romanic Legal Systems	37
	A	Historical Development	38
	i	Introduction	38
	ii	Customary Law	39
	iii	Canon Law	42
	iv	Written Law	43
	v	Summary	43
	B	Set-off Under the <i>Code Civil (Compensation</i>	
		<i>Légale)</i>	45
	i	Operation <i>Ipsa Iure</i> ?	45
	ii	Requirements	47
	a	Ascertainment of Claims (Liquidity)	47
		Uncertainties Regarding the Degree of	
		Ascertainment	47
		Uncertainties Regarding the Relevant	
		Point in Time	49
	b	Reciprocity	50
	c	Similarity of Obligations	51
	d	Enforceability of Primary Claim and	
		Cross-claim	52
	e	Set-off Must Not Be Excluded by Law or	
		by Agreement	53
	f	The Role of Connectivity of Primary Claim	
		and Cross-claim	54
	iii	Effect of Legal Set-off	55
	iv	Summary	55
	C	Set-off by Agreement (<i>Compensation</i>	
		<i>Conventionnelle)</i>	56

D	Judicial Set-off (<i>Compensation Judiciaire</i>) = Counterclaim	56
E	Set-off in Other Romanic Legal Systems	59
	i Overview	59
	ii Automatic Extinction of Concurring Debts	59
	iii Ascertainment of Claims	61
G	Summary	61
III	Set-off in the Germanic Legal Systems	62
A	Historical Development	63
B	Set-off in the German Codification	65
	i Operation by Extra-judicial Declaration	66
	a Principal Rule	66
	b Set-off Declaration in Court (<i>Prozessaufrechnung</i>)	66
	Set-off Brought in Court as an Allegation of Fact	66
	Set-off Brought in Court as an Assertion of a Right	67
	ii Requirements of Set-off	69
	a Reciprocity	69
	b Similarity of Obligations	70
	c Validity, Maturity, and Enforceability of Cross-claim	72
	The Principle	72
	Statute of Limitations	72
	Enforceability of Cross-claim Only	73
	d Obstacles to Set-off	74
	e Ascertainment of the Cross-claim?	75
	f Connectivity of Primary Claim and Cross-claim?	76
	g Interplay of Ascertainment and Connectivity of Claims	77
	iii Retroactive Effect of Set-off	78
C	Set-off in Switzerland	79
	i Historical Development	79
	ii Operation by Extra-judicial Declaration	81
	a Principal Rule	81
	b Set-off Declaration in Judicial Proceedings (<i>Prozessaufrechnung</i>)	81
	iii Requirements	82
	a Reciprocity	82
	Principal Rule	82
	Exceptions	83

x Contents

	b	Similarity of Obligations	84
		Money Debts	84
		Other Obligations	85
	c	Validity, Maturity, and Enforceability of Cross-claim	85
	d	Obstacles to Set-off	87
	e	Not Required: Ascertainment and Connectivity of Claims	87
	iv	Retroactive Effect of Set-off	89
D		Summary of German and Swiss Law of Set-off	89
E		Set-off in Austria	90
	i	Introduction	90
	ii	Set-off <i>ipso iure</i> ?	91
	iii	Requirements	92
		a General Overview	92
		b In Particular: Ascertainment of Cross-claim Required?	94
	iv	Effect of Set-off	95
	v	Summary	95
F		Jurisdictions with Similar Set-off Concepts	97
G		Set-off by Agreement	97
H		Counterclaim	98
I		Summary	99
IV		Set-off in England and English-based Jurisdictions	100
	A	Statutory Set-off	101
		i History	101
		ii Operation	102
		iii Requirements	103
		a Mutuality	103
		b Money Debts	104
		c Ascertainment of Claims	105
		d Maturity and Limitation	106
		e Obstacles to Set-off	106
		f Not Required: Connectivity	107
	iv	Effect of Statutory Set-off	108
	v	Summary	108
	B	Abatement	109
		i Historical Development	109
		ii Scope of Application	110
		iii Connectivity	110
		iv Ascertainment of Claims	111
		v Operation	111
		vi Summary and Practical Significance	111

C	Equitable Set-off	112
i	Introduction	112
ii	Operation	113
iii	Requirements	114
a	General Prerequisites; 'Arising Out of the Same Transaction'	114
b	The 'Equity' Element	115
c	Ascertainment of Claims	116
d	The 'Equity Element' and Liquidity as Discretionary Instruments	116
iv	Effect	117
D	Relevance of Court Rules	117
i	Mere Regulation of Practice and Procedure	117
ii	Misunderstandings in Case Law	118
E	Contractual Set-off	120
F	Counterclaim	121
G	Summary	121
V	Comparative Analysis	123
A	Set-off as a Mainly Substantive Instrument	123
B	Broad Spectrum of Complex Rules	124
i	Different Operation Mechanisms	124
a	The <i>ipso iure</i> Operation	125
b	The Self-help or Declaration Mechanism	125
c	The Judicial Mechanism	126
ii	Requirements of Set-off in Comparison	126
iii	Possible Effects of Set-off	127
C	Conclusions	127
3	Set-off in the Conflict of Laws	129
I.	Determination of the Applicable Law in International Arbitration	129
A	Development and Current Theories	129
i	Application of the <i>Lex Loci Arbitri</i>	129
ii	Application of the Conflict of Laws Rules Which the Tribunal Considers Appropriate	131
a	Development	131
b	Application of the Choice-of-law Rules at the Seat of Arbitration	132
c	Cumulative Application of All Conflicts Rules Involved in the Dispute	133
d	Application of 'General Principles of Private International Law'	133
iii	Application of the (Substantive) Law Which the Tribunal Considers Appropriate	134

xii Contents

	a	The Principle	134
	b	Application of a Specific National Law	135
	c	Application of More Than One National Law (<i>tronc commun</i>)	135
	d	Application of A-National Rules of Law	135
B		Comparison of Law-determination Methods in Arbitration and State Court Proceedings	136
II		Law-determination for Set-off in Classic Conflict of Laws	138
	A	Application of the <i>Lex Fori</i>	139
	i	England	140
	ii	United States	141
	B	Application of the Law of the Primary Claim	142
	i	Switzerland	142
	a	Principal Rule	142
	b	Primary Claim Arising Out of a Contract	143
	c	Primary Claim Not Arising Out of a Contract	143
	ii	EC-Regulation on the Law Applicable to Contracts (Rome I)	144
	C	Cumulative Approach	146
	i	Introduction	146
	ii	The Original Concept and Its Variations	148
	D	Other Models	150
	i	Application of the Law of the Claim Which Existed First	150
	ii	Application of the Law of the Claim Which Arose Second	150
	iii	Application of the <i>Lex Loci Solutionis</i>	151
	iv	Application of the More Set-off Friendly Law	151
III		Criteria for a Suitable Rule to Determine the Law Applicable to a Set-off in International Arbitration	152
	A	Respecting Party Autonomy	153
	B	Ensuring Predictability	153
	C	Eliminating the Possibility of Manipulative Tactics	153
	D	Enhancing Practicability	154
	E	Providing Comprehensiveness	154
	F	Avouching Appropriateness	154
	i	'Appropriateness' in Classic International Contract Law—European Traditionalism and American Theories	154
	ii	'Appropriateness' of Choice-of-Law Rules in International Arbitration	157

iii	'Appropriateness' with Regard to Choice-of-Law Rules for Set-off in International Arbitration	158
a	Choice-of-law Fairness Considerations in the Traditional Conflicts Rules	158
b	Possible Fairness Considerations When Determining the Law in International Arbitration	158
	Introduction	158
	Functions of Set-off	158
	Conclusions	159
IV	Discussion of Classic Choice-of-Law Rules With Regard to Set-off	160
A	The <i>Lex Fori/Lex Loci Arbitri</i> Approach Under Scrutiny	161
i	Practicability	161
a	In State Court Proceedings	161
b	In International Arbitration	162
ii	Predictability	163
iii	Avoidance of Using Tactics	163
iv	Appropriateness?	164
v	Summary	166
B	The Primary Claim Approach Under Scrutiny	167
i	Predictability and Practicability?	167
a	Depending on Contingencies	167
b	Appropriate for Other Set-off Concepts? Judicially Operating Set-off	168
	<i>Ipsa iure</i> Set-off	169
c	Aspects Governed by the Primary Claim's Law	170
d	Foreign Law of Set-off Partially Settled in Substantive and Partially in Procedural Law	171
ii	Elimination of Manipulation?	172
iii	Appropriateness	172
iv	Summary	173
C	The Cumulative Approach Under Scrutiny	174
i	Introduction	174
ii	Practicability	175
iii	Predictability and Elimination of Manipulative Tactics	175
iv	Appropriateness	176
D	The Theory of Applying the Law of the Claim Which Existed First Under Scrutiny	177

xiv Contents

E	The Doctrine of Applying the Law of the Claim Which Arose Second Under Scrutiny	177
F	The Application of the <i>Lex Loci Solutionis</i> Under Scrutiny	178
G	The Proposal to Apply the More Set-off Friendly Law Under Scrutiny	178
H	Conclusions	179
V	Other Choice-of-Law Solutions in International Arbitration	180
A	The Cumulative Application of Conflicts Rules	180
B	The <i>Tronc Commun</i> Approach	182
C	Application of A-National Law	183
i	Introduction	183
ii	The ICC Case No 3540 of 1980	184
D	Discussion of the <i>Tronc Commun</i> Approach and the Application of A-National Law	185
i	Appropriateness	185
a	Undisguised Consideration of Substantive Appropriateness Criteria	185
b	Avoidance of ‘Nationalisation’ of the Dispute	186
ii	Practicability, Predictability and Avoidance of Manipulation Tactics	188
a	‘Ad Hoc Distillation’ of Similarities Versus One Unvarying General Principle of Set-off as the Basic Question	188
b	Advantages of Ad Hoc Distillation	188
c	Disadvantages of Ad Hoc Distillation ICC Case No 5971: an Illustrative Example	188
	Temptation to Oversimplify	190
	Temptation to Misinterpret	190
d	Progressive Summary	191
e	Advantages of Advanced <i>Voie Directe</i>	191
f	Disadvantages of Advanced <i>Voie Directe</i>	192
g	Summary	194
E	Fleshing Out a Uniform Set-off Concept	194
i	The ‘Creeping’ Codification of General Principles	194
ii	Overview of Laws and Sets of Rules Based on Comparative Analysis	198

a	UNIDROIT Principles (PICC), Principles of European Contract Law (PECL), and Draft Common Frame of Reference (DCFR)	198
	Introduction	198
	Operation of Set-off	200
	Requirements	201
	<i>Similarity</i>	201
	<i>Reciprocity</i>	201
	<i>Performability of the Primary Claim, Maturity of the Cross-claim</i>	202
	<i>Exclusion and Waiver of the Right of Set-off</i>	203
	<i>Liquidity and Connectivity</i>	203
	Effect	204
b	The Principles of the Gandolfi Group	204
c	The Netherlands NBW	205
iii	Comparative Analysis	206
a	Operation of Set-off	206
b	Similarity, Reciprocity, Enforceability of the Claims	206
c	Exclusion of Set-off	208
d	Liquidity and Connectivity	209
e	Effects of Set-off	211
f	Results	213
VI	Summary	214
4	Conclusions	216
5	Final Summary	219
	<i>Bibliography</i>	229
	<i>Index</i>	000