

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND THE REGULATION OF FAKE NEWS

Ius Comparatum – Global Studies in Comparative Law

Founding Editors

Jürgen Basedow, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law, Hamburg, Germany

George A. Bermann, Columbia University, New York, USA

Former Series Editors

Katharina Boele-Woelki, Bucerius Law School, Hamburg, Germany

Diego P. Fernández Arroyo, Institut d'Études Politiques de Paris (Sciences Po), Paris, France

Series Editors

Giuditta Cordero-Moss, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Gary Bell, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Series Assistant Editor

Philippe Blajan, IACL, Paris, France

Editorial Board Members

Ewa Baginska, Gdansk University, Gdansk, Poland

Vivian Curran, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Nicolás Etcheverry, Universidad de Montevideo, Montevideo, Uruguay

Makane Moise Mbengue, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland

Marilda Rosado de S. Ribeiro, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Marilyne Sadowsky, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, Paris, France

Dan Wei, University of Macau, Macau, China

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND THE REGULATION OF FAKE NEWS

Edited by
Oreste POLLICINO



Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1223 736 170
Email: contact@larcier-intersentia.com
www.larcier-intersentia.com

*Distribution for the UK and
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)*
NBN International
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV
Hoogstraat 139/6
1000 Brussels
Belgium
Tel: +32 (0)2 548 07 13
Email: contact@larcier-intersentia.com

Distribution for the USA and Canada
Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

Freedom of Speech and the Regulation of Fake News
© The editor and contributors severally 2023

The editor and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on cover: © clivewa / Shutterstock

ISBN 978-1-83970-356-0

ISSN 2214-6881

D/2023/7849/84

NUR 820

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This volume results from the academic contributions of all the rapporteurs, who have made possible the collation of this research through their promptness and accuracy. Therefore, my gratitude goes firstly to the rapporteurs of this book. As a general rapporteur, I would also like to thank the International Academy of Comparative Law. In addition, I appreciated the work of Intersentia, particularly the support of Rebecca Moffat in the editing and publishing stages. Lastly, I express my gratitude to Giovanni De Gregorio, who played an important role in this project, as well as to Flavia Bavetta, who supported the editing stage.

Oreste Pollicino
Milan, May 2023

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>List of Cases</i>	xv
<i>List of Rapporteurs</i>	xxv
 General Report: Freedom of Speech and the Regulation of Fake News	
Oreste POLLICINO	1
1. Introduction	2
2. Freedom of Expression and Falsehood: A Comparative Perspective	6
3. The Digital Free Marketplace of Ideas	14
4. The Role of Online Platforms	17
5. Disinformation, Public Interests and Democratic Values	20
6. Comparative Approaches in Tackling Disinformation	26
7. Conclusions	36
 Belgium	
Sarah LAMBRECHT and Elke CLOOTS	39
1. Introduction	40
2. Legal Framework: Disinformation and Freedom of Expression	41
3. Authorities: Administrative Authorities and Courts	51
4. Actors: Media Outlets and Internet Service Providers	61
5. Disinformation and Elections	67
6. Disinformation and the Pandemic	68
7. Conclusion	70
 Canada	
Pierre TRUDEL	73
1. Introduction	73
2. The Constitutional Status of False Information in Light of the Guarantee of the Freedom of Expression	74
3. Regulations Targeting the Quality of Information	77
4. Responsibilities of Internet Intermediaries	78

5.	The Challenges of Misinformation.....	80
6.	The Regulatory Approaches Recommended to Limit the Risks of False Information	82
7.	Conclusion.....	83

Croatia

	Marissabell ŠKORIĆ and Dalida RITTOSSA	85
1.	Introduction	86
2.	The Constitutional Foundations of Freedom of Expression in Croatia	87
3.	Distinguishing between Statements of Fact and Opinions/Judgements	92
4.	Fake News: Limits of Freedom of Expression	93
5.	Rectification of False News or Information.....	97
6.	The Protection of Media Pluralism.....	98
7.	Media Literacy Campaigns	100
8.	Disinformation and Fake News in Scholars' Research	101
9.	Disentangling Disinformation: Public Authorities and Court Jurisprudence	102
10.	Media Outlets and Internet Service Providers: Seeking the Truth, Responsible Reporting and Fact-Checking.....	104
11.	Public Service Broadcasters.....	115
12.	Disinformation and Elections	117
13.	The COVID-19 Infodemic: Is it Time for Radical Change in Strategies to Counter Disinformation?	123
14.	Final Remarks	126

European Union

	Giuseppe B. ABBAMONTE and Paula GORI	129
1.	Introduction: The EU Path and Freedom of Expression.....	130
2.	The Definition of Disinformation, and its Legality	135
3.	The EU Policy Strategy to Tackle Disinformation: From Self-Regulation to Co-Regulation.....	138
4.	The Code of Practice on Disinformation: The First of its Kind	145
5.	Media Pluralism and Media Freedom	148
6.	Societal Resilience, Media and Information Literacy and Quality Journalism	152
7.	The Role of ERGA, the EEAS and EDMO within the EU Policy to Tackle Disinformation.....	158
8.	Integrity of Elections	162
9.	Disinformation and the COVID-19 Pandemic	164

Finland

Riku NEUVONEN	167
1. Introduction: The Freedom of Speech Doctrine in Finland	168
2. Finnish Media Markets	180
3. Freedom of Speech and Falsehood in Finland	182
4. Self-Regulation of Media, and Media Literacy	190
5. Fake News in Scholarship and Literacy	193
6. Disinformation and Fact-Checking	195
7. The EU Democracy Plan and Tackling Misinformation.....	196

France

Pauline TÜRK	199
1. Le cadre juridique français en matière de liberté d'expression et de désinformation	202
2. Le rôle des autorités administratives et du juge en matière de désinformation	220
3. Les acteurs du secteur de la communication : médias et fournisseurs de services internet.....	224
4. La désinformation en matière électorale	233
5. Désinformation en période de pandémie de COVID-19	236

Germany

Eva Ellen WAGNER	239
1. Introduction: The Constitutional Foundations of the Freedom of Expression in Germany	240
2. Distinguishing between Statements of Fact and Opinions/Judgements ..	241
3. Dissemination of Falsehood within Specific Contexts	243
4. The Protection of Media Pluralism.....	249
5. Soft Law and Self-Regulation	254
6. Media Literacy.....	256
7. Fake News in Scholarship	256
8. Fact-Checking Mechanisms	258
9. Responsibility of Traditional Media Outlets.....	259
10. Journalistic Due Diligence Obligations for Online Offerings with Journalistic and Editorial Content	261
11. Liability of Internet Service Providers	263
12. Disinformation and Elections	268
13. Tackling Disinformation: A Delicate Task in a Liberal and Democratic Society	271

Hungary

Gábor POLYÁK	275
1. The Constitutional Foundations of Freedom of Expression in Hungary	276
2. Distinguishing between Statements of Fact and Opinions/Judgements	278
3. Policy and Academic Concepts against Spreading Disinformation	282
4. Legal Framework for the Dissemination of Untrue Statements.....	284
5. The Protection of Media Pluralism.....	291
6. Soft Law and Self-Regulation	300
7. Media Literacy and Fact-Checking	302
8. Fake News in Scholarship	303
9. Responsibility of the Players in the Media System.....	306
10. Disinformation and Elections.....	309
11. How should Lawmakers and Regulators Tackle Disinformation in Light of COVID-19?	317

Italy

Michela MANETTI.....	319
1. Constitutional Foundations of Freedom of Expression in Italy	319
2. The Debate on Falsehoods.....	322
3. Legal Provisions Banning Falsehoods	323
4. Legal Obligation to Tell the Truth	324
5. The Meaning of the Right to be Informed.....	325
6. Applicability of Journalists' Duties on Dissemination of Information on the Internet.....	327
7. Democracy and Truth	329
8. Messages that can be Banned under the Italian Constitution	332
9. The Problem of Pluralism on the Internet.....	337
10. What are the Answers?.....	340
11. Italy's Hesitation in Legislating Online Disinformation	342
12. Initiatives of Independent Agencies.....	344
13. Disinformation and Elections.....	346
14. Disinformation and the Pandemic	347

Japan

Eijiro MIZUTANI	351
1. Introduction: Freedom of Expression in the Japanese Constitution	351
2. Freedom of Expression and Falsehood in the Japanese Constitution	356
3. Countermeasures against Disinformation in Japan.....	357
4. The Dissemination of Falsehood and the Legal System	373
5. Soft Law and Media Literacy Campaigns	375

6.	Arguments by Japanese Constitutional Law Scholarship	376
7.	Administration Authorities and Courts	382
8.	Media Outlets and ISP	383
9.	Election-Related Disinformation Regulations	385
10.	A 'Democracy Action Plan' in the European Union (EU)	386
11.	Disinformation and the COVID-19 Pandemic	387

Macao SAR

Rostam J. NEUWIRTH and Li Yi	389	
1.	Introduction	389
2.	The Information Revolution	390
3.	The Legal System of the Macao SAR	393
4.	Freedom of Expression.	397
5.	Laws Regulating Disinformation	399
6.	Media Laws	406
7.	Media Pluralism	409
8.	Interim Assessment and Future Outlook	413
9.	Conclusion.....	416

Romania

Elena LAZĂR and Nicolae-Dragoș COSTESCU.....	419	
1.	Introduction	420
2.	Constitutional Foundations of Freedom of Expression and Other Legal Instruments in Romania: No Room for Protecting Falsehood	421
3.	Authorities: Administrative Authorities and Courts.....	431
4.	Main Actors in Combatting Fake News and Disinformation: Media Outlets and Internet Service Providers	437
5.	Disinformation and Elections	446
6.	Disinformation and the Pandemic.....	451
7.	Conclusion.....	458

Türkiye

Erdem İlker MUTLU and Onur URAZ.....	459	
1.	Introduction	460
2.	Constitutional Foundations of Freedom of Expression in Türkiye and its Relationship with Disinformation	461
3.	General and Contextual Provisions Concerning Disinformation (Fake News) Regulations in the Turkish Legal System and Remedies	465
4.	Protecting Media Pluralism in the Turkish Legal System	474
5.	Soft Law and Self-Regulation Measures and Media Literacy Campaigns ...	476

6.	Scholarly Works that Address the Issue of Disinformation in Türkiye	478
7.	Actions of Public Authorities and Organisations to Stop Fake News in Türkiye	483
8.	Responsibility of Media Outlets for Dissemination of Disinformation, Soft Law and Fact-Checking Mechanisms in Türkiye	489
9.	Legal Obligations and Ethical Codes of Information Operators (Journalists) and Service Providers as to Disinformation.	492
10.	Disinformation (Fake News) Regulations and Elections in Türkiye	495
11.	Can the ‘Democracy Action Plan’ Ensure Electoral Integrity, Safeguard Media Pluralism and Prevent Disinformation?	498
12.	Disinformation and the COVID-19 Pandemic in Türkiye	498
13.	Final Remarks on ‘How to Legally Reserve the Society from Aggressive Disinformation’	499
 United Kingdom		
	Peter COE	503
1.	Introduction: The Constitutional Foundations of Freedom of Expression in the UK	504
2.	Distinguishing between Statements of Fact and Opinions/Judgements	506
3.	The Draft Online Safety Bill	507
4.	Is it Illegal to Disseminate Falsehoods in the UK?	511
5.	Remedies	520
6.	The Protection of Media Pluralism.	523
7.	Soft Law and Self-Regulation	525
8.	Media Literacy.	527
9.	Fake News in Scholarship	528
10.	Fact-Checking	530
11.	Public Service Broadcasters.	531
12.	The Responsibility of Traditional Media Outlets	534
13.	The UK’s Online Liability Scheme and its Application to Fake News	535
14.	Elections and Propaganda	536
15.	The Electoral Commission, Disinformation, and Elections	538
16.	How should Lawmakers and Regulators Tackle Disinformation in Light of COVID-19?	538
 United States		
	Leslie Gielow JACOBS	541
1.	Introduction: Regulation of Fake News and the Constitutional Foundations of Freedom of Expression in the United States	542
2.	Protection of Falsehoods	547
3.	The Distinction between Statements of Facts and Opinions	550

4. General Provisions to Prevent the Spread of Misinformation and the Influence of Other Countries' Laws on US Laws	550
5. Laws Restricting Dissemination of Falsehoods within Specific Contexts	551
6. Retraction Laws	556
7. Promoting Availability of Diverse Speech	557
8. Soft Law and Self-Regulation	559
9. Adding Media Literacy to the Student Education Curriculum	560
10. Research and Recommendations about the Spread of Misinformation ..	561
11. Regulation of Traditional Media	561
12. Fact-Checking	563
13. Public Service Broadcasters	564
14. Regulation of Internet Service Providers	565
15. Election Speech Regulations	569
16. COVID-19 Falsehoods	573
17. Conclusion: Into the Future	576
Vietnam	
Tat Dung DANG	577
1. The Constitutional Framework of Fake News Regulation in Vietnam ..	577
2. The Distinction between Statements of Facts and Opinions	580
3. Soft Law in Regulating Fake News in Vietnam	582
4. Responsibility of Media and Internet Service Providers	586
5. Penalties and Remedies under Vietnamese Law	587
6. Conclusion	590
<i>Appendix: Questionnaire.</i>	593
<i>Index.</i>	603

LIST OF CASES

AUSTRALIA

<i>Bleyer v. Google Inc.</i> [2014] NSWSC 897.....	514
<i>Fairfax Media v. Voller</i> [2020] NSWCA 102.....	521
<i>Google v. Trkulja</i> [2016] VSCA 333	514
<i>Radio 2UE Sydney Pty Limited v. Chesterton</i> [2009] HCA 16; (2009) 238 CLR 460.....	517

BELGIUM

Const. Court, 12 July 1996, no. 45	45, 48
Const. Court, 12 July 1996, no. 54	46
Const. Court, 6 October 2004, no. 154	44
Const. Court, 6 October 2004, no. 157	44–46
Const. Court, 22 March 2006, no. 4	62
Const. Court, 22 March 2006, no. 47	61–62, 65
Const. Court, 12 February 2009, no. 17	44–45
Const. Court, 28 January 2015, no. 9	44–46
Const. Court, 12 February 2015, no. 18	51
Const. Court, 14 January 2016, no. 1	49
Const. Court, 28 April 2016, no. 62.....	64
Const. Court, 25 May 2016, no. 72	44–46
Const. Court, 15 March 2018, no. 31	44
Council of State, 20 April 2004, no 36.676/AV	62, 64–65
Council of State, 9 September 2021, no. 251.444.....	60, 70
Court of Appeal Gent, n° 2020/AR/666, 17 December 2020, (2021) 120 <i>Computerrecht</i> , p. 294	61
Supreme Court, 31 May 1996, <i>Arr. Cass.</i> 1996, n° 202, p. 525	61
Supreme Court, 6 March 2012, n° P.11.1374 and P.110855	62
Supreme Court, 7 October 2020, n° P.19.0644.F	62

CANADA

<i>Baglow v. Smith</i> [2015] ONSC 1175.....	515
<i>Edmonton Journal v. Alberta (Attorney General)</i> , 1989 CanLII 20 (SCC), [1989] 2 SCR 1326	75
<i>Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (Attorney General)</i> , 1989 CanLII 87 (SCC), [1989] 1 SCR 927	75

<i>Libman v. Quebec (Attorney General)</i> , 1997 CanLII 326 (SCC), [1997] 3 SCR 569	75
<i>Niemela v. Google Inc.</i> 2015 BCSC 1024	514
<i>R. v. Keegstra</i> [1990] 3 SCR 697	76
<i>R. v. Zundel</i> , 1992 CanLII 75 (SCC), [1992] 2 SCR 731	75–77
<i>Reference Re Alberta Statutes – The Bank Taxation Act; The Credit of Alberta Regulation Act; and the Accurate News and Information Act</i> , 1938 CanLII 1 (SCC), [1938] SCR 100.....	74
<i>RWDSU v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd.</i> , 1986 CanLII 5 (SCC), [1986] 2 SCR 573	75
<i>Thomson Newspapers Co. v. Canada (Attorney General)</i> , 1998 CanLII 829 (SCC), [1998] 1 SCR 877	77

COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

<i>Eva Glawischnig-Piesczek v. Facebook Ireland Limited</i> , Case C-18/18, ECLI:EU:C:2019:821	36
<i>Google v. CNIL</i> , Case C-507/17, ECLI:EU:C:2019:772	36
<i>GS Media v. Sanoma Media Netherlands</i> , Case C-160/15, ECLI:EU:C:2016:644	3
<i>L'Oréal et al. v. eBay</i> , Case C-324/09, ECLI:EU:C:2011:474	132
<i>Vivendi SA v. AGCom</i> , Case C-719/18, ECLI:EU:C:2020:627	339
<i>YouTube</i> , Joined Cases C-682/18 and <i>Cyando</i> , C-683/18, ECLI:EU:C:2021:503.....	132

CROATIA

Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, U-III-727/1997, 10 January 2001	91
Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, U-I-2833/2013, U-I-3436/2013, U-I-3882/2013, 2 December 2014.....	108
Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, U-III-2588/2016, 8 November 2016.....	92
Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, U-III-458/2018, 23 May 2019	99
Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, U-III-964/2017, 26 June 2019	91, 99
Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, U-III-4879/2020, 13 May 2021	93
Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, U-I/4525/2020, 13 July 2021	109
County Court in Karlovac, Kž-441/12, 28 March 2014	104
County Court in Rijeka, 29 Gž-1595/2019-2, 10 December 2019.....	107
County Court in Zadar, 6 Gž-2425/15-2, 2 October 2017	107
County Court in Zagreb, Kž-123/14, 21 February 2014.....	104
Municipal Court in Dubrovnik, 18.Pp 123/12-12, 16 October 2015.....	108
Municipal Misdemeanour Court in Split, 42.Pp J-1195/2020-2, 28 May 2020.....	124
Municipal Misdemeanour Court in Split, 3.Pp J-1550/2020-17, 27 November 2020.....	124–125
Municipal Misdemeanour Court in Zagreb, 14.Pp J-2397/2020-17, 14 October 2020.....	124
Supreme Court of the Republic of Croatia, Psz 114/1993-5, 15 July 1993.....	95
Supreme Court of the Republic of Croatia, Rev 134/00-2, 22 December 2003.....	121
Supreme Court of the Republic of Croatia, Rev 2238/13-2, 23 September 2015.....	107

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>Ahmet Yıldırım v. Turkey</i> , no. 3111/10, ECtHR, 18 December 2012.....	3
<i>Bladet Tromsø and Stensaas v. Norway</i> , no. 21980/93, ECtHR, 20 May 1999	423
<i>Bon v. Croatia</i> , no. 26933/15, ECtHR, 18 March 2021	90
<i>Cumpăna and Mazăre v. Romania</i> , no. 33348/96, ECtHR, 17 December 2004.....	423, 425
<i>Dink v. Turkey</i> , nos. 2668/07, 6102/08, 30079/08, 7072/09 and 7124/09, ECtHR, 14 September 2010.....	31
<i>Editorial Board of Pravoye Delo and Shtekel v. Ukraine</i> , no. 33014/05, ECtHR, 5 August 2011.....	454
<i>Handyside v. United Kingdom</i> , no. 5493/72, ECtHR, 7 December 1976.....	463
<i>Hertel v. Switzerland</i> , no. 25181/94, ECtHR, 25 August 1998	236
<i>Jersild v. Denmark</i> , no. 15890/89, ECtHR, 23 September 1994	451
<i>Jerusalem v. Austria</i> , no. 26958/95, ECtHR, 27 February 2001	424
<i>Kwiecień v. Poland</i> , no. 51744/99, ECtHR, 9 January 2007.....	30
<i>LCB v. United Kingdom</i> , no. 23413/94, ECtHR, 9 June 1998	21
<i>Leander v. Sweden</i> , no. 9248/81, ECtHR, 17 May 1985.....	455
<i>Magyar Tartalomszolgáltatók Egyesülete and Index.hu Zrt v. Hungary</i> , no. 22947/13, ECtHR, 2 February 2016	308
<i>Marunić v. Croatia</i> , no. 51706/11, ECtHR, 28 March 2017	90, 93
<i>Miljević v. Croatia</i> , no. 68317/13, ECtHR, 25 June 2020.....	90
<i>Morar v. Romania</i> , no. 25217/06, ECtHR, 7 July 2015	424
<i>N.Š. v. Croatia</i> , no. 36908/13, ECtHR 10 September 2020	90
<i>Narodni list d.d. v. Croatia</i> , no. 2782/12, ECtHR, 8 November 2018	90, 93
<i>Özgür Gündem v. Turkey</i> , no. 23144/93, ECtHR, 16 March 2000	31
<i>Perinçek v. Switzerland</i> , no. 27510/08, ECtHR, 17 December 2013	334
<i>Radobuljac v. Croatia</i> , no. 51000/11, ECtHR, 28 June 2016	90
<i>Radio France v. France</i> , no. 53984/00, ECtHR, 30 March 2004	491
<i>Roche v. United Kingdom</i> , no. 32555/96, ECtHR, 19 October 2005	21
<i>RTBF v. Belgium</i> , no. 50084/06 ECtHR, 15 September 2011	42
<i>Slava Jurišić v. Croatia</i> , no. 79584/12, ECtHR, 8 February 2018	90
<i>Stojanović v. Croatia</i> , no. 23160/09, ECtHR, 19 September 2013	90, 93
<i>Stoll v. Switzerland</i> , no. 69698/01, ECtHR, 25 April 2006.....	491
<i>Tölle v. Croatia</i> , no. 41987/13, ECtHR, 10 December 2020	90

FINLAND

<i>Helsinki Appeals Court</i> , Helsinkiin hovioikeus, tuomio 20/124654, 14.07.2020, R 19/24	185, 190
<i>KKO 2000:54</i> (ECLI:FI:KKO:2000:54)	183
<i>KKO 2005:1</i> (ECLI:FI:KKO:2005:1)	183
<i>KKO 2006:62</i> (ECLI:FI:KKO:2006:62)	183
<i>KKO 2010:47</i> (ECLI:FI:KKO:2022:47)	188
<i>KKO 2011:71</i> (ECLI:FI:KKO:2011:71)	183
<i>KKO 2022:1</i> (ECLI:FI:KKO:2022:1)	184

FRANCE

CA Douai, 19 mai 2015, n° 2015-026510	211, 235
CA Paris, 11 ^e ch., 18 mai 1988	213, 223
CA Paris, 29 octobre 1997, n° 1997-023314	212
CA Paris, 11 ^e ch., 7 janvier 1998.	213, 223
Cass., civ., 10 avril 2013, n° 11-19.530.	212
Cass., 1 ^{re} civ. 10 avril 2013, n° 12-10177.	204
Cass., crim., 16 mars 1950.	213
Cass., crim., 28 avril 1950	213, 223
Cass., crim., 21 juillet 1953	213
Cass., crim., 22 décembre 1955	213
Cass., crim., 26 juin 1968, n° 68-90074	213, 223
Cass., crim., 19 février 1987, n° 85-91705.	211, 235
Cass., crim., 27 janvier 1988, n° 86-95668	235
Cass., crim., 13 avril 1999, n° 98-83798	213
Cass., crim., 10 avril 2013, n° 11-19-530.	212
Cass., crim., 30 mars 2016, n° 15-82039	212
Cass., crim., 2 novembre 2016, n° 15-87.163	227
CE, 14 avril 1999, n° 196924.	235
CE, 29 juillet 2002, n° 240196.	236
CE, 28 mai 2021, n° 445567	235–236
Cons. const., 27 juillet 1982, n° 82-141.	202, 217
Cons. const., 10 octobre 1984, n° 84-181	202, 217
Cons. const., 29 juillet 1986, n° 86-210	217
Cons. const., 18 septembre 1986, n° 86-217	217
Cons. const., 3 mars 2009, n° 2009-577.	203, 217, 230
Cons. const., 10 juin 2009, n° 2009-580	202–203
Cons. const., 10 mars 2011, n° 2011-625	210
Cons. const., 28 février 2012, n° 2012-647	203
Cons. const., 8 janvier 2016, n° 2015-512.	220
Cons. const., 16 mars 2017, n° 2017-747	206, 238
Cons. const., 20 décembre 2018, n° 2018-773	203, 205, 209, 337
TGI Nanterre, 14 ^e ch., 13 décembre 2000.....	213
TGI Paris, 13 mai 2016, <i>X. v. Google Inc.</i>	342
TGI Paris, 1 ^{re} ch., 17 décembre 1986.....	213
TGI Paris, 17 ^e ch., 10 juin 1997	224
TGI Paris, 17 mai 2019 n. 19/53935, <i>Vieu and Ouzoulias v. Twitter France.</i>	30

GERMANY

BGH, GRUR, [1955], p. 97	264
BGH, NJW, [1958], p. 797.	245
BGH, NJW, [1993], p. 930.	242
BGH, NJW-RR, [1994], p. 1242	242
BGH, NJW, [1997], p. 2513.	242
BGH, GRUR, [2009], p. 1142	265

BGH, <i>GRUR</i> , [2013], p. 370	265
BGH, <i>MMR</i> , [2016], p. 210	265
BGH, <i>NJW</i> , [2016], p. 2106.....	265
BGH, <i>NJW</i> , [2017], p. 482	242
BGH, <i>ZUM-RD</i> , [2019], p. 203.....	265
BGH, <i>GRUR</i> , [2020], p. 886	242
BGHZ 191, 219 [2011].....	264
BGHZ 202, 242 [2014].....	264
BVerfG, <i>NJW</i> , [1982], p. 2655.....	241
BVerfG, <i>NJW</i> , [2002], p. 2939.....	270
BVerfG, <i>NVwZ</i> , [2019], p. 1125	267
BVerfG, <i>NJW</i> , [2021], p. 1585.....	249
BVerfG, <i>NVwZ</i> [2021], p. 1283.....	250–251, 260
BVerfGE 7, 198 [1958].....	240–241
BVerfGE 12, 113 [1961].....	260
BVerfGE 33, 1 [1972].....	241
BVerfGE 42, 163 [1976].....	240
BVerfGE 54, 208 [1980].....	242, 260
BVerfGE 57, 295 [1981].....	250, 260
BVerfGE 61, 1 [1982].....	242
BVerfGE 65, 1 [1983].....	4
BVerfGE 73, 118 [1986].....	250, 261
BVerfGE 74, 297 [1987].....	250
BVerfGE 83, 238 [1990].....	250
BVerfGE 85, 1 [1991].....	240, 242
BVerfGE 90, 60 [1993].....	250
BVerfGE 90, 241 [1994].....	242
BVerfGE 93, 266 [1995].....	242
BVerfGE 97, 125 [1998].....	260
BVerfGE 99, 185 [1998].....	242, 260
BVerfGE 103, 111 [2000].....	268
BVerfGE 114, 371 [2005].....	250
BVerfGE 119, 181 [2007].....	250
BVerfGE 124, 300 [2009].....	240–241
BVerfGE 136, 9 [2013].....	250
BVerfGE 149, 222 [2018].....	250–251, 272
Higher Administrative Court Berlin, 23.04.2021 – OVG 11 S 56/21	258
Higher Regional Court Dresden, 16.06.2020 – 4 U 2890/19	32
Higher Regional Court Karlsruhe, 27.05.2020 – 6 U 36/20	258
Higher Regional Court Karlsruhe, 14.07.2021 – 6 W 8/21	259

HONG KONG

<i>Oriental Press Group v. Fevaworks Solutions</i> [2013] HKFCA 47	513
<i>Tse Wai Chun Paul v. Albert Cheng</i> [2000] HKCFA 86; [2001] EMLR 31	505
<i>Yeung v. Google Inc.</i> [2014] HKCFI 1404	514

HUNGARY

Const. Court no. 30/1992, (V. 26.) AB.....	277, 291–294
Const. Court no. 37/1992, (VI. 10.) AB	278, 292–293
Const. Court no. 18/2000, (VI. 6.) AB.....	277
Const. Court no. 18/2004, (V. 25.) AB.....	292
Const. Court no. 165/2011, (XII. 20.) AB.....	292–294
Const. Court no. 19/2014, (V. 30.) AB.....	308
Const. Court no. 5/2015, (II. 25.) AB	310–312, 449
Const. Court no. 9/2015, (IV. 23.) AB	310
Const. Court no. 34/2017, (XII. 11.) AB.....	279
Const. Court no. 8/2018, (VII. 5.) AB	279
Const. Court no. 3107/2018, (IV. 9.) AB.....	310–312
Const. Court no. 3240/2019, (X. 17.) AB	310
Const. Court no. 15/2020, (VII. 8.) AB.....	312–313
Debrecen Court of Appeal Pf.II.20.096/2012/4.....	281
Kúria Pfv.IV.21.882/2015/3.....	281
Kúria Pfv.IV.22.430/2016/4.....	281

ITALY

Cass. Civ., Sec. I, no. 5259/1984	325, 327
Cass. Civ., Sec. I, no. 23461/2016	328
Cass. Civ., Sec. I, no. 7708/2019	342
Cass. Civ., Sec. V, no. 4873/2017	323
Cass. Civ., Sec. V, no. 2929/2019	323
Cass. Civ., Sec. V, no. 3148/2019	328
Cass. Pen., Sec. I, no. 507/1985.....	335
Cass. Pen., Sec. III, no. 5107/2014	341
Cass. Pen., Sec. III, no. 38880/2019	324
Cass. Pen., Sec. V, no. 35511/2010	328
Cass. Pen., Sec. V, no. 10594/2013	328
Cass. Pen., Sec. V, no. 54946/2016	342
Cass. Pen., Sec. V, no. 1275/2019	328
Cass. Pen., SSUU, no. 31022/2015	328
Cass. Pen., SSUU, no. 27675/2019	328
Cons. St., Sec. III, no. 5426/2020	350
Cons St., Sec. VI, no. 1709/2011.....	347
Cons. St., Sec. VI, nos. 4244/2020 and 4245/2020.....	350
Const. Court, no. 1/1957.....	321
Const. Court, no. 74/1958.....	321
Const. Court no. 19/1962	322
Const. Court, no. 68/1965.....	324
Const. Court, no. 84/1969.....	321
Const. Court, no. 199/1972.....	322
Const. Court, no. 225/1974.....	321
Const. Court, no. 202/1976	321
Const. Court no. 210/1976	322

Const. Court, no. 16/1981	324
Const. Court, no. 148/1981	321
Const. Court, no. 231/1985	324
Const. Court, no. 826/1988	321
Const. Court, no. 420/1994	338
Const. Court, no. 466/2002	338
Const. Court, no. 150/2021	329
Court of Milan, 23 May 2013	342
Court of Napoli, ord. 4 November 2016, in <i>Giurisprudenza Italiana</i> , [2017], p. 629	342
Tribunal of Rome, <i>CasaPound v. Facebook</i> , Order of 29 April 2020	32

JAPAN

Sup. Court, August 6, 1952, Keishu (Supreme Court Reports (criminal cases)), vol. 6, no. 8, p. 974	355
Sup. Court, 25 June 1969, Keishu (Supreme Court Reports (criminal cases)), vol. 23, no. 7, p. 975	356
Sup. Court, 26 November 1969, Keishu (Supreme Court Reports (criminal cases)), vol. 23, no. 11, p. 1490	355
Sup. Court, 31 May 1978, Keishu (Supreme Court Reports (criminal cases)), vol. 32, no. 3, p. 457	355
Sup. Court, 28 September 1990, Keishu (Supreme Court Reports (criminal cases)), vol. 44, no. 6, p. 463	354
Sup. Court, 15 March 2010, Keishu, vol. 64, no. 2, p. 1	383
Sup. Court, 22 June 1983, Minshu, vol. 37, no. 5, p. 793	353, 378
Sup. Court, 24 April 1987, Minshu (Supreme Court Reports (civil cases)), vol. 41, no. 3, p. 490	374
Sup. Court, 8 March 1989, Minshu (Supreme Court Reports (civil cases)), vol. 43, no. 2, p. 89	355, 378
Sup. Court, 25 November 2004, Minshu (Supreme Court Reports (civil cases)), vol. 58, no. 8, p. 2326	374
Sup. Court, 3 October 2006, Minshu (Supreme Court Reports (civil cases)), vol. 60, no. 8, p. 2647	355
Tokyo District Ct., 26 May 1997, H.J (Hanrei Jiho: Law Cases Reports) No. 1610, p. 22	385

NEW ZEALAND

<i>A v. Google New Zealand Limited</i> [2012] NZHC 2352	514
---	-----

ROMANIA

Bucharest 3rd District Court, no. 11469, 29.06.2013	437
Bucharest Court of Appeal, 4th Sec., no. 1517A/2018	443
Bucharest Tribunal, 4th Sec., no. 360/2015	442

Bucharest Tribunal, no. 352/2015, 30.01.2015	437
Const. Court no. 203/2018, published in the Of. M. no. 600/13.07.2018.....	427
Court of Cassation and Justice, no. 5807/2013.....	424
Court of Cassation and Justice, Administrative and Tax Litigation	
Chamber, no. 7508/2013	441
Court of Cassation and Justice, Administrative and Tax Litigation	
Chamber, no. 602/2014.....	441
Court of Cassation and Justice, Administrative and Tax Litigation	
Chamber, no. 3803/2014.....	441
Court of Cassation and Justice, Administrative and Tax Litigation	
Chamber, no. 5605/2019.....	436
Court of Cassation and Justice, First Civil Chamber, no. 3309/2013	441
Iasi District Court, Civil Division, no. 12831/2012.....	449
Ploiești Court of Appeal, Administrative and Tax Litigation Chamber, no. 221/2016.....	449
Valcea Tribunal, no. 1935/2012	436

TÜRKİYE

Constitutional Court, <i>Bekir Coskun</i> , Application No. 2014/12151, 04.06.2015.....	473
Constitutional Court, <i>Birgün İletişim ve Yayıncılık Ticaret A.Ş. [GK]</i> ,	
Application No. 2015/18936, 22.05.2019	465, 491, 495
Constitutional Court, <i>Cahit Yiğit</i> , Application No. 2016/2736, 27.11.2019.....	473
Constitutional Court, <i>Ertan Erçikti (3)</i> , Application No. 2018/14040, 30.06.2021	495
Court of Cassation, 16th Criminal Chamber, 24.09.2020	488
Court of Cassation, 19th Criminal Chamber, 18.09.2017, Merits: 2017/3830.....	465
Court of Cassation, 19th Criminal Chamber, 08.02.2021, Merits: 2020/4751,	
Decree: 2021/1235	488–489
Court of First Instance, Istanbul 10th Commercial, 29.06.2020, Merits:	
2019/318, Decree: 2020/361	489
Regional Court of Appeal, Istanbul 13th Civil Chamber, 16.04.2021,	
Merits: 2019/1405, Decree: 2021/523	488

UNITED KINGDOM

<i>Attorney-General v. Guardian Newspapers Ltd (No. 2)</i> [1990] 1 AC 109	534
<i>British Chiropractic Association v. Singh</i> [2010] EWCA Civ 350	504
<i>Brutus v. Cozens</i> [1973] AC 854	504
<i>Budu v. BBC</i> [2010] EWHC 616 (QB).....	514
<i>Bunt v. Tilly and Others</i> [2007] 1 WLR 1243	513
<i>Economou v. de Freitas</i> [2018] EWCA Civ 2591; [2019] EMLR 7	515, 530
<i>Godfrey v. Demon Internet Ltd</i> [2001] QB 201	513
<i>Jameel v. Wall Street Journal Europe</i> [2007] 1 AC	504
<i>Joseph v. Spiller</i> [2011] 1 AC 852	504
<i>Lowe v. Associated Newspapers Limited</i> , 2006, EWHC 320 (QB); 2007, QB 580.....	505
<i>Lyon v. Daily Telegraph</i> [1943] 1 KB 746 CA	505
<i>McAlpine v. Bercow</i> [2013] EWHC 1342 (QB).....	514
<i>McGrath & Another v. Dawkins & Others</i> [2012] Info TLR 72	516

<i>Metropolitan International Schools Limited v. Designtechnica Corp. and Others</i>	
[2011] 1 WLR 1743	513
<i>Monroe v. Hopkins</i> [2017] EWHC 433 (QB).....	514
<i>Observer and Guardian v. United Kingdom</i> (1992) 14 EHRR 153	534
<i>R (on the application of Children's Rights Alliance for England) v. Secretary of State for Justice</i> [2013] EWCA Civ 34.....	506
<i>R (on the application of Quark Fishing Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs</i> [2006] 1 AC 529.....	505
<i>R (on the application of Ullah) v. Special Adjudicator</i> [2004] 2 AC 323.....	505
<i>Ratcliffe v. Evans</i> [1892] 2 QB 524; <i>Joyce v. Motor Surveys Limited</i> [1948] Ch 252	517
<i>Redmond-Bate v. DPP</i> [2000] HRLR 249; (1999) 7 BHRC 375; [1999] Crim LR 998	504
<i>Reynolds v. Times Newspapers Limited</i> [2001] 2 AC 127 (HL).....	504–505, 515, 530
<i>Serafin v. Malkiewicz & Others</i> [2020] UKSC 23	516
<i>Silkin v. Beaverbrook Newspapers Ltd</i> [1958] 1 WLR 743	504
<i>Slim v. Daily Telegraph</i> [1968] 2 QB 157 CA.....	505
<i>Sooben v. Badal</i> [2017] EWHC 2638 (QB)	516
<i>Spiller v. Joseph</i> [2011] 1 AC 852	504
<i>Stocker v. Stocker</i> [2019] UKSC 17.....	514
<i>Tamiz v. Google Inc.</i> [2013] 1 WLR 2151.....	512
<i>Telnikoff v. Matusevitch</i> [1992] 2 AC 343	507
<i>Tesla v. BBC</i> [2011] EWHC 2760 (QB)	398

UNITED STATES

<i>Abrams v. United States</i> 250 U.S. 616 (1919).....	4, 7
<i>Associated Press v. United States</i> 326 U.S. 1 (1945).....	523, 558
<i>Associated Press v. Walker</i> 398 U.S. 28 (1967).....	548
<i>Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette</i> 319 U.S. 624 (1943).....	546
<i>Beverage Ass'n v. City of San Francisco</i> 871 F.3d 884 (9th Cir. 2017).....	552
<i>Blumen v. Fed. Election Comm'n</i> 800 F. Supp. 2d 281 (D.D.C. 2011) <i>aff'd</i> 565 U.S. 1104 (2012)	571
<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i> , 395 U.S. 444 (1969).....	354
<i>Brown v. Hartlage</i> 456 U.S. 45 (1982)	549
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i> 424 U.S. 1 (1976)	546
<i>Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corp. v. Public Service Commission</i> 447 U.S. 557 (1980)	324, 548
<i>Citizen Pub. Co. v. United States</i> 394 U.S. 131 (1969)	558
<i>Citizens United v. Fed. Election Comm'n</i> 558 U.S. 310 (2010).....	546, 571
<i>Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts</i> 389 U.S. 889 (1967)	548
<i>Doe v. MySpace, Inc.</i> 528 F.3d 413 (5th Cir. 2008)	566
<i>F.C.C. v. Prometheus Radio Project</i> 141 S. Ct. 1150 (2021)	558
<i>Falwell v. Hustler</i> 485 U.S. 46 (1988)	550
<i>Garrison v. Louisiana</i> 379 U.S. 64 (1964)	555
<i>Gertz v. Robert Welsh, Inc.</i> 418 U.S. 323 (1974)	14, 548, 550
<i>Gitlow v. New York</i> 268 U.S. 652 (1925).....	544
<i>Hustler Magazine, Inc. v. Falwell</i> 485 U.S. 46 (1988)	549
<i>In re 2014 Quadrennial Regulatory Review – Order on Reconsideration and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</i> 32 FCC Rcd. 9802 (2017)	558

<i>Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v. Trump</i>	
302 F.Supp.3d 541 (S.D.N.Y. 2018)	32
<i>Miami Herald Publ'g Co. v. Tornillo</i> 418 U.S 241 (1974)	562
<i>Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.</i> 497 U.S. 1 (1990)	550
<i>Minnesota v. Mansky</i> 138 S. Ct. 1876 (2018)	569
<i>N.Y. Times Co. v. United States</i> 403 U.S. 713 (1971).....	562
<i>Nat'l Inst. of Family & Life Advocates v. Becerra</i> 138 S. Ct. 2361 (2018)	545–547
<i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i> 376 U.S. 254 (1964).....	548
<i>Packingham v. North Carolina</i> 137 S. Ct. 1730 (2017).....	32, 567
<i>Reed v. Town of Gilbert</i> 576 U.S. 155 (2015)	545–546
<i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i> 521 U.S. 844 (1997)	4, 32
<i>Rosenberger v. Rector</i> 515 U.S. 819 (1995).....	546
<i>Schenck v. United States</i> 249 U.S. 47 (1919).....	556
<i>Simon & Schuster v. Members of the N.Y. State Crime Victims Bd.</i> 502 U.S. 105 (1991)	545
<i>Snyder v. Phelps</i> 562 U.S. 443 (2011)	549
<i>Sorrell v. IMS Health, Inc.</i> 564 U.S. 552 (2011)	547
<i>Time, Inc. v. Hill</i> 385 U.S. 374 (1967).....	548
<i>Turner Broad. Sys., Inc. v. F.C.C.</i> 512 U.S. 622 (1994)	546
<i>United States v. Alvarez</i> 567 U.S. 709 (2012).....	545–549, 555–556, 563
<i>United States v. Stevens</i> 559 U.S. 460 (2010)	546
<i>Va. State Bd. of Pharmacy v. Va. Citizens Consumer Council, Inc.</i> 425 U.S. 748 (1976)	547–548
<i>Whitney v. California</i> , 274 U.S. 357 (1927)	546, 549
<i>Wooley v. Maynard</i> 430 U.S. 705 (1977)	546
<i>Yahoo! Licra</i> case 169 F. Supp. 2d 1181 (N.D. Cal. 2001)	37
<i>Zeran v. Am. Online</i> 129 F.3d 327 (4th Cir. 1997)	566

LIST OF RAPPORTEURS

Oreste Pollicino

Full Professor of Constitutional Law, University of Bocconi, Milan, Italy; Member of the Executive Board and Managing Board, European Agency for Fundamental Rights, Vienna, Austria.

Giuseppe B. Abbamonte

Director for Media Policy, DG Connect, European Commission.

Elke Cloots

Professor of Media Law, University of Antwerp; Attorney at the Antwerp Bar, Belgium.

Peter Coe

Associate Professor in Law, Birmingham Law School, University of Birmingham; Senior Visiting Research Fellow, School of Law, University of Reading; Associate Research Fellow, Institute of Advanced Legal Studies and Information Law and Policy Centre, University of London, United Kingdom.

Nicolae-Dragoș Costescu

Lecturer, Law Faculty, University of Bucharest, Romania.

Tat Dung Dang

Senior Lecturer, Hochiminh City University of Law, Vietnam.

Paula Gori

Secretary-General, European Digital Media Observatory, European University Institute.

Leslie Gielow Jacobs

Justice Anthony M. Kennedy Professor of Law and Executive Director, Capital Center for Law & Policy, McGeorge School of Law, University of the Pacific, United States.

Sarah Lambrecht

Magistrate (*referendaris*), Constitutional Court of Belgium; Affiliated Postdoctoral Researcher, University of Antwerp, Belgium.

Elena Lazăr

Associate Professor, Law Faculty, University of Bucharest, Romania.

Li Yi

PhD Student, Faculty of Law, University of Macau, Macao (China).

Michela Manetti

Full Professor of Constitutional Law, University of Siena and University of Roma Tre, Italy; Director of the ‘Giurisprudenza costituzionale’ Review.

Eijiro Mizutani

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sociology, Kansai University, Japan.

Erdem İlker Mutlu

Associate Professor of International Law, Hacettepe University, Türkiye.

Riku Neuvonen

Senior Lecturer of Public Law, Faculty of Management and Business, Tampere University; Senior University Researcher, Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki, Finland.

Rostam J. Neuwirth

Professor of Law and Head of Department of Global Legal Studies, Faculty of Law, University of Macau, Macao (China).

Gábor Polyák

Professor for Media Law and Media Policy and Head of the Media and Communication Department, Eötvös Loránd University; Senior Research Fellow, Institute for Legal Studies, Centre for Social Sciences; Leading Researcher, Mertek Media Monitor, Hungary.

Dalida Rittossa

Associate Professor in Law, Faculty of Law, University of Rijeka, Croatia.

Marissabell Škorić

Full Professor in Law, Faculty of Law, University of Rijeka, Croatia.

Pierre Trudel

Full Professor, Public Law Research Center, Faculty of Law, University of Montreal; Associate Researcher, Center for Media Studies, Laval University; Member of the Royal Society of Canada.

Pauline Türk

Professeur de droit public et Directrice du Centre d'études et de recherche en droit administratif, constitutionnel, financier et fiscal (CERDACCFF), Université Côte d'Azur, France [Full Professor of Public Law and Director of the Centre for Study and Research in Administrative, Constitutional, Financial and Tax Law, Faculty of Law and Political Science, University Côte d'Azur, France].

Onur Uraz

Lecturer of International Law, Hacettepe University, Türkiye.

Eva Ellen Wagner

Private Lecturer in Law, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany.