

## LEAVING, ENTERING, AND REMAINING

Human Rights Research Series, Volume 99.

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

# Leaving, Entering, and Remaining

Seeking Asylum in an  
Extraterritorial World

Mary Jessie DICKSON



INTERSENTIA

Antwerpen – Gent – Cambridge

Intersentia Ltd  
8 Wellington Mews | Wellington Street  
Cambridge | CB1 1HW | United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1223 736 170  
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and the rest of the world (incl. Eastern Europe):*

NBN International  
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley  
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD  
United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331  
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

*Distribution for Europe:*

Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV  
Hoogstraat 139/6  
1000 Brussels  
Belgium  
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

*Distribution for the USA and Canada:*

Independent Publishers Group  
Order Department  
814 North Franklin Street  
Chicago, IL60610  
USA  
Tel.: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1312 337 5985  
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

Leaving, Entering, and Remaining. Seeking Asylum in an Extraterritorial World  
© Mary Jessie Dickson

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover design by Danny Juchtmans / [www.dsigngraphics.be](http://www.dsigngraphics.be)  
Artwork on cover © Daniel Vernon / Alamy Stock Photo

ISBN 978-1-83970-353-9 (paperback)  
ISBN 978-1-83970-354-6 (PDF)  
D/2023/7849/49

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

# PREFACE

In the interests of clarity, all references are done in the OSCOLA Style. Where information was unclear or missing then the general principles of OSCOLA were followed.

This thesis mostly focuses on policies that were first suggested in 2016. However, as there have been major developments in the field of asylum since then, some account is also given of events up until the Spring of 2022.

All websites were accurate on 15 July 2022.



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMF	Asylum and Migration Fund
AMIF	Asylum Migration and Integration Fund
CAT	Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
CEAS	Common European Asylum System
CFR	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EEA	European Economic Area
ERF	European Refugee Fund
ETS	European Union Emissions Trading System
EU	European Union
GGE	Greenhouse Gas Emission
HRC	Human Rights Committee
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
ICCPR	International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights
ICJ	International Court of Justice
IOM	International Organisation for Migration
MIP	Migrant Interdiction Program
PNG	Papua New Guinea
RDPs	Regional Disembarkation Platforms
RSC	Resettlement Support Centres
SSAR	Support Platform for the Solutions Strategy for Afghan Refugees
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
US	United States of America
USRAP	United States Refugees Admissions Program



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I could write more than a thousand words to thank all the wonderful people who have been there with me while writing this thesis. They often say that writing a thesis can be a lonely experience and, in some ways, I would have to agree with this. But I was lucky to have a warm circle of beautiful people around me who definitely kept me going when the thesis seemed too much! This is a small chance to say thank you to each of you.

Firstly, I would like to start by thanking my supervision team consisting of Professor Terlouw, Professor de Waele, and Dr Zwaan; Ashley, Henri, and Karin. I am grateful to each of you for the time you have spent on this project. Without your input, direction, prompting, cajoling, this thesis would not have come to be. Many times, you helped to clear the fog so that I could see the top of the mountain. I am honoured that you provided me with the opportunity to learn from each of you and that you put your faith in me to complete this project. And so, my humblest of thanks are reserved for each of you. I would also like to extend my thanks to my manuscript committee for taking the time to read and comment on my thesis. More broadly, at Radboud I found an academic community to be a part of in the International and European Law Department and the Centre for Migration Law. I am grateful for the walks, lunches, invitations to dinner, ‘borrels’, and social support that I received from you. When I required a much-needed thesis break, Marc Veenbrink would be ‘doing a round’ to collect colleagues for a quick coffee break. I was also fortunate to be part of a stellar cohort of fellow PhD candidates both at Radboud and further afield. This provided an extra source of support and so special thanks goes to Amélie Poméon, Stephanie Hötte, Naïda Jasarevic, Jesse Klaasen, Kris van der Pas, Susan Andriessen, Belle Beems, Dario Džananovic, Ellen Nissen and Annick Pijneburg.

Secondly, I would also like to thank those in my circle of friends that I met outside of Radboud. First in my mind is the Clara Wichmannlaan where I lived for most of the duration of my thesis. During this time, I was privileged to live with (at various times) Anne van Sluijs, Katherine Marcoux, Amélie Poméon, and Clara Ekerdt. Each of you provided my base in my home away from home. I am deeply appreciative of the ‘gezellige’ environment each of you contributed to at the Clara Wichmannlaan. It was always a joy to return home and both share and hear about how our days had gone. Not to mention all the adventures with landlords and their mothers! Another group of people to whom I wish to give my deepest thanks to are Noni Doomen, Homme and Madeleen Meima, Hans and Martine Krabbendam, Ineke Bubberman, Rohdé de Graaf, and Jeremy Ligtenberg. Each of you took me into your homes at different times. In particular, when

I was far from family during the pandemic, you all stepped in to offer a warmth and comfort that I will never forget.

Thirdly, and finally, my last thanks go to my family. Mum, you have been my rock and support throughout this project. You were always there to take my phone calls and provide a listening ear. Dad, you gave me my interest in subjects like justice and equality and so in some ways, you planted the seeds of what would become this book. Not to mention that when I returned home to Scotland to your delicious food was always and still is a special treat. To Katie, I still hold very fondly in my memory the time when you came over to Nijmegen with Alan and we taught everyone Scottish dances. May the fun continue! I also wish to give a mention to my grandparents. My Papa Dickson passed away a few years before this thesis began and I sadly lost my Granny Dickson and Grandpa Jack during the writing of this thesis with my Gran Jack remaining. Nonetheless, the presence of these four people in my life has made me who I am today.

Sometimes writers dedicate their book to specific people. But I find this hard to do as there are so many of you that have meant so much to me throughout this process. And so, this book is dedicated to each of you. I sincerely mean this, because without your input, in whatever way you gave, this book would not have been completed. I am forever indebted.

Yours,

Mary.

Glasgow, December 2022.

# CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i> .....	v
<i>List of Abbreviations</i> .....	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i> .....	ix

## **Chapter 1.**

<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
1.1 Setting the Scene .....	1
1.2 A Framework of Asylum-Seeking: Leaving, Entering, and Remaining .....	5
1.3 Terminology .....	7
1.3.1 Refugee/Asylum Seeker .....	7
1.3.2 Which States are Involved: Destination States and More .....	8
1.3.3 Defining Extraterritorial Processing .....	9
1.4 Objective .....	13
1.5 Research Questions .....	14
1.6 Methodology .....	15
1.6.1 Legality .....	15
1.6.2 Legitimacy .....	17
1.6.3 Legality and Legitimacy .....	20
1.7 Structure of the book .....	21

## PART I.

TERRITORIAL ASYLUM .....	23
--------------------------	----

## **Chapter 2.**

<b>A Legal Understanding of Territorial Asylum</b> .....	25
2.1 Introduction .....	25
2.2 Leaving .....	26
2.2.1 The Content of the Right to Leave in International Law .....	27
2.2.2 The Interpretation of the Right to Leave by the Human Rights Committee and the European Court of Human Rights .....	29
2.2.2.1 Communications of the Human Rights Committee on the Right to Leave .....	30
2.2.2.2 Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights on the Right to Leave .....	32
2.2.3 The Right to Leave and Territorial Asylum .....	36

2.3	Entering. . . . .	36
2.3.1	To Whom and to Which Actions Does <i>Non-Refoulement</i> Apply? . . . . .	38
2.3.2	Where Does <i>Non-Refoulement</i> Apply? . . . . .	40
2.3.3	<i>Non-Refoulement</i> and Territorial Asylum . . . . .	45
2.4	Remaining. . . . .	47
2.4.1	Safe Third Country Rules, the EU-Turkey Statement, and Readmission Agreements. . . . .	48
2.4.2	Territorial Asylum and Remaining . . . . .	50
2.5	Conclusion . . . . .	51

**Chapter 3.**

**Two Ethical Perspectives on Asylum Obligations . . . . . 53**

3.1	Introduction . . . . .	53
3.2	Communitarianism and Liberalism. . . . .	54
3.2.1	Communitarianism . . . . .	55
3.2.1.1	Where, Geographically, Are Moral Obligations Owed by the State Towards Refugees/Asylum Seekers? . . . . .	57
3.2.1.2	What is Owed to Refugees/Asylum Seekers in These Geographical Contexts? . . . . .	59
3.2.1.3	To Whom Are These Obligations Owed . . . . .	61
3.2.1.4	Preliminary Conclusion . . . . .	63
3.2.2	Liberalism. . . . .	64
3.2.2.1	Where, Geographically, Are Obligations Owed by States Towards Refugees/Asylum Seekers? Proximity . . . . .	65
3.2.2.2	What is Owed to Refugees/Asylum Seekers in These Geographical Contexts? . . . . .	65
3.2.2.3	To Whom Are These Obligations Owed? . . . . .	66
3.2.2.4	Preliminary Conclusion. . . . .	69
3.3	Implications for Territorial Asylum: Leaving, Entering, Remaining, and Legitimacy. . . . .	70
3.3.1	Territorial Asylum. . . . .	70
3.3.2	Leaving, Entering, and Remaining. . . . .	71
3.3.3	Legitimacy . . . . .	72
3.4	Conclusion . . . . .	74

PART II.

EXTRATERRITORIALITY IN CONTEXT . . . . . 77

**Chapter 4.**

**Case Study: Australia and the US . . . . . 79**

4.1	Introduction . . . . .	79
4.2	Resettlement . . . . .	79

4.2.1	UNHCR Definition of Resettlement . . . . .	80
4.2.2	The Australian Resettlement Policy . . . . .	81
4.2.2.1	Numbers Admitted to Australia’s Resettlement Policy . . . . .	82
4.2.2.2	Selection Criteria Used in Australia’s Resettlement Policy . . . . .	83
4.2.3	The US’s Resettlement Policy . . . . .	84
4.2.3.1	Numbers Admitted to the US Resettlement Policy . . . . .	84
4.2.3.2	Selection Criteria Used . . . . .	86
4.2.4	Resettlement: Possible Lessons for the EU in Relation to Leaving, Entering, and Remaining . . . . .	87
4.3	Extraterritorial Processing . . . . .	90
4.3.1	Leaving . . . . .	90
4.3.1.1	The Australian Extraterritorial Processing Policy . . . . .	90
4.3.1.2	The US’s Extraterritorial Processing Policy . . . . .	93
4.3.1.3	The Effect on Leaving . . . . .	93
4.3.2	Entering . . . . .	94
4.3.2.1	The Australian Extraterritorial Processing Policy and <i>Non- Refoulement</i> . . . . .	95
4.3.2.2	The US’s Extraterritorial Processing Policy and <i>Non- Refoulement</i> . . . . .	97
4.3.2.3	Effect on Entering . . . . .	99
4.3.3	Remaining . . . . .	99
4.3.3.1	The Australian Extraterritorial Processing Policy . . . . .	99
4.3.3.2	The US’s Extraterritorial Processing Policy . . . . .	101
4.3.3.3	The Effect on Remaining . . . . .	102
4.3.4	Extraterritorial Processing: Possible Lessons for the EU in Relation to Leaving, Entering, and Remaining . . . . .	102
4.4	Conclusion . . . . .	104
<b>Chapter 5.</b>		
<b>Case Study: EU Environmental Law and EU Competition Law . . . . .</b>		
<b>107</b>		
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	107
5.2	EU Environmental Law and Extraterritoriality . . . . .	108
5.2.1	The EU and Greenhouse Gas Emissions – A Case of Potential Regulatory Overreach? . . . . .	109
5.2.2	Transboundary Harm: Due Diligence in Environmental Law . . . . .	112
5.3	Competition Law: The Qualified Effects Doctrine . . . . .	114
5.3.1	Extraterritoriality in EU Competition Law . . . . .	115
5.3.2	The Qualified Effects Doctrine . . . . .	115
5.4	Can Lessons from EU Environmental Law and EU Competition Law be Learnt for the Extraterritorial Processing of Asylum Claims? . . . . .	117
5.4.1	Possible Lessons to be Learnt: Leaving . . . . .	118
5.4.2	Possible Lessons to be Learnt in Relation to Entering . . . . .	119

5.4.3	Possible Lessons to be Learnt in Relation to Remaining . . . . .	120
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	121

PART III.

THE EXTRATERRITORIAL PROCESSING OF ASYLUM CLAIMS BY THE EU . . . 123

**Chapter 6.**

**EU Plans for Extraterritorial Processing: Resettlement and Regional Disembarkation Platforms . . . . . 125**

6.1	Introduction . . . . .	125
6.2	Terminology . . . . .	125
6.3	Resettlement to the EU . . . . .	127
6.3.1	AMIF and AMF . . . . .	127
6.3.2	Proposal for a Resettlement Regulation. . . . .	130
6.3.2.1	Aims . . . . .	131
6.3.2.2	Definition of Resettlement, Eligibility Criteria and Exclusion Grounds . . . . .	131
6.3.2.3	Cooperation with Third Countries. . . . .	133
6.3.2.4	How Will the Process Work? . . . . .	134
6.4	Regional Disembarkation Platforms. . . . .	136
6.4.1	Disembarkation . . . . .	136
6.4.1.1	Scenario 1 . . . . .	137
6.4.1.2	Scenario 2 . . . . .	137
6.4.1.3	Scenario 3 . . . . .	138
6.4.2	Procedures at the RDPs and Processing Centres . . . . .	138
6.5	Comparison with Australia and the US. . . . .	139
6.5.1	Access-Driven Extraterritorial Processing? . . . . .	140
6.5.2	Containment-Driven Extraterritorial Processing? . . . . .	140
6.5.3	The Right to Seek Asylum <i>versus</i> the Right of the State to Control its Borders . . . . .	141
6.6	Conclusion . . . . .	143

**Chapter 7.**

**The Legality of Extraterritorial Processing by the EU. . . . . 145**

7.1	Introduction . . . . .	145
7.2	Leaving . . . . .	145
7.2.1	The Right to Leave and Destination States . . . . .	146
7.2.2	The Difference Between Leaving and Entering . . . . .	147
7.2.3	The Right to Leave and the Right to Seek Asylum: <i>A Lex Specialis?</i> . . . . .	147
7.2.4	The EU Proposals . . . . .	149
7.3	Entering. . . . .	151

7.3.1	Interception at Sea: The Jurisdictional Question . . . . .	151
7.3.1.1	The EU Member States: The EU Legal Framework . . . . .	152
7.3.1.2	The EU Member States: The ECHR Legal Framework . . . . .	156
7.3.2	Direct and Indirect <i>Refoulement</i> : Conditions and Procedures . . . . .	162
7.3.2.1	Conditions . . . . .	162
7.3.2.2	Procedures . . . . .	164
7.4	Remaining. . . . .	165
7.5	Conclusion . . . . .	167
<b>Chapter 8.</b>		
<b>The Legitimacy of the EU Plans . . . . .</b>		
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	169
8.2	A Legitimate Framework for Extraterritorial Processing Based on the Communitarian and Liberal Perspectives . . . . .	169
8.2.1	Division Between Immediate and Final Responsibility . . . . .	170
8.2.2	The Principle of Protection: Access-Driven Extraterritorial Processing . . .	171
8.2.3	Selection Criteria, Conditions, and Procedures . . . . .	171
8.3	To What Extent Do the Plans of the EU as Regards Extraterritorial Processing Meet these Criteria? . . . . .	172
8.3.1	Immediate Responsibility . . . . .	172
8.3.2	Final Responsibility. . . . .	172
8.3.2.1	Access-Driven . . . . .	173
8.3.2.2	Procedures, Conditions, and Selection Criteria . . . . .	174
8.4	The Current Context of Asylum Policy and the Implications for Legitimacy. .	175
8.4.1	The Situation in Afghanistan After the Withdrawal of US Troops. . . . .	176
8.4.2	The Movement of People from Hong Kong Following Legislative Changes. . . . .	180
8.4.3	The Movement of Ukrainian Refugees Following the Invasion of Russia. . . . .	182
8.5	Conclusion . . . . .	184
<b>Chapter 9.</b>		
<b>Final Conclusions . . . . .</b>		
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	185
9.2	Revisiting the Objectives of the Research . . . . .	186
9.2.1	Leaving, Entering, and Remaining. . . . .	186
9.2.2	Defining Extraterritorial Processing . . . . .	187
9.3	Revisiting the Sub-questions . . . . .	187
9.3.1	What Is the Legal Framework Surrounding Territorial Asylum and Extraterritorial Processing? . . . . .	188
9.3.2	What Are the Ethical Perspectives that Could Underpin Territorial and Extraterritorial Processing? . . . . .	192

9.3.3	How do Extraterritorial Processing Models Operate in Australia and the US? Can Lessons be Drawn from these Models to Serve as an Example for EU Extraterritorial Processing? . . . . .	193
9.3.4	How do Other Areas of EU Law Deal with Extraterritoriality such as EU Environmental Law and EU Competition Law? Can Lessons be Drawn from these Fields for the Extraterritorial Processing of Asylum Claims? . . . . .	195
9.3.5	Can the Extraterritorial Processing of Asylum Claims by the EU Member States be Considered Legal? . . . . .	196
9.3.6	Can the Extraterritorial Processing of Asylum Claims by the EU Member States be Considered Legitimate? . . . . .	198
9.4	To What Extent Can the Extraterritorial Processing of Asylum Claims by the EU be Described as a Legal and Legitimate Alternative to Territorial Asylum? . . . . .	200
9.5	Suggestions for Future Research . . . . .	201
	<i>Samenvatting</i> . . . . .	205
	<i>Bibliography</i> . . . . .	213
	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> . . . . .	235