

PROCEDURAL AUTONOMY ACROSS EUROPE

PROCEDURAL AUTONOMY ACROSS EUROPE

Edited by
Bart KRANS
Anna NYLUND

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 736 170
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:
NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:
Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:
Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel.: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

Procedural Autonomy Across Europe

© The editors and contributors severally 2020

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on cover: Tatiana Korchemkina – Alamy Stock Photo

ISBN 978-1-78068-906-7

D/2020/7849/4

NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

PREFACE

This book is the result of a great collaboration with colleagues from various EU Member States. The idea for this book crystallised during a seminar in Leiden in November 2018, where each of the contributors presented their initial findings on Procedural Autonomy in their respective Member State. The outcomes were discussed at great length and, following the conclusion of the seminar, each of the authors involved in this volume continued to work on developing their presentation into the final book chapters submitted for publication in this richly analytical and comparative collection.

We are happy to have been able to work with Intersentia once more on this book, building on the strong working relationship established during previous collaboration on earlier titles in this field. The cooperation with Intersentia has been, as always, a pleasure.

As editors of this book and organisers of the abovementioned seminar, we look back on both the dynamic discussions enjoyed in Leiden and the production of *Procedural Autonomy Across Europe* fondly, both were thoroughly inspiring experiences.

Lastly, we are grateful for the valuable comments and suggestions that our peer reviewers provided, and to Leiden Law School for its financial contribution to this project.

Bart Krans and Anna Nylund
Leiden/Tromsø, January 2020

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>List of Authors</i>	xix
Aspects of Procedural Autonomy	
Bart KRANS and Anna NYLUND	1
1. Introduction	1
2. A Brief Historical Overview of Procedural Autonomy	2
3. A Bottom-Up Approach to Procedural Autonomy and National Civil Procedure	9
4. The Aim, Structure and Methods of this Book.....	11
Procedural Autonomy and Belgian Civil Procedure Law: A Turbulent Cohabitation	
Piet TAELMAN and Jarich WERBROUCK	13
1. Introduction	13
2. The Recoverability of Costs of Lawyers' Fees and Costs of Technical Advisers after <i>United Video Properties Inc. v. Telenet NV</i> (C-57/15)	14
3. New, EAPO Inspired, Belgian Rules for Obtaining the Debtor's Bank Account Information	30
4. General Conclusion	36
The English Approach to Procedural Autonomy	
John SORABJI	37
1. Introduction	38
2. Procedural Design Autonomy and Minimum Discretion	42
3. Procedural Design Autonomy and Maximum Discretion	46
4. Procedural Autonomy and Future Influence	52

**The Finnish Way of Understanding Procedural Autonomy:
A Practical Approach to Implementing EU Civil
Procedural Law**

Laura ERVO	57
1. Background	58
2. Court Practice: Moderate but Conscientious Application	58
3. Doctrine: Some Scepticism	63
4. Finnish Folksy Legal Culture	67
5. Harmonisation as a Practical Tool: An Instrumental Approach to Procedural Law.....	72
6. Possibilities of European Sustainability.....	75
7. Euro-Optimism or Pessimism?.....	76

**A German Perspective on the Waning Procedural Autonomy in Civil
Matters: Who is Afraid of European Civil Procedure?**

Wolfgang HAU.....	81
1. Starting Point: Procedural Autonomy as a Matter of Hierarchy of Rules.....	81
2. European and German Civil Procedure	84
3. Procedural Autonomy as an End in Itself?	89
4. Waiver of Procedural Autonomy: Bottom-Up Harmonisation	90
5. Testing the Waters: Standard of Proof	91
6. Final Remarks	99

Procedural Autonomy in the Netherlands: A Fading Relic?

Bart KRANS	101
1. A Firm Starting Point in EU Law.....	101
2. Exceptions to the Starting Point: Several Sectors.....	103
3. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: General Remarks	105
4. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The First Level	106
5. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The Second Level.....	110
6. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The Third Level	113
7. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The Fourth Level?	114
8. Final Remarks	116

**Procedural Autonomy, the EEA Agreement and Norwegian Law:
The Art of Bridging a Gap and Maintaining it Too**

Anna NYLUND	119
1. The EEA Agreement, EU and Procedural Law.....	119
2. Procedural Autonomy, EEA Law and Norwegian Law.....	121
3. Institutional Differences between EEA and EU Law and their Impact on Procedural Autonomy.....	124
4. The Changing Landscape of EEA Law and Procedural Autonomy	129
5. Road Bumps in the Implementation of Procedural EEA Law	134
6. Concluding Remarks on Procedural Autonomy and Multi-Speed Integration	136

**A Polish Perspective on Collective Civil Proceedings:
Reluctance to Follow EU Recommendations?**

Anna PISZCZ	139
1. Introduction	139
2. Recognition of the Concept.....	141
3. Is there Any Impact of the EU Recommendation on Polish Procedural Law?	146
4. Attempt at an Assessment and Perspectives	159

**Procedural Autonomy between EU Law and the Slovenian Law
of Civil Procedure**

Aleš GALIČ	165
1. Introduction	165
2. More Effectiveness, Less Procedural Autonomy, Less Coherence of National Legal System?	167
3. Changing Limits of Procedural Autonomy: A Policy Decision or a Circumstantial By-Product?	170
4. An Additional Remark: Possible Future Developments	181

**Autonomy of the Spanish Legislator in the Regulation of
Procedural Law: The Borders of European Case Law**

Jordi NIEVA-FENOLL	183
1. Introduction	184
2. The Borders of the Case Law of the Court of Justice of the European Union.	185

3. The Constant, though Erratic, Case Law about Procedural Autonomy	187
4. The Spanish Case: The Influence of Case Law on the <i>Ex Officio</i> Powers of the Judge.....	191
5. What does the Court of Justice Actually do?	195
6. The Derogatory Effect of Case Law	197
7. Does the Court of Justice of the European Union Determine the Work of the National Legislator?	198
8. A Uniform European Civil Procedure?	200

Procedural Autonomy in Sweden: Is *Materielle Prozessleitung* the Answer?

Anna WALLERMAN GHAVANINI	203
1. The Problem – And a Solution?	204
2. <i>Materielle Prozessleitung</i> in Swedish Civil Procedure	208
3. Europeanisation through <i>Materielle Prozessleitung</i> : Case Studies.....	210
4. Problems, Practice and Principles	218
5. Concluding Remarks	223

Comparative Insights on Procedural Autonomy

Bart KRANS and Anna NYLUND	227
1. Introduction	227
2. The Conception of Procedural Autonomy	228
3. No Clash of Procedural Cultures	229
4. ... But a Clash of Approaches to Civil Procedure	231
5. Challenges Arising from the Implementation of EU Civil Procedure Law.....	236
6. Procedural Autonomy and Multispeed Integration.....	240
7. Procedural Autonomy: Redefining the Concept and the Conundrum.....	241

<i>Index</i>	245
--------------------	-----

TABLE OF CASES

SUPRANATIONAL

THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

C-119/05, <i>Lucchini</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:434	112
C-170/11, <i>Lippens</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:540	173
C-2/08, <i>Fallimento Olimpiclub</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:506	102, 113, 191
C-224/01, <i>Köbler</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2003:513	111
C-234/04, <i>Kapferer/Schlank&Schick</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2006:178	111, 191
C-240/98 to C-244/98; <i>Océano Grupo Editorial SA and others v. Roció Murciano Quintero and others</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2000:346	3, 168, 191, 193, 210
C-295/04 to C-298/04, <i>Vincenzo Manfredi and others v. Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni SpA and others</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2006:461	3
C-3/16, <i>Aquino</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:209	166
C-306/17, <i>Nothartová/Boldizsár</i> ECLI:EU:C:2018:360	102
C-317/08 to C-320/08, <i>Rosalba Alassini and Others v. Telecom Italia SpA and Others</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:146	4, 196
C-325/11, <i>Alder</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:824	176
C-332/11, <i>ProRail</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:87	174
C-338/17, <i>Guigo/Fond Garantirani</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:605	102
C-377/14, <i>Radlinger and Radlingerová v. Finway a.s.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:283	193
C-378/07-C-380/07, ECLI:EU:C:2009:250; ECJ 27.02.2014	102, 224
C-40/08, <i>Asturcom Telecommunicaciones v. Cristina Rodríguez, ECLI:EU:C:2009:615</i>	194, 215
C-428/06-C-434/06, <i>Comunidad Autónoma de la Rioja, ECLI:EU:C:2008:488</i>	103
C-430/93 and C-431/93, <i>Jeroen van Schijndel and Johannes Nicolaas Cornelis van Veen v. Stichting Pensioenfonds voor Fysiotherapeuten, ECLI:EU:C:1995:441</i>	3, 189, 221
C-435/10, <i>Jana Pereničová, Vladislav Perenič v. SOS financ, spol. s r. o., ECLI:EU:C:2011:751</i>	194
C-470/12, <i>Pohotovost</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:101	102
C-471/11, <i>Cido Grupa SIA v. Valsts ieņēmumu dienests</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:555	102
C-482/13, C-484/13, C-485/13, C-487/13/13, <i>Unicaja Banco v. José Hidalgo e.a. and Caixabank v. Manuel María Rueda, ECLI:EU:C:2015:21</i>	193
C-537/12 and C-116/13, <i>Banco Popular Español v. María Teodolinda Rivas and Banco de Valencia v. Joaquín Valldeperas</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:759	193

C-54/16, <i>Vinyls Italia SpA v. Mediterranea di Navigazione SpA</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:433, 08.06.2017	186
C-618/10, <i>Banco Espanol de Crédito</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:349	102, 193–194, 216–217
C-73/16, <i>Peter Puškár v. Finančné riaditeľstvo Slovenskej republiky</i> , Kriminálny úrad finančnej správy, ECLI:EU:C:2017:725	196
C-75/16, <i>Livio Menini, Maria Antonia Rampanelli v. Banco Popolare Società Cooperativa</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:457	196
C-93/12, <i>Agrokonsulting</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:432	182
Case 222/84, <i>Marguerite Johnston v. Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1986:206	4
Case 33/76, <i>Rewe-Zentralfinanz eG and Rewe-Zentral AG v. Landwirtschaftskammer für das Saarland</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1976:188	2–3, 22, 82, 102, 122, 140, 165, 188, 204
Case 45/76, <i>Comet BV/Produktschap voor Siergewassen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1976:191	2, 22, 140, 185, 188, 204
Case C-1/54, <i>France v. ECSC High Authority</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1954:7	186
Case C-106/77, <i>Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v. SpA Simmenthal</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1978:49	189
Case C-112/00, <i>Eugen Schmidberger, Internationale Transporte v. Republik Österreich</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2003:333	130
Case C-126/97, <i>Eco Swiss China Time Ltd v. Benetton International NV</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1999:269	3, 111
Case C-137/08, <i>Pénzügyi Lízing</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:659	168, 193, 214
Case C-147/16, <i>Karel de Grote – Hogeschool Katholieke Hogeschool Antwerpen VZW v Susan Romy Jozef Kuijpers</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:320	217
Case C-149/17, <i>Bastei Lübbe GmbH & Co. KG v. Michael Strotzer</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:400	83
Case C-168/05, <i>Elisa María Mostaza Claro v. Centro Móvil Milenium SL</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2006:675	194, 215
Case C-168/15, <i>Milena Tomášová v. Ministerstvo spravodlivosti SR and Pohotovost's. r. o.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:602	194
Case C-169/14, <i>Juan Carlos Sánchez Morcillo and María del Carmen Abril García v. Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria SA</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:2099, 17.07.2014	167–168, 193
Case C-177/10, <i>Francisco Javier Rosado Santana v. Consejería de Justicia y Administración Pública de la Junta de Andalucía</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:557	22
Case C-199/82, <i>Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v. SpA San Giorgio</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1983:318	190
Case C-2/06, <i>Willy Kempfer KG v. Hauptzollamt Hamburg-Jonas</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2008:78	221
Case C-201/02, <i>Delenia Wells v. Secretary of State for Transport</i> , Local Government and the Regions, ECLI:EU:C:2004:12	7, 186
Case C-213/89, <i>The Queen v. Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte: Factortame Ltd and others</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1990:257	3
Case C-234/17, <i>XC and Others v. Generalprokurator</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:853	82, 186, 224

Case C-243/08, <i>Pannon</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:350	167–168, 193, 211–212, 217, 222
Case C-249/11, <i>Hristo Byankov v. Glaven sekretar na Ministerstvo na vatreshnite raboti</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:608	206
Case C-26/62, <i>Van Gend & Loos v. Nederlandse administratie der belastingen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1963:1	185
Case C-260/89, <i>ERT (Elliniki Radiophonika AE and Panellinia Omospondia Syllogon Prossopikou v. Dimotiki Etairia Plifoforissis and Sotirios Kouvelas and Nicolaos Avdellas and others)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1991:254	130
Case C-271/08, <i>The European Commission v. The Federal Republic of Germany</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:426	130
Case C-279/09, <i>DEB Deutsche Energiehandels- und Beratungsgesellschaft mbH v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:811	4
Case C-300/17, <i>Hochtief AG v Budapest Főváros Önkormányzata</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:635	186
Case C-312/93, <i>Peterbroeck, Van Campenhout & Cie SCS v. Belgian State</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1995:437	3, 189
Case C-314/08, <i>Filipiak v. Dyrektor Izby Skarbowej w Poznaniu</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:719	189
Case C-32/12 <i>Soledad Duarte Hueros v. Autociba SA and Automóviles Citroën España SA</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:637	87, 185, 193, 212
Case C-32/14, <i>ERSTE Bank Hungary Zrt v. Attila Sugár</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:637	222
Case C-34/13, <i>Monika Kušionová v. SMART Capital, a.s.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:2189	222
Case C-341/05, <i>Laval un Partneri Ltd v. Svenska Byggnadsarbetareförbundet, Svenska Byggnadsarbetareförbundets avdelning 1, Byggettan and Svenska Elektrikerförbundet</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:809	130
Case C-379/17, <i>Proceedings brought by Società Immobiliare Al Bosco Srl</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:806, thereby departing from the much more convincing Opinion of Advocate General Maciej Szpunar of 20.06.2018, ECLI:EU:C:2018:472	89
Case C-387/16, <i>Valstybinė mokesčių inspekcija prie Lietuvos Respublikos finansų ministerijos v. Nidera BV</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:121	186
Case C-39/70, <i>Norddeutsches Vieh- und Fleischkontor GmbH v. Hauptzollamt Hamburg St Annen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1971:16	188
Case C-40/08, <i>Asturcom Telecomunicaciones SL v. Cristina Rodríguez Nogueira, Mostaza Claro</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:615	168, 190, 222
Case C-406/09, <i>Realchemie Nederland BV v. Bayer CropScience AG</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:668	14
Case C-415/11, <i>Mohamed Aziz v. CatalunyaCaixa</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:164	191, 193
Case C-421/14, <i>Banco Primus v. Jesús Gutiérrez García</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:60	194
Case C-432/05, <i>Unibet (London) Ltd and Unibet (International) Ltd v. Justitiekanslern</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:163	4
Case C-438/05, <i>International Transport Workers' Federation and Finnish Seamen's Union v. Viking Line ABP and OÜ Viking Line Eesti</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:772	130

Case C-448/17, <i>EOS KSI Slovensko s.r.o. v. Ján Danko and Margita Danková</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:745	86, 102, 166–167, 193
Case C-453/99, <i>Courage Ltd v. Bernard Crehan and Bernard Crehan v. Courage Ltd and Others</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:465	3
Case C-472/10, <i>Nemzeti Fogyasztóvédelmi Hatóság v. Invitel Távközlési Zrt.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:242	194
Case C-472/11, <i>Banif Plus Bank v. Csaba Csipai</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:88	193, 213, 222
Case C-473/00, <i>Cofidis SA v. Jean-Louis Fredout</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2002:705	193
Case C-483/16, <i>Zsolt Sziber v ERSTE Bank Hungary Zrt.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:367	224
Case C-488/11, <i>Asbeek Brusse and de Man Garabito v. Jahani BV</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:341	213, 221–222
Case C-49/14, <i>Finanmadrid EFC</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:98	167–168, 193, 217
Case C-497/13, <i>Froukje Faber v. Autobedrijf Hazet Ochten BV</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:357	87, 189, 214, 221
Case C-51/17, <i>OTP Bank Nyrt., OTP Faktoring Követeléskezelő Zrt. v. Teréz Ilyés, Emil Kiss</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:750	86
Case C-550/07 P, <i>Akzo Nobel Chemicals Ltd and Akcros Chemicals Ltd v. European Commission</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:512	224
Case C-57/15, <i>United Video Properties Inc. v. Telenet NV</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:611	18, 23
Case C-6/64, <i>Costa v. ENEL</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1964:66	185
Case C-604/12, <i>H.N. v. Minister for Justice, Equality and Law Reform and Others</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:302	224
Case C-61/41, <i>Orizzonte Salute – Studio Infermieristico Associato v. Azienda Pubblica di Servizi alla persona San Valentino – Città di Levico Terme</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:655	21
Case C-619/18, <i>European Commission v. Republic of Poland</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2019:325	85
Case C-64/16, <i>Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses v. Tribunal de Contas</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:117	85
Case C-6-60, <i>Humblet v. Belgian State</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1960:48	187–188
Case C-68/93, <i>Fiona Shevill, Ixora Trading Inc., Chequepoint SARL and Chequepoint International Ltd v. Presse Alliance SA</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1995:61	95
Case C-72/95, <i>Aannemersbedrijf P.K. Kraaijveld BV e.a. v. Gedeputeerde Staten van Zuid-Holland</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1996:404	221
Case C-74/14, <i>Eturas UAB and Others v. Lietuvos Respublikos konkurencijos taryba</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:42	94
Case C-76/10, <i>Pohotovost's. r. o. v. Iveta Korčkovská</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:685	190, 194
Case C-94/14, <i>Flight Refund v. Deutsche Lufthansa AG</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:148	190
Cases 205/82 to 215/82, <i>Deutsche Milchkontor GmbH and others v. Federal Republic of Germany</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1983:233	4
Cases 51/71 to 54/71, <i>International Fruit Company NV and others v. Produktschap voor groenten en fruit</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1971:128	143

Cases C-10/97 and C-22/97, <i>Ministero delle Finanze v. IN.CO.GE'90 Srl</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1998:498	189
Cases C-240 and C-244/98, <i>Océano Grupo Editorial SA</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2000:346	190
Cases C-397/11, <i>Erika Jörös v. Aegon Magyarország Hitel Zrt.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:340	193, 221
Cases C-87/90, C-88/90 and C-89/90, <i>Verholen v. Soziale Verzekeringsbank</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1991:314	190
Opinion 1/09 of the Full Court, ECLI:EU:C:2011:123	133
Opinion of AG Jääskinen delivered on 24.05.2012, C-170/11, <i>Lippens</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:311	174–175

THE EFTA COURT

Case E-4/11, Arnulf Clauder [2011] EFTA Ct. Rep. 216	129
Case E-2/02, Bellona [2003] EFTA Ct. Rep. 52	129
Case E-14/11, DB Schenker v. ESA (Schenker I) [2012] EFTA Ct. Rep. 1178....	129
Case E-12/10, ESA v. Iceland [2011] EFTA Ct. Rep. 117	129
Cases E-3/13 and E-20/13, Fred. Olsen [2014] EFTA Ct. Rep. 400.....	129
Case E-14/15, Holship [2016] EFTA Ct. Rep. 240.....	124, 130
Case E-18/11, Irish Bank [2012] EFTA Ct. Rep. 592.....	129
Case E-15/10, Posten Norge [2012] EFTA Ct. Rep. 246	129–130
Case E-8/97, TV 1000 Sverige v. Norway [1998] EFTA Ct. Rep. 68	129

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Perlala v. Greece, 17721/04, 22.02.2007.....	182
Tautkus v. Lithuania, No. 29474/09, 27.11.2012	182

NATIONAL

BELGIUM

Antwerp Commercial Court 03.04.2012, no. A/11/05443	17
Antwerp Court of Appeal 15.06.2009 [2010] IRDI 28	16
Antwerp Court of Appeal 26.01.2015, AR 2490/12	17
Antwerp Court of Appeal 08.05.2017	20
Constitutional Court of Belgium 18.12.2008, no. 182/2008	25
Court of Cassation 02.09.2004, C.01.0186.F.....	16
Court of Cassation 16.11.2006, C.05.0124.F.....	16
Court of Cassation 01.03.2012, C.10.0425.N	16

Court of Cassation 24.04.2014, C.13.0328.N	16
Court of Cassation 20.10.2014, C.13.526.4	15
Ghent Court of Appeal 02.03.2009 [2009] Jaarboek Handelspraktijken en Mededinging 761	16
Ghent Court of Appeal 24.06.2013 [2014] Ing. Cons. 78	16

ENGLAND AND WALES

Lloyd v Google LLC [2019] EWCA Civ 1599	55
Smith v. Leech Brain & Co Ltd [1962] 2 QB 405	39

FINLAND

KKO 2007:34.....	.65
KKO 2007:25.....	.65
KKO 2008:114.....	.65
KKO 2016:2765
KHO 2009:9965
KHO 2010:4465
KHO 2010:4565
KHO 2011:3865
KHO 2013:19965
KHO 2014:18365
KHO 2017:14065

THE NETHERLANDS

Dutch Supreme 28 September 2018, ECLI:NL:HR:2018:1775.....	108
The Hague Court of Appeal 08.12.2009, BIE 2010/62	107

NORWAY

HR-2009-1319-A (Pedicel)	125
HR-2013-494-A (STX)	125
HR-2016-2554 (Holsip).....	125
HR-2017-1297-A	128
HR-2017-2472-U	128
HR-2018-869-A	128
HR-2018-936-U	128
LF-2015-187242 (Fosen-Linjen)	125

POLAND

Cracow Court of Appeals judgement of 07.12.2011, I ACz 1235/11	153
Judgment of 15.07.2009, No. III SK 2/09	145
Judgment of 21.09.2011, No. II PK 36/11	145
Judgment of 04.10.2011, I PK 56/11	145
Judgment of 12.12.2011, No. P 1/11	145
Judgement of 16.10.2012, NO. K 4/10	143
Judgment of 08.10.2014, No. III SK 85/13	145
Judgment of 10.02.2015, No. SK 50/13	145
Judgment of 11.03.2015, No. P/14	143
Judgment of 05.12.2016, No. III SK 18/14	145
Judgment of 18.01.2017, No. III SK 20/14	145
Resolution of the Polish Supreme Court of 09.10.2007, III CZP 46/07	157
Resolution of 19.10.2017, No. III CZP 42/17	145
Ruling of 20.02.2008, No. III SK 23/07	145
Ruling of 18.02.2015, No. III SK 18/14	145
Ruling of 28.01.2015, No. I CSK 533/14	145
Ruling of 28.01.2015, No. III SK 37/14	145
Ruling of 23.04.2015, III SK 61/14	157

SLOVENIA

Judgment of the Slovenian Constitutional Court No. 278/08 of 09.07.2009	180
Ruling of 23.04.2015, III SK 61/14	157

LIST OF AUTHORS

LAURA ERVO is a professor at Örebro University, Sweden. She is also an adjunct professor (docent) in procedural law at the University of Turku, the University of Helsinki and the University of Eastern Finland. Her field of special interest is procedural law, especially human rights within the field of procedural law.

ALEŠ GALIČ is full professor at the University of Ljubljana Faculty of Law, Slovenia. His major interests in teaching and research are civil procedure, international private law, arbitration and ADR.

WOLFGANG HAU is full professor of private law and German, international and comparative civil procedure at Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany. He is also a judge at the *Oberlandesgericht* (Higher Regional Court) Munich.

BART KRANS is full professor at the University of Leiden, the Netherlands, where he holds the chair of private law and civil procedure law. His research mainly concerns civil procedure law and contract law. Among his publications are books on the influence of EU law on Dutch civil procedure law, on the law of evidence and on the law of obligations.

JORDI NIEVA-FENOLL is full professor (chair) of procedural law at the University of Barcelona, Spain. His research interests include the law of evidence, *res iudicata*, cassation, artificial intelligence, neuroscience and procedure, among other topics. He holds five doctorates *honoris causa* from universities in South America and has been a panelist in over 100 international congresses across almost 20 countries.

ANNA NYLUND is a professor at the University of Tromsø – The Arctic University of Tromsø, Norway. Her research and teaching mainly concern national, comparative and European civil procedure and alternative dispute resolution. She is the chair of the research group of procedural law and dispute resolution.

ANNA PISZCZ is a professor at the University of Białystok, Poland. Her research and teaching mainly concern economic law, competition law in particular, and civil law.

JOHN SORABJI is a senior teaching fellow at University College London and the principal legal adviser to the Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales and the Master of the Rolls. He is the author of, amongst other publications, *English Civil Justice after Woolf and Jackson*.

PIET TAELMAN is senior full professor at Ghent University Law School, Belgium. He teaches courses on civil procedure and has written on a wide variety of topics on civil procedural law in national and international publications. He has previously practised as a lawyer and arbitrator as a member of the Ghent Bar and served as dean of the Ghent Law School between 2008-2014.

ANNA WALLERMAN GHAVANINI is an associate professor at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden, and a Ragnar Söderberg Postdoctoral Fellow in law. She has previously held positions at the European University Institute and the University of Zurich. Her research interests include EU law and civil procedure.

JARICH WERBROUCK is a doctoral candidate at Ghent University, Belgium, funded by the Research Foundation Flanders. His work focuses on the impact of EU consumer law on the procedural autonomy of the Member States. He has published in both national and international journals on several topics, including his doctoral topic, European product liability and the EAPO-regulation.