

LAW OF REMEDIES

LAW OF REMEDIES

A European Perspective

Edited by

Franz HOFMANN

Franziska KURZ



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 736 170
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:
NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbinternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:
Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:
Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel.: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

Law of Remedies. A European Perspective

© The editors and contributors severally 2019

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on cover: Clive Watts/Alamy Stock Photo

ISBN 978-1-78068-785-8
D/2019/7849/103
NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

CONTENTS

<i>List of Cases</i>xi
<i>List of Authors</i>	xvii

PART I. THE ISSUE OF REMEDIES

Introduction to the ‘Law of Remedies’

Franz HOFMANN and Franziska KURZ	3
--	---

1. Introduction	3
2. Remedies, Court Orders, Claims and Rights	8
3. The Issue of Enforcement	11
4. Principles of the Law of Remedies	14
5. The European Perspective	24
6. Conclusion	25

Remedies in English Private Law – A ‘Stand-Alone’ Research Area?

Paul S. DAVIES	27
----------------------	----

1. Introduction	27
2. What Makes a Legal Subject?	28
3. The Scope of Private Law.....	30
4. What is a Remedy?	31
5. Remedies as a ‘Stand-Alone’ Research Area	32
6. Conclusion	42

Remedies in Private Law from a German Perspective

Jan Felix HOFFMANN	45
--------------------------	----

1. Diverging Influences on the Eve of the Codification of the German Civil Code	45
2. The Currently Prevailing Concept in German Private Law	47
3. Private Law as a System of Property and Remedial Rights.....	49
4. The Merits and Limits of Thinking in Property and Remedial Rights	55
5. Conclusion.....	60

Rights and Remedies in Public Law

Andreas FUNKE	61
1. Introduction	61
2. Why and How ‘Remedies in Public Law’	62
3. The Standard Picture	65
4. Internal Revision: From Rights to Actions	68
5. External Revision: Beyond Violations of Rights	75
6. A New Picture: Public Redress, Strictly Based on Claims	76
7. Conclusion	79

Economics of Remedies: The Perspective of Corporate Law

Klaus Ulrich SCHMOLKE	81
1. Introduction	81
2. Foundation: Economics of Remedies	83
3. Application: Remedies in Corporate Law from a Law-and-Economics Perspective	93
4. Conclusion	102

PART II. MECHANISMS OF ENFORCEMENT**Private Enforcement versus Public Enforcement**

Jens-Uwe FRANCK	107
1. Introduction	108
2. EU Internal Market Law and the Debate on ‘Private vs Public Enforcement’	110
3. The Comparative Advantages of Private and Public Enforcement	121
4. Conclusion	128

Criminal Enforcement

Dorothea MAGNUS	133
1. Introduction	134
2. How is Substantive Criminal Law Enforced?	134
3. How Are Criminal Sanctions Enforced?	140
4. How Can Private Persons Influence the Enforcement of Criminal Sanctions?	141
5. Conclusion	148

PART III. FUNCTIONS OF REMEDIES

Disgorgement of Profits: Distributive and Deterrent Logics

Benjamin RAUE	153
1. Introduction	153
2. Distributive Disgorgement Remedies	156
3. Punishing or Deterrant Disgorgement Remedies	163
4. Conclusion.....	167

Preventive Liability and System of Sanctions in Tort Law

Luboš TICHÝ	169
1. Introduction	170
2. Prevention as an Objective and Function of Tort Law	172
3. Prevention in the Broad Sense (General Prevention)	173
4. Development of Preventive Liability	174
5. Preventive Liability: A New Paradigm.....	176
6. Strenghtening the Preventive Aspect of Tort Liability and Private Law Sanctions.....	181
7. Proportionality of the Proposed Solution.....	185
8. Policy Justification	191
9. Conclusion.....	193

PART IV. UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES

Common Principles of Damages in EU Private Law?

Christian HEINZE	197
1. Introduction	197
2. Damages as a Remedy in EU Private Law.....	198
3. Common Principles of Damages in EU Private Law?.....	205
4. The Concept of Damage as an Example of a Common Principle	209
5. Conclusion.....	221

A Manifesto on Proportionality and Copyright Law: 'Taking Remedies Seriously'

Orit FISCHMAN AFORI	223
1. Introduction	223
2. Theoretical Background of the Role of Remedies	224

3. Open Standards in the Law of Remedies: An Advantage and Not an Obstacle	225
4. The Role of ‘Proportionality’ in Easing Failures in Copyright Law.....	226
5. The Meaning of the Notion of Proportionality.....	227
6. Introduction of ‘Proportionality’ into European Intellectual Property Directives.....	227
7. Proportionality: An Appealing Path to Copyright Law.....	228
8. The CJEU Move.....	229
9. English Court Decisions	230
10. Conceptualising the Use of the Proportionality Test in Copyright Law.....	231

**Asking Innocent Third Parties for a Remedy:
Origins and Trends**

Martin HUSOVEC.....	233
---------------------	-----

1. Introduction	233
2. European Origins	236
3. English Origins	238
4. German Origins	240
5. From Yesterday’s to Tomorrow’s IP Enforcement	242
6. What Duty?	243
7. Costs of Compliance.....	245
8. Conclusion	248

PART V. REMEDIES IN CONTRACT LAW AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

**Remedies in European Contract Law:
Themes and Controversies**

Christian TWIGG-FLESNER.....	251
------------------------------	-----

1. Introduction	251
2. The Evolution of Remedies	253
3. The New Directives on Digital Content and Digital Services, and on Consumer Sales	264
4. A Coherent Approach to Remedies in European Contract Law?	271
5. Conclusion	273

The Intersection between Economic Justifications for IP Rights and Cost of Enforcement in the English Courts	
Jonathan Moss	275
1. Introduction	275
2. Calculation of Financial Remedies and Injunctive Relief under English Law	276
3. Economic Justification for IP Rights Undermined by Enforcement in the Courts	278
4. Potential Observation Bias Arising from Looking at Reported Cases.....	283
5. Potential Solutions to Help Alleviate Problems of Economically Inefficient Litigation.....	283
6. Conclusion.....	285
<i>Index.....</i>	287

LIST OF CASES

EUROPEAN UNION

C-239/12 P, <i>Abdulrahim</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:331	218
C-81/12, <i>Accept</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:275	212
Case C-300/10, <i>Almeida</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:414	198
Case C-199/82, <i>Amministrazione delle finanze dello Stato v San Giorgio</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1983:318	111
Case C-253/00, <i>Antonio Munoz y Cia SA and Superior Fruiticola SA v Frumar Ltd and Redbridge Produce Marketing Ltd.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2002:497	116–117, 199, 272
C-360/10, <i>Belgische Vereniging van Auteurs, Componisten en Uitgevers (SABAM/Netlog NV)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:85	229
Case 131/81, <i>Berti</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1982:341	218
C-503/13 and C-504/13, <i>Boston Scientific</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:148	211
C-46/93 and C-48/93, <i>Brasserie du Pêcheur</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1996:79	212, 214
C-34/10, <i>Brüstle</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:669	204
C-407/14, <i>Camacho</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:831	199, 211, 221
C-52/18 <i>Christian Fülla v Toolport GmbH</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2019:447	255, 257
C-637/17, <i>Cogeco Communications</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2019:263	204
Case 14/83, <i>von Colson und Kamann</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1984:153	199, 212, 220
Case C-132/05, <i>Commission of the European Communities v Federal Republic of Germany</i> , ECLI:EU:2008:117	113
Case C-68/88, <i>Commission of the European Communities v Hellenic Republic</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1989:339	112, 202
C-49/11, <i>Content Services</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:419	207
Case C-453/99, <i>Courage Ltd v Crehan and Crehan v Courage Ltd</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:465	111, 114, 118, 198–199, 272
C-180/95, <i>Draehmpaehl</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1997:208	212, 218
C-277/12, <i>Drozdovs</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:685	213, 218–219
Case C-219/15, <i>Elisabeth Schmitt v TÜV Rheinland LGA Products</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:128	119
C-63/01, <i>Evans</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2003:650	211
C-6/90 and C-9/90, <i>Francovich</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1991:428	115, 200
C-509/10, <i>Geistbeck</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:416	215
Case C-604/11, <i>Genil 48 and Comercial Hostelera de Grandes Vinos v Bankinter and Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:344	119
C-348/06 P, <i>Girardot</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2008:107	211
C-198/07 P, <i>Gordon</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2008:570	218
C-308/87, <i>Grifoni</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1994:38	211, 218–219
C-160/15, <i>GS Media/Sanoma</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:644 = GRUR 2016, 1152	16, 22–23
C-22/12, <i>Haasová</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:692	213, 218–219
C-174/12, <i>Hirmann</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:856	210–211

C-170/13, <i>Huawei Technologies/ZTE</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:477 = GRUR 2015, 764	23
T-88/09, <i>Idromacchine</i> , ECLI:EU:T:2011:641	210–212, 218–219
Case 238/78, <i>Ireks-Arkady</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1979:226	211–213
C-147/16, <i>Karel de Grote</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:320	204
Case C-505/14, <i>Klausner Holz Niedersachsen GmbH v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:742	111
C-324/09, <i>L'Oréal/eBay</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:474 = GRUR 2011, 1025	23, 238
C-168/00, <i>Leitner</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2002:163	202, 211–214, 217, 219–220, 262–263
C-420/11, <i>Leth</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:166	214
Case 169/83, <i>Leussink</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1986:371	218
C-99/15, <i>Liffers</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:173	211, 218
C-295/04 – C-298/04, <i>Manfredi</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2006:461 = EuZW 2006, 529	199, 211–212, 214
C-271/91, <i>Marshall II</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1993:335	199, 211–212
C-484/14, <i>McFadden</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:689 = GRUR 2016, 1146	19, 21
C-403/08 and C-429/08, <i>Murphy</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:631	207
C-581/10 and C-629/10, <i>Nelson</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:657	217, 219
C-388/13, <i>Nemzeti Fogyasztóvédelmi Hatóság/UPC Magyarország Kft.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:225	11, 19
T-231/97, <i>New Europe Consulting</i> , ECLI:EU:T:1999:146	218
C-280/15, <i>Nikolajeva</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:467	217
C-367/15, <i>Oławska Telewizja Kablowa</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:36 = GRUR 2017, 264	19
C-460/06, <i>Paquay</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:601	211
Case C-371/12, <i>Petillo</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2013:652	217–218
C-360/09, <i>Pfleiderer</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:389 = EuZW 2011, 598	199
Case C-8/74, <i>Procureur du Roi v Benoît and Gustave Dassonville</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1974:82	112
C-275/06, <i>Promusicae</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2008:54 = GRUR 2008, 241	19, 229
C-404/06, <i>Quelle AG v Bundesverband der Verbraucherzentralen und Verbraucherverbände</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2008:231	255, 270
C-338/14, <i>Quenon</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:795	212, 221
Case C-33/76, <i>Rewe-Zentralfinanz eG and Rewe-Zentral AG v</i> <i>Landwirtschaftskammer für das Saarland</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1976:188	111, 202
C-70/10, <i>Scarlet Extended SA/Société Belge des Auteurs, Compositeurs et éditeurs SCRL (SABAM)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:771	229
Case C-350/03, <i>Schulte</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2005:637	199, 210–211, 214
C-348/07, <i>Semen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:195	212
C-152/88, <i>Sofrimport</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1990:259	212
C-83/10, <i>Sousa Rodriguez</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:652	211
C-45/08, <i>Spector Photo Group</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:806	216
T-217/11, <i>Staelen</i> , ECLI:EU:T:2015:238	218
C-527/15, <i>Stichting Brein/Jack Frederik Wullems [Filmspeler]</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:300 = GRUR 2017, 610	16, 22
C-610/15, <i>Stichting Brein/Ziggo [The Pirate Bay]</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:456 = GRUR 2017, 790	16, 22
C-402/07 and C-432/07, <i>Sturgeon</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:716	217
Case C-103/11 P, <i>Systran</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:714	215, 218, 220
C-494/15, <i>Tommy Hilfiger</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:528 = GRUR 2016, 1062	19, 23, 237–238
C-314/12, <i>UPC Telekabel</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:192 = GRUR 2014, 468	19, 230
Case C-26/62, <i>Van Gend en Loos</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1963:1	115

C-203/99, <i>Veedfald</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:258.....	211
C-63/09, <i>Walz</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:251.....	211, 217, 220
C-65/09, <i>Weber v Wittmer</i> , and C-87/09, <i>Putz v Medianess Electronics</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:396	256, 258–260, 269–270

GERMANY

BGH 25.01.1952 – 2 StR 3/52 = <i>NJW</i> 1952, 435, 436 = <i>BGHSt</i> 2, 41	143
BGH 25.03.1952 – 1 StR 172/51 = <i>BGHSt</i> 25, 242, 246	142
BGH 18.05.1955 – I ZR 8/54 = <i>GRUR</i> 1955, 492.....	235
BGH 20.12.1955 – 5 StR 363/55 = <i>NJW</i> 1956, 478, 480 = <i>BGHSt</i> 8, 383, 390.....	142
BGH 22.01.1960 – I ZR 41/58 = <i>GRUR</i> 1960, 340.....	235
BGH 24.02.1961 – I ZR 83/59 = <i>BGHZ</i> 34, 320, 322 f	156
BGH 29.05.1962 – I ZR 132/60 = <i>GRUR</i> 1962, 509, 511.....	160–161
BGH 12.06.1963 – Ib ZR 23/62 = <i>GRUR</i> 1964, 91.....	235
BGH 26.06.1963 – Ib ZR 127/62 = <i>GRUR</i> 1964, 94.....	235
BGH 04.02.1964 – VI ZR 25/63 = <i>BGHZ</i> 41, 123	58
BGH 29.05.1964 – Ib ZR 4/63 = <i>GRUR</i> 1965, 104.....	235
BGH 06.05.1971 – VII ZR 232/69 = <i>NJW</i> 1971, 1452.....	51
BGH 20.09.1974 – I ZR 99/73 = <i>GRUR</i> 1975, 500.....	58
BGH 16.12.1975 – VI ZR 175/74 = <i>NJW</i> 1976, 1147	187
BGH 24.11.1981 – X ZR 7/80 = <i>BGHZ</i> 82, 299, 307	159
BGH 07.10.1982 – I ZR 120/80 = <i>GRUR</i> 1983, 127, 128.....	10
BGH 18.01.1983 – 1 StR 490/82 = <i>NJW</i> 1983, 1919, 1921 = <i>BGHSt</i> 31, 207.....	143–144
BGH 18.12.1986 – I ZR 111/84 = <i>BGHZ</i> 99, 244, 248	159
BGH 03.05.1989 – 4 StB 15 und 16/89 = <i>BGHSt</i> 36, 192 = <i>NJW</i> 1989, 2702, 2703	147
BGH 04.06.1992 – IX ZR 149/91 = <i>BGHZ</i> 118, 312, 343	165
BGH 15.11.1994 – VI ZR 56/94 = <i>GRUR</i> 1995, 224	188
BGH 21.04.1997 – II ZR 175/95 = <i>NJW</i> 1997, 1926	93, 101
BGH 26.09.1997 – V ZR 29/96 = <i>NJW</i> 1998, 302, 304	212
BGH 02.11.2000 – I ZR 246/98 = <i>BGHZ</i> 145, 366, 374 = <i>GRUR</i> 2001, 329.....	160, 166, 215
BGH 07.02.2002 – I ZR 304/99 = <i>BGHZ</i> 150, 32, 42.....	163
BGH 21.08.2002 – 5 StR 291/02 = <i>NJW</i> 2002, 3560, 3561 = <i>BGHSt</i> 47, 378	146
BGH 28.11.2002 – 5 StR 381/02 = <i>NStZ</i> 2003, 321	146
BGH 01.07.2005 – 2 StR 9/05 = <i>NJW</i> 2005, 3078, 3080 = <i>BGHSt</i> 50, 180, 188.....	142
BGH 15.07.2005 – GSZ 1/04 = <i>BGHZ</i> (GSZ) 164, 1, 3 f.....	165
BGH 16.12.2008 – 4 StR 542/08 = <i>NStZ</i> 2009, 586	146
BGH 14.05.2009 – I ZR 98/06 = <i>BGHZ</i> 181, 98.....	163
BGH 29.07.2009 – I ZR 87/07 = <i>GRUR</i> 2010, 237.....	159
BGH 05.11.2009 – 3 StR 428/09 = <i>NStZ</i> 2010, 714, 715.....	146
BGH 17.12.2010 – V ZR 45/10 = <i>GRUR</i> 2011, 323	18, 58
BGH 27.10.2011 – I ZR 175/10 = <i>GRUR</i> 2012, 715	159
BGH 14.12.2011 – 5 StR 471/11.....	146
BGH 24.07.2012 – X ZR 51/11 = <i>BGHZ</i> 194, 194	156, 163
BGH 01.03.2013 – V ZR 14/12 = <i>GRUR</i> 2013, 623	58
BGH 19.12.2014 – V ZR 324/13 = <i>GRUR</i> 2015, 578	58
BGH 18.03.2016 – V ZR 89/15 = <i>BGHZ</i> 209, 270	51
BGH 10.05.2016 – X ZR 114/13 = <i>GRUR</i> 2016, 1031	21

BGH 26.07.2018 – I ZR 64/17 = <i>GRUR</i> 2018, 1044	243–245
BGH 18.09.2018 – II ZR 152/17 = <i>NZG</i> 2018, 1301	101
BVerfG 25.10.1978 – 1 BvR 352/17 = <i>BVerfGE</i> 49, 382, 393	52
BVerfG 08.08.1990 – 2 BvR 267/90 = <i>NJW</i> 1991, 351	142
BVerfG 07.12.1994 – 1 BvR 1279/94 = <i>BVerfGE</i> 91, 335, 344	165
BVerfG 27.03.2001 – 2 BvR 2211/97 = <i>NJW</i> 2001, 1563	146
BVerfG 21.11.2002 – 2 BvR 2202/01 = <i>NJW</i> 2003, 1030, 1031	147
BVerfG 18.10.2012 – 2 BvR 2776/10 = <i>NJW</i> 2013, 592	142
KG Berlin 16.12.1999, <i>NStZ-RR</i> 2000, 145, 146	147
LG Berlin 28.09.1994, <i>NStZ</i> 1995, 508, 510	147
OLG Celle 13.09.2000 – 33 Ss 73/00 = <i>NStZ-RR</i> 2001, 90, 91	143
OLG Düsseldorf 27.07.2004 – I-14 U 24/04	192
OLG Düsseldorf 15.02.2007 – 2 U 71/05 = <i>InstGE</i> 7, 194, 196	156
OLG Frankfurt 07.04.2000 – VAs 11/00 = <i>NStZ-RR</i> 2000, 282, 285	147
OLG Koblenz 19.11.1981 – 1 Ss 278/81 = <i>NJW</i> 1982, 1770	143
OLG Köln 17.03.1995 – 6 U 109/82 = <i>GRUR</i> 1983, 752, 753	160–161
OLG Nürnberg 21.05.1948 – <i>HES</i> 2, 152, 153	142
OLG Nürnberg 11.11.1997 – Ws 1078/97 = <i>NStZ-RR</i> 1998, 143	146
OLG Stuttgart 14.02.2011 – 4 Ws 10/11 = <i>NStZ</i> 2011, 709, 710 = <i>Die Justiz</i> 2011, 184, 185	142
RG 21.09.1909 – IV 511/09 = <i>RGSt</i> 42, 399, 401	143
RG 15.11.1937 – I 102/37 = <i>RGZ</i> 156, 321, 326	156

UNITED KINGDOM AND THE COMMONWEALTH

<i>AIB Group (UK) plc v Mark Redler & Co Solicitors</i> [2014] UKSC 58; [2015] AC 1503 [64]	41–42
<i>Amstrad Consumer Electronics Plc v British Phonographic Industry Ltd</i> [1986] FSR 159	235
<i>Antonio Munoz y Cia SA v Frumar Ltd</i> [1999] 3 CMLR 684, 708 (1999)	116–117
<i>Attorney General v Blake</i> [2000] UKHL 45; [2001] AC 268, 284	8, 32, 37
<i>Baker Energy Resources v Reading & Bates Construction</i> [1994] 58 CPR (3d) 359, 368 (F.C.A.)	163
<i>Blayney v Clogau St David's Gold Mines</i> [2002] EWCA Civ 1007	277
<i>Bristol & West Building Society v Mothew</i> [1998] Ch 1, 17	41
<i>Cartier International AG & Ors v British Sky Broadcasting Ltd & Ors</i> [2014] EWHC 3354	35, 238–240, 244, 246
<i>CBS Songs Ltd v Amstrad Consumer Electronics Plc</i> [1988] AC 1013	234–235
<i>Celanese v BP Chemicals</i> [1999] RPC 203, 231 (Pat)	160–163, 165
<i>Coventry (t/a RDC Promotions) v Lawrence</i> [2014] UKSC 13; [2014] AC 822; [2014] 2 WLR 433	9
<i>Dart Industries v Decor</i> [1993] HCA 54	160–163, 166
<i>Dart v Decor</i> [1994] FSR 567 = [1993] HCA 54	161–163
<i>Devenish Nutrition Ltd v Sanofi-Aventis SA</i> [2008] EWCA Civ 1086	37
<i>EMI Records Ltd and others v British Sky Broadcasting Ltd and others</i> [2013] EWHC 379	231
<i>FHR European Ventures LLP v Cedar Capital Partners LLC</i> [2014] UKSC 45; [2015] AC 250	34–35

<i>Football Association Premier League v British Telecommunications</i>	
[2017] EWHC 480	231
<i>Forsyth-Grant v Allen</i> [2008] EWCA Civ 505; [2008] 2 EGLR 16.....	37
<i>Fry v Fry</i> (1859) 27 Beav 144	41
<i>Golden Eye (International) Ltd and others v Telefonica UK Ltd</i>	
[2012] EWHC 723	231
<i>Hadley v Baxendale</i> (1854) 9 Exch 341	39
<i>Halifax Building Society v Thomas</i> [1996] Ch 217, 229.....	34
<i>Henderson v Merrett</i> , [1995] 2 AC 145	39
<i>Henderson v Merrett</i> , [2014] EWHC 556 (Ch); [2014] PNLR 22, [212].....	39
<i>Henderson v Merrett</i> , [2015] EWCA Civ 1146; [2016] Ch 529, [68], [80]	39
<i>Hollister v Medik</i> [2012] EWCA Civ 1419.....	160–161
<i>Imperial Oil v Lubrizol</i> [1996] 71 CPR (3d) 26, 33 (F.C.A.)	160
<i>In re Dawson (dec'd)</i> [1966] NSWR 211	41
<i>Jaggard v Sawyer and Another</i> [1994] EWCA Civ 1; [1995] 1 WLR 269, 287	9, 22
<i>Knott v Cotttee</i> (1852) 16 Beav 77	41
<i>Letang v Cooper</i> [1965] 1 QB 232.....	37
<i>Main v Giambrone and Law (a firm)</i> [2017] EWCA Civ 1193	42
<i>Marathon Asset Management LLP v Seddon</i> [2017] EWHC 300 (Comm).....	37
<i>Metall und Rohstoff AG v Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette Inc.</i> [1990] 1 QB 391	34
<i>Morris-Garner v One Step (Support) Ltd</i> [2014] EWHC 2213 (QB);	
[2015] IRLR 215.....	36
<i>Morris-Garner v One Step (Support) Ltd</i> [2016] EWCA Civ 180; [2017] QB 1	36
<i>Morris-Garner v One Step (Support) Ltd</i> [2018] UKSC 20; [2018] 2 WLR 1353.....	36
<i>My Kinda Town v Soll</i> [1982] RPC 15, 55 (Ch)	160
<i>Nestlé National Westminster Bank plc</i> [1993] 1 WLR 1260, <i>Re Mulligan</i>	
[1998] 1 NZLR 481	41
<i>Nocton v Lord Ashburton</i> , [1914] AC 932, 964.....	33
<i>Norwich Pharmacal Co v Customs & Excise Commissioners</i>	
[1974] AC 133.....	236, 239
<i>Orr v Diaper</i> [1876] 4 Ch. D. 92.....	239
<i>Overseas Tankship (UK) Ltd v Morts Dock & Engineering Co (The Wagon Mound)</i>	
[1961] AC 388.....	39
<i>Peebles v Rembrand Builders Merchants Ltd</i> [2017] G.W.D. 15–236, Sh.Ct	260
<i>Peter Pan v Corsets Silhouette</i> [1963] 3 All ER 402, 413 (Ch).....	163
<i>Potton v Yorkclose</i> [1990] FSR 11, 19 (Ch)	162
<i>Reading & Bates Construction v Baker Energy Resources</i> [1995] 1 FC 483 (F.C.A.)	160
<i>Re Massingberd's Settlement</i> (1890) 63 LT 296	41
<i>Re Polly Peck International (No. 2)</i> [1998] 3 All ER 812, 823	34
<i>Rookes v Barnard</i> [1964] 1 All ER 367, 411	154, 164
<i>South Carolina Insurance Co v Assurantie Maatschappij De Zeven Provincien NV</i>	
[1987] AC 24.....	239
<i>Target Holdings Ltd v Redferns</i> [1996] AC 421, 434.....	41
<i>Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation and others v British Telecommunications plc</i>	
[2011] EWHC 1981 (Ch) = [2012] 1 All ER 806.....	230
<i>United Horse Shoe and Nail v Stewart</i> [1888] 5 RPC 260, 266 f. (HL)	163
<i>Upmann v Elkan</i> [1871] 7 Ch App 130	239
<i>Wellesley Partners LLP v Withers LLP</i> , [2015] EWCA Civ 1146; [2016] Ch 529, [68], [80]	38–40
<i>Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale v Islington LBC</i> , [1996] AC 669, 714	34

UNITED STATES

<i>Blackman v Hustler Magazine</i> 800 F.2d 1160 (DC Cir. 1986).....	155, 165
<i>Butchers' Union Slaughterhouse v Crescent City</i> , 1884	278
<i>Fogerty v Fantasy</i> , 510 US 517, 527 (1994)	164
<i>Further Tremolo Patent v Hitchcock</i> , 90 US 518, 528 f. (1874)	162
<i>Schnadig v Gaines</i> , 620 F.2d 1166, 1172 (6th Cir. 1980)	162

OTHER

<i>Cordis v. Schneider</i> , NJF 2006, 355	161–162
ECHR 16.06.2015, 64569/09 – DELFI AS / Estland II.....	20

LIST OF AUTHORS

Paul S. Davies

Professor of Commercial Law, University College London, UK

Orit Fischman Afori

Professor of Law, Haim Striks School of Law, The College of Management, Israel

Jens-Uwe Franck

Professor of Private Law, Commercial and Competition Law, University of Mannheim, Germany

Andreas Funke

Professor of Public Law and Philosophy of Law, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

Christian Heinze

Professor of Private Law and Intellectual Property Law, Leibniz University Hannover, Germany

Jan Felix Hoffmann

Professor of Civil Law and Civil Procedure, Director of the Institute for German and Comparative Civil Procedure, Department 1, University of Freiburg, Germany

Franz Hofmann

Professor of Private Law, Intellectual Property and Technology Law, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

Martin Husovec

Assistant Professor, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society (TILT) and Tilburg Institute for Law and Economics (TILEC), Tilburg Law School, Tilburg University, The Netherlands

Franziska Kurz

Doctoral Candidate and Research Assistant at the Chair of Private Law, Intellectual Property and Technology Law, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

Dorothea Magnus

Assistant Professor of Criminal Law, Free University Berlin, Germany

Jonathan Moss

Barrister, Hogarth Chambers, UK

Benjamin Raue

Professor of Private Law, Law of the Information Society and Intellectual Property Law, University of Trier, Germany

Klaus Ulrich Schmolke

Professor of Private Law, Commercial, Company and Business Law, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

Luboš Tichý

Professor of Private and European Law and Chair of the Centre for Comparative law, Charles University Prague, Czech Republic

Christian Twigg-Flesner

Professor of International Commercial Law, University of Warwick, UK