

HANDBOOK ON LEGAL METHODOLOGY

HANDBOOK ON
LEGAL METHODOLOGY

From Objective to Method

Lina KESTEMONT



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:

NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832
Email: info@isbs.com

Handbook on Legal Methodology. From Objective to Method

© Lina Kestemont 2018

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover image: "Parking Lot" by Bram Tack

A parking lot is an intriguing place to observe: cars come in from different angles and leave in different directions, all depending on the objectives of the drivers and their passengers. The same is true for researchers, as every research starts from a specific angle, develops its own methodology and follows its own course, all depending on the research's objectives.

ISBN 978-1-78068-673-8
D/2018/7849/42
NUR 820

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

For my parents, Hilde and René

To my daughter, Hanne

With special thanks to my husband, Bram

FOREWORD

The present book explores prevailing theories on the nature of legal science and legal methodology, and investigates its unique characteristics. In doing so, the book aims to enrich the burgeoning research on methodology of law with new insights, and tilts the methodology debate onto a new, concrete and practical level.

This book is meant to assist master students and PhD students in law to enter into the opaque and complex field of legal methodology, and to develop their own methodological skills. The approach is very hands-on and written with special attention for scholars carrying out legal research. Although the subject matter is one of the most challenging in legal literature, the book is easy to read and invites academics to use it in research practice or research instruction. Probably more than any other publication on the topic it brings theory and practice on research skills swiftly together. This book is also of relevance to funding agencies and policy makers. Understanding theory and practice of legal methodology is of utmost importance in the context of interdisciplinary research. There is a clear need to understand the particular features of legal methodology, as compared to methodologies applied in other social sciences. All in all, the book is strongly recommended for all those who are in need of a hands-on manual on how to embark upon legal research, but at the same time tickles the interest of all those who show interest in research methodology at large.

The author, Lina Kestemont, stood out among other researchers at the Faculty of Law of the University of Leuven. It was crystal clear from the beginning in 2012 that she was as a very intelligent, ambitious and mature researcher, who showed a vigorous eagerness to explore a territory which was yet unknown to her: the area of methodology of law. She greedily joined our venture to strengthen legal methodology in the law curriculum by embracing the chance to assist in teaching legal methodology. Her input turned out to be vital in giving shape to our classes on legal methodology. The collaboration with Lina proved that she has the plasticity of mind and that she disposes over ample creativity and robustness to explore prevailing theories and assumptions in the field of methodology of law, and is able to guide research master and PhD students in law in their attempt to make their legal methodology explicit. Over the years we came to know Lina better, and realized even more how blessed we were having her around. Lina assumed leadership in the Research Master

Program without giving it a second thought, and lent her insights to set up a Crash Course Methodology for PhD students at our faculty. She was always keen to help research master students and to assist PhD students and professors in any way she could. The book very well reflects Lina's pedagogical attitude towards explaining research methodology. Lina is not the person to restrict her knowledge to mere theoretical considerations on legal research methodology. She wants to share her knowledge with fellow researchers and does it in a very accessible manner. By moments one can even feel the sheer enthusiasm that is typical to Lina's teaching approach.

We consider the author a top-notch scholar on legal methodology, an excellent teacher and a dear friend. Her intellectual strength and generous spirit will continue to inspire us.

Prof. dr. Paul Schoukens & Prof. dr. Geertrui Van Overwalle
University of Leuven, Belgium

WORDS OF GRATITUDE

This handbook is the result of a PhD project (2011–2016) for which I would like to thank several people. First of all, my supervisor Prof Dr Paul Schoukens. He was an excellent mentor who took the time to critically reflect on the project, to proofread my texts and to give feedback. He always stimulated me to seize chances, to improve my skills and to gain the necessary experience. His time and efforts made me a better scholar. I'm also grateful to my co-supervisor, Prof Dr Danny Pieters. He mentored me during my master years, gave me a warm welcome at the *Institute of Social Law* and learned me the basics of good legal research. Being offered a PhD position under his co-supervision was a great honour to me.

I would like to thank the members of my jury: Em Prof Dr Frank Hutsebaut (president), Prof Dr Geertrui Van Overwalle, Prof Dr Jeroen Maesschalck and Prof Dr Rob van Gestel. They all have supported and motivated me in their own way throughout my PhD project. In particular, I would like to thank Geertrui Van Overwalle for her mentorship during my years as a master and a PhD student. She taught me how to be critical and focused when analysing problems. Our meetings and conversations did not only improve my professional skills, but also my confidence as a young, female scholar.

I am thankful for the support of my colleagues, in particular: Eleni, for her support in good times and bad, Carla, for all the practicalities, Karen to revise my texts and Kirsten for being there. I am also grateful to Els Vandensande, a colleague but most of all a friend who was my help and stay throughout the entire project.

I would like to thank my family for their continuous support: my siblings, Britta and Mike, their partners, Rien and Lore and my in-laws, the Tack family. I am very grateful to my father René who was prepared to proofread my texts at any time and my mother Hilde who in difficult times was always there to comfort me.

My final words of gratitude go to my husband, Bram. Writing a PhD is both an enriching and exhausting process, with many ups and downs. His loyal support throughout these five years was a sign of unconditional love and strong faith in my abilities; a gift for which I am truly grateful and of which I am reminded every day in our little girl, Hanne.

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	vii
<i>Words of gratitude</i>	ix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Need for an explicit legal methodology	1
1.2 Basic principles of the handbook	2
1.2.1 Importance of an explicit methodology	2
1.2.2 Indispensable link between research objective and methodological features	4
1.2.3 Result of a PhD project at KU Leuven Law Faculty (2016)	4
1.3 Structure of the handbook	7
2 Research objectives	9
2.1 Descriptive research objective	9
2.2 Classifying research objective	11
2.3 Comparative research objective	12
2.4 Theory-building research objective	14
2.5 Explanatory research objective	16
2.6 Evaluative research objective	17
2.7 Recommendatory research objective	17
3 Research objectives and their methodological features	19
3.1 Methodological features of a descriptive research objective	19
3.1.1 Description angle	19
3.1.2 Description structure	20
3.1.3 Different types of interpretation	21
3.1.3.1 Grammatical interpretation	22
3.1.3.2 Technical interpretation	23
3.1.3.3 Systematic interpretation	24
3.1.3.4 Legal-historical interpretation	27
3.1.3.5 Teleological interpretation	28
3.1.3.6 Interpretation based on jurisprudence	29
3.1.3.7 Interpretation based on legal doctrine	30

3.1.3.8	Interpretation based on non-binding legal sources	30
3.1.3.9	Sociological interpretation	31
3.1.4	Interpretation and normative choices	31
3.2	Methodological features of a classifying research objective	33
3.2.1	Classification angle	33
3.2.2	Classification criteria	34
3.2.3	Classification process	36
3.3	Methodological features of a comparative research objective	36
3.3.1	General methodological features	37
3.3.1.1	Reason(s) to compare	37
3.3.1.2	Micro/macro comparison	37
3.3.1.3	Tertium comparationis	38
3.3.1.4	Choice of legal systems	39
3.3.1.5	Access to sources	41
3.3.1.6	Comparison approach	42
3.3.1.6.1	Dogmatic approach	43
3.3.1.6.2	Functional approach	44
3.3.1.6.3	Sui generis approach	47
3.3.2	Comparison process	48
3.3.2.1	Describing legal systems	48
3.3.2.2	Comparing legal systems	51
3.3.2.3	Explaining uncovered differences and similarities	53
3.3.2.4	Evaluating results of comparison	54
3.4	Methodological features of a theory-building research objective	55
3.4.1	Different types of theories	55
3.4.2	General requirements of a legal theory	56
3.4.3	Premises of a legal theory	57
3.4.4	Abstracting the essence	57
3.4.5	Attention for dissent	58
3.5	Methodological features of an explanatory research objective	59
3.5.1	Internal approach	59
3.5.2	External approach	59
3.6	Methodological features of an evaluative research objective	60
3.6.1	Selecting evaluation criteria	60
3.6.2	Operationalizing evaluation criteria	62
3.7	Methodological features of a recommendatory research objective	63
3.7.1	Preliminary description, explanation and evaluation	64
3.7.2	Selecting normative criteria	64
3.7.3	From evaluation to recommendation: the need for normative criteria	65
3.7.4	Operationalizing normative criteria	66
3.7.5	Process of balancing criteria	67

3.7.5.1	Balancing internal normative criteria	67
3.7.5.2	Balancing external normative criteria	68
3.7.5.3	Balancing results of comparative studies	71
3.7.6	Formulating recommendations	73
3.7.6.1	Different types of recommendations	73
3.7.6.2	Transparent clarification of the proposed recommendations	73
4	Research objectives and overall methodological features	75
4.1	Attention for dissent	75
4.2	Interaction between different research objectives – research islands	75
4.3	Researcher’s frame of reference	78
4.3.1	Constituents of the researcher’s frame of reference	78
4.3.2	Methodological influence of the researcher’s frame of reference .	79
5	A practical guide on research objectives and methodological features . . .	83
5.1	Overall scheme	84
5.2	List of methodological questions	86
	<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>89</i>

