

SITUATION SELECTION REGIME AT
THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

School of Human Rights Research Series, Volume 82.

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

SITUATION SELECTION REGIME
AT THE INTERNATIONAL
CRIMINAL COURT

Law, Policy, Practice

Mohammad Hadi ZAKERHOSSEIN



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:

NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832
Email: info@isbs.com

Situation Selection Regime at the International Criminal Court. Law, Policy, Practice
© Mohammad Hadi Zakerhossein 2017

The editor and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover image: drawing made by Anahita Shams

ISBN 978-1-78068-618-9
D/2017/7849/133
NUR 828

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgment</i>	xi
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xiii
General Introduction	1
1. Contextualization of the Problem.....	1
2. Questions.....	4
3. Methodology.....	5
3.1. Descriptive Part	5
3.2. Normative Part.....	6
3.2.1. Functional Approach to the ICC.....	7
3.2.2. In Search of a Theory for the Court	10
3.2.3. Definition of Expressivism.....	15
4. Outline.....	18
PART ONE. FORMULATING THE CONCEPT OF SITUATION IN THE ROME STATUTE SENSE	23
Chapter I	
Situation v. Case	27
1. Dichotomy between Situation and Case	27
2. Linkage between Situation and Case	30
3. Reasons behind the Adoption of a Situation-Oriented Procedure	31
Chapter II	
Defining Elements of the Notion of a Situation	37
1. The Jurisdictional Element of a Situation	37
2. The Contextual Element of a Situation.....	38
2.1. Crisis as the Context of Situations	38
2.2. Connection between Contextual Element of Crimes and Contextual Element of Situations.....	40
2.2.1. Context of War Crimes.....	40
2.2.2. Context of Crimes against Humanity	42
2.2.3. Context of Genocide.....	45

2.3. Situational Contextual Element in Practice.....	47
2.4. Functions of Situational Context.....	49
PART TWO. SITUATION SELECTION PROCESS	53
Chapter I	
Trigger Mechanism.....	57
1. State Referrals.....	59
1.1. Making a Referral as a Right for All States Parties.....	59
1.2. The Phenomenon of Self-Referral	61
1.2.1. The Emergence of Self-Referrals	62
1.2.2. Benefits of a Self-Referral for the Court’s Prosecutor	64
1.2.3. Self-Referrals under Criticism.....	66
1.3. Specificity	69
2. The UN Security Council Referral	69
2.1. Legal Basis for UNSC Referrals	70
2.2. Mutual Benefits	73
2.3. Privileges of the Security Council Referrals	76
2.4. Restrictions on Making a Referral by the UNSC	80
2.5. Security Council Referrals in Practice	82
2.5.1. Situation in Darfur, Sudan.....	82
2.5.2. Situation in Libya.....	84
2.5.3. Article 16 in Action.....	85
3. Communications	86
3.1. Procedural Aspects of Communications	87
3.2. Prosecutor <i>PROPRIO MOTU</i> Power.....	89
4. Declarations of acceptance under Article 12(3)	91
4.1. Difference between Referrals and Declarations	92
4.2. Declarations in Practice	94
Chapter II	
Identification Stage.....	97
1. Identification in the Context of Communications.....	97
1.1. Forming a Situation from Communications.....	97
1.2. ICC and the Situation of ISIS in Iraq and Syria	99
1.3. The End of the Identification Stage.....	103
2. Identification in the Context of Referrals	106

Chapter III	
Preliminary Examination	111
1. Time Frame	114
2. Information in the Preliminary Examination	117
3. Scope of Preliminary Examination	121
4. Evidentiary Threshold	124
Chapter IV	
Making a Decision on the Situation Selection	131
1. Opening an Investigation	131
1.1. Investigation of Referrals	132
1.1.1. Announcement of Decision	133
1.1.2. Article 18 Mechanism	135
1.1.2.1. Making a Challenge under Article 18	135
1.1.2.2. Scope of Article 18	138
1.2. Investigation of Communications	139
1.2.1. Judicial Authorization	139
1.2.2. Victims' Participation	142
1.2.2.1. Participatory Right of Victims	142
1.2.2.1.1. The Victims' Participation Procedure	145
1.2.2.1.2. Participation in Situational Phase	147
1.2.3. Judicial Decision on the Prosecutor's Request	153
2. Decision Not to Open an Investigation	153
2.1. Rejection of Communications	153
2.2. Rejection of Referrals	156
PART THREE. SITUATION SELECTION CRITERIA	161
Chapter I	
Jurisdiction	165
1. The Court's Jurisdiction Basis	165
2. The Necessity of Jurisdiction's Satisfaction	168
3. Types of Jurisdiction	169
3.1. Subject-Matter Jurisdiction	170
3.2. Temporal Jurisdiction	175
3.3. Territorial Jurisdiction	179
3.3.1. The Priority of Territorial Jurisdiction	181
3.3.2. The Scope of Territorial Jurisdiction	182
3.4. Personal Jurisdiction	184
3.4.1. The Vital Role of the Nationality Principle in Ending Impunity ..	185
3.4.2. Immunities as an Exception to the Personal jurisdiction	187

3.4.2.1.	Immunities at the ICC.	187
3.4.2.2.	Cooperation Obligation and Immunities	191
3.4.2.3.	The ICC Jurisprudence on Immunities	193
Chapter II		
Admissibility		
1.	Conceptualization of Admissibility	201
1.1.	Distinction between Admissibility and Jurisdiction	201
1.2.	Admissibility and the Court’s Selectivity.	203
1.3.	From Situational Admissibility to Case Admissibility	204
1.4.	Components of Admissibility	209
2.	Sufficient Gravity Requirement	211
2.1.	Different Functions of Gravity in the Rome Statute.	211
2.2.	Gravity as the Admissibility Threshold	214
2.3.	Difference between Situational Gravity and Case Gravity	217
2.4.	Criteria for Gravity Assessment	218
2.4.1.	Scale of crimes	221
2.4.2.	Impact of Crimes.	224
2.4.3.	Nature of Crimes.	231
2.4.3.1.	Thematic Prosecution	231
2.4.3.2.	Criminal Themes at the ICC	232
2.4.3.3.	Exercising Thematic Prosecution	238
2.4.4.	Manner of Crimes’ Commission	239
2.5.	The Case Study of the Situation of Registered Vessel of the Comoros	240
2.5.1.	Description of the Case	241
2.5.2.	Analysis of the Situation on Registered Vessel of the Comoros.	244
2.6.	Big Fish Policy in Light of Gravity	247
3.	Complementarity	253
3.1.	Theoretical Pillars of Complementarity.	256
3.2.	Complementarity as Admissibility Rule	259
3.2.1.	Defining Potential Cases for the Situational Complementarity Assessment	259
3.2.2.	The Concept of Case in the Complementarity Assessment	263
3.2.2.1.	The Same Conduct Test.	265
3.2.2.1.1.	The Conduct Notion at the ICC Case Law.	265
3.2.2.1.2.	Narrow Interpretation of the Same Conduct Test.	274
3.2.2.1.3.	Broad Interpretation of the Same Conduct Test.	279
3.2.2.1.4.	Sentence-Based Approach Doctrine	280
3.2.2.2.	The Same Person Test	282
3.2.3.	Situation of a State’s Inaction.	283

3.2.3.1.	Arguments Supporting Establishing the Inaction Test .	287
3.2.3.2.	Proofs of Being Active.....	289
3.2.3.2.1.	Features of Active National Proceedings ...	289
3.2.3.2.2.	Relevant Evidence to Prove Domestic Activity	293
3.2.3.3.	Admissibility of Self-Referrals in light of the Inaction Test	295
3.3.	Burden of Proof in the Complementarity Assessment	298
3.4.	Genuineness Requirement for the Domestic Proceedings	300
3.5.	Situation of State's Unwillingness.....	305
3.5.1.	Due Process Framework in Determining Unwillingness.....	306
3.5.2.	Shielding from Justice.....	311
3.5.3.	Unjustified Delay in Delivering Justice	313
3.5.4.	Impartiality and Independence of the Domestic Proceedings ...	314
3.6.	Situation of a State's Inability.....	315
3.6.1.	The situation of Collapse of National Judicial System.....	316
3.6.2.	Situation of Unavailability of the National Judicial System	320
3.6.2.1.	Normativity of Unavailability Test	321
3.6.2.2.	Legal Characterization: Ordinary Crimes or International Crimes.....	322
4.	Availability of Situations.....	327
Chapter III		
Interests of Justice.....		
1.	Exceptionality of the Interests of Justice Requirement	334
2.	Distinction from the Admissibility Requirement	336
3.	Necessity of Examination.....	338
4.	A Concept without Definition.....	341
5.	A Victim-Oriented Notion.....	342
6.	A Context for the Applicability of the Interests of Justice: Justice or Peace....	346
6.1.	Justice Supporters	348
6.2.	Peace Supporters	351
6.3.	The Prosecutor Status Quo.....	354
6.4.	Preliminary Examination as a Platform for a Plural Approach.....	358
6.5.	Peace as a Tool for Justice	360
7.	Decision Not to Initiate an Investigation.....	363
8.	Missing in Monitoring System	366

PART FOUR. SITUATION SELECTION IN LIGHT OF EXPRESSIVISM.....	369
Chapter I	
Conceptualization of Expressivism in the Context of the ICC	371
1. Expressivism and its Beneficial Effects on Victims	372
1.1. The Emergence of the Victims' Rights in the ICC	373
1.2. Court's Messages for Victims.....	377
2. Expressivism Fosters the Positive Complementarity.....	379
2.1. Definition of Positive Complementarity	381
2.2. Materializing Positive Complementarity.....	383
2.2.1. Encouragement	384
2.2.2. Reverse Cooperation.....	385
Chapter II	
Implications of Expressivism on the Situation Selection	389
1. Selection of a Situation for Conducting Preliminary Examination as a Platform to Serve Victims	389
2. Lenient Complementarity Assessment.....	394
CONCLUSION	401
1. 'Situation' as a Concept with an Ambiguous Definition.....	401
2. Identification Stage: The Missing Phase at the ICC Architecture	403
3. Selecting a Situation for Highlighting a Forgotten Criminal Theme	403
4. Complementarity as Rule and Complementarity as Principle	404
5. No Harm to Victims Principle.....	406
6. A Need for Extending Judicial Review of the Prosecutor's Decisions.....	407
7. An Expressive Situation Selection.....	408
8. Implications of Designing an Expressive Situation Selection Regime	410
9. To conclude.....	412
<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>413</i>
<i>ICC Case Tables</i>	<i>429</i>
<i>OTP Documents</i>	<i>437</i>
<i>About the Author</i>	<i>439</i>

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Spending five years at Tilburg Law School, besides visiting University of California Los Angeles, Middlesex University and Galway University, offered me the tremendous opportunity to write this book. In this regard, I have received enthusiastic support of many people, all of whom I owe sincere gratitude.

My supervisors Marc Groenhuijsen and Anne-Marie de Brouwer: I deeply appreciate all your whole-hearted backing and sincere belief in me. I feel fortunate to have had you. Thank you for your invaluable comments and excellent advice you have given me throughout the years and for giving me the space, courage and inspiration I needed to develop my ideas and broaden my knowledge. In addition, I must thank you for all your heartfelt sympathy and support to my personal life.

My colleagues from the Tilburg University's Department of Criminal Law: you made the time I spent working on my thesis enjoyable. Due to your kindness, I looked forward to each day I worked at my offices on the 8th floor in the M building. Thank you for all your companionship.

The reading committee: Professors Kooijmans, you have been a friend rather than a boss for me at Tilburg University before and during my teaching, van Genugten, Smeulers and Dr. Bevers, I always had your great support when I did an internship at the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court under your supervision, thank you very much for providing me with your constructive and valuable feedback.

Last but not least, writing this thesis would have been impossible without the support of my parents and family. Fatemeh, you had the more difficult part throughout the years, but I did see nothing except for kind support and great encouragement. I am blessed to have you.

To the next generation, to Zeinab who owns my heart, may the world you grow up is a world without war and suffering and with peace and love. I believe we eventually see such a world.



ABBREVIATIONS

ASP	Assembly of States Parties
CAR	Central African Republic
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo
HRW	Human Rights Watch
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICD	International Crime Division
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
ILC	International Law Commission
IMT	International Military Tribunal
ISIS	Islamic State in Iraq and Syria
JCCD	Jurisdiction and Complementarity and Cooperation Division
LRA	Lord's Resistance Army
NGO	None-Governmental Organization
OTP	Office of the Prosecutor
PTC	Pre-Trial Chamber
RS	Rome Statute
SCSL	Special Court for Sierra Leone
STL	Special Tribunal for Lebanon
TFV	Trust Fund for Victims
TRC	Truth and Reconciliation Commission
UN	United Nations
UNSC	United Nations Security Council
UNSCR	United Nations Security Council Resolution
UNSG	United Nations Secretary-General

