

PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS:
PRINCIPLE X IN THEORY AND PRACTICE

PROCEDURAL
ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS:
PRINCIPLE X IN THEORY
AND PRACTICE

Edited by
Jerzy JENDROŚKA
Magdalena BAR



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:

NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832
Email: info@isbs.com

Procedural Environmental Rights: Principle X in Theory and Practice

© The editors and contributors severally 2017

The editor and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover image: Joseph Sohm – Shutterstock

ISBN 978-1-78068-610-3

D/2017/7849/39

NUR 823

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

CONTENTS

Introduction. Procedural Environmental Rights in Theory and Practice

Jerzy JENDROŚKA xvii

PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS: STATUS AND DEVELOPMENTS 1

Developing Standards for Procedural Environmental Rights through Practice – The Changing Character of Rio Principle 10

Stephen STEC 3

1. Principle 10 and the Complexity of Sustainability Transition 3
2. The Okinawa Dugong Cases 9
3. Conclusion 17

The Evolution of Participatory Rights in the Era of Fiscal Austerity and Reduced Administrative Burden

Jukka SIMILÄ 19

1. Introduction 19
2. Horizontal Policies and Regulation 21
3. Public Participation and Fiscal Austerity 26
4. Public Participation and Reduction of Administrative Burden 29
5. Structural Reform – a Win-win-win Situation? 33
6. Conclusions 36

Definitions of the Aarhus Convention v. the Proposal for a New Latin America and the Caribbean Instrument – Mapping the Differences in the Material Scope of Procedural Environmental Rights in International Law

Juliana ZULUAGA MADRID 39

1. Introduction 40
2. Precedents and Methodology 41
 - 2.1. The Draft of the Regional Agreement on Access to Information, Participation and Justice in Environmental Matters in Latin America and the Caribbean 42

3.	Mapping the Differences of the Material Scope of Procedural Environmental Rights in International Law	44
3.1.	‘Competent Authorities’ v. ‘Public Authorities’	44
3.2.	‘Environmental Information’	48
3.3.	‘Public’ and ‘Public Concerned’	51
3.4.	Other Definitions Affecting the Scope of the Regional Instrument v. the Aarhus Convention	53
4.	Conclusions	55
	PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS IN PRACTICE	59
	Procedural Environmental Rights in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights and Their Impact on Criminal Procedure Law	
	Robert ESSER	61
1.	Introduction – Environmental Law Cases and Their Connotation to Criminal Law	62
2.	Obligation of the State to Protect People’s Life and the Duty to Conduct an Effective Investigation (Article 2 ECHR)	63
3.	Environmental Dangers and the Right to Private Life, Article 8 ECHR	66
3.1.	ECtHR’s jurisdiction on environmental protection	66
3.2.	Content of the State’s positive duty	67
3.3.	Interference by a public authority according to Article 8 § 2 ECHR	68
3.4.	Involvement of the public in the decision-making process, margin of appreciation of the State and access to judicial review complying with Article 8 § 2 ECHR	69
4.	Do Procedural Requirements Derived from Article 8 ECHR Demand Criminal Investigations in Environmental Cases?	71
5.	Access of Injured Persons and Relatives to Criminal Investigation	72
6.	Private Accessory Prosecution in German Criminal Procedure Law (<i>Nebenklage</i>)	74
7.	Conclusion	76
	Ilva: An Environmental Case	
	Nicola LUGARESI	79
1.	Introduction: the Issues	79
2.	Ilva: History and Data	81
3.	Ilva, the Law and the Environment	85
3.1.	Italy	85
3.2.	Europe	88
4.	Ilva, (Un)Sustainable Development, Information and Participation	91
5.	Conclusions	95

The Improvement of Article 37 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights	
– A Choice Between an Empty Shell and a Test Tube?	
Marco Túlio REIS MAGALHÃES.....	97
1. Introduction	98
2. Development of European Environmental Law	99
2.1. Environmental protection at European level	99
2.2. A comparison with the development of international environmental law	101
2.3. Primary and secondary EU legislation.....	103
2.4. Evaluating the European environmental law	104
3. EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and Environmental Protection ...	105
3.1. Concept of a catalogue of fundamental rights	105
3.2. EU Charter of Fundamental Rights	106
3.3. Environmental protection in the terms of Article 37.....	110
4. Improvement without Reinvention: a Plea Based on Existing Legal Tools.....	111
4.1. Does a right to environmental protection encompass the scrutiny of fundamental rights?	112
4.2. A procedural fundamental right to environmental protection: from an empty shell towards a test tube?.....	115
5. Conclusion	117
 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	 119
The EU and Public Participation in Environmental Decision-Making	
Ludwig KRÄMER	121
1. Primary EU law	122
2. Secondary EU law	123
3. Public Participation in Measures at EU Level.....	126
3.1. Public participation in decisions on activities and projects	127
3.2. Plans and programmes adopted at EU level	129
4. EU Law with Regard to Participation Rights at National Level.....	132
4.1. Participation in projects and activities.....	132
4.2. Trans-European projects	134
4.3. Participation in national decisions on plans and programmes ...	138
5. Resuming remarks.....	140

Public Participation in Rulemaking and Decision-Making in Environmental Matters – Legal Framework and Jurisprudence in Spain and the Basque Country	
María del Carmen BOLAÑO PIÑEIRO and Iñaki LASAGABASTER HERRARTE.....	
	143
1.	Introduction.....
	144
2.	Legal Framework regarding Public Participation in Environmental Rulemaking and Decision-making.....
	147
3.	Jurisprudence.....
	150
3.1.	Distinction between administrative regulation and administrative decision.....
	150
3.2.	‘Substantive amendment’ as a legal category.....
	152
3.3.	Imposition of specific obligations to public authorities by courts.....
	153
3.4.	Nullity.....
	154
4.	Conclusions.....
	155
5.	Bibliography.....
	156
6.	Jurisprudence.....
	158
Legal Instruments to Protect Indigenous Peoples’ Participation in Europe and in the Arctic Region	
Margherita Paola POTO.....	
	159
1.	Introductory Remarks.....
	160
2.	Part I.....
	162
2.1.	Indigenous Peoples’ Participatory Rights in Europe.....
	162
2.2.	International Instruments and Recommended Reforms to Enhance Participation of European Minorities.....
	164
3.	Part II.....
	167
3.1.	Indigenous Peoples in the Arctic Region and Their Participation in Environmental Decisions.....
	167
3.2.	Advancements in the Full Recognition of Indigenous Peoples’ Rights in the Arctic.....
	167
4.	Concluding Remarks.....
	169
Notifying the Public as a Part of the Public Participation Procedure in EU, Polish and Ukrainian Law	
Viktoriiia RACHYNSKA.....	
	171
Introduction.....	
	171
1.	Polish Law.....
	175
2.	Ukrainian Law.....
	176

3.	Personal Scope of Public Notification	178
4.	The Notification Made in a Timely Manner	180
5.	The Notification Made in an Effective Manner	182
6.	Public and Individual Notice	184
6.1.	Publication in printed media	184
6.2.	Bill posting	185
6.3.	Radio, television and social media	187
6.4.	Electronic media	187
6.5.	Individual notice	188
6.6.	General recommendations of the Aarhus Convention Compliance Committee to notification	189
7.	Conclusions	190

**Public Participation Rights Enhancement within the Wind Power
Plants Location in Poland in the Context of EU Renewable Energy
Requirements**

	Kamila SOBIERAJ	193
1.	Introduction	194
2.	Increasing EU Requirements Regarding Renewable Energy Sources ...	194
3.	The Predicted Leading Position of Wind Energy within EU Requirements Meeting	196
4.	Protection of the Public Participation Rights in the Process of Wind Farm Location	197
5.	The Impact of the Wind Farm Investments Act on the Increase of the Public Participation Rights	203
6.	Conclusions	205

	ACCESS TO JUSTICE	207
--	-------------------------	-----

Access to Environmental Justice in India: Innovation and Change

	Gitanjali Nain GILL	209
1.	Introduction	209
2.	Environmental Courts and Tribunals: Facilitating and Promoting Environmental Justice	212
3.	The Indian Judiciary: Public Interest Litigation and the National Green Tribunal	216
4.	Conclusion	228

The Scope of the Review in Environment-related Disputes in the Light of the Aarhus Convention and EU Law – Tensions between Effective Judicial Protection and National Procedural Autonomy	
Vasiliki (Vicky) KARAGEORGOU	229
1. Introduction	229
2. The Regulatory Framework concerning the Scope of the Review in Environment-related Judicial Proceedings at Supranational Level	231
2.1. The relevant provisions of the Aarhus Convention	231
2.2. The relevant provisions of the EU environmental law and the limits of discretion of the national legislators	233
2.2.1. The incorporation of the third pillar of the AC in the EU legal system concerning national review procedures	233
2.2.2. The determination of the scope of the review and the limits to the national procedural autonomy	236
3. Issues Arising in Different Legal Systems Concerning the Scope of the Review in Environment-related Judicial Proceedings	238
3.1. Introductory remarks	238
3.2. The scope of the review in systems of subjective judicial review	239
3.2.1. The scope of review in the German system of judicial review	239
3.2.2. The scope of the review in other systems of subjective judicial review: the Austrian and the Czech system	243
3.3. The scope of review in systems of objective judicial review: The case of the Greek system	245
3.4. Critical remarks from the comparative overview	249
4. The Determination of the Scope of the Review in the Light of the Findings of the ACCC and the Relevant Jurisprudence of the CJEU ...	249
4.1. The relevant findings of the ACCC	249
4.2. The relevant jurisprudence of the CJEU	251
4.2.1. The ruling in the <i>Trianel</i> case	252
4.2.2. The ruling in the <i>Alptrip</i> case	253
4.2.3. The ruling in the <i>Commission v. Germany</i> case	254
4.2.4. A critical assessment of the three rulings	256
5. Concluding Remarks: Responses for Addressing Restrictive Approaches Concerning the Scope of the Review	257
 Implementation of the Aarhus Convention through <i>Actio Popularis</i> – Article 9(3) of the Aarhus Convention and <i>Actio Popularis</i>	
Žaneta MIKOSA	261
1. Introduction	262
2. Legal Standing in the Aarhus Convention and in Its “Enforcement” Provision (Peculiarity of Article 9(3))	264

3.	Legal Standing and “the Most Extensive Approach” in Light of the Principle of Separation of Powers	267
4.	Different Approaches to Legal Standing in Europe and <i>Actio Popularis</i> Therein	272
5.	The Evolvement of an <i>Actio Popularis</i> through Legislation of Latvia . . .	274
6.	<i>Actio Popularis</i> through Court Practice in Latvia	277
6.1.	The first cases towards <i>actio popularis</i>	277
6.2.	Environmental protection as the “main concern” to apply the “environmental exception clause”	278
7.	Concluding Remarks	280
	 PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS AND NATURE PROTECTION	 285
	 Towards a Legally Enforceable Duty to Restore Endangered Species under EU Nature Conservation Law – On Wild Hamsters, the Rule of Law and Species Extinction	
	Hendrik SCHOUKENS	287
1.	General Introduction	288
1.1.	From static preservation management to ecological restoration . .	288
1.2.	From piecemeal lawsuits to more activist types of environmental litigation	290
2.	The Plight of the Wild Hamster in Western Europe: An Appropriate Context for Restoration-based Claims?	292
2.1.	From agricultural pest to critically endangered species in several EU Member States?	293
2.2.	Extinction through inaction?	294
3.	Procedural Obstacles: Granting Standing to Environmental NGOs in Order to Allow Them to Act as Guardians of the Wild Hamster? . . .	296
3.1.	Different roads to the enforcement of EU environmental law . . .	296
3.2.	Article 9(3) of the Aarhus Convention: a new pathway for more progressive standing rules?	299
3.2.1.	A high-water mark for procedural obstacles to environmental litigation	300
3.2.2.	The relatively wide material scope of Article 9(3) of the Aarhus Convention	301
3.2.3.	More liberal standing criteria in environmental cases? . . .	302
3.2.4.	Effective legal remedies, also in restoration-based cases? . .	304
3.3.	A complementary road to standing: Article 12(1) of the Habitats Directive and effective judicial protection?	305
3.3.1.	Direct effect as a gateway to better legal protection?	306
3.3.2.	Effective legal protection as an attractive side-route?	306

3.4.	Separation of powers versus mandatory injunction: beyond the traditional approach towards the <i>trias politica</i>	309
3.4.1.	Towards a more liberal approach of the separation of powers doctrine?	310
3.4.2.	The re-emergence of Article 9(4) of the Aarhus Convention: effective judicial protection vs the traditionalist approach to the separation of powers theory?	313
3.4.3.	The principle effective protection of Article 19(1) of the TEU	314
4.	Substantive Elements: Towards a Clear-Cut Restoration Duty under EU Nature Conservation Law for Strictly Protected Species?	317
4.1.	Towards a duty to restore endangered species: going beyond the status quo?	317
4.1.1.	A brief contextualisation of Article 12(1) of the Habitats Directive: going beyond ‘paper’ protection?	317
4.1.2.	Beyond conservation, towards recovery: restoring species to a thriving condition?	319
4.2.	The favourable conservation status as baseline: a reviewable standard for restoration-based litigation?	322
4.2.1.	At what territorial level is the favourable conservation status to be achieved?	323
4.2.2.	How to establish a precise and easily reviewable baseline: uncertain science vs discoverable standards?	324
4.2.3.	Additional ways to operationalize the recovery rationale: favourable reference range and population targets?	328
4.3.	An alternate route for restoration claims: remedying past non-compliance and unlawful damage to species?	331
4.4.	Which concrete measures are obligatory to foster recovery for endangered species?	334
4.5.	Economic considerations as additional obstacles to judicial review?	337
5.	Conclusion	338

Recognition of Rights of Nature, as a Subject of Law, in the International Environmental Law Framework

Santiago VALLEJO GALÁRRAGA 341

1.	Introduction	341
2.	Christopher Stone and Other Promoters of Legal Standing of Nature	344
2.1.	Is the legal representation of nature possible?	346
2.2.	Is there an alternative view to the economic sense of environmental rights?	348

3.	Thomas Berry and the Theory of Earth Jurisprudence	351
4.	The Rights of Mother Earth	354
4.1.	Humans are members of the Earth's community	354
4.2.	Nature is a system of interdependence	355
4.3.	Organisms as teleological centers of life	355
4.4.	Denial of human superiority	355
5.	Rights of Nature in the International Law Framework	356
5.1.	Legitimacy and independence of States	356
5.2.	Nature as a new actor on the international scene	358
5.3.	Nature, from a commodity to a bearer of rights	359
6.	Conclusions	360
Strengthening Conservation through Participation: Procedural Environmental Rights of Local Communities in Transboundary Protected Areas		
	Emma Mitrotta	363
1.	Introduction	364
2.	The Extraterritorial Dimension of Public Participation	365
3.	Participation of Local Communities as 'Public Concerned' in Protected Areas	371
4.	Community Participation in Transboundary Protected Areas	378
5.	Conclusions	383
PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS AND EIA		387
Public Participation and EIA in the Multi-Stage Decision-Making Process: The Czech example		
	Petra HUMLÍČKOVÁ and Vojtěch VOMÁČKA	389
1.	Introduction	389
2.	Quest for Compliance	390
2.1.	Historical development	390
2.2.	Chain of procedures	393
2.3.	The specific regime and the specific-to-specific regime	394
2.4.	Too many variables	396
2.5.	Many steps to stumble over	398
3.	Case Studies	398
3.1.	EIA statement with no consequent permits	399
3.2.	Outdated facts in environmental impact assessment	401
3.3.	Implementation of a different project than has been considered in the EIA	404
3.4.	(Ab)use of data from EIA	405
4.	Conclusions	407

Use of the Bounding Conditions Envelope Concept in the Polish System of Environmental Impact Assessments

Mariusz WÓJCIK, Paweł GRABOWSKI, Maciej STRYJECKI and

Dominik GAJEWSKI 409

1.	Introduction	410
2.	Conditions and Procedural Safeguards in the Application of the Bounding Conditions Envelope in EIA of Projects	411
2.1.	Rationale for using BCE under the Polish system of EIA of projects	411
2.2.	Flexibility in the Polish system of EIA	415
2.3.	Public participation and procedural safeguards in BCE approach . .	415
2.4.	Supplementary EIA and BCE	417
3.	Methodological Principles of EIAs Using BCE	418
3.1.	Identification of potential options	418
3.2.	Identification of key impacts of the project on the environment . .	418
3.3.	Identification of project parameters affecting the scale of impacts (e.g. height, power output, noise emission)	419
3.4.	Establishing the initial BCE	419
3.5.	Performing EIA for BCE and establishing the final BCE	420
3.6.	Issuing of the environmental decision	420
3.7.	Verification of BCE	421
3.8.	Challenges in using BCE	421
4.	Conclusions	422

Special Provisions on the Issuance of Environmental Decisions in Sectoral Legislation in Poland

Sergiusz URBAN 425

1.	Introduction	425
2.	The Exemptions from the General Rules Applicable to the Environmental Decisions	426
2.1.	Restrictions imposed on the proceedings of the issuance of the environmental decisions	428
2.2.	Automatic assignment of the immediate enforceability to the environmental decisions and the consequences for the challenging of these decisions	429
2.3.	Special rules of challenging the environmental decisions	430
2.4.	Prohibition of the quashing of the administrative decisions issued at the last stage of the development process in the context of the (complementary) Environmental Impact Assessment conducted as a part thereof	431
3.	Conclusions	432

PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS AND CLIMATE CHANGE	435
(Un)comfortably Numb: The Role of National Courts for Access to Justice in Climate Matters	
Esmeralda COLOMBO.....	437
1. Introduction	438
2. A Normative Framework. Reloading Principle 10 of the Rio Declaration	440
3. Enforcement, Legitimacy and Limitations	447
4. A New Strand of Climate Change Litigation.....	450
5. Access to Justice at Play within the Paris Agreement	459
6. Conclusions.....	463
Access to Information, the Hidden Human Rights Touch of the Paris Agreement?	
Delphine MISONNE	465
Introduction	465
1. The Paris Agreement on Climate Change	468
1.1. A treaty	468
1.2. Not a treaty for all	469
1.3. A long-awaited treaty	469
1.4. A brand new type of treaty.....	470
2. Access to Information in International Agreements on Climate Change.....	472
2.1. Previous agreements on climate change	472
2.2. Aarhus' impact.....	474
2.3. A special place in the Paris Agreement	475
3. The Content of Nationally Determined Contributions.....	476
4. The Follow-Up of Article 12.....	477
4.1. Beyond capacity-building: an integration clause	477
4.2. Towards a cooperation on creating new rights.....	478
4.3. A necessary part of future nationally determined contributions...	479
5. Conclusion	480
Access to Justice in Climate Change Litigation from a Transnational Perspective: Private Party Standing in Recent Climate Cases	
Samvel VARVAŠTIAN	481
1. Introduction	482
2. Standing in the US.....	483
2.1. Cases concerning climate change impact assessment	485

Contents

2.2. Cases concerning the regulation of GHG emissions and air quality	489
2.3. Common law cases	492
3. Standing in Australia	495
4. Standing in Europe: the Dutch Urgenda Case	498
5. Concluding Remarks.....	501