

EXTENDED CONFISCATION IN CRIMINAL LAW

Recently published in the series:

7. *Individual Criminal Liability for the International Crime of Aggression*, GERHARD KEMP
8. *Collective Violence and International Criminal Justice –An Interdisciplinary Approach*, ALETTE SMEULERS (ed.)
9. *International Criminal Law from a Swedish Perspective*, IAIN CAMERON, MALIN THUNBERG SCHUNKE, KARIN PÅLE BARTES, CHRISTOFFER WONG and PETTER ASP
10. *The Implementation of the European Arrest Warrant in the European Union: Law, Policy and Practice*, MASSIMO FICHERA
11. *The Principle of Mutual Recognition in Cooperation in Criminal Matters*, ANNIKA SUOMINEN
12. *The European Public Prosecutor's Office*, MARTIJN WILLEM ZWIERS
13. *Victimological Approaches to International Crimes: Africa*, RIANNE LETSCHERT, ROELOF HAVEMAN, ANNE-MARIE DE BROUWER and ANTONY PEMBERTON (eds.)
14. *The United States and International Criminal Tribunals*, HARRY M. RHEA
15. *EU Sanctions: Law and Policy Issues Concerning Restrictive Measures*, IAIN CAMERON (ed.)
16. *Whose Responsibility?* MALIN THUNBERG SCHUNKE
17. *Genocidal Gender and Sexual Violence*, USTA KAITESI
18. *Addressing the Intentional Destruction of the Environment during Warfare under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court*, STEVEN FREELAND
19. *Individual Criminal Liability for the International Crime of Aggression*, GERHARD KEMP
20. *Selective Enforcement and International Criminal Law: The International Criminal Court and Africa*, JAMES NYAWO
21. *The Governance of Complementary Global Regimes and the Pursuit of Human Security: The Interaction between the United Nations and the International Criminal Court*, ANDREA MARRONE
22. *The Rationality of Dictators: Towards a More Effective Implementation of the Responsibility to Protect*, MAARTJE WEERDESTIJN

Editors:

Dr. Roelof H. Haveman (editor in chief)

Dr. Paul J.A. De Hert (European Law)

Dr. Alette L. Smeulers (Criminology)

EXTENDED CONFISCATION
IN CRIMINAL LAW

National, European and International
Perspectives

Malin THUNBERG SCHUNKE



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:

NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832
Email: info@isbs.com

Extended Confiscation in Criminal Law. National, European and International Perspectives

© Malin Thunberg Schunke 2017

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover illustration: Francisco DE GOYA (1746–1828), Etching, Plate 42 from 'Los desastres de la guerra'

ISBN 978-1-78068-478-9
D/2017/7849/70
NUR 824

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

PREFACE

This book would not have been possible without the generous funding from the Swedish Research Council (*Vetenskapsrådet*), which has financed the research. During the project, I have also received assistance from a number of people. First of all, many thanks to Professor Iain Cameron and Professor Magnus Ulväng who gave valuable commentaries and other support to my application for funding. The research environment of Uppsala University is very inspiring, and special gratitude goes to the participants of the research seminar organised by Magnus Ulväng at the Law Faculty, where I was able to present and discuss my subject. When in Uppsala, I am always spoilt by the generous support of Professor Nils Jareborg.

I would further like to thank the following experts for help in various ways, for example by answering my questions relating to the European Union and confiscation: Hans G. Nilsson, Guy Stessens, Steven Cras and Sebastiano Tiné. At a seminar at the Prosecution Development Centre in Stockholm, I was able to discuss financial investigations and confiscation practice. Many thanks go to the participants and to the Deputy Director of Public Prosecution Ewa Nyhult, who organised the seminar. Words of thanks are also due to Chief Prosecutor Eva Thunegard, who has assisted me with materials relating to the confiscation practice of the prosecuting offices.

And finally, as always, I am proud and grateful to be a part of such a great and loving family: Maximilian, Emma, Nicholas, Alexander and the rest of the big Thunberg-Schunke clan.

Hannover, February 2017

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xix

PART I. INTRODUCTION

Chapter 1. Background and Scope of the Study	3
1.1. <i>Go for the Money!</i> : The Development and Expansion of a New Law Enforcement Strategy	3
1.2. International and National Legislative Action	6
1.3. On the Subject Matter	9
1.3.1. The Overriding Purpose of the Study	9
1.3.2. The Different Stages of Confiscation	10
1.3.3. A Note on Terminology	12
1.4. The Perspectives of the Study and Delimitations	15
1.4.1. National and International Perspectives	15
1.4.2. Delimitations	16
1.5. An Outline of the Different Parts and Chapters	17

PART II. SUBSTANTIVE PROVISIONS ON EXTENDED CONFISCATION

Chapter 2. Legislative Action by the EU	25
2.1. FD 2005/212/JHA	25
2.1.1. Introduction	25
2.1.2. Extended Confiscation	26
2.1.3. Third Party Confiscation and Rules on Procedural Rights	28
2.2. Reviews of the European Confiscation Powers	29
2.3. Directive 2014/42/EU	33
2.3.1. The General Framework of the Directive	33
2.3.2. The Provision on Ordinary Confiscation	35
2.3.3. The Provision on Extended Confiscation	37
2.3.4. Third Party Confiscation	39
2.3.5. Procedural Safeguards	39

Chapter 3. Legislation in England and Wales	43
3.1. The Development of the Legislation on Extended Confiscation in England and Wales.	43
3.2. Overview of POCA 2002.	45
3.3. Confiscation Orders Pursuant to Part 2 of POCA 2002	49
3.3.1. Introduction	49
3.3.2. A Twin Track Confiscation System	51
3.4. Criminal Lifestyle Confiscation	53
3.4.1. Basic Requirements	53
3.4.2. The Determination of Benefit in Criminal Lifestyle Cases.	54
3.4.2.1. The Statutory Assumptions	54
3.4.2.2. The Burden and Standard of Proof	57
3.4.2.3. Benefit and the Available Amount.	58
3.5. Some Special Features of the English Confiscation Procedure	65
3.6. A Commentary on the English Confiscation Regime on Lifestyle Confiscation	67
3.6.1. A Draconian but Efficient Confiscation Regime?	67
3.6.2. The Classification of Defendants as Lifestyle Offenders	70
3.6.3. The Assessment of Benefit on the Basis of the ‘Criminal Lifestyle’ Assumptions	71
3.6.4. Lack of Judicial Discretion	74
3.6.5. The Burden of Proof on a Defendant.	76
3.6.6. Confiscation Orders and the ECHR	78
3.6.6.1. Case-law from the ECtHR	78
3.6.6.2. Confiscation Orders and the Human Rights Act 1998.	85
3.6.6.3. Remarks on the Compatibility of the Regime with the ECHR.	86
3.6.7. Difficulties Regarding the Enforcement of Confiscation Orders.	87
 Chapter 4. Legislation in Germany	 91
4.1. The Development of the Measure of Extended Confiscation in German Law	91
4.2. Generally on the Confiscation Regime	97
4.3. Extended Confiscation in German Law	99
4.3.1. Basic Substantive Rules and the Procedural Framework	99
4.3.2. The Triggering Offences and the Scope for Extended Confiscation	102
4.3.3. The Evidentiary Standard for Extended Confiscation.	104
4.3.3.1. Legal Provision and the Legislative Works.	104
4.3.3.2. Decision by the Bundesgerichtshof in 1994.	106

4.3.3.3.	Decision by the Bundesverfassungsgericht in 2004	108
4.3.3.4.	The Application of the Evidentiary Standard in Case-law	110
4.4.	A Commentary on the German Regime of Extended Confiscation	113
4.4.1.	A Complex and Partly Controversial Asset Recovery Regime	113
4.4.2.	The Interpretation and Application of the Evidentiary Standard	114
4.4.3.	The Present Scope of the Legislation	117
4.4.4.	The Proposal for a New German Provision on Extended Confiscation	118
4.4.5.	The Proposal for a New Form of Non-Conviction-Based Confiscation	122
4.4.6.	The Practice of Administrative “ <i>Präventive Gewinnabschöpfung</i> ”	126
Chapter 5.	Legislation in Sweden	129
5.1.	The Development of the Measure of Extended Confiscation in Swedish Law	129
5.2.	Generally on the Regime for Confiscation in Sweden.	131
5.3.	The Provision on Extended Confiscation in Swedish Law	134
5.3.1.	Basic Substantive Rules and the Procedural Framework	134
5.3.2.	The Triggering Offences and the Scope for Extended Confiscation	137
5.3.3.	Special Criteria for Extended Confiscation.	138
5.3.4.	The Burden and Standard of Proof.	140
5.3.5.	Third Party Confiscation	144
5.4.	Swedish Case-Law on Extended Confiscation	145
5.4.1.	Introduction	145
5.4.2.	Assets Found under “Suspicious” Circumstances	147
5.4.3.	The Financial Situation of the Defendant	150
5.4.4.	<i>NJA 2010 p. 374.</i>	154
5.5.	An Analysis of the Swedish Confiscation Regime	157
5.5.1.	The Issue of Confiscation of Relatively Low Amounts of Cash	157
5.5.2.	The Scope of Confiscation and the Triggering Offences.	162
5.5.3.	The Swedish Hardship Rule.	170
5.5.4.	A Lowered Standard or a Reversed Burden of Proof?	171
5.5.5.	The Procedural Framework for a Motion on Extended Confiscation	173
5.5.6.	The Efficiency of the Regulation.	175

Chapter 6. Brief Overview of the Legislation in Norway, Denmark and Finland	179
6.1. Norway	179
6.1.1. The Provision on Extended Confiscation	179
6.1.2. The Burden of Proof	181
6.1.3. The Procedural Framework	182
6.2. Denmark	183
6.2.1. The Provision on Extended Confiscation	183
6.2.2. The Burden of Proof	184
6.2.3. Danish Legislation and Directive 2014/42/EU	184
6.3. Finland	185
6.3.1. The Provision on Extended Confiscation	185
6.3.2. The Burden of Proof	187

Chapter 7. Directive 2014/42/EU: A Reform with Significant Legal Changes?

7.1. The Necessity of a New EU Instrument on Confiscation	189
7.2. The Challenges to a More Harmonised EU Regime	193
7.3. Triggering Offences and the Scope of Directive 2014/42/EU	197
7.4. The Establishment of Illegal Origin	202
7.4.1. Some Special Supportive Legislative Criteria	202
7.4.2. The Evidentiary Standard and Burden of Proof	204
7.5. Exceptions to Extended Confiscation	210
7.6. The Absence of a Hardship Clause	212
7.7. Procedural Safeguards for the Individual	214
7.8. The Relationship Between Confiscation and Compensation for Victims	216
7.9. Third Party Confiscation	217
7.10. Concluding Remarks: What Has Been Achieved and What Still Needs to be Done?	218

PART III. THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

Chapter 8. International Cooperation in the Area of Confiscation

8.1. Introduction	225
8.2. International Instruments on MLA	226
8.3. EU Instruments on Mutual Recognition	228
8.4. The Directive on EIO	230
8.5. An Outline of the Following Chapters	233

Chapter 9. Cross-Border Asset Tracing and Exchange of Information	237
9.1. The Legal Framework	237
9.1.1. Requests within the Framework of International Police Cooperation	237
9.1.2. Requests within the Framework of International Cooperation between Judicial Authorities	241
9.1.3. The FD on Asset Recovery Offices	248
9.2. Commentaries to the Regime of International Cooperation Regarding the Tracing of Assets	250
9.2.1. The Scope and Practical Application of the Legal Framework	250
9.2.2. The Setting up of Efficient AROs	256
9.2.3. The German Asset Recovery Offices	260
Chapter 10. International Cooperation in Order to Seize or Freeze Assets	263
10.1. The Legal Framework	263
10.1.1. Requests for MLA	263
10.1.2. Orders for Seizure or Freezing Based on Mutual Recognition	265
10.1.2.1. The FD on Freezing Orders	265
10.1.2.2. The Directive on EIO	268
10.2. A Commentary to the EU Regime on Cross-Border Seizure of Assets	269
10.2.1. The FD on Freezing Orders: A Lack of Application in Legal Practice	269
10.2.2. An Abolition of Double Criminality: The Example of Sweden	274
10.2.3. The Need for Urgent Measures: Is the Present Legal Framework Sufficient?	276
Chapter 11. International Cooperation in Order to Execute Final Confiscation Orders	281
11.1. The Legal Framework	281
11.1.1. Requests for MLA	281
11.1.2. The FD on Confiscation Orders	282
11.1.2.1. General Provisions	282
11.1.2.2. Mutual Recognition of Orders on Extended Confiscation	286
11.2. A Commentary on the EU Regime on Cross-Border Execution of Final Confiscation Orders	287
11.2.1. Generally on the Legal Construction of the FD on Confiscation Orders	287

11.2.2.	The Execution of Final Orders Based on the Powers of Extended Confiscation	288
11.2.2.1.	Mutual Recognition and the FD on Confiscation Orders	288
11.2.2.2.	The Example of Sweden: Execution of Foreign Orders Regarding Extended Confiscation.	292
11.2.3.	Special Difficulties for the UK: Recognition and Execution of NCB Confiscation Orders	296
Chapter 12. The Proposal for a Regulation on Mutual Recognition		303
12.1.	The Background to the Proposal.	303
12.2.	The Motives for EU Action	304
12.3.	The Legal Basis, Choice of Instrument and General Structure.	305
12.4.	The Scope of Application	307
12.5.	Grounds for Non-Recognition and Non-Execution.	311
12.6.	Time Limits for Recognition and Execution of Orders	312
12.7.	General Provisions on Procedure	313
12.8.	Some Special Provisions Regarding Freezing Orders.	314
12.9.	The Priority of the Rights of Victims	316
12.10.	Mutual Recognition and the Respect for Fundamental Rights.	317
Chapter 13. Final Remarks: “To Go for the Money” – But How?		323
<i>Bibliography</i>		329
<i>Index</i>		351
<i>About the Author</i>		353

TABLE OF CASES

ENGLAND

<i>Ahmad and Ahmed v. R</i> , [2012] EWCA Crim 391.....	55, 65
<i>Attorney General v. McMillan-Smith</i> , [2009] EWCA Crim 732.....	64, 65
<i>Crown Prosecution Service v. Jennings</i> , [2008] UKHL 29	53, 55
<i>Director of Assets Recovery Agency and Others v. Green and Others</i> , [2005] EWHC 3168 (Admin).....	47
<i>Gale and Another (Appellants) v. Serious Organised Crime Agency (Respondent)</i> , [2011] UKSC 49.....	46, 47
<i>Moss v. R</i> , [2015] EWCA Crim 713	58
<i>Pigott v. R</i> , [2009] EWCA Crim 2292	65
<i>Price v. CPS</i> , [2016] EWHC 455 Admin	65
<i>R v. Ahmad and Another and R v. Fields and Others</i> , [2014] UKSC 36.....	73
<i>R v. Aujla</i> , [2008] EWCA Crim 637.....	55
<i>R v. Baden Lowe</i> , [2009] EWCA Crim 194.....	51, 75
<i>R v. Barnham</i> , [2005] EWCA Crim 1049	59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 82
<i>R v. Barwick</i> , [2000] EWCA Crim 3551	57, 59, 60, 61
<i>R v. Benjafield and Others</i> , [2002] UKHL 2	82, 85
<i>R v. Briggs Price</i> , [2009] UKHL 19, 2 WLR 1101	57, 85
<i>R v. Cuthbertson</i> , [1980] 2 All ER 401, [1981] AC 470	43
<i>R v. Dickens</i> , [1990] EWCA Crim 4.....	68
<i>R v. Farquhar</i> , [2008] EWCA Crim 806	51
<i>R v. Green</i> , [2008] UKHL 30.....	53, 72
<i>R v. Green</i> , [2007] EWCA Crim 1248	55
<i>R v. Harvey</i> , [2015] UK SC 73.....	55
<i>R v. Hirani</i> , [2008] EWCA Crim 1463.....	66
<i>R v. Jones</i> , [2006] EWCA Crim 2061	56
<i>R v. May</i> , [2008] UKHL 28	44, 50, 52, 54, 55, 56, 72, 73
<i>R v. McIntosh and Marsden</i> , [2011] EWCA Crim 1501.....	65
<i>R v. Morgan and Bygrave</i> , [2008] EWCA Crim 1323.....	51, 75
<i>R v. Padda (Gurpreet Singh)</i> , [2013] EWCA Crim 2330	67
<i>R v. Paulet</i> , [2009] EWCA Crim 288	50, 51
<i>R v. Rezvi</i> , [2002] UKHL 1	51, 85
<i>R v. Smith</i> , [2011] EWCA Crim 2029	65
<i>R v. Summers</i> , [2008] EWCA Crim 872.....	59, 65
<i>R v. Takkar</i> , [2011] EWCA Crim 646	65
<i>R v. Waya</i> , [2012] UKSC 51	50, 85
<i>Shabir v. R</i> , [2008] EWCA Crim 1809	51, 72, 75
<i>Telli v. Revenue and Customs Prosecution Office</i> , [2007] EWCA Civ 1385.....	64
<i>Whittington v. R</i> , [2009] EWCA Crim 1641.....	57, 58, 64

GERMANY

LANDESGERICHT

Landgericht Hamburg, Decision of 11.4.1994, 633 KLs 15/93 94

OBERLANDESGERICHT

Oberlandesgericht Hamm, Decision of 13.12.2004, 2 Ss 482/04. 114

Oberlandesgericht Stuttgart, Decision of 25.2.2010, 1 Ausl. (24) 1246/09 214

OBERVERWALTUNGSGERICHT

Oberverwaltungsgericht Lüneburg, Judgment of 2.7.2009, Az. 11 LC 4/08. 127

Oberverwaltungsgericht Lüneburg, Judgment of 7.3.2013, Az. 11 LB 438/10. 127

BUNDESGERICHTSHOF

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 22.11.1994, 4 StR 516/94 106, 107, 108

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 8.2.1995, 5 StR 663/94 94

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 20.9.1995, 3 StR 267/95 103

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 10.2.1998, 4 StR 4/98 110

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 27.4.2001, 3 StR 132/01 103

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 9.5.2001, 3 StR 541/00 112

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 21.8.2002, 1 STR 115/02. 97, 101

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 29.8.2002, 3 StR 287/02 113

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 2.10.2002, 2 StR 294/02 112, 114

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 7.1.2003, 3 StR 421/02 114

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 1.7.2004, 4 StR 226/04 100

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 28.7.2004, 2 StR 209/04 112

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 5.8.2004, 4 StR 186/04 112

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 16.5.2006, 1 StR 46/06 101

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 21.10.2008, 4 StR 437/08 114

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 11.12.2008, 4 StR 386/08 99

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 3.9.2009, 5 StR 207/09 111, 112

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 16.3.2010, 4 StR 497/09 112

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 20.4.2010, 4 StR 119/10 99, 114

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 4.8.2010, 5 StR 184/10 111

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 27.4.2011, 4 StR 39/11 114

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 7.7.2011, 3 StR 144/11 100

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 23.5.2012, 4 StR 76/12 114

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 5.7.2012, 3 StR 210/12 112

Bundesgerichtshof, Decision of 4.4.2013, 3 StR 529/12 114

Bundesgerichtshof, Judgment of 8.8.2013, 3 StR 226/13 99, 100

BUNDESVERFASSUNGSGERICHT

<i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> , Decision of 20.3.2002, 2 BvR 794/95	94
<i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> , Decision of 14.1.2004, 2 BvR 564/95, NJW 2004, 2073	91, 101, 108, 109, 110
<i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> , Decision of 24.10.2011, 1 BVR 732/11	127

SWEDEN

JUDGMENTS FROM THE DISTRICT COURTS

<i>Gothenburg District Court</i> , Judgment on 16 June 2009, Case B 12483-08	154
<i>Stockholm District Court</i> , Judgment on 5 December 2012, Case B 14525-11	160
<i>Stockholm District Court</i> , Judgment on 12 December 2012, Case B 15461-12	158
<i>Solna District Court</i> , Judgment on 13 December 2012, Case B 3541-12	152, 159

JUDGMENTS FROM THE COURTS OF APPEAL

<i>Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 8 July 2009, Case B 1163-09 and <i>Malmö District Court</i> , Judgment on 5 May 2009, Case B 2122-09	148, 152
<i>Hovrätten för Västra Sverige</i> , Judgment on 1 September 2009, Case B 3095-09 and <i>Göteborg District Court</i> , Judgment on 18 June 2009, Case B 1441-09	152
<i>Hovrätten för Västra Sverige</i> , Judgment on 14 September 2009, Case B 2927-09	154
<i>Svea Hovrätt</i> , Judgment on 12 March 2010, Case B 3952-09 and <i>Södertörn District Court</i> , Judgment on 21 April 2009, Case B 82-09	151
<i>Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 14 September 2010, Case B 2121-10 and <i>Malmö District Court</i> , Judgment on 16 July 2010, Case B 4581-10	143
<i>Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 26 October 2010, Case B 1361-10 and <i>Malmö District Court</i> , Judgment on 21 April 2010, Case B 1350-10	152
<i>Svea Hovrätt</i> , Judgment on 25 November 2010, Case B 5119-09, <i>Stockholm District Court</i> , Judgment on 2 June 2009, Case B 4685-09 and <i>Supreme Court</i> , Judgment on 3 December 2012, Case 5960-10	137
<i>Hovrätten för Övre Norrland</i> , Judgment on 17 December 2010, Case B 709-10 and <i>Umeå District Court</i> , Judgment on 23 July 2010, Case B 1081-10	161
<i>Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 17 March 2011, Case B 2221-10 and <i>Malmö District Court</i> , Judgment on 13 July 2010, Case B 2818-10	149
<i>Hovrätten för Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 6 April 2011, Case B 467-11 and <i>Malmö District Court</i> , Judgment on 27 January 2011, Case B 9056-09	143, 147
<i>Svea Hovrätt</i> , Judgment on 26 May 2011, Case B 7018-10 and B 1977-10 and <i>Södertörn District Court</i> , Judgment on 23 July 2010, Case B 3201-10	160
<i>Hovrätten för Västra Sverige</i> , Judgment on 16 December 2011, Case B 3912-11 and B 4332-11 and <i>Göteborg District Court</i> , Judgment on 2 September 2011 and on 14 October 2011, Case B 6403-11	144
<i>Svea Hovrätt</i> , Judgment on 17 January 2012, Case B 9933-11 and <i>Södertörn District Court</i> , Judgment on 22 November 2011, Case B 10297-11	150

<i>Svea Hovrätt</i> , Judgment on 12 April 2012, Case B 2328-12 and <i>Stockholm District Court</i> , Judgment on 17 February 2012, Case B 15263-11	147
<i>Hovrätten för Nedre Norrland</i> , Judgment on 26 April 2012, Case B 1103-11 and <i>Sundsvall District Court</i> , Judgment on 8 November 2011, Case B 1756-11	153
<i>Svea Hovrätt</i> , Judgment on 30 May 2012, Case B 3756-12 and <i>Stockholm District Court</i> , Judgment on 3 April 2012, Case B 1622-12	143
<i>Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 7 June 2012, Case B 1339-12 and B 694-12 as well as <i>Helsingborg District Court</i> , Judgment on 10 April 2012, Case B 530-12	159
<i>Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 5 November 2012, Case B 3477-11 and <i>Malmö District Court</i> , Judgment 15 November 2011, Case B 7342-11	158
<i>Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge</i> , Judgment on 3 January 2013, Case B 3223-12 and <i>Helsingborg District Court</i> , Judgment on 16 November 2012, Case B 5064-12	161
<i>Hovrätten för Västra Sverige</i> , Judgment on 14 January 2013, Case 5069-12 and <i>Göteborg District Court</i> , Judgment on 15 November 2012, Case B 12953-12	149
<i>Göta Hovrätt</i> , Judgment on 24 July 2013, Case B 1673-13 and <i>Jönköping District Court</i> , Judgment on 27 May 2013, Case B 1110-13	161
<i>Hovrätten för Västra Sverige</i> , Judgment on 19 August 2014, Case B 3110-14 and <i>Halmstad District Court</i> , Judgment of 30 April 2014, Case B 297-14	159

JUDGMENTS FROM THE SUPREME COURT

NJA 1977 p. 176	142
NJA 1977 p. 735	133
NJA 1980 p. 725	141
NJA 1981 p. 622	142
NJA 1982 p. 421	142
NJA 1991 p. 83	141
NJA 1991 p. 387	133
NJA 1992 p. 113	142
NJA 1996 p. 176	141
NJA 2001 p. 129	133
NJA 2004 p. 204	133
NJA 2010 p. 374	136, 140, 144, 146, 152, 154–157, 166, 172
NJA 2016 p. 202	134
NJA 2016 p. 641	136, 144, 146, 148, 161
<i>Supreme Court</i> , Judgment on 6 December 2011, Case B 2521-11, <i>Court of Appeal</i> , Judgment on 11 May 2011, Case B 152-11 and <i>Södertörn District Court</i> , Judgment on 22 December 2010, Case B 4751-10	144, 157

NORWAY

<i>Høyesterett</i> , Judgment Rt. 2003 p. 897	181
<i>Høyesterett</i> , Judgment Rt. 2004 p. 1126	181
<i>Agder Lagmannsrett</i> , Judgment of 30.8.2005, No. LA 2005-40909	180
<i>Høyesterett</i> , Judgment of 27.8.2012, HR-2012-01689-A, Case No. 2012/617	181

ITALY

Corte Costituzionale, 17.2.1994, Decision nr. 48, *Cassazione Penale* (1995) p. 1455 207

FRANCE

Cour de Cassation, Case of *Crisafulli*, 13 November 2003, No. 3 03-80371 299

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>Aboufadda v. France</i> , Appl. No. 28457/10, Decision of 4 November 2014	195, 209, 210
<i>Arcuri & Three Others v. Italy</i> , Appl. No. 52024/99, Decision of 5 July 2001	209
<i>Bagnall v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 54241/12, lodged on 8 August 2012	85
<i>Briggs-Price v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 59494/09, lodged on 21 October 2009	57
<i>Elton v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 32344/96, Decision of 11 September 1997	79
<i>Geerings v. The Netherlands</i> , Appl. No. 30810/03, Judgment of 1 March 2007	163, 211
<i>Grayson and Barnham v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 19955/05 and 15085/06, Judgment of 23 September 2008	58, 77, 81, 82, 83, 84, 87
<i>Koli v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 58671/12, lodged on 3 August 2012	77
<i>Minhas v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 7618/07, Decision of 10 November 2009	73, 83, 84
<i>Murray v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 18731/91, Judgment of 8 February 1996	208
<i>Phillips v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 41087/98, Judgment of 5 July 2001	79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 209
<i>Raimundo v. Italy</i> , Appl. No. 12954/87, Judgment of 22 February 1994	209
<i>Salabiaku v. France</i> , Appl. No. 10519/83, Judgment of 7 October 1988	80, 84
<i>Saunders v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 19187/91, Judgment of 17 December 1996	208
<i>Welch v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 17440/90, Judgment of 9 February 1995	78, 79

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	Appeals Cases
Act 2011:423	Swedish Act (2011:423) on Recognition and Execution of Confiscation Orders within the European Union
All ER	All England Law Reports
Appl.	Application
ARA	Assets Recovery Agency
ARO	Asset Recovery Office
Art.	Article
AZ	Aktenzeichen
BGH	Bundesgerichtshof
BKA	Bundeskriminalamt
BRÅ	Brottsförebyggande rådet
BT-Dr	Bundestagsdrucksache
BVerfG	Bundesverfassungsgericht
BVR	Bundesverfassungsbeschwerden
CARIN	Camden Assets Recovery Interagency Network
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
Dir.	Utreddningsdirektiv
Directive on EIO	Directive 2014/41/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 3 April 2014 regarding the European Investigation Order in Criminal Matters
Directive 2014/42/EU	Directive 2014/42/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Freezing and Confiscation of Instrumentalities and Proceeds of Crime in the European Union
Ds.	Departementsserien
EAW	European Arrest Warrant
ECAB	European Criminal Assets Bureau
ECBA	European Criminal Bar Association
ECHR	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
ed.	editor
EEW	European Evidence Warrant
EIO	European Investigation Order

ERA Forum	Journal of the Academy of European Law
ETS	European Treaty Series
EU	European Union
eucrim	The European Criminal Law Associations' Forum
EWCA	England and Wales Court of Appeal
EWHC	High Court of England and Wales
FATF	Financial Action Task Force
FD	Framework Decision
FD 2001/500/ JHA	Framework Decision 2001/500/JHA of 26 June 2001 on Money Laundering, the Identification, Tracing, Freezing, Seizing and Confiscation of Instrumentalities and the Proceeds of Crime
FD on Freezing Orders	Council Framework Decision 2003/577/JHA on the Execution in the European Union of Orders Freezing Property or Evidence
FD 2005/212/ JHA	Framework Decision 2005/212/JHA of 24 February 2005 on Confiscation of Crime-Related Proceeds, Instrumentalities and Property
FD on Confiscation Orders	Council Framework Decision 2006/783/JHA of 6 October 2006 on the Application of the Principle of Mutual Recognition to Confiscation Orders
FD on Asset Recovery Offices	Council Framework Decision 2007/845/JHA concerning Cooperation between Asset Recovery Offices of the Member States in the field of Tracing and Identification of Proceeds from, or other Property related to, Crime
FD on EEW	Council Framework Decision 2008/978/JHA on the European Evidence Warrant for the purpose of Obtaining Objects, Documents and Data for use in Proceedings in Criminal Matters
FIU	Financial Intelligence Unit
FRA	European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights
EESC	European Economic and Social Committee
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GG	Grundgesetz
HD	Högsta domstolen
ILA Act	Swedish Act 2000:562 on International Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters
JHA	Justice and Home Affairs
JIT	Joint Investigation Team
KLs	Aktenzeichen Landgericht
KriPoZ	Kriminalpolitische Zeitschrift
LA	Lagmannsrett

LG	Landgericht
MLA	Mutual Legal Assistance
NCA	National Crime Agency
NCB	non-conviction-based
NJA	Nytt Juridiskt arkiv, Avd. 1
NJW	Neue Juristische Wochenschrift
No./Nr.	number
NOU	Norges Offentlige Utredninger
NStZ	Neue Zeitschrift für Strafrecht
OJ	Official Journal of the European Union
OLG	Oberlandesgericht
Ot. prp	Odelstingsproposisjon (Norge)
p.	page
para.	paragraph
POCA 2002	Proceeds of Crime Act 2002
prop.	Proposition
Report on Extended Confiscation	Betänkande av Utredningen om utvidgat förverkande, m.m., “ <i>För att brott inte ska löna sig</i> ”, SOU 2015:67
Report on Freezing	Report from the Commission based on Article 14 of the Council Framework Decision 2003/577/JHA of 22 July 2003 on the Execution in the EU of Orders Freezing Property or Evidence, COM (2008) 885 final
RIR	Riksrevisionen
RP	Regeringens proposition (Finland)
Rt	Norsk Retstidende
Sec.	section
SFS	Svensk författningssamling
SIENA	Secure Information Exchange Network Application
SOCA	Serious Organised Crime Agency
SOU	Statens offentliga utredningar
SPC	Swedish Penal Code (Brottsbalken SFS 1962:700)
SPOC	Single Point of Contact
StGB	Strafgesetzbuch
StPO	Strafproceßordnung
StR	Revisionen in Strafsachen
Subsec.	Subsection
SvJT	Svensk juristtidning

Swedish Initiative	Council Framework Decision 2006/960/JHA of 18 December 2006 on Simplifying the Exchange of Information and Intelligence between Law Enforcement Authorities of the Member States of the European Union
TEU	Treaty of European Union
TFEU	Treaty of the Functioning of the European Union
The 1959 Convention	European Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters
The 2000 EU Convention	Council Act of 29 May 2000 establishing the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union
The 2001 EU Protocol	Council Act of 16 October 2001 establishing the Protocol to the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union
UK	United Kingdom
UKHL	United Kingdom House of Lords
UK SC	United Kingdom Supreme Court
UN	United Nations
v.	versus
Vol.	volume
WLR	The Weekly Law Reports
ZIS	Zeitschrift für Internationale Strafrechtsdogmatik
ZJS	Zeitschrift für das Juristische Studium
ZRP	Zeitschrift für Rechtspolitik
ZStW	Zeitschrift für die gesamte Strafrechtswissenschaft