

Letter of Intent in International Contracting

Ekaterina
Pannebakker

Letter of Intent in International Contracting

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:

NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832
Email: info@isbs.com

Letter of Intent in International Contracting
© Ekaterina Pannebakker 2016

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover image © Reload Design – Shutterstock

ISBN 978-1-78068-449-9
D/2016/7849/176
NUR 727

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is a result of a doctoral trajectory, during which many people supported me. Their help is deeply appreciated.

Particular words of gratitude are owed to my promotors: Xandra Kramer for her encouragement and professionalism in supervision and Siewert Lindenbergh for his thoughtful input at the last stage of the research. I am grateful to Ellen Hey and Sanne Taekema for their coaching during the drafting of research proposal. At the last stage of writing, I appreciate the time of the members of the doctoral committee Harriët Schelhaas, Neil Andrews, and Alex Geert Castermans who have kindly agreed to read the typescript, and value the interest of the other committee members Sanne Taekema, Bob Wessels, Rieme-Jan Tjittes and Filip De Ly.

Thanks are also due to Filip De Ly and the *Groupe de Travail Contrats Internationaux* for always having reminded me about the law in practice; from among the Groupe's members, a particular note of gratitude is owed to Denis Philippe for sharing his thoughts about an arbitration clause in a letter of intent.

Part of the research was conducted abroad. The stay at the University of Cambridge (UK) greatly contributed to the study. I respectfully thank Neil Andrews for his kind invitation. The time in Italy at the UNIDROIT was inspiring and fruitful, thanks to the UNIDROIT staff in Rome. It was kind of Anna Veneziano to explain in broad brushstrokes the general structure of the DCFR. It was also a privilege to meet young professionals. I am indebted to Morgane Bona-Pellissier for her help in accessing sources of French law and to Alexandra Logue for a general discussion about the US legal system. A note of acknowledgment is also due to the UK Foundation for International Uniform Law for the financial contribution to the stay in Italy.

My colleagues at Erasmus School of Law have made the research time a pleasant human experience. I am grateful to Laura van Bochove for insights into the Dutch culture and language and for her integrity in the common tasks we still happen to have. Thanks to Alina Ontanu for having loyally shared with me the workplace and good times in Cambridge, to Erlis Themeli, Piotr Wilinski, Marta Kolacz, Denise Korthals Altes Biemans, Steven Stuij, Monique Hazelhorst and fellow PhD candidates for many

Acknowledgements

interesting discussions. Thanks to the former student assistants Sander Rijsterborgh, Ramses de Leeuw, Tom Vleeschhouwer, and the secretariat of the Private law department for technical support.

That Laura van Bochove and Eris Themeli accepted to stand by me as paronymphs at the ceremony of the doctoral defence is a great favour.

The last words of gratitude are to my mother and my family for their humour and encouragement and to Nelleke Stolwijk for all her practical help, genuine cheer and optimism.

The law is stated at the end of August 2016.

Ekaterina Pannebakker
Rotterdam, autumn 2016

CONTENTS

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| <i>Acknowledgments</i> | v |
| <i>Abbreviations</i> | xix |

Chapter 1.

| | |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. Memorandum of agreement in <i>Texaco v. Pennzoil</i> | 1 |
| 1.2. Defining letter of intent | 2 |
| 1.2.1. Multitude of interchangeable titles | 2 |
| 1.2.2. From broad definition to qualification | 2 |
| 1.2.3. Working definition: contractually organized negotiations | 3 |
| 1.3. Problems posed by issuing letter of intent and this study's hypothesis | 4 |
| 1.3.1. Note on levels of rule-making | 4 |
| 1.3.2. National level: contract formation and precontractual liability | 4 |
| 1.3.3. International level: hypothesis on privatization of negotiations in business self-regulation | 6 |
| 1.4. Research question | 7 |
| 1.5. Focus and scope | 8 |
| 1.6. Methodology | 11 |
| 1.6.1. Comparative functional method in three steps | 11 |
| 1.6.2. The first step: interdisciplinary argument to identify parameters for comparison | 12 |
| 1.6.3. The second step: comparison, selected jurisdictions | 13 |
| 1.6.4. The third step: towards a harmonized approach | 15 |
| 1.7. Sources and translation | 16 |
| 1.8. Outline of the study | 17 |

Chapter 2.

| | |
|---|----|
| Dynamics of Negotiations and the Content of Letter of Intent | 19 |
| 2.1. Introduction | 19 |
| 2.2. Negotiations | 21 |

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|----|
| 2.2.1. | Strategies and tactics | 21 |
| 2.2.2. | Substantive and dynamic constituents. | 23 |
| 2.3. | Statics in contract formation | 24 |
| 2.3.1. | Note on offer and acceptance. | 25 |
| 2.3.2. | Existing critique of statics in the analysis of contract formation | 28 |
| 2.4. | Identifying the dynamics. | 31 |
| 2.4.1. | The critique of the offer and acceptance rules in the light of negotiation studies. | 31 |
| 2.4.2. | Criterion for distinguishing between statics and dynamics. | 32 |
| 2.5. | Conclusion | 34 |
| | | |
| Chapter 3. | | |
| Dutch Law. | | |
| 3.1. | Introduction | 37 |
| 3.2. | Sources of Dutch law and terminology | 39 |
| 3.2.1. | Dutch Civil code, case law, and scholarship | 39 |
| 3.2.2. | Translation of terms | 40 |
| 3.3. | Negotiations as an invisible magnetic field | 40 |
| 3.3.1. | Freedom of negotiations. | 41 |
| 3.3.2. | Limitations of freedom of negotiations and factors to balance | 41 |
| 3.3.2.1. | Reasonableness and fairness. | 43 |
| 3.3.2.2. | Expectation of the imminent conclusion of the negotiated contract | 44 |
| 3.3.2.3. | Justified interest of the party breaking off negotiations. | 46 |
| 3.4. | Contract to negotiate | 47 |
| 3.4.1. | Gradual formation of contract. | 47 |
| 3.4.2. | Types of contracts to negotiate | 48 |
| 3.4.3. | Criteria of distinction | 49 |
| 3.5. | Tort law: misuse of information received in negotiations | 50 |
| 3.6. | The limited role of unjust enrichment | 51 |
| 3.7. | Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law | 52 |
| 3.7.1. | Agreement to negotiate. | 52 |
| 3.7.2. | Agreement to negotiate in good faith. | 54 |
| 3.7.3. | Provision on the non-binding character of negotiations | 54 |
| 3.7.3.1. | Subject to contract provisions | 54 |
| 3.7.3.2. | Honourable pledge. | 56 |
| 3.7.4. | Coming about of the final contract within the discretion of one of the parties | 56 |
| 3.7.5. | Exclusivity. | 57 |
| 3.7.6. | Confidentiality. | 58 |
| 3.7.7. | Provisions on costs incurred in negotiations and liability | 59 |
| 3.7.8. | Break-up fees | 60 |
| 3.7.9. | Dispute resolution | 61 |
| 3.7.9.1. | Choice of law. | 61 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----|
| 3.7.9.2. | Choice of court | 62 |
| 3.7.9.3. | Arbitration | 63 |
| 3.8. | Liability and remedies | 64 |
| 3.8.1. | Remedies | 64 |
| 3.8.1.1. | Recovery of damage | 64 |
| 3.8.1.2. | Order to negotiate | 65 |
| 3.8.2. | Interaction of causes of action | 67 |
| 3.8.3. | Liability for breaking off negotiations: a liability in tort? The debate and its relevance. | 67 |
| 3.8.4. | Conditions of liability in contract and tort | 68 |
| 3.9. | Conclusion | 69 |
| | | |
| Chapter 4. | | |
| French Law | | |
| 4.1. | Introduction | 73 |
| 4.2. | Sources of French law and terminology. | 75 |
| 4.2.1. | Code civil, reform of 2016, case law and scholarship. | 75 |
| 4.2.2. | Translation of terms | 76 |
| 4.3. | Negotiations as particularly rigorous ethics | 78 |
| 4.3.1. | Freedom of negotiations | 78 |
| 4.3.2. | Good faith and related duties | 79 |
| 4.3.2.1. | Bonne foi as umbrella for precontractual duties | 79 |
| 4.3.2.2. | Loyalty | 80 |
| 4.3.2.3. | Consistent behaviour | 81 |
| 4.3.2.4. | Transparency | 82 |
| 4.3.2.5. | Confidentiality | 83 |
| 4.3.3. | Breach of the duty as fault in tort | 84 |
| 4.3.3.1. | Fault related to withdrawal from negotiations | 84 |
| 4.3.3.2. | Fault in conducting negotiations | 89 |
| 4.4. | Avant-contrat | 89 |
| 4.4.1. | Agreements to negotiate | 91 |
| 4.4.1.1. | Project of contract | 91 |
| 4.4.1.2. | Agreement in principle | 92 |
| 4.4.2. | Preliminary agreements in the Ordonnance | 93 |
| 4.4.2.1. | Pre-emption agreement | 93 |
| 4.4.2.2. | Unilateral promise | 95 |
| 4.4.3. | Interim agreement | 96 |
| 4.5. | Limited role of unjust enrichment | 96 |
| 4.6. | Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law | 99 |
| 4.6.1. | Provision on the non-binding character of negotiations | 99 |
| 4.6.2. | Obligation to negotiate | 100 |
| 4.6.3. | Exclusivity | 100 |
| 4.6.4. | Confidentiality | 101 |

| | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----|
| 4.6.5. | Dispute resolution | 101 |
| 4.6.6. | Distribution of costs | 102 |
| 4.7. | Liability and remedies | 103 |
| 4.7.1. | Precontractual liability in tort | 103 |
| 4.7.1.1. | Damages | 103 |
| 4.7.1.1.1. | Sustained loss (<i>per te subie</i>) | 104 |
| 4.7.1.1.2. | Loss of bargain | 104 |
| 4.7.1.1.3. | Note on specific performance | 106 |
| 4.7.1.2. | Decrease of recovery for taking risks | 106 |
| 4.7.1.3. | Conditions of liability in tort | 107 |
| 4.7.2. | Contractual liability: remedies for breach of <i>avant-contrat</i> | 108 |
| 4.7.2.1. | Breach of an obligation to negotiate | 108 |
| 4.7.2.2. | Breach of pre-emption agreement | 108 |
| 4.7.2.3. | Breach of unilateral promise | 110 |
| 4.7.2.4. | Conditions of contractual liability | 110 |
| 4.7.3. | Interaction of causes of action | 110 |
| 4.8. | Conclusion | 111 |
| Chapter 5. | | |
| | English Law | 113 |
| 5.1. | Introduction | 113 |
| 5.2. | Sources of English law | 114 |
| 5.3. | Negotiations as adversarial process | 116 |
| 5.3.1. | Freedom of negotiations | 116 |
| 5.3.2. | Unenforceability of agreement to agree and similar | 117 |
| 5.3.2.1. | <i>Walford v. Miles</i> | 117 |
| 5.3.2.2. | Best efforts, reasonable endeavours and equivalents | 119 |
| 5.3.2.3. | Agreement to negotiate in good faith | 120 |
| 5.3.3. | Exception to unenforceability: lock-out agreement | 121 |
| 5.3.3.1. | Lock-out agreement | 121 |
| 5.3.3.2. | Requirements of consideration and specified time | 121 |
| 5.3.3.3. | Reasonable period of exclusivity implied | 122 |
| 5.3.3.4. | Right of first negotiation | 123 |
| 5.3.4. | Exception to unenforceability: best endeavours to obtain an export license or a planning permission | 124 |
| 5.3.5. | No general contract law duties and academic debate | 125 |
| 5.3.5.1. | Critiques of the assumption on the adversarial position of parties in negotiations | 126 |
| 5.3.5.2. | Reflections on possible content of <i>bona fides</i> in negotiations | 127 |
| 5.3.5.3. | Judicial standards of ‘reasonableness’ of refusal to mediate | 128 |
| 5.4. | Contract law framework: contractual intent and its negation | 130 |
| 5.4.1. | Honourable pledge | 130 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 5.4.2. | Negotiations ‘subject to contract’ | 131 |
| 5.4.2.1. | ‘Subject to contract’: further matters to be negotiated | 132 |
| 5.4.2.2. | ‘Subject to contract’: formal contract to be signed or essential term to be agreed | 133 |
| 5.4.2.3. | Implied waiver of ‘subject to contract’ clause | 134 |
| 5.4.3. | Divide into binding and non-binding provisions. | 139 |
| 5.4.4. | Relevant matters of interpretation | 139 |
| 5.4.4.1. | Objective principle | 139 |
| 5.4.4.2. | Ordinary meaning | 141 |
| 5.4.4.3. | Implied terms | 141 |
| 5.4.4.4. | Issues of law and fact | 144 |
| 5.4.4.5. | Parole evidence rule | 144 |
| 5.5. | Non-contractual doctrines mandatorily applicable to negotiations | 144 |
| 5.5.1. | Tort law | 144 |
| 5.5.1.1. | Misrepresentation | 145 |
| 5.5.1.2. | Deceit | 146 |
| 5.5.1.3. | Negligent misrepresentation | 147 |
| 5.5.2. | Duty of confidence in relations involving trust | 149 |
| 5.5.3. | Unjust enrichment | 150 |
| 5.5.4. | Note on estoppel | 151 |
| 5.6. | Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law | 153 |
| 5.6.1. | Provisions on costs and anticipated performance: three lines of cases | 153 |
| 5.6.1.1. | Contractual causes of action granted to both parties: RTS Flexible Systems v. Molkerei Alois Müller | 153 |
| 5.6.1.2. | Only restitution allowed: British Steel Corp v. Cleveland Bridge and Whittle Movers v. Hollywood Express | 155 |
| 5.6.1.3. | No remedy for taking a business risk: Regalian Properties v. London Docklands | 160 |
| 5.6.2. | Confidentiality | 161 |
| 5.6.3. | Dispute resolution | 162 |
| 5.6.3.1. | Choice of law | 162 |
| 5.6.3.2. | Choice of court | 164 |
| 5.6.3.3. | Arbitration | 164 |
| 5.6.3.4. | Mediation | 166 |
| 5.7. | Remedies and liability | 168 |
| 5.7.1. | Contract law | 168 |
| 5.7.2. | Tort law | 170 |
| 5.7.2.1. | Deceit | 170 |
| 5.7.2.2. | Negligent misrepresentation | 171 |
| 5.7.3. | Unjust enrichment | 171 |
| 5.7.4. | Breach of duty of confidence | 171 |
| 5.7.5. | Note on exemplary damages | 172 |
| 5.7.6. | Concurrence of actions | 172 |
| 5.8. | Conclusion | 173 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Chapter 6. | |
| US Law | 175 |
| 6.1. Introduction..... | 175 |
| 6.2. Approach to the US legal system..... | 178 |
| 6.2.1. The term US law and the approach of this Chapter..... | 178 |
| 6.2.2. Judicial system..... | 179 |
| 6.2.3. Sources of law..... | 180 |
| 6.2.3.1. Case law..... | 181 |
| 6.2.3.2. Systematized and unified of law..... | 181 |
| 6.2.3.3. Law and equity..... | 182 |
| 6.3. From ‘all or nothing’ to differentiation..... | 183 |
| 6.3.1. Negotiations as alea..... | 183 |
| 6.3.2. Preliminary regimes versus ultimate agreement..... | 185 |
| 6.3.3. The three modes of the courts’ approach..... | 186 |
| 6.3.3.1. Search for the ultimate agreement..... | 186 |
| 6.3.3.2. Implied good faith: diverging state law..... | 187 |
| 6.3.3.3. Further differentiation..... | 188 |
| 6.3.3.4. Intent as a criterion for applying a preliminary regime.... | 189 |
| 6.3.4. Assessment of intent: factors..... | 189 |
| 6.3.4.1. Language..... | 190 |
| 6.3.4.2. Anticipated performance..... | 193 |
| 6.3.4.3. Open issues..... | 194 |
| 6.3.4.4. Writing usually used..... | 195 |
| 6.3.4.5. Entire context of negotiations..... | 195 |
| 6.3.5. The most relevant issues of interpretation..... | 196 |
| 6.3.5.1. Subjective and objective intent..... | 196 |
| 6.3.5.2. Questions of fact and questions of law..... | 197 |
| 6.3.5.3. Parol evidence rule..... | 198 |
| 6.4. Non-contractual doctrines mandatorily applicable to negotiations..... | 199 |
| 6.4.1. Promissory estoppel: protection of precontractual reliance..... | 199 |
| 6.4.2. Tort law..... | 203 |
| 6.4.2.1. Misrepresentation of intent to reach agreement..... | 203 |
| 6.4.2.2. Appropriation of a trade secret..... | 206 |
| 6.4.3. Law of restitution and unjust enrichment..... | 208 |
| 6.5. Dynamics of negotiations addressed in case law..... | 212 |
| 6.5.1. Development of the enforceability requirements..... | 212 |
| 6.5.1.1. Intent as a condition of enforceability..... | 212 |
| 6.5.1.2. Other conditions of enforceability..... | 212 |
| 6.5.2. Agreement to agree..... | 214 |
| 6.5.3. Contractualized good faith..... | 215 |
| 6.5.4. Negotiations subject to future contract..... | 217 |
| 6.5.5. Exclusivity..... | 217 |
| 6.5.6. Confidentiality..... | 218 |
| 6.5.7. Division into binding and non-binding provisions..... | 219 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 6.5.8. | Dispute resolution | 219 |
| 6.5.8.1. | Choice of law | 219 |
| 6.5.8.2. | Choice of forum including arbitration | 220 |
| 6.5.8.3. | Mediation | 222 |
| 6.6. | Remedies and liability | 223 |
| 6.6.1. | Contract law | 223 |
| 6.6.2. | Promissory estoppel | 226 |
| 6.6.3. | Tort law | 227 |
| 6.6.4. | Law of restitution and unjust enrichment | 228 |
| 6.6.5. | Interaction of causes of action | 228 |
| 6.6.6. | Note on punitive damages | 229 |
| 6.7. | Conclusion | 230 |

Chapter 7.

Comparative Observations 233

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 7.1. | Introduction | 233 |
| 7.2. | Note on the selection of the provisions addressed | 234 |
| 7.3. | Obligation to negotiate or agreement to agree | 235 |
| 7.4. | Obligation to negotiate in good faith | 238 |
| 7.5. | Keeping negotiations non-binding | 240 |
| 7.6. | Dividing negotiations into binding and non-binding parts | 242 |
| 7.7. | Confidentiality | 245 |
| 7.8. | Exclusivity | 248 |
| 7.9. | Provisions on costs incurred in negotiations | 250 |
| 7.9.1. | Cost allocation | 250 |
| 7.9.2. | Anticipating recovery and liability | 253 |
| 7.10. | Dispute resolution | 256 |
| 7.10.1. | Choice of law | 256 |
| 7.10.2. | Choice of court | 258 |
| 7.10.3. | Arbitration | 259 |
| 7.10.4. | Note on Mediation | 260 |
| 7.11. | Remedies | 261 |
| 7.11.1. | Damages | 261 |
| 7.11.1.1. | Costs wasted in negotiations | 261 |
| 7.11.1.2. | Costs incurred in anticipation of a contract | 262 |
| 7.11.1.3. | Loss of opportunity to conclude the negotiated final contract | 263 |
| 7.11.1.4. | Loss of opportunity to conclude a contract with a third party | 264 |
| 7.11.1.5. | Harm to the reputation | 265 |
| 7.11.2. | Gain-based remedies | 265 |
| 7.11.3. | Precluding reliance on a right, remedy or defence | 266 |
| 7.11.4. | Specific performance and injunction | 266 |
| 7.11.5. | Note on punitive and exemplary damages | 267 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 7.12. | Main similarities and differences, their explanation | 268 |
| 7.12.1. | Main similarities and differences | 268 |
| 7.12.2. | Explaining the similarities | 270 |
| 7.12.2.1. | Reception and common legal heritage | 270 |
| 7.12.2.2. | Judicial endorsement of private regulation | 271 |
| 7.12.3. | Explaining the differences | 273 |
| 7.12.3.1. | Socio-economic development | 273 |
| 7.12.3.2. | Historical development | 274 |

Chapter 8.

International Instruments: CISG and Soft Law 275

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| 8.1. | Introduction | 275 |
| 8.2. | Genesis and prospects of uniform and harmonized contract law | 276 |
| 8.3. | UN Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG) | 281 |
| 8.3.1. | Scope and structure of CISG | 281 |
| 8.3.2. | Applicability to contractual negotiations | 282 |
| 8.3.2.1. | Contra | 282 |
| 8.3.2.2. | Pro. | 283 |
| 8.3.2.3. | Discussion | 285 |
| 8.4. | Scope and structure of the soft law instruments discussed | 288 |
| 8.4.1. | UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts (UPICC) | 288 |
| 8.4.2. | Principles of European Contract Law (PECL) | 289 |
| 8.4.3. | Draft Common Frame of Reference (DCFR) | 290 |
| 8.4.3.1. | Scope and structure | 290 |
| 8.4.3.2. | Regulation of negotiations within the structure of DCFR | 291 |
| 8.5. | Provisions on negotiations in soft law | 292 |
| 8.5.1. | Freedom of negotiations | 293 |
| 8.5.1.1. | UPICC | 293 |
| 8.5.1.2. | PECL | 294 |
| 8.5.1.3. | DCFR | 294 |
| 8.5.2. | <i>Bona fides</i> generally | 295 |
| 8.5.2.1. | UPICC: international standard | 295 |
| 8.5.2.2. | PECL: general duty | 298 |
| 8.5.2.3. | DCFR: overarching duty | 300 |
| 8.5.3. | <i>Bona fides</i> in negotiations | 301 |
| 8.5.3.1. | UPICC: negotiations in bad faith | 301 |
| 8.5.3.2. | PECL: negotiations contrary to <i>bona fides</i> | 302 |
| 8.5.3.3. | DCFR: negotiations contrary to <i>bona fides</i> and the duty to disclose information | 303 |
| 8.5.4. | Protection of reliance | 305 |
| 8.5.4.1. | UPICC: explicit prohibition of inconsistent behaviour | 305 |
| 8.5.4.2. | PECL: implicit requirement as part of <i>bona fides</i> | 307 |
| 8.5.4.3. | DCFR: requirement of transparency in conduct | 307 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| 8.5.5. | Confidentiality in negotiations | 308 |
| 8.5.5.1. | UPICC | 308 |
| 8.5.5.2. | PECL | 309 |
| 8.5.5.3. | DCFR | 311 |
| 8.5.6. | Tools for precluding formation of the final contract | 311 |
| 8.5.6.1. | UPICC: terms to be agreed and form | 312 |
| 8.5.6.2. | UPICC: suspensive condition within the discretion of one party | 313 |
| 8.5.6.3. | PECL: agreement to negotiate | 314 |
| 8.5.6.4. | PECL: preclusion of formation of the final contract | 314 |
| 8.6. | Remedies | 315 |
| 8.6.1. | UPICC | 315 |
| 8.6.1.1. | Breach of UPICC rules | 316 |
| 8.6.1.2. | Breach of obligations undertaken by the parties during negotiations | 316 |
| 8.6.2. | PECL | 317 |
| 8.6.2.1. | Breach of PECL rules | 317 |
| 8.6.2.2. | Breach of obligations undertaken by the parties during negotiations | 318 |
| 8.6.3. | DCFR | 319 |
| 8.6.4. | Nature and conditions of liability | 321 |
| 8.6.4.1. | UPICC: contractual liability | 321 |
| 8.6.4.2. | PECL: contractual liability | 322 |
| 8.6.4.3. | DCFR: self-standing non-contractual right to recovery | 323 |
| 8.7. | Conclusion | 324 |

Chapter 9.

| | |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Conclusion | 327 |
|-----------------------------|------------|

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 9.1. | Letter of intent as an international trade usage | 328 |
| 9.1.1. | Patterns of repetitive behaviour | 329 |
| 9.1.2. | Some normative force among merchants | 329 |
| 9.1.3. | Relevance of the identified trade usage for the harmonization of law | 331 |
| 9.2. | Recommendations | 333 |
| 9.2.1. | Amended soft law rules on negotiations | 334 |
| 9.2.2. | Four steps for analysing the legal effects of a letter of intent | 334 |
| 9.2.2.1. | First step: identification of the provisions on ‘dynamics’ and ‘statics’ of negotiations | 334 |
| 9.2.2.2. | Second step: defining the relevance and effects of the ‘statics’ of negotiations | 335 |
| 9.2.2.3. | Third step: ascertaining each obligation relating to negotiation ‘dynamics’ as stand-alone and severable | 335 |
| 9.2.2.4. | Fourth step: assessment of liability | 336 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| 9.3. | Letter of intent and the contract formation mechanism. | 336 |
| 9.3.1. | Possibility to organize negotiations contractually | 336 |
| 9.3.2. | Contractual intention and stand-alone obligations. | 337 |
| 9.3.3. | Contractual obligation with two caveats | 339 |
| 9.4. | Strategies and tactics of negotiations and transnational <i>bona fides</i> | 340 |
| 9.4.1. | Standards of <i>bona fides</i> | 340 |
| 9.4.2. | No requirement to sacrifice commercial self-interest | 342 |
| 9.4.3. | Contractualized <i>bona fides</i> | 343 |
| 9.4.3.1. | Interpretation of bona fides as enabling parties to set their own conduct standards | 343 |
| 9.4.3.2. | Possibility to disclaim consequences of breach. | 345 |
| 9.4.3.3. | Exceptions to this possibility: conduct with intention to deceive or obtain profit unrelated to the negotiated contract | 346 |
| 9.5. | Effects of private regulation of negotiations on liability and remedies | 348 |
| 9.5.1. | Special character of liability and limits on recovery | 348 |
| 9.5.2. | Factors to balance in establishing liability | 349 |
| 9.5.2.1. | Deliberate misconduct. | 350 |
| 9.5.2.2. | Commercial self-interest. | 350 |
| 9.5.2.3. | Reliance | 350 |
| 9.5.2.4. | Inducement to invest or commence performance | 351 |
| 9.5.2.5. | Advancement of negotiations and other factors | 351 |
| 9.5.3. | Remedies. | 351 |
| 9.5.3.1. | The loss of costs wasted in negotiations and preparation of the final contract | 352 |
| 9.5.3.2. | The loss of costs of works or services started in anticipation of conclusion of the final contract. | 352 |
| 9.5.3.3. | The loss of opportunity to conclude a contract with a third party | 352 |
| 9.5.3.4. | The harm to the reputation. | 352 |
| 9.5.3.5. | The loss of bargain | 352 |
| 9.5.3.6. | Injunction and protective measures | 353 |
| 9.6. | Final remarks | 353 |
| | <i>Samenvatting</i> | 355 |
| | <i>Bibliography</i> | 365 |
| | <i>Table of Cases by Country</i> | 413 |
| | <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> | 425 |

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| A. | Atlantic Reporter |
| A.D. | New York Supreme Court Appellate Division Reports |
| A.L.R. | American Law Reports |
| AC | Appeal Cases |
| AC | Law Reports: Appeal Cases |
| Alaska | Supreme Court of Alaska |
| ALI | American Law Institute |
| All ER (Comm) | All England Reports (Commercial Cases) |
| All ER | All England Law Reports |
| Ariz. | Supreme Court of Arizona |
| Ariz.App. | Court of Appeals of Arizona |
| Ark. | Arkansas Reports / Supreme Court of Arkansas |
| B.R. | Bankruptcy Reporter |
| BLR | Business Law Reports |
| Bulletin | Bulletin des arrêts des chambres civiles de la Cour de cassation |
| C.A.1 | United States Court of Appeals, First Circuit |
| C.A.2 | United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit |
| C.A.3 | United States Court of Appeals, Third Circuit |
| C.A.4 | United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit |
| C.A.5 | United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit |
| C.A.6 | United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit |
| C.A.7 | United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit |
| C.A.8 | United States Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit |
| C.A.9 | United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit |
| C.A.10 | United States Court of Appeal, Tenth Circuit |
| C.A.11 | United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit |
| C.A.D.C. | United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit |
| C.C.A.8 | Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit |
| CA | Cour d'Appel |
| Cal. App. 1 st Dist. | District Court of Appeal, First District, Division 1, California |
| Cal. | Supreme Court of California |
| Cal.Rptr. | California Reporter |
| Call.App. | California Appeals Court |

Abbreviations

| | |
|------------|--|
| Cass 1 civ | Cour de cassation, 1re Chambre civile |
| Cass 2 civ | Cour de cassation, 2e Chambre civile |
| Cass 3 civ | Cour de cassation, 3e Chambre civile |
| Cass com | Cour de cassation, Chambre commerciale |
| Cass mixte | Cour de cassation, Chambre mixte |
| Cass req | Cour de Cassation, Chambre des requêtes (abolished in 1947) |
| Cf | Compare |
| Ch | Law Reports: Chancery Division |
| CILL | Construction Industry Law Letter |
| CISG | United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods |
| CLC | Commercial Law Cases |
| Colo. | Supreme Court of Colorado |
| Con LR | Construction Law Reports |
| Const LJ | Construction Law Journal |
| Costs LR | Costs Law Reports |
| CP Rep | Civil Procedure Reports |
| D. Mass | United States District Court, District Massachusetts |
| D. Md. | United States District Court, District Maryland |
| D. Minn. | United States District Court, District Minnesota |
| D. Or. | United States District Court, District Oregon |
| D.C. App. | District of Columbia Court of Appeals |
| D.C. Cir | United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit |
| D.C.Cal | District Court of Appeal, District California |
| D.C.S.C. | United States District Court, District South Carolina |
| DC | Divisional Court |
| DCFR | Draft Common Frame of Reference |
| Del. | Supreme Court of Delaware |
| E.D. Va. | United States District Court, Eastern District Virginia |
| E.D. Wash. | United States District Court, Eastern District Washington |
| E.D.N.Y. | United States District Court, Eastern District New York |
| ECLI | European Case Law Identified |
| EMLR | Entertainment and Media Law Reports |
| ER | English Reports |
| EWCA | Court of Appeal |
| EWHC Ch | High Court (Chancery Division) |
| EWHC | High Court |
| Ex | Court of Exchequer |
| F. Appx | Federal Appendix |
| F.Supp. | Federal Supplement |
| Fed. Cir. | United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit |
| Fla. | Supreme Court of Florida |
| FSR | Fleet Street Reports |
| Ga. | Supreme Court of Georgia |
| Hawaii | Supreme Court of Hawaii |

| | |
|------------------|--|
| HL | House of Lords |
| HR | Hoge Raad der Nederlanden |
| Ill. | Supreme Court of Illinois |
| Ill.App. | Appellate Court of Illinois |
| Ind. Ct. App. | Court of Appeals of Indiana |
| J | Justice |
| JOR | Tijdschrift Jurisprudentie Onderneming & Recht |
| JORF | Journal Officiel de la République Française |
| Kan. App. | Court of Appeals of Kansas |
| KB | Law Reports: King's Bench Division |
| KBD | High Court, King's Bench Division |
| LJ | Lord Justice |
| Lloyd's Rep Bank | Lloyd's Law Reports Banking |
| Lloyd's Rep | Lloyd's Law Reports |
| LR | Law Reports |
| Mass. | Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts |
| Mass.App. | Appeals Court of Massachusetts |
| Md. Spec. App. | Court of Special Appeals of Maryland |
| Me. | Supreme Judicial Court of Maine / Maine |
| Mich. | Supreme Court of Michigan |
| Mo. App. W. Dist | Missouri Court of Appeals, Western District |
| MR | Master of the Rolls |
| N.D. Cal. | United States District Court, Northern District California |
| N.D. Ill. | United States District Court, Northern District Illinois |
| N.D. Tex. | United States District Court, Northern District Texas |
| N.E. | North Eastern Reporter |
| N.H. | Supreme Court of New Hampshire |
| NJ | Nederlandse Jurisprudentie |
| NJB | Nederlands Juristenblad |
| NJF | Nederlandse Jurisprudentie Feitenrechtspraak |
| N.J.Super.A.D | Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division |
| n.p. in Bulletin | Not published in Bulletin des arrêts de la Cour de cassation |
| N.W. | North Western Reporter |
| N.Y. | Court of Appeals of New York |
| N.Y. | New York Supreme Court |
| N.Y.A.D. | Supreme Court, Appellate Division, New York |
| N.Y.S. | New York Supplement |
| Nev. | Supreme Court of Nevada |
| NSWLR | New South Wales Law Reports (Australia) |
| Ohio App. | Court of Appeals of Ohio |
| OJ | Official Journal of the European Communities |
| Or. | Supreme Court of Oregon |
| P & CR | Property, Planning and Compensation Reports |
| P | Pacific Reporter |
| Pa. | Supreme Court of Pennsylvania |

Abbreviations

| | |
|----------------|--|
| Pa. | Supreme Court of Pennsylvania |
| Pa.Super. | Superior Court of Pennsylvania |
| PECL | Principles of European Contract Law |
| PO | Patent Office |
| PRG | Praktijkgids |
| QB | Law Reports: Queen's Bench Division |
| QBD | High Court, Queen's Bench Division |
| Rb. | Rechtbank |
| RPC | Reports of Patent, Design and Trade Mark Cases |
| RTR | Road Traffic Reports |
| RvdW | Rechtspraak van de Week |
| S.C. | Supreme Court of South Carolina |
| S.D. Iowa | United States District Court, Southern District of Iowa |
| S.D.N.Y. | United States District Court, Southern District of New York |
| S.E. | South Eastern Reporter |
| SC | United Kingdom Supreme Court |
| So. | Southern Reporter |
| SW | South Western Reporter |
| TCC | Queen's Bench Division (Technology & Construction Court) |
| Tenn. App. | Court of Appeals of Tennessee |
| Tex. Civ. App. | Court of Civil Appeals of Texas |
| Tex.App. | Court of Appeals of Texas |
| TLR | Times Law Reports |
| UCC | Uniform Commercial Code (US) |
| U.S. | United States Reports |
| UKHL | House of Lords |
| UKSC | United Kingdom Supreme Court |
| ULC | National Committee of Commissioners on Uniform States Laws |
| UNCITRAL | United Nations Commission on International Trade Law |
| UNIDROIT | International Institute for the Unification of Private Law |
| UPICC | UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts 2010 |
| Va. | Supreme Court of Virginia |
| W. Va. | Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia |
| W.D. Wis. | United States District Court, W.D. Wisconsin |
| Wash. | Supreme Court of Washington |
| Wis. | Supreme Court of Wisconsin |
| WL | West Law number |
| WLR | Weekly Law Reports |