Maximilian K.P. Gaber

The Effect of D&O Insurance on Managerial Risk Taking





Ius Commune Europaeum

Maximilian K.P. Gaber

The Effect of D&O Insurance on Managerial Risk Taking

Intersentia Publishing Ltd.
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-1-78068-348-5 D/2015/7849/119 NUR 827

© 2015 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Cover image © Shironosov - Thinkstock

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photocopy, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

PREFACE

Although I wrote this thesis, finalizing it would not have been possible without the guidance, good will and continuous assistance of some that I owe great thanks to. The process from having an idea to expressing it in a book could not have taken place without having met these people.

I remember very well the meeting I had with Prof. Hildegard Schneider, currently dean of the law faculty, about the possibilities of writing a Ph.D. at Maastricht University. I had many ideas, not all fit for a decent research topic, but Hildegard Schneider was a great listener nonetheless. Supporting my willingness to write a Ph.D., she kindly referred me to Prof. Michael Faure.

The first meeting with Prof. Michael Faure who later became my supervisor was astonishing and eye-opening to me. Being a person with charisma, his remarks and suggestions contain the perfect notions of directness, politeness and motivation. I am grateful to have had the opportunity of working with him. His insights and intuition keep surprising me even after the finalization of the book. His steady support and good will were an essential ingredient to expressing my thoughts in written words.

Dr. Niels Philipsen has been invited to be my co-supervisor. I came to highly appreciate his tranquillity and thoroughness. For the guidance of my progress, he has been an indispensable complement to Michael Faure. His detailed remarks and questions on previous drafts demonstrate that he spared no effort in making this thesis happen.

The assessment committee was composed of Prof. Hildegard Schneider (chair), Prof. Kid Schwarz, Prof. Anthony Ogus and Prof. Jac Rinkes. Since their specialities range from comparative law, company law, law and economics to insurance law, I am very thankful for their mutually positive feedback.

Obviously, my surroundings have been very understanding and supporting in respect to my work on the Ph.D. thesis. I would not want to miss the enjoyable moments and constructive discussions I experienced with fellow Ph.D. students that I met during my research at Maastricht University.

Preface

Furthermore, I owe my accomplishments to people of the Institute for Transnational Legal Research Metro and Maastricht University that worked in the background. In this regard, I want to express my thanks to Yleen Simonis and Marina Jodogne.

In the later stages of my doctoral studies, I was working at the law firm RESOR NV in Amsterdam. Although the work load at Amsterdam law firms such as RESOR NV can be overwhelming, I am indebted to Prof. Jako van Hees for his recommendations on how to best finalize a Ph.D. thesis while working. Furthermore, besides the joyful moments I had at RESOR NV, I am indebted to the coaching efforts, skills and knowledge of my colleagues. The skills I developed because of them had definitely an impact on the quality of the thesis.

Needless to say, I deeply appreciate that my family continuously supported me through all the times of the Ph.D. project. Finally, I express my highest gratitude to my partner Nadine. She was not only understanding for when I had to work on the thesis in the middle of the night or at weekends. She also encouraged me to pursue my aim and to work hard for the Ph.D. Without her everlasting support, this project would not have been possible.

Leiden, March 2015

CONTENTS

,		15	
	oter 1. oduction	n	. 1
1.1.		ound	
1.2.	Purpos	se of the thesis	. 2
1.3.	Metho	dology	. 3
1.4.	Structu	ıre	. 3
1.5.	Caveat	S	. 4
	oter 2. agerial	liability	. 5
2.1.		uction	
2.2.	Tort lia	ability	
	2.2.1.	, , ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .,	
	2.2.2.	Firm liability to consumers (product liability)	
	2.2.3.	Liability of the firm's manager and the judgement-proof problem	
	2.2.4.	Vicarious liability	
	2.2.5.	Agent liability when the principal cannot perfectly monitor $\ldots\ldots$	
2.3.		ıptcy liability	
	2.3.1.	Benefits of limited liability	
	2.3.2.	Vicarious liability when the firm's assets are limited	
	2.3.3.	Agency costs created through limited liability	
	2.3.4.	Effects that mitigate the costs of limited liability	
	2.3.5.	Limited liability and victims	
	2.3.6.	Managerial liability with respect to voluntary creditors	
2.4.		al liability	
	2.4.1.	The separation of ownership and control	
	2.4.2.	Corporate governance	20

	2.4.3.	Constraint strategy: internal liability	22
	2.4.4.	Standard of competence: duty of care	22
	2.4.5.	Duty of loyalty and other measures to deter related party transactions.	23
	2.4.6.	Optional or mandatory regime of internal liability (indemnification)	23
2.5.	Conclu	ision	24
	pter 3.		
Risk	bearing	g and insurance	27
3.1.	Introd	uction	27
3.2.		nd for insurance	
3.2.	3.2.1.		
	3.2.1.		
	3.2.2.	, ,	
		7 - 7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
	3.2.4.	7	
	3.2.5.	The judgement-proof problem and insurance	
	3.2.6.	Administration costs and insurance	
	3.2.7.	Contract interpretation, litigation and insurance	
3.3.		of insurance	
	3.3.1.	The law of large numbers	
	3.3.2.	The law of large numbers and insurance	
	3.3.3.	The law of large numbers and risk sharing	
	3.3.4.	Limits to the law of large numbers	
	3.3.5.	Subdivision of risks	
	3.3.6.	Comparison between insurance and risk sharing	
3.4.	Insura	bility and desirability of liability insurance	
	3.4.1.	Insurability	37
	3.4.2.	Economic feasibility of the liability insurance system	38
3.5.	Conclu	ision	38
	oter 4.		
Obst	acles to	risk bearing and insurance	41
4.1.	Introd	uction	41
4.2.		ncertainty	
1.2.	4.2.1.	•	
		Identification of uncertainty	
		Assessment of uncertainty	
		•	
1.2	4.2.4.	The pool or risk sharing	
4.3.	·	guity aversion and biases	
	4.3.1.	The context of the risk	45
	4.3.2.	The availability heuristic	47
	4.3.3.	The ambiguity model	48
	4.3.4.	Subjective competence	49
	4.3.5.	Scrutiny	49

	4.3.6.	Comparison of the previous approaches to ambiguity	50
	4.3.7.	Limits to insurability	50
	4.3.8.	Solutions such as partial coverage and third party involvement	
4.4.	Advers	e selection	
	4.4.1.	The advent of the concept of adverse selection	
	4.4.2.	Consequences of adverse selection	
	4.4.3.	Self-selection	
	4.4.4.	Experience rating	
	4.4.5.	Self-selection, experience rating and declaration	
	4.4.6.	Risk categorization.	56
	4.4.7.	Discrimination and risk categorization	57
	4.4.8.	Limits to control/adapting the policy	57
4.5.	Moral	hazard	
	4.5.1.	Emergence of moral hazard	
	4.5.2.	Treatment of imperfect knowledge and risk categorization	59
	4.5.3.	Treatment of imperfect control	60
	4.5.4.	Adaptation of the premium	60
	4.5.5.	Problems with premium adaptation	61
	4.5.6.	Monitoring implementation through random audits	61
	4.5.7.	Partial coverage	62
4.6.	Insura	nce industry	
	4.6.1.	The supply of insurance policies	63
	4.6.2.	Insurance cycles	63
	4.6.3.	Loading of premiums	
4.7.	Conclu	ision	65
C1			
	oter 5.		
D&C) insura	nce	67
5.1.	Introd	uction	67
5.2.		erial liability revisited	
	5.2.1.	Internal liability	
	5.2.2.	External liability	
	5.2.3.	Negligence liability v. strict liability revisited	
	5.2.4.	Managerial risk attitude	
5.3.	Compe	ensating the liable manager when the level of care is certain	
	5.3.1.	Risk attitude of the firm	
	5.3.2.	Indemnification	
	5.3.3.	Indemnification more likely than insurance	
5.4.		ain negligence liability	
	5.4.1.	The chilling effect and reputation costs	
	5.4.2.	The judgement-proof manager	
	5.4.3.	Compensation: salary increase, indemnification or insurance	
	5.4.4.	Ignorance about due care	

5.5.	Limits	to compensating the manager	. 77
	5.5.1.	Limits to compensate external liability	. 78
	5.5.2.	Limits to compensate internal liability	. 79
5.6.	Corpo	rate demand for D&O insurance	. 80
	5.6.1.	Shareholders' demand for insurance due to better monitoring	. 81
	5.6.2.	Insurance for the risk to indemnify a liable manager (mediation)	. 82
	5.6.3.	D&O insurance as signal to voluntary creditors	. 84
5.7.	Supply	of insurance	. 84
	5.7.1.	Supply by ways of insurance rather than pooling (risk sharing)	. 84
	5.7.2.		
5.8.	Measu	res to combat adverse selection	. 85
	5.8.1.	Self-selection	. 86
	5.8.2.	Experience rating	. 86
		Risk categorization	
5.9.		hazard and imperfect control	
		coverage	
		nce industry	
		ision	
	oter 6. onal ma	anagerial liability rules	. 93
6.1.	Introd	uction	. 93
6.2.		erial liability in a legal context	
	_	Corporate governance	
		The role of the manager	
	6.2.3.		
	6.2.4.	•	
	6.2.5.	* ·	
6.3.	Manag	erial liability	
	6.3.1.	•	
	6.3.2.	6	
	6.3.3.	· ·	
	6.3.4.	Managerial bankruptcy liability	104
	6.3.5.	Liability pursuant to public law (criminal law and tax law)	
6.4.	Scope	of managerial liability	107
	6.4.1.	Internal liability	107
	6.4.2.	Managerial tort liability	111
	6.4.3.	Managerial bankruptcy liability	112
	6.4.4.	Liability pursuant to public law	115
6.5.	Applic	ation of the hypotheses of Chapter 5	
	6.5.1.	Liability rules in theory and in practice	117
	6.5.2.	Liability and risk aversion.	
6.6.	Corpo	rate indemnification for managerial liability	120
	6.6.1.	Indemnification for internal liability	120

	6.6.2.	parties	123
6.7.	Corpo	rate demand for D&O insurance	
6.8.	-	ision	
0.0.	Concie	01011	12,
Char	oter 7.		
		vidence on the effect of D&O insurance	129
P		1.44-1.44	
7.1.	Introd	uction	129
7.2.	Correla	ations with indemnification	130
7.3.	Insure	r's imperfect knowledge	131
7.4.		sion of the insurer's ability to observe	
7.5.	Demar	nd for insurance and partial coverage	133
	7.5.1.	Evidence from re-insurance: Mayers and Smith 1990	134
	7.5.2.	Corporate governance and demand: O'Sullivan 1997	134
	7.5.3.	Response to Mayers and Smith: Core 1997 and O'Sullivan 2002	
	7.5.4.	A model on D&O insurance demand: Kaltchev 2006	
	7.5.5.	Corporate governance and size of deductibles: Warning 2008	139
7.6.	Compa	arison between hypotheses on demand and actual demand	
7.7.		ifferentiation and premium adaptation	
	7.7.1.	Corporate governance and business risk: Core 2000	143
	7.7.2.	Corporate structure: Boyer and Stern in 2012	
7.8.	Discus	sion about the relationship of limits and premiums	
7.9.		cient D&O market	
	7.9.1.	D&O insurance due to behavioural aspects: Boyer 2007	149
	7.9.2.	IPO over-evaluation: Chalmers et al. 2002	
	7.9.3.	Empire building: Lin et al. in 2011	151
	7.9.4.	Earning statements: Chung and Wynn & Cao and	
		Narayanamoorthy	152
	7.9.5.	No use of D&O insurance as signal: Boubakri and Ghalleb 2008	154
	7.9.6.	No effective measure: Chen and Li 2008	154
	7.9.7.	Loan spreads and credit rating: Bradley and Chen 2011	155
	7.9.8.	Loan spreads: Lin et al. 2013.	155
	7.9.9.	Firm performance: Gupta and Prakash in 2012	157
	7.9.10.	Firm performance: Lin et al. 2013	158
7.10.	Discus	sion of the findings about the market's status	
		ısion	
Chap	oter 8.		
		analysis of the D&O insurance	163
•			
8.1.		uction	163
8.2.	D&O i	nsurance industry – General overview	164
	8.2.1.	Market players and prices	164
	8.2.2.	Insurance cycle	168

8.3.	Demar	nd for D&O insurance	171
	8.3.1.	Managerial demand	171
	8.3.2.	Salary increase and indemnification	172
	8.3.3.	Corporate demand for D&O insurance	172
8.4.	Supply	of D&O insurance	173
	8.4.1.	Supply to the manager and the corporation	173
	8.4.2.		
8.5.	Tools t	o raise the capacity	
8.6.	Tools t	o combat imperfect observation	180
		Extensions of the policy (self-selection)	
		Risk categorization	
8.7.	Tools t	o enhance control	186
		Yearly adaptations and cancellations	
	8.7.2.	•	
8.8.	Partial	coverage	
	8.8.1.	Financial limitations	189
		Policy exclusions.	
8.9.	Insure	r's ability to monitor the manager	193
		r's ability to mediate	
		ision	
Obst 9.1.		D&O insurance and recommendations	
9.2.		d access to D&O insurance.	
9.3.		re on the re-insurance market?	
9.4.		and Griffith: The (in)ability to deter bad corporate conduct	
9.5.		ect of D&O insurance on managerial risk taking	
<i>7.0.</i>	9.5.1.	e e	
	9.5.2.		
	9.5.3.	The level of impact of insurers on managerial risk taking	
	9.5.4.		
9.6.	The wa	y ahead	
	9.6.1.	•	
	9.6.2.		
	9.6.3.	,	
9.7.	Conclu	ision	
Char	oter 10.		
			215
101			
10.1.	Analys	is of D&O demand	215
10.1.		is of D&O demand	

	10.1.3.	Compensation of the manager	218
	10.1.4.	Corporate demand for D&O insurance	219
10.2.	Analys	ris of D&O supply	220
	10.2.1.	D&O insurance unavailable for smaller corporations	220
	10.2.2.	Re-insurance for D&O insurance difficult to provide	221
	10.2.3.	Overcoming adverse selection	221
10.3.	Effect of	of D&O insurance on managerial risk taking	222
	10.3.1.	Controlling the manager other than through premium adaptations	222
	10.3.2.	Signalling the D&O risk instead of actively mitigating it	222
	10.3.3.	Creditor's appreciation of D&O insurance	223
	10.3.4.	The desirability of the current settlement practices	223
10.4.	Limita	tions of this thesis	224
10.5.	Future	research	224
Sumi	mary		225
		g	
Zusa	mmenfa	- Issung	229
		nces	
Curr	iculum ·	vitae	249

ABBREVIATIONS

AG Aktiengesellschaft (German public corporation)

AktG Aktiengesetz (Statute regarding German public corporations)

AO Abgabengesetz (German Tax Act)
CA 1985 Companies Act (England)

BGB Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch (German Civil Code)
BGH Bundesgerichtshof (German Federal Supreme Court)
BV Besloten vennootschap (Dutch private corporation)

BW Burgelijk Wetboek (Dutch Civil Code)

CAR Cumulative Abnormal Return

CDDA 1986 Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986 (England)

CEO Chief Executive Officer (managing director)

CFO Chief Financial Officer

CJEU Court of Justice of the European Union

DCGK Deutscher Corporate Governance Kodex (German Corporate

Governance Code)

Del. C. Delaware Code (Civil Code of Delaware)

D&O insurance Insurance for D&O risk

D&O risk D&O risk is the sum of managerial liability risk and

indemnification risk

EBITDA Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization

EU European Union

Fw Faillissementswet (Dutch Bankruptcy Code)

GmbH Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (German private

corporation)

GmbHG Gesetz betreffend die Gesellschaften mit beschränkter Haftung

(Statute regarding German private corporations)

Hof Gerechtshof (Dutch court of appeal)
HR Hoge Raad (Dutch Supreme Court)
IA 1986 Insolvency Act 1986 (England)
Inv 1990 Invorderingswet (Dutch Tax Act)

IPO Initial Public Offering

LLC Limited Liability Company (Delaware)

Ltd. Private *Limited* Company by shares (England)

Abbreviations

M&A Mergers & Acquisition

NV Naamloze vennootschap (Dutch public corporation)

OLG Oberlandesgericht (German court of appeal)

ROA Return on Assets

Rb. Rechtbank (Dutch court of first instance)
SEC Securities and Exchange Commission (USA)
Side-A Insurance for the managerial liability risk
Side-B Insurance for the indemnification risk

Side-C Insurance for losses of the corporation arising from a managerial

liability claim

SME Small and Medium-sized Enterprise

SOX Sarbanes-Oxley Act

StGB Strafgesetzbuch (German Penal Code)

UG Unternehmensgesellschaft (less common legal form of a German

private corporation)

UK United Kingdom

USA United States of America