EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW IN ACTION

Volume V: Informal Relationships

Edited by
Katharina BOELE-WOELKI
Charlotte MOL
Emma VAN GELDER



Intersentia Ltd

Sheraton House | Castle Park

Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom

Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK:

NBN International

Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road

Plymouth, PL67PP

United Kingdom

Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331

Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Germany and Switzerland::

Stämpfli Verlag AG

Wölflistrasse 1 Postfach 5662

3001 Bern

Switzerland

Tel.: +41 31 300 66 77

Email: verlag@staempfli.com

Distribution for the USA and Canada: International Specialized Book Services

920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300

Portland, OR 97213

USA

Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)

Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv

Groenstraat 31 2640 Mortsel

Belgium

Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50

Email: mail@intersentia.be

European Family Law in Action. Volume V: Informal Relationships Katharina Boele-Woelki, Charlotte Mol and Emma van Gelder (eds.)

© 2015 Intersentia Cambridge - Antwerp - Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-1-78068-323-2 D/2015/7849/127 NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

PREFACE

The fifth volume of the European Family Law in Action publications contains detailed information concerning the law on informal relations in twenty-eight European jurisdictions. It has been compiled under the auspices of the Commission on European Family Law (CEFL). The CEFL is an independent academic commission. It aims to contribute to the further harmonisation of family law in Europe through drafting Principles which are based on extensive comparative research.

The previous four volumes entitled European Family Law in Action, which were published in 2003, 2005 and 2007, include national reports on the grounds for divorce, maintenance between former spouses, parental responsibilities and property relations between spouses (Nos. 2, 3 9 and 24 of this series). Upon the basis of this comparative material the Commission on European Family Law has formulated the *Principles of European Family Law regarding Divorce, Maintenance between Former Spouses, Parental Responsibilities and Property Relations between Spouses,* which were published in December 2004 as No. 7, in March 2007 as No. 16 and in July 2013 as No. 33 in this series. To date, the CEFL Principles have been used as a source of inspiration during several national legislative procedures, for example in Croatia, the Czech Republic, the Netherlands and Portugal, but court decisions have also referred to the CEFL Principles.

In order to prepare the fifth set of *Principles of European Family Law on Informal Relationships* the national experts of the CEFL (see pp. xvii-xx) have drafted comprehensive reports on the basis of a detailed questionnaire (see p. 1-9). According to CEFL's working definition, an informal relationship refers to a relationship between a couple, that is not formalised as a marriage or as a registered partnership/civil union. Informal relationships are, in other words, strictly between couples who usually (but not necessarily) live together and share a household: relationships between siblings, between parents and children (whether adults or not), between friends, etc. do not fall within the definition.

The national reports, together with the relevant legal provisions, are available on CEFL's website (www.ceflonline.net) whereas this book integrates all the given answers in order to provide a straightforward

Intersentia

simultaneous comparison of the different solutions chosen within the national systems. The comparative perspective reveals that within Europe only a few countries have legislated on informal relationships (Croatia, Finland, Hungary, Ireland, Portugal, Scotland, Slovenia, certain Autonomous Communities in Spain (such as Catalonia), and Sweden), whereas in the majority of jurisdictions the general law of obligations is applied.

This book is the first compilation on the legal aspects of informal relationships in Europe that contains reliable and inclusive comparative material covering twenty-eight jurisdictions. It enables an explicit and systematic comparison which will eventually lead to a new set of CEFL Principles. Given the huge amount of comparative information, however, this may take some time.

Emma van Gelder and Charlotte Mol, two Legal Research Masters students at Utrecht's School of Law, have undertaken the editing of the national reports and this volume. They have done an excellent job!

Katharina Boele-Woelki Chair of the CEFL Utrecht, June 2015

vi Intersentia

CONTENTS

	facev horsxvii
Que	estionnaire1
A.	GENERAL
1.	What kinds of formal relationships between a couple (e.g. different/same-sex marriage, different/same-sex registered partnership, etc.) are regulated by legislation? Briefly indicate the current legislation
2.	To what extent, if at all, are informal relationships between a couple regulated by specific legislative provisions? Where applicable, briefly indicate the current specific legislation. Are there circumstances (e.g. the existence of a marriage or registered partnership with another person, a partner's minority) which disqualify the couple?
3.	In the absence of specific legislative provisions, are there circumstances (e.g. through the application of the law of obligations or the law of property) under which informal relationships between a couple are given legal effect (e.g. through the application of the law of obligations or the law of property)? Where applicable briefly indicate the leading cases91
4.	How are informal relationships between a couple defined by either legislation and/or case law? Do these definitions vary according to the context?
5.	Where informal relationships between a couple have legal effect: a. When does the relevant relationship begin? b. When does the relevant relationship end?

Intersentia vii

6.	To what extent, if at all, has the national constitutional position been relevant to the legal position of informal relationships between a couple?	193
7.	To what extent, if at all, have international instruments (such as the European Convention on Human Rights) and European legislation (treaties, regulations, and directives) been relevant in your jurisdiction to the legal position of informal relationships between a couple?	219
8.	Give a brief history of the main developments and the most recent reforms of the rules regarding informal relationships between a couple. Briefly indicate the purpose behind the law reforms and, where relevant, the main reasons for not adopting a proposal	
9.	Are there any recent proposals (e.g. by Parliament, law commissions or similar bodies) for reform in this area?	275
В.	STATISTICS AND ESTIMATIONS	29 3
10.	How many marriages and, if permissible, other formalised relationships (such as registered partnerships and civil unions) have been concluded per annum? How do these figures relate to the size of the population and the age profile? Where relevant and available, please provide information on the gender of the couple	295
11.	How many couples are living in an informal relationship in your jurisdiction? Where possible, indicate trends	331
12.	What percentage of the persons living in an informal relationship are: a. Under 25 years of age? b. Between 26-40 years of age? c. Between 41-50 years of age? d. Between 51-65 years of age? e. Older?	
13.	How many couples living in an informal relationship enter into a formal relationship with each other: a. Where there is a common child?	
	h Where there is no common child?	371

viii Intersentia

14.	How many informal relationships are terminated: a. Through separation of the partners?b. Through the death of one of the partners?
15.	What is the average duration of an informal relationship before its termination? How does this compare with the average duration of formalised relationships?
16.	What percentage of children are born outside a formal relationship? Of these children, what percentage are born in an informal relationship? Where possible, indicate trends403
17.	What is the proportion of children living within an informal relationship who are not the couple's common children (excluding foster children)?
18.	How many children are adopted within an informal relationship: a. By one partner only?b. Jointly by the couple?c. Where one partner adopted the child of the other?
19.	How many partners in an informal relationship have been in a formal or an informal relationship previously?45
C.	DURING THE RELATIONSHIP465
20.	Are partners in an informal relationship under a duty to support each other, financially or otherwise: a. Where there are no children in the household? b. Where there are common children in the household? c. Where there are other children in the household?
21.	Are partners in an informal relationship under a general duty to contribute to the costs and expenses of their household?
22.	Does a partner in an informal relationship have a right to remain in the home against the will of the partner who is the owner or the tenant of the home?

Intersentia ix

23.	Are there specific rules on a partner's rights of occupancy of the home:
	a. In cases of domestic violence?
	b. In cases where the partner owning or renting the home is absent?
24.	Are there specific rules on transactions (e.g. disposal, mortgaging, subletting) concerning the home of partners in an informal relationship: a. Where the home is jointly owned by the partners? b. Where the home is owned by one of the partners? c. Where the home is jointly rented by the partners? d. Where the home is rented by one of the partners?
25.	Under what circumstances and to what extent can one partner act as an agent for the other?
26.	Under what circumstances can partners in an informal relationship become joint owners of assets?
27.	To what extent, if at all, are there specific rules governing acquisitions and/or transactions in respect of household goods? In answering this question briefly explain what is meant by household goods
28.	Are there circumstances under which partners in an informal relationship can be regarded as joint owners, even if the title belongs to one partner only?
29.	How is the ownership of assets proved as between partners in an informal relationship? Are there rebuttable presumptions?
30.	How is the ownership of assets proved as regards third parties? Are there rebuttable presumptions?
31.	Under what circumstances, if any, can partners in an informal relationship become jointly liable for debts?
32.	On which assets can creditors recover joint debts? 675

X Intersentia

33.	Are there specific rules governing the administration of assets jointly owned by the partners in an informal relationship? If there are no specific rules, briefly outline the generally applicable rules	683
D.	SEPARATION	597
34.	When partners in an informal relationship separate does the law grant maintenance to a former partner? If so, what are the requirements?	599
35.	 What relevance, if any, upon the amount of maintenance is given to the following factors/circumstances: a. The creditor's needs and the debtor's ability to pay maintenance? b. The creditor's contributions during the relationship (such as the raising of children)? c. The standard of living during the relationship? d. Other factors/circumstances (such as giving up his/her career)? 	711
36.	What modes of calculation (e.g. percentages, guidelines), if any, apply to the determination of the amount of maintenance?	7 2 3
37.	Where the law provides for maintenance, to what extent, if at all, is it limited to a specific period of time?	729
38.	What relevance, if any, do changed circumstances have on the right to continued maintenance or the amount due?	735
39.	Is the maintenance claim extinguished upon the claimant entering: a. Into a formal relationship with another person? b. Into an informal relationship with another person?	741
40.	 How does the creditor's maintenance claim rank in relation to: a. The debtor's current spouse, registered partner, or partner in an informal relationship? b. The debtor's previous spouse, registered partner, or partner in an informal relationship? c. The debtor's children? d. The debtor's other relatives? 	749

Intersentia xi

41.	When partners in an informal relationship separate, are specific rules applicable to the determination of the ownership of the partners' assets? If there are no specific rules, which general rules are applicable?
42.	When partners in an informal relationship separate, are specific rules applicable subjecting all or certain property (e.g. the home or household goods) to property division? If there are no specific rules, which general rules are applicable?
43.	Do the partners have preferential rights regarding their home and/or the household goods? If so, what factors are taken into account when granting these rights (e.g. the formal ownership of the property, the duration of the relationship, the needs of each partner, the care of children)?
44.	How are the joint debts of the partners settled? 801
45.	What date is decisive for the determination and the valuation of: a. The assets? b. The debts?
46.	On what grounds, if any, and to what extent may a partner upon separation claim compensation upon the basis of contributions made or disadvantages suffered during the relationship?
E.	DEATH
47.	Does the surviving partner have rights of inheritance in the case of intestate succession? If yes, how does this right compare to that of a surviving spouse or a registered partner, in a marriage or registered partnership?
48.	Does the surviving partner have any other rights or claims on the estate (e.g. any claim based on dependency, compensation, or maintenance) in the case of intestate succession?
49.	Are there specific rules dealing with the home and/or household goods?

xii Intersentia

50.	Can a partner dispose of property by will in favour of the surviving partner: a. In general?	
	b. If the testator is married to or is the registered partner of another person?	
	c. If the testator has children?	889
51.	Can partners make a joint will disposing of property in favour of the surviving partner: a. In general? b. If either partner is married to or is the registered partner of another person?	
	c. If either testator has children?	913
52.	Can partners make other dispositions of property upon death (e.g. agreements as to succession or gifts upon death) in favour of the surviving partner: a. In general?	
	b. If either partner is married to or is the registered partner of another person?c. If either partner has children?	927
53.	Is the surviving partner entitled to a reserved share or to any other rights or claims on the estate (e.g. any claim based on dependency, compensation, or maintenance) in the case of a disposition of property upon death (e.g. by will, joint will, or inheritance agreement) in favour of another person?	949
54.	Are there any statistics or estimations on how often a relationship is terminated by the death of one of the partners?	
55.	Are there any statistics or estimations on how common it is that partners in an informal relationship make a will in favour of the other partner?	963
56.	Are there any statistics or estimations on how common it is that a partner in an informal relationship is the beneficiary to the other partner's life insurance?	969
F.	AGREEMENTS	975
57.	Are there specific rules concerning agreements between partners in an informal relationship? Where relevant, please indicate these specific rules. If not, which general rules apply?	977

Intersentia xiii

58.	Are partners in an informal relationship permitted to agree on the following issues: a. The division of tasks as between the partners? b. The contributions to the costs and expenses of the household? c. Their property relationship? d. Maintenance? e. The duration of the agreement?	
59.	Are partners in an informal relationship permitted to agree on the legal consequences of their separation?	1025
60.	Are the agreements binding: a. Between the partners? b. In relation to third parties?	1035
61.	If agreements are not binding, what effect, if any, do they have?	1049
62.	If specific legislative provisions regulate informal relationships, are the partners permitted to opt in or to opt out of this specific regulation?	1053
63.	When can the agreement be made (before, during, or after the relationship)?	1061
64.	What formal requirements, if any, govern the validity of agreements: a. As between the partners? b. In relation to a third party?	1067
65.	Is independent legal advice required?	1081
66.	Are there any statistics or estimations on the frequency of agreements made between partners in an informal relationship?	1087
67.	Are there any statistics or estimations regarding the content of agreements made between partners in an informal relationship?	1093

xiv Intersentia

G. I	DISPUTES
68.	Which authority is competent to decide disputes between partners in an informal relationship?1107
69.	Is that the same authority as for spousal disputes?
70.	Can the competent authority scrutinise an agreement made by the partners in an informal relationship? If yes, what is the scope of the scrutiny?
71.	Can the competent authority override or modify the agreement on account of fairness towards a partner, the rights of a third party, or on any other ground (e.g. a change of circumstances)?
72.	What alternative dispute-solving mechanisms (e.g. mediation or counselling), if any, are offered or required with regard to disputes arising out of informal relationships?
73.	What are the procedural effects of an agreement on ADR between partners in an informal relationship? Can any partner seize the competent authority in breach of the ADR clause? 1155
74.	Are there any statistics or estimations on how common it is that partners in an informal relationship include an ADR clause in their agreement?

Intersentia xv

AUTHORS

AUSTRIA Prof. dr. Marianne Roth

University of Salzburg

Dr. Claudia Reith

University of Salzburg

BELGIUM Prof. Frederik Swennen

University of Antwerp

Prof. Dimitri Mortelmans University of Antwerp

BULGARIA Dr. Velina Todorova

Plovdiv University, Sofia

CROATIA Dr. Branka Rešestar

University of Osijek

Nataša Lucić

University of Osijek

CZECH REPUBLIC Prof. Milana Hrusaková

Palacký University, Olomouc

DENMARK Prof. Ingrid Lund-Andersen

University of Copenhagen

ENGLAND AND WALES Prof. Anne Barlow

University of Exeter

Prof. Nigel Lowe
Cardiff University

Intersentia xvii

Authors

ESTONIA Dr. Triin Uusen-Nacke

Tartu County Court

Sille Vahaste-Pruul University of Tartu

FINLAND Dr. Salla Silvola

Ministry of Justice, Helsinki

FRANCE Prof. Frédérique Ferrand

University Jean Moulin Lyon 3

Laurence Francoz-Terminal University Jean Moulin Lyon 3

GERMANY Prof. Nina Dethloff

University of Bonn

Prof. em. Dieter Martiny

European University Viadrina

Mirjam Zschoche
University of Bonn

GREECE Prof. Achilles G. Koutsouradis

University of Thessaloniki

Dr. Anna-Maria Konsta University of Thessaloniki

HUNGARY Dr. Orsolya Szeibert

Eötvös Loránd University

REPUBLIC OF IRELAND Prof. Geoffrey Shannon

Law Society of Ireland

ITALY Prof. Maria Donata Panforti

University of Modena and Reggio Emilia

LATVIA Dr. Inese Libina-Egner

Vice-president Latvian Parliament, Riga

xviii Intersentia

LITHUANIA Inga Kudinavičiūtė-Michailovienė

Mykolas Romeris University, Vilnius

LUXEMBOURG Dr. Françoise Hilger

University of Luxemburg

THE NETHERLANDS Prof. Wendy Schrama

University of Groningen

NORWAY Prof. Tone Sverdrup

University of Oslo

PORTUGAL Prof. Guilherme de Oliveira

University of Coimbra

Rosa Martins

University of Coimbra

Paula Vitor

University of Coimbra

RUSSIA Prof. Masha Antokolskaia

VU University Amsterdam

SCOTLAND Prof. Jane Mair

University of Glasgow

SLOVAKIA Dr. Róbert Dobrovodský

Ministry of Justice, Trnava

SLOVENIA Prof. Barbara Novak

University of Ljubljana

SPAIN Prof. Cristina González Beilfuss

University of Barcelona

Dr. Monica Navarro-Michel

University of Barcelona

SWEDEN Prof. Margareta Brattström

Uppsala University

Intersentia xix

Prof. Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg

Uppsala University

LisaMarie Eriksson Uppsala University

SWITZERLAND

Prof. Ingeborg Schwenzer University of Basel

Tomie Keller

University of Basel

xx Intersentia