

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xv

Introduction

Johannes KEILER and David ROEF	1
1. The general purpose of the book	1
2. A comparative approach	2
3. The three penal systems	4
4. The changing contours of criminal law	6
5. The structure of the book	7

Chapter I. The Principle of Legality

Christina PERISTERIDOU	11
1. Introduction	11
2. The four aspects of the legality principle	12
2.1. <i>Lex scripta</i>	12
2.2. <i>Lex certa</i>	13
2.3. <i>Lex stricta</i>	13
2.4. <i>Lex praevia</i>	14
3. The rationale of the principle of legality	15
3.1. Historical roots	15
3.2. Individual liberty, autonomy and human dignity	16
3.3. Rule of Law	17
3.4. Separation of powers	18
4. The legality principle in the national systems	19
4.1. A comparative view of the legality principle	19
4.1.1. The traditional difference	19
4.1.2. A convergence of traditions?	20
4.1.3. Application of <i>lex certa</i>	22
4.2. The influence of the ECtHR on national systems	23
5. Modern challenges: two judgments	25
5.1. The German Constitutional Court and the definition of coercion ..	25
5.2. The ECtHR and the definition of rape	27

5.3. What we can learn from both cases: a comparative analysis 28
 6. Concluding remarks. 30

Chapter II. Actus Reus and Mens Rea: The Elements of Crime and the Framework of Criminal Liability

Johannes KEILER 33

1. Introduction 33
 2. Actus reus and mens rea 35
 2.1. Actus reus 35
 2.2. Mens rea 38
 3. The framework of criminal liability: the bipartite and tripartite system. 40
 4. Concluding remarks. 45

Chapter III. Commission versus Omission

Johannes KEILER 47

1. Introduction 47
 2. Offences of commission: the theory of conduct and the baseline of criminal liability. 47
 2.1. Different theories of conduct 48
 2.1.1. The causal theory of action – or the ghost in the machine . . . 48
 2.1.2. The teleological theory of action: human conduct is ‘seeing’, not blind 50
 2.1.3. The social theory of action: the context in which the act occurs is significant 50
 2.2. The conduct requirement in practice 51
 2.2.1. Loss of physical control. 52
 2.2.2. Impaired consciousness 55
 2.2.3. The pitfalls of the conduct requirement 56
 2.3. Concluding remarks regarding the conduct requirement 58
 3. Omission liability 58
 3.1. Introduction 58
 3.2. Statutory duties of care (or proper crimes of omission) 59
 3.3. Commission by omission (or improper crimes of omission) 60
 3.3.1. Duties of care in modern criminal law. 61
 3.3.2. Commission by omission: a short comparative overview. 63
 3.3.2.1. England 63
 3.3.2.2. The Netherlands 64
 3.3.2.3. Germany 64

3.3.3.	The different categories of duties of care	65
3.3.3.1.	Duties based on a special relationship to the victim	65
3.3.3.2.	Undertaken duties	68
3.3.3.3.	Duties based on specific qualities of the offender	70
3.3.3.4.	Duties based on ownership of or responsibility for a source of danger	73
3.3.3.5.	Duties based on the creation of a dangerous situation	75
4.	Concluding remarks.	76

Chapter IV. Human Causes versus Natural Events

	Johannes KEILER	79
1.	Introduction	79
2.	The field of application of causation	80
3.	Approaches to causation	81
3.1.	The <i>conditio sine qua non</i>	82
3.2.	The theory of proximate cause.	83
3.3.	The theory of adequate causation	84
3.4.	Other factors bearing on causation.	85
3.4.1.	The role of the underlying offence.	85
3.4.2.	The role of criminal policy and blameworthiness	85
4.	Causality compared	86
4.1.	Contributory causes	86
4.2.	Intervening causes.	90
4.2.1.	Naturally occurring interventions	90
4.2.2.	Conduct of the victim breaking the chain of causation	91
4.2.3.	Medical interventions	96
4.3.	Causal uncertainties in the modern risk society	98
5.	Concluding remarks.	101

Chapter V. Forms and Aspects of Mens Rea

	Jeroen BLOMSMA and David ROEF	103
1.	Introduction	103
2.	Different fault elements compared.	104
2.1.	Direct intent or <i>dolus directus</i>	105
2.2.	Indirect intent or <i>dolus indirectus</i>	106
2.3.	Conditional intent or <i>dolus eventualis</i>	108
2.3.1.	Meaning and rationale	108
2.3.2.	Awareness of a risk.	109
2.3.3.	Acceptance of the risk.	112

2.4.	Recklessness	115
2.4.1.	A subjective test	116
2.4.1.1.	<i>Caldwell</i> recklessness.	116
2.4.1.2.	<i>R v G</i> recklessness.	117
2.4.2.	An unreasonable risk.	118
2.4.3.	Recklessness in HIV cases	119
2.5.	Negligence or culpa	121
2.5.1.	Conscious negligence	122
2.5.2.	Unconscious negligence	123
3.	Demarcation from premeditation and motive.	126
4.	Strict liability.	128
5.	Concluding remarks.	130

Chapter VI. Justifications and Excuses

	Jeroen BLOMSMA and David ROEF	133
1.	Introduction	133
2.	Rationale of the dichotomy.	134
2.1.	Communicative difference.	134
2.2.	Personal and universal application.	135
3.	Self-defence	136
3.1.	Rationales	136
3.2.	Criteria.	137
3.2.1.	Interrelationship of the criteria	138
3.2.2.	Wrongful and imminent attack.	138
3.2.3.	Legitimate interests	140
3.2.4.	Necessity	141
3.2.5.	Proportionality.	142
3.2.6.	The ‘reasonableness’ requirement in England.	144
4.	Self-defence-excess.	147
4.1.	Intensive and extensive excess.	148
4.2.	State of mind caused by the attack	149
4.3.	Partial defences in England	150
4.3.1.	Loss of control	150
4.3.2.	Diminished responsibility	151
5.	Necessity	152
5.1.	Rationale and history	153
5.2.	Criteria.	154
5.2.1.	Imminent danger of legitimate interest	154
5.2.2.	Subsidiarity.	156
5.2.3.	Proportionality.	157

6.	Duress	160
6.1.	Rationale	161
6.2.	Criteria	161
6.2.1.	Legitimate interests	162
6.2.2.	Imminent danger	162
6.2.3.	Subsidiarity	163
6.2.4.	Proportionality	164
7.	Insanity	166
7.1.	Rationale	166
7.2.	Criteria	167
7.2.1.	Mental disorder	167
7.2.2.	Impaired capacities	169
7.2.3.	Attribution and prior fault	170
7.3.	Diminished capacity	171
8.	Intoxication	171
8.1.	Effects on fault (mens rea)	172
8.2.	Intoxication as an excuse	174
9.	Concluding remarks	176

Chapter VII. Inchoate Offences: Attempt and Preparation

	Johannes KEILER and David ROEF	179
1.	Introduction	179
2.	Two patterns of criminality: two ways of looking at inchoate offences	180
3.	Preparation	181
3.1.	Attempt versus preparation	181
3.2.	Offences criminalising specific preparatory conduct	182
3.3.	Conspiracy	182
3.4.	The Serious Crime Act 2007	183
3.5.	Preparation as a general doctrine: the Dutch example	184
3.5.1.	The history and rationale of Article 46 DCC	184
3.5.2.	The actus reus of preparatory acts	185
3.5.3.	The mens rea of preparatory acts	189
4.	Attempt	189
4.1.	Introduction	189
4.2.	Different rationales for punishing attempts	190
4.3.	An overview of the legal provisions on attempt	191
4.3.1.	Germany	191
4.3.2.	The Netherlands	192
4.3.3.	England and Wales	192

4.4.	The actus reus of attempt: drawing the line between attempt and preparation	193
4.4.1.	The German approach.	193
4.4.2.	The Dutch approach	195
4.4.3.	The English approach	196
4.5.	The mens rea of attempt	198
4.5.1.	The fault element in the Netherlands and Germany	198
4.5.2.	The English fault element	199
4.6.	Impossible attempts.	200
4.6.1.	Impossibility in the Netherlands: an objective approach	201
4.6.2.	Impossibility in England and Wales: a subjective approach	201
4.6.3.	Impossibility in Germany: a mixed approach.	203
4.7.	Voluntary withdrawal.	204
4.7.1.	The common law versus civil law approach.	204
4.7.2.	Preconditions for withdrawal: the need for an (in)complete attempt	205
4.7.3.	The voluntariness requirement	207
5.	Concluding remarks.	209

Chapter VIII. Forms of Participation

	Johannes KEILER and David ROEF	211
1.	Introduction	211
2.	Terminology and some conceptual challenges	212
2.1.	Terminology	212
2.2.	The equivalence of perpetrators and accomplices	213
2.3.	The derivative nature of complicity	214
2.4.	Mens rea and deviations from the original plan.	215
3.	Participation in Germany	216
3.1.	Introduction	216
3.2.	Distinguishing perpetrators from accomplices	217
3.3.	Direct perpetration	219
3.4.	Perpetration by means	219
3.5.	Co-perpetration.	220
3.5.1.	General requirements	220
3.5.2.	Deviation from the common plan.	222
3.6.	Forms of complicity: instigation and aiding	224
3.6.1.	General requirements	224
3.6.2.	Instigation	224
3.6.3.	Aiding	226

4.	Participation in the Netherlands	228
4.1.	Introduction	228
4.2.	Direct perpetration: from a restrictive to a functional approach	229
4.3.	Co-perpetration	230
4.3.1.	Conscious, complete and close cooperation	230
4.3.2.	Is physical presence necessary?	231
4.3.3.	Is physical presence sufficient?	232
4.3.4.	Deviation from the common plan	234
4.4.	Perpetration by means	235
4.5.	Instigation	236
4.5.1.	Means of instigation	236
4.5.2.	Mens rea of instigation	237
4.5.3.	Deviation from the instigator's plan	238
4.5.4.	Attempt to instigate	238
4.6.	Aiding	239
5.	Participation in England and Wales	240
5.1.	Introduction	240
5.2.	Perpetration	241
5.3.	Perpetration through innocent agency	242
5.4.	Accomplice liability	242
5.4.1.	The actus reus of accomplice liability	243
5.4.1.1.	Aiding	243
5.4.1.2.	Abetting	244
5.4.1.3.	Counselling	244
5.4.1.4.	Procuring	245
5.4.2.	The mens rea of accomplice liability	245
5.4.3.	The doctrine of joint criminal enterprise	248
6.	Concluding remarks	253

Chapter IX. Corporate Criminal Liability

David ROEF	257
----------------------	-----

1.	Introduction	257
2.	Approaches to corporate wrongdoing: a conceptual overview	258
3.	Corporate liability compared	261
3.1.	Nominalistic approaches to liability	261
3.1.1.	The doctrine of vicarious liability	261
3.1.2.	The identification doctrine	263
3.1.3.	Corporate liability in European Union law	266
3.1.4.	Shortcomings of the identification doctrine	267

3.2. More realistic approaches to liability	270
3.2.1. The Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007	270
3.2.2. Realistic liability criteria in Dutch case law	273
3.3. The alternative administrative liability approach of German law	276
4. Concluding remarks	279
<i>About the Authors</i>	281