

THE PRACTICE OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT

THE PRACTICE OF
PUBLIC PROCUREMENT

Tendering, Selection and Award

Philipp KIIVER
Jakub KODYM



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK:
NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for the USA and Canada:
International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)
Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for Austria:
Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag
Argentinerstraße 42/6
1040 Wien
Austria
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24
Email: office@nwv.at

Distribution for other countries:
Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Dr Philipp Kiiver, LL.M., and Jakub Kodym, MA, MBA, are procurement officers at the European Parliament in Luxembourg. This book is written in a strictly personal capacity, and does not purport to reflect the official opinion of the European Parliament or its administration.

Readers are encouraged to send the authors their comments, questions and suggestions by e-mail to feedback@pproc.eu.

The Practice of Public Procurement. Tendering, Selection and Award
Philipp Kiiver and Jakub Kodym

© 2014 Intersentia
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Cover image: Marsden Hartley (1877–1943), Abstraction – Wikimedia Commons

ISBN 978-1-78068-266-2
D/2014/7849/153
NUR 823

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

CONTENTS

<i>Table of Cases</i>	ix
<i>Table of Figures</i>	xi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. The Tendering Procedure	2
1.2. Selection Criteria	3
1.3. Technical Specifications	3
1.4. Award Criteria	4
1.5. European Union Law	5
1.6. Sound Financial Management	5
Chapter 2. The Tendering Procedure	7
2.1. Advertisement	8
2.2. Publication of Tender Documents	10
2.3. The Style of Tender Documents	11
2.4. Publishing the Contract Value Estimate	12
2.5. Questions and Answers	13
2.6. Visits to the Premises	15
2.7. Deadlines	16
2.8. Transmission Formalities	16
2.9. Exclusion Criteria	17
2.10. The Length of Validity of Tenders	18
Chapter 3. Technical Specifications	21
3.1. Technical Requirements	21
3.2. Market Research	23
3.3. Variants	24
3.4. Options	25
3.4.1. Dissociated Options	27
3.4.2. Associated Options	27
3.4.3. Lock-In Options	28
3.4.4. Obligation to Tender for Options	28
3.5. Parallel Tenders	29

Chapter 4. Selection Criteria	31
4.1. The EU Legal Framework	31
4.2. The Logic of Selection Criteria	32
4.3. Financial Capacity	34
4.3.1. Accounting Principles	34
4.3.2. Turnover	35
4.3.3. Net and Gross Earnings	36
4.3.4. Economic Viability	37
4.3.5. Insurance	40
4.3.6. Overview	40
4.4. Technical Capacity	41
4.5. Consortia	44
4.5.1. The Professional Capacity of Consortia	44
4.5.2. The Financial Capacity of Consortia	44
4.6. Subcontractors	46
4.7. Favouring the Usual Suspects	47
 Chapter 5. Award Methods	 49
5.1. Single and Multiple Award Criteria	49
5.2. Lowest Price	50
5.3. Lowest Cost	51
5.4. Price-Quality Ratio	52
5.5. Electronic Auctions	53
5.6. Negotiations	54
5.7. The Pros and Cons of Different Award Methods	57
 Chapter 6. The Price Schedule	 61
6.1. Total Price	61
6.2. Consumption Models	62
6.3. The Value of Awards with Consumption Models	63
6.4. The Importance of Accurate Estimates	64
6.5. Adjusting to Different Pricing Policies	64
6.6. Including Cost in the Price	65
6.7. Price Indexation	66
6.7.1. The Logic of Indexation	67
6.7.2. Automatic and Manual Price Adjustment	68
6.7.3. Upward and Downward Adjustment	68
6.7.4. To Index or not to Index	69
6.7.5. The Choice of an Appropriate Index	69

Chapter 7. Qualitative Award Criteria	73
7.1. The Choice of Award Criteria	73
7.2. Quality Verification	75
7.3. Cost as a Quality Criterion	77
Chapter 8. The Price-Quality Ratio	79
8.1. Price-Quality Ratios and Other Formulas	79
8.2. Quality Divided by Price	81
8.3. Adjusting for Criteria Weighting	82
8.3.1. The Baseline Quality Score	82
8.3.2. The Proportion of Extra Spending	86
8.3.3. Adjusting the Scales	87
8.4. Multiple Award Criteria	88
8.5. Multiple Objects	89
8.6. Global Quality and Minimum Quality Thresholds	91
8.7. The Dilemma of High Quality Standards	93
8.8. On the Neutralization of the Price Criterion	94
8.9. The Importance of Knowing what You Want	97
8.10. Defining Quality in Public Procurement	98
Chapter 9. Quality Assessment	101
9.1. Binary Criteria	101
9.2. Quantitative Criteria	102
9.3. Non-Binary Qualitative Criteria	103
9.4. Point Inflation	105
9.5. Assessment Grids	107
Chapter 10. Choice of Procedure	111
10.1. Open Procedures	111
10.2. Restricted Procedures	112
10.3. Negotiated Procedures	113
10.4. Exceptional Procedures	115
Chapter 11. The Post-Award Stage	117
11.1. The Duty to State Reasons	117
11.2. Evaluation and Follow-Up	118
Chapter 12. Green Procurement	121

Chapter 13. Managing the Risk of Irregularities and Inefficiencies	123
13.1. Irregularities in Public Procurement	123
13.2. The Inefficiency of Reduced Competition	124
13.3. Risk Management and Continuous Improvement of the Procurement Process	124
13.4. Procurement Risks and Risk Response	126
Chapter 14. Conclusions	131
<i>Appendix I. The Assessment of the Economic Viability of Companies</i>	133
<i>Appendix II. Mathematical Discussion of Formulas to Determine Price-Quality Ratios</i>	143
<i>References</i>	153
<i>Index</i>	155

TABLE OF CASES

EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE (ECJ OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EU)

C-56/77 Agence Européenne d'Interims	74
C-76/81 Transporoute	76
C-199/85 Commission v. Italy	115, 127, 128
C-31/87 Beentjes	5, 42, 73, 89
C-269/90 Technische Universität München	74
C-24/91 Commission v. Spain	115, 128
C-107/92 Commission v. Italy	115, 128
C-359/93 Commission v. Netherlands	21
C-57/94 Commission v. Italy	115, 127, 128
C-87/94 Commission v. Belgium	8, 73
C-318/94 Commission v. Germany	115, 128
C-16/98 Commission v. France	126
C-176/98 Holst Italia	44
C-470/99 Universale-Bau	31, 73
C-513/99 Concordia Bus Finland	73, 89, 94
C-19/00 SIAC Constructions	51, 73
C-59/00 Vestergaard	21
C-20/01 Commission v. Germany	115, 127
C-315/01 GAT	43, 73
C-421/01 Traunfellner	24
C-448/01 EVN	73–75
C-84/03 Commission v. Spain	127
C-234/03 Contse	74
C-331/04 ATI	73
C-454/06 Presstext	56, 68, 127
C-532/06 Lianakis	43, 73
C-199/07 Commission v. Greece	43
C-368/10 Commission v. Netherlands	31, 42
C-462/10 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. EEA	117
C-561/10 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission	117
C-574/10 Commission v. Germany	126
C-599/10 SAG ELV Slovensko	76

C-601/10 Commission v. Greece	115, 127
C-218/11 Edukővizig	38, 44
C-629/11 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission.	117, 118

COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE / GENERAL COURT OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EU

T-44/90 La Cinq	74
T-169/00 Esedra	74, 117
T-4/01 Renco.	43, 76
T-160/03 AFCon.	123
T-148/04 TQ3 Travel Solutions	48, 74, 76
T-465/04 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission.	109
T-70/05 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. EMSA.	7
T-264/06 DC-Hadler	109
T-272/06 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Court of Justice	109
T-211/07 AWWW.	118
T-300/07 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission.	109
T-407/07 CMB Maschinenbau.	51, 119
T-457/07 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. EFSA	43
T-32/08 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission	43
T-39/08 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission	43
T-121/08 PC-Ware	76
T-387/08 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission	42, 73
T-461/08 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. EIB.	8, 73, 109
T-57/09 Alfatar	109
T-514/09 bpost	117
T-183/10 Sviluppo Globale	117
T-415/10 Nexans.	16
T-447/10 Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Court of Justice	43, 109
T-488/12 Citeb and Belgo-Metal v. European Parliament.	117

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Distorted competition in case of evaluation with and without options.	26
Figure 2.	The logic of the design of selection criteria and corresponding proofs.	33
Figure 3.	Simplified models of a profit and loss account and a balance sheet.	35
Figure 4.	Typical financial selection criteria	41
Figure 5.	Possible price ranges and thresholds triggering negotiations	55
Figure 6.	Model price schedule with multiple units	61
Figure 7.	Price comparison with unit prices, not weighted for probability of consumption	62
Figure 8.	Price comparison with unit prices weighted for probability of consumption	63
Figure 9.	Price-quality ratios under the Q/P formula	81
Figure 10.	Baseline quality scores corresponding to the price weight under the Q/P formula	83
Figure 11.	Price-quality ratios under the Q/P formula at 75:25 price-quality weighting.	84
Figure 12.	Price-quality ratios under the Q/P formula at 33:67 price-quality weighting.	86
Figure 13.	Price-quality weighting with multiple quality criteria	88
Figure 14.	Quality assessment with multiple quality criteria	88
Figure 15.	Weighting of item quality with fixed weights.	90
Figure 16.	Weighting of item quality depending on the pricing of the tender.	91
Figure 17.	Formal compliance at one-third and minimum quality threshold at two-thirds of maximum quality.	93
Figure 18.	Tenders on a price axis in a lowest-bid award.	106
Figure 19.	Tenders on a price and a quality axis in a price-quality award with a wide quality spread	107
Figure 20.	Tenders on a price and a quality axis in a price-quality award with a narrow quality spread.	107
Figure 21.	Example of a risk probability-impact matrix	125
Figure 22.	Continuous quality improvement (PDCA) cycle.	126

Figure 23.	Correlation between low risk of bankruptcy and an acceptable result of scoring or a single indicator.	134
Figure 24.	Statistical cut-off values for the Conan and Holder score.	136
Figure 25.	Discriminant zones for Conan and Holder.	136
Figure 26.	Discriminant zones for Altman Z''	137
Figure 27.	Screenshot of a simplified account sheet	139
Figure 28.	Q/P ratios with unadjusted quality scores.	144
Figure 29.	Q/P curve based on a price estimate for basic quality and the reservation price for maximum quality	145
Figure 30.	Q/P curve adjusted for minimum quality requirements at 40:60 weighting	145
Figure 31.	Penalization of mid-range tenders in price scoring where the lowest bid is divided by price offers	147
Figure 32.	Price score curve if the lowest bid is divided by price offers	147
Figure 33.	Equivalence for quality weights under Q/P and Q+P formulas	148
Figure 34.	Q/P curve for a case of preponderant quality weight under a Q+P formula	149
Figure 35.	Evaluation results in different pricing configurations.	150
Figure 36.	Concave and capped quality function in tender evaluation.	151