

The Domestic Impact and Effectiveness
of the Process of State Reporting under UN Human Rights Treaties
in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland
Paper-pushing or policy prompting?

SCHOOL OF HUMAN RIGHTS RESEARCH SERIES, Volume 63

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

The Domestic Impact and Effectiveness of the
Process of State Reporting under UN Human Rights
Treaties in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland
Paper-pushing or policy prompting?

JASPER KROMMENDIJK



Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Publishing Ltd.
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Jasper Krommendijk
The Domestic Impact and Effectiveness of the Process of State Reporting under
UN Human Rights Treaties in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland. Paper-
pushing or policy prompting?

ISBN 978-1-78068-244-0
D/2014/7849/90
NUR 828

Cover images: Top left: demonstration against the Dutch political party SGP by
women's rights activists © ANP/Phil Nijhuis – Top right: protest march in New
Zealand against the Foreshore and Seabed Act © New Zealand Herald/Bret
Phibbs – Below: campaign of Amnesty International against violence against
women in Finland © Katja Tähja.

© 2014 Intersentia
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is
available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any
other means, without written permission from the publisher.

To Eva, Abel and Rosa

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book is the result of an intellectually stimulating exercise of conducting research in Maastricht, Wellington and Helsinki. In the past 4.5 years of my research, I had the pleasure to work with and have conversations with several people who helped shape my ideas. I would never have been able to finish my dissertation without the help and support of the people around me. It is only possible to particularly mention some of them here.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors prof. dr. Fons Coomans and prof. dr. Fred Grünfeld. Fons and Fred, I especially appreciate the enormous trust and freedom you both gave me in conducting the research. You both provided excellent guidance and personal interest throughout the entire process of writing my thesis. I admire the incredible amount of time you put into reading all my work carefully, even the most preliminary drafts. Fons, thank you for your prudent comments and suggestions which improved my work considerably. I also valued your personal interest and the fact that you popped into my office on a regular basis to discuss a wide variety of issues. Fred, I was not always able to comprehend your ‘hieroglyphs’, which gave me an excuse to drop by your office to have them deciphered. These meetings always resulted in nice conversations about politics, news, travelling or Abel. I also want to thank you for the chance you offered me to work on your last research project on the genocide in Darfur. Our intensive and stimulating cooperation resulted in an impressive book: *Failure to prevent gross human rights violations in Darfur*.

I would like to thank the members of my reading committee: prof. dr. Theo van Boven, prof. dr. Cees Flinterman, dr. Esther Versluis, prof. dr. Laurence Helfer and prof. dr. Martin Scheinin. Their comments and suggestions were helpful in finalising and improving this book. My appreciation also goes to Carol Ní Ghiollarnáth for her thorough English language review.

I want to express my gratitude to the people who made it possible and helped me to conduct my research in New Zealand and Finland. Petra Butler gave me the chance to be a visiting researcher at the Victoria University of Wellington in June and July 2012 and was extremely helpful – together with Peter Shuttleworth and Claudia Staal – in providing me with contacts and documents. Special thanks to Peter for filing a request under the Official Information Act to obtain several internal government documents which I could otherwise not have accessed. Tuomas Ojanen made it possible for me to visit the Erik Castrén Institute twice in November 2012 and April/May 2013. Miia Halme-Tuomisaari was very helpful in introducing me to Finnish officials working in the field of human rights. Special thanks also for Lauri

Hannikainen with whom I had the pleasure to share an office and who made my first research stay in Helsinki such an enjoyable experience.

I would like to thank the following people for commenting upon earlier versions of the chapters in this book (see appendix with the list of interviewees for the function of some of them): Birsan Erdogan and Phyllis Livaha (Ch.1–3), Maria Lourijsen and John Morijn (Ch. 4 and Ch. 11), Machteld Inge van Dooren, Dirk Houtzager and Gerbrig Klos (Ch. 5), Ineke Boerefijn and Vincent Böhre (Ch. 6), Marlies Hesselman (Ch. 7), Leontine Bijleveld, Margreet de Boer and Marjolein van den Brink (Ch. 8), Jaap Doek, Majorie Kaandorp Carla van Os, Aysel Sabahoglu and Beate Stapers (Ch. 10). Wendy van der Tol (Ch. 11). Petra Butler, Bevan Marten, Janet McLean, Peter Shuttleworth (Ch. 12), Miia Halme-Tuomisaari, Lauri Hannikainen, Miko Lempinen, Tuomas Ojanen (Ch. 13), Martine Boersma, Claudia Engelmann, Eliza Malathouni and Jennifer Sellin (Ch. 14). For any errors or inadequacies that may remain in this work, of course, the responsibility is entirely my own.

Many thanks also to all my 175 interview respondents for taking the time to share their ideas with me in all openness.

I would like to thank all my colleagues of the Faculty of Law, particularly the department of International and European Law and the Maastricht Centre of Human Rights. The lunch lectures, conversations, and coffees stimulated my thinking and helped me to improve my dissertation. Over the years, I have had the honour to collaborate with many of you in the context of the Board of the Maastricht Centre as well as different projects and seminars. It is unfortunately impossible to thank you all individually. Special thanks to Chantal Kuypers for our nice cooperation and our regular chats. Cees Flinterman, I appreciate your interest in and comments on my research. I am grateful to Menno Kamminga for giving me the opportunity to join in teaching a course in human rights at the China EU Law School in Beijing twice. My thanks also go to the Science Committee for providing me with additional funding to visit Finland for the second time.

I am also glad about my involvement in and the opportunities offered by the School of Human Rights Research. My involvement in the Graduate Committee and Board of the School as a PhD representative and Chair of the PhD Council also gave me the possibility to engage with many interesting people. I am especially grateful for my dear friend René who urged me to establish a Working Group on International Relations and Human Rights within the School together with him. We have had two great years full of interesting meetings and debates! Fortunately we continued meeting after the Group itself ceased to exist.

Warm thanks also go to all my friends in Maastricht who made my stay here such a joyous experience. I have dear memories of our joint Christmas dinners, the parties, game nights and hanging out in the park. It was especially a great pleasure to teach at UCM with Birsen and Phyllis and sit in UCM's courtyard in the summer while discussing everything from politics to music and movies. I also enjoyed drinking Belgian beers after work with Florin, Gustavo, Serban, Fernando and Agustin. My appreciation also goes to Martine for introducing me to the colour locale of Mestreech, pèlskes, Fabrizio and Vastelaovend. I appreciated the friendship and regular encounters and chats with my 'sparring partner' Jennifer. Thanks also for my dear neighbours-colleagues-friends; Eliza and Jeroen and Claudia and Torsten. I was also lucky to have such great office mates in Martine, Emma and Zamira who endured the sometimes loud volume of the music blasting through the computer's speakers. Thanks for all those with whom I had regular coffees, lunches or drinks, including Adela, Anamaria, Anke, Anna, Maartje, Roland, Siamak, Suzanne and Viorelia.

I also appreciate all the support from all those in 'Holland', particularly my WFC friends from high school (Elbert, Hanne, Has, Kim, Matthijs, Michael, Niek and Simon) and my close friends from Groningen (Bertram, Hendrik, Idwer, Meyndert and Syb). Gracias también a Laura por tu amistad y tu apoyo desde España. Most of you have directly contributed to my research by providing me with the opportunity of staying overnight for early morning flights abroad or for interviews or conferences in the Randstad. But above all, your friendship and our joint adventures – in the form of winter sport snowboarding holidays or trips to Morocco or New York – have given me the necessary and welcome distraction from my work.

Lastly, I would like to express my gratitude to my parents Mieke and Hans as well as my brother Michiel for always being there, no matter what. You have always actively encouraged me to make my own choices and to do what I like. I have always appreciated your lay questions which challenged me to explain my research and its (societal) value. Eva, Abel and Rosa, this book is dedicated to you. You are everything to me. This book would have never been as it is without the endless support and love you have given me.

Maastricht, April 2014

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	vii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xix
<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	xxiii

Chapter I

Introduction.	1
1. Brief overview of the process of state reporting and the legal status of COs	2
1.1. The process of state reporting	2
1.2. Objectives of reporting	5
1.3. The legal status of COs	7
2. Overview of the deficiencies of the treaty body system	9
2.1. The treaty body reform discussion	10
2.2. The weaknesses in the treaty body system, the dialogue and the COs	11
2.3. Recent improvements	19
3. Overview of contemporary research on the effectiveness of COs	20
4. Main research questions and definitions	24
4.1. Broader influence of the process	25
4.2. Impact	25
4.3. Effectiveness	26
5. Research objectives and contributions	27
6. Valorisation: societal relevance of this research	28
7. Structure of the book	29

Part A

Theoretical and Methodological Framework	31
---	----

Chapter II

Theoretical Framework	33
1. Logic of consequences: instrumentalist or rationalist models	35
1.1. External or international incentives models	35
1.2. Domestic politics, institutions and mobilisation	37
2. Logic of appropriateness: ideational norm-centred approaches	41
2.1 The managerial model	42
2.2. Legitimacy and persuasion	43
3. Combining the two logics: transnational human rights advocacy	44
4. Theoretical implications for this research	47

Chapter III	
Methodological Framework	49
1. Country selection	49
1.1. Western liberal democracies as most likely cases.	49
1.2. The Netherlands as the main case.	52
1.3. Two additional most similar countries: New Zealand and Finland . . .	53
2. Methodology	56
2.1. The broader influence	57
2.2. Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	58
2.3. The effectiveness of COs.	60
2.4. Limitations to the measuring of effectiveness	63
2.5. Explaining the (in)effectiveness of COs	65
2.6. Interviews	66
Part B	
The Netherlands	69
Chapter IV	
The Role and Place of Human Rights and State Reporting in the Netherlands. . .	71
1. Background to the Dutch legal and political system	71
2. The role of human rights in the Dutch legal order	73
2.1. Government.	74
2.2. Parliament	76
2.3. National courts and legal practice.	77
2.4. The legal (human rights) culture	79
2.5. Concluding remarks and recent developments	80
3. The broader influence of the reporting process	82
3.1. The organisation of the process of state reporting	83
3.2. The attitude of government officials towards the process of state reporting	85
3.2.1. The value of reporting	85
3.2.2. The importance given to reporting in practice.	87
4. The views of government officials about the quality of treaty bodies and the COs.	90
4.1. The irrelevance of the dialogue.	91
4.2. The superficiality of the dialogue	93
4.3. The absence of a <i>constructive</i> dialogue	95
4.4. The limited quality and specificity of COs	96
5. Conclusion.	98

Chapter V	
ICERD	99
1. Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	99
1.1. Governmental attention	99
1.2. Parliamentary scrutiny	101
1.3. Courts and legal practice	102
1.4. NGOs	103
1.5. Media coverage	105
1.6. Conclusion	105
2. Assessing the effectiveness of COs	106
2.1. COs that have been rejected	108
2.2. Standing policy measures in line with the COs	110
2.3. (Partly) effective COs	110
3. Treaty specific reasons for the (in)effectiveness of COs	114
3.1. Factors related to the domestic context	114
3.2. The (perceived) quality of the CERD	117
4. Conclusion	121
Chapter VI	
ICCPR	123
1. Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	124
1.1. Governmental attention	125
1.2. Parliamentary scrutiny	126
1.3. Courts and legal practice	127
1.4. NGOs	128
1.5. Media coverage	130
1.6. Conclusion	130
2. Assessing the effectiveness of COs	131
2.1. COs that have been rejected	131
2.2. Standing policy and legislative measures in line with the COs	133
2.3. (Partly) effective COs	134
3. Treaty specific reasons for the (in)effectiveness of COs	136
3.1. Factors related to the domestic context	136
3.2. The (perceived) quality of the HRC	139
4. Conclusion	141
Chapter VII	
ICESCR	143
1. Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	143
1.1. Governmental attention	143
1.2. Parliamentary scrutiny	144

Contents

1.3.	Courts and legal practice	146
1.4.	NGOs	147
1.5.	Media coverage	148
1.6.	Conclusion	149
2.	Assessing the effectiveness of COs	150
2.1.	COs that have been rejected	151
2.2.	Standing policy and legislative measures in line with the COs	153
3.	Treaty specific reasons for the (in)effectiveness of COs	154
3.1.	Factors related to the domestic context	154
3.2.	The (perceived) quality of the CESCRC	158
4.	Conclusion	163
Chapter VIII		
CEDAW		165
1.	Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	165
1.1.	Governmental attention	167
1.2.	Parliamentary scrutiny	168
1.3.	Courts and legal practice	171
1.4.	NGOs	172
1.5.	Media coverage	175
1.6.	Conclusion	176
2.	Assessing the effectiveness of COs	177
2.1.	COs that have been rejected	178
2.2.	Standing policy and legislative measures in line with the COs	181
2.3.	(Partly) effective COs	183
2.3.1.	The SGP case	184
2.3.2.	Law on Names	185
2.3.3.	Reinstatement of maternity benefits for self-employed women	187
2.3.4.	More attention to the gender aspects of domestic violence	188
2.3.5.	Evaluation gender dimension asylum policy	190
2.3.6.	Uninterrupted long school day	191
2.3.7.	Training and education for prostitutes leaving their profession	191
3.	Treaty specific reasons for the (in)effectiveness of COs	192
3.1.	Factors related to the domestic context	192
3.2.	The (perceived) quality of the CEDAW Committee	195
4.	Conclusion	198

Chapter IX	
CAT	199
1. Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	200
1.1. Government informing parliament	200
1.2. Parliamentary scrutiny	200
1.3. Courts and legal practice	201
1.4. NGOs	201
1.5. Media coverage	203
1.6. Conclusion	203
2. Assessing the effectiveness of COs	203
2.1. Standing policy and legislative measures in line with the COs	204
2.2. (Partly) effective COs	206
3. Treaty specific reasons for the (in)effectiveness of COs	207
3.1. Factors related to the domestic context	207
3.2. The (perceived) quality of the CAT Committee	211
4. Conclusion	212
Chapter X	
CRC	213
1. Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	213
1.1. Government attention	213
1.2. Parliamentary scrutiny	217
1.3. Courts and legal practice	221
1.4. NGOs	223
1.5. Media coverage	226
1.6. The broader influence of the reporting process under the CRC	227
2. Assessing the effectiveness of COs	230
2.1. COs that have been rejected	231
2.2. Standing policy and legislative measures in line with the COs	232
2.3. (Partly) effective COs	233
2.3.1. The establishment of a Children's Ombudsman	234
2.3.2. The separate housing of juvenile offenders	235
2.3.3. Increased dissemination and raising awareness about the CRC	236
2.3.4. Improvements in the asylum procedure for children	237
2.3.5. The prohibition of corporal punishment	240
2.3.6. Improved interaction between NGOs and the government	241
2.3.7. Initiatives in the context of human rights education	242
2.3.8. The abolition of life imprisonment for minors	243
2.3.9. Renewed consultations about foster care	244
2.3.10. The promotion of breastfeeding	245

3.	Treaty specific reasons for the (in)effectiveness of COs.	245
3.1.	Factors related to state level	245
3.2.	The (perceived) quality of the CRC Committee	249
4.	Conclusion.	252

Chapter XI

Comparison of the findings for the Netherlands.	253
--	-----

1.	The broader influence of the reporting process	253
1.1.	Reporting as an opportunity for reflection?	253
1.2.	Increased understanding or awareness?	255
2.	The domestic impact of the reporting process and the COs.	255
2.1.	The impact of COs.	255
2.2.	Recent developments which might strengthen the impact of the COs .	259
3.	Effectiveness of the COs.	261
4.	Factors obstructing the effectiveness of COs	265
5.	Factors contributing to the effectiveness of COs	267

Part C

New Zealand and Finland	271
--------------------------------------	-----

Chapter XII

New Zealand.	273
-------------------	-----

1.	Background: the New Zealand legal and political system	274
2.	The broader influence of the reporting process	276
2.1.	The organisation of the process of state reporting	276
2.2.	The attitude of government officials towards the process of state reporting	280
2.3.	Increased understanding or awareness?	283
3.	Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	284
3.1.	Governmental attention.	284
3.2.	Parliamentary scrutiny	287
3.3.	Courts and legal practice.	290
3.4.	Human Rights Commission and Office of the Children's Commissioner	291
3.5.	NGOs.	293
3.6.	Media coverage	295
4.	Assessing the effectiveness of COs.	296
4.1.	Prohibition of corporal punishment through the repeal of section 59. .	299
4.2.	Avoiding age mixing in prison	301
4.3.	The repeal of the Foreshore and Seabed Act 2004	302
4.4.	Education for unlawfully present children	303
4.5.	Withdrawal of the reservation to CEDAW: women in armed forces .	304

4.6.	The independence of the Police Conduct Authority	306
4.7.	The strengthening of the Children's Commissioner	306
4.8.	The incorporation of the prohibition of non-refoulement	307
4.9.	Conclusion	308
5.	Explaining the (in)effectiveness of COs	310
5.1.	Factors facilitating the effectiveness of COs	310
5.2.	Factors obstructing the effectiveness of COs	311
6.	Conclusion	316
Chapter XIII		
Finland		317
1.	Background: the Finnish legal and political system	317
2.	The broader influence of the reporting process	321
2.1.	The organisation of the process of state reporting	321
2.2.	Attitude of government officials towards the process of state reporting	325
2.3.	Increased understanding or awareness?	326
3.	Domestic impact and domestic mobilisation	327
3.1.	Governmental attention	328
3.2.	Parliamentary scrutiny	330
3.3.	Courts and legal practice	332
3.4.	Ombudsmen and Human Rights Centre	334
3.5.	NGOs	336
3.6.	Media coverage	340
4.	Assessing the effectiveness of COs	341
4.1.	The establishment of an assistant Parliamentary Ombudsman and an Ombudsman for Children	345
4.2.	Sami land rights and the ratification of ILO Convention 169	346
4.3.	The criminalisation of torture	348
4.4.	Violence against women in the 1990s	350
4.5.	The establishment of the Ombudsman for Minorities	351
4.6.	Consolidation of equality legislation	351
4.7.	The reduction of the length of the alternative service for conscientious objectors	352
4.8.	The CRC in school curricula	354
4.9.	Conclusion	354
5.	Explaining the (in)effectiveness of COs	357
5.1.	Factors facilitating the effectiveness of COs	357
5.2.	Factors obstructing the effectiveness of COs	361
6.	Conclusion	362

Part D	
Conclusion	365
Chapter XIV	
Conclusion: Main Findings and Reflections	367
1. The broader influence of the reporting process	368
2. The domestic impact of the reporting process and the COs	369
3. Effectiveness of the COs	372
4. Factors contributing to the (in)effectiveness of COs	376
4.1. Factors contributing to ineffectiveness of COs	376
4.2. The subject matter and specificity of COs	378
4.3. Domestic factors contributing to the effectiveness of COs	379
4.3.1. Domestic mobilisation	381
4.3.2. Structure: the organisation of the reporting process and follow-up to COs	383
4.3.3. Commitment: views and outlook of decision makers	385
4.3.4. Cultural, political and legal factors	387
5. Reflections and discussion	388
6. Policy recommendations	390
6.1. Suggestions for the treaty bodies: less is more	391
6.2. Suggestions for domestic stakeholders: COs as practical props	394
<i>Nederlandse samenvatting [Summary in Dutch]</i>	395
<i>Appendix 1. List of persons interviewed by the author</i>	405
<i>Appendix 2. Databases and search terms used</i>	417
<i>Appendix 3. Interview Checklist</i>	427
<i>Bibliography</i>	429
<i>Index</i>	451

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABRvS	Afdeling Bestuursrechtspraak van de Raad van State (Administrative Jurisdiction Division of the Council of State)
ACVZ	Adviescommissie voor Vreemdelingenzaken (Advisory Committee on Migration Affairs)
ACYA	Action for Children and Youth in Aotearoa Incorporated (New Zealand children's rights NGO)
CAT	Convention Against Torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment
CAT Committee	Committee Against Torture
CDA	Christen Democratisch Appèl (Dutch centre-right Christian-democratic party)
CEDAW	Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women
CEDAW Committee	Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women
CERD	Committee on the Elimination of all forms of Racial Discrimination
CESCR	Committee on Economic Social and Cultural Rights
CFR	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union
CNV	Christelijk Nationaal Vakverbond (National Federation of Christian Trade Unions)
CO	Concluding Observation
CoE	Council of Europe
CRC	Convention on the Rights of the Child
CRC Committee	Committee on the Rights of the Child
CRPD	Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
CRvB	Centrale Raad van Beroep (Central Appeals Tribunal)
CU	ChristenUnie (Dutch social Christian party)
DCI	Defence for Children International
D66	Democraten '66 (Dutch centrist social-liberal party)
EC	European Community
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECOSOC	UN Economic and Social Council
ECPT	European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
ECRI	European Commission against Racism and Intolerance
ECSR	European Committee of Social Rights

List of Abbreviations

ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
ESC	European Social Charter
EU	European Union
FCNM	Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities
FNV	Federatie Nederlandse Vakbeweging (trade unions confederation)
GA	United Nations General Assembly
GC	General Comment
GL	GroenLinks (Dutch green left party)
GR	General Recommendation
HRC	Human Rights Committee
IACHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICERD	Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Racial Discrimination
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICJ	International Court of Justice
IL	International Law
ILA	International Law Association
ILO	International Labour Organization
IR	International Relations
ISO	Interstedelijk Studenten Overleg (Dutch National Student Association)
JWS	Johannes Wier Stichting (Dutch NGO for health professionals)
LBR	Landelijk Bureau Racismebestrijding (Dutch National Bureau against Racial Discrimination)
LOI	List Of Issues
LOIPR	List Of Issues Prior to Reporting
MP	Member of Parliament
NGO	Non-governmental Organisation
NJCM	Nederlands Juristen Comité voor de Mensenrechten (Dutch section of the International Commission of Jurists)
NZBORA	New Zealand Bill Of Rights Act 1990
OAS	Organisation of American States
OHCHR	Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
OP	Optional Protocol
OPCAT	Optional Protocol to the UN Convention Against Torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment

PvdA	Partij van de Arbeid (Dutch centre-left social democratic labour party)
PVV	Party Voor de Vrijheid (Dutch right-wing party)
Rb.	Rechtbank (Dutch district court)
SGP	Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij (Dutch orthodox protestant party)
SIM	Studie- en Informatiecentum Mensenrechten
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UN	United Nations
UNHCR	Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
UNICEF	United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund
UPR	Universal Periodic Review
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
VVD	Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie (Dutch centre-right liberal-conservative party)
VVR	Vereniging voor Vrouw en Recht (Netherlands association for women and law)
WHO	World Health Organisation

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	A theoretical overview with its implication for this research.	34
Figure 3.3.	Two-stage methodology for the establishment of the effectiveness of COs	62
Figure 8.1.	Parliamentary references to CEDAW and the COs of the CEDAW Committee in the Netherlands (1995–2011).	170
Figure 10.1.	The impact of the CRC and the COs of the CRC Committee on the Dutch government (1995–2011)	217
Figure 10.2.	The impact of the CRC and the COs of the CRC Committee on the Dutch parliament (1995–2011)	220
Figure 10.3.	The impact of the CRC on Dutch courts (1995–2011)	222
Figure 10.4.	The growing impact of the CRC in the Netherlands (1995–2011)	229

TABLES

Table 3.1.	Modalities of impact and their indicators	59
Table 3.2.	Operationalising and measuring effectiveness	61
Table 5.1.	Overview of (partly) effective COs of the CERD in the Netherlands	111
Table 8.1.	Overview of ineffective COs of the CEDAW Committee in the Netherlands	178
Table 8.2.	Overview of (partly) effective COs of the CEDAW Committee in the Netherlands	184
Table 10.1.	References to the COs of the CRC Committee by the government and parliament in the Netherlands (1995–2011)	215
Table 10.2.	Overview of (partly) effective COs of the CRC Committee in the Netherlands	233
Table 11.1.	The domestic impact of the COs from 1995 to 2011 in the Netherlands	256
Table 11.2.	Quantitative overview of the number of COs that have been effective in the Netherlands	263
Table 11.3.	Overview of (partly) effective COs in the Netherlands	264
Table 12.1.	Number of occasions during which MPs referred to various treaties, treaty bodies and COs in New Zealand (2000–2012)	287
Table 12.2.	Examples of COs that were (partly) effective or played a noticeable role in New Zealand.	297

List of Figures and Tables

Table 12.3.	Quantitative overview of the number of COs that have been effective in New Zealand	308
Table 12.4.	Overview of (partly) effective COs in New Zealand	309
Table 13.1.	Examples of COs that were (partly) effective or played a noticeable role in Finland	342
Table 13.2.	Quantitative overview of the number of COs that have been effective in Finland	354
Table 13.3.	Overview of (partly) effective COs in Finland.	356
Table 14.1.	The impact of COs in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland compared	370
Table 14.2.	Quantitative overview of the number of COs that have been effective in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland.	374
Table 14.3.	A comparison across countries of the factors affecting the effectiveness of COs	380