



# Nobody's Perfect

Comparative Essays on Appeals and other  
Means of Recourse against Judicial Decisions  
in Civil Matters



Editors:  
A. Uzelac  
C.H. van Rhee

# Nobody's Perfect

Comparative Essays on Appeals and other  
Means of Recourse against Judicial Decisions  
in Civil Matters



Ius Commune Europaeum

Editors:

A. Uzelac

C.H. van Rhee

Nobody's Perfect

Comparative Essays on Appeals and other Means of Recourse against  
Judicial Decisions in Civil Matters

Intersentia Ltd

Sheraton House | Castle Park | Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom

Tel.: +44 (0)1223 370 170 | Email: [mail@intersentia.co.uk](mailto:mail@intersentia.co.uk)

ISBN 978-1-78068-236-5

D/2014/7849/88

NUR 822

© 2014 Intersentia

Cambridge - Antwerp - Portland

[www.intersentia.com](http://www.intersentia.com) | [www.intersentia.co.uk](http://www.intersentia.co.uk)

Cover photograph © Mlenny - iStockphoto

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photo copy, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the author.

|

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Authors .....	xv
List of Abbreviations .....	xvii
Acknowledgements .....	xix

## INTRODUCTION

### **A. Uzelac and C.H. van Rhee**

APPEALS AND OTHER MEANS OF RECOURSE AGAINST JUDGMENTS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE EFFECTIVE PROTECTION OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS.....	3
1. General introduction .....	3
2. Regular Appeals and Effective Legal Protection .....	5
3. Recourse to the Highest Judicial Authorities - ‘Secondary Appeal’ or the Review of Court Judgments at Third Instance.....	11
4. Special Issues Regarding Appeals in Specific Sectors: Consumer ADR, International Jurisdiction, Family Law .....	12
5. Conclusion .....	12

## DEFINING THE ISSUES: CIVIL APPEAL AND FAIR TRIAL RIGHTS

### **J.T. Johnsen**

APPEALS AND ‘TRIAL WITHIN REASONABLE TIME’: A EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE.....	17
1. Introduction .....	17
2. European Standards for Time Management. Outline of the Case Law of the ECtHR.....	19
3. How Swift are the Appellate Courts in Europe? .....	21

3.1.	Questions and Data.....	21
3.2.	Comparisons of Disposition Time at Different Instances .....	24
3.3.	DT and People’s Use of the Civil Appeal System.....	27
3.4.	DT in Civil Appeals Compared to DT in Criminal Appeals .....	29
4.	Systemic Demands on Time Management by the ECtHR.....	30
	Bibliography .....	33

**G.E. Kodek**

	APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS IN CIVIL CASES – TRADITIONAL REMEDIES IN LIGHT OF CONTEMPORARY PROBLEMS.....	35
--	--	----

1.	Introduction .....	35
2.	The Purpose of Appeals .....	36
3.	Some Terminological Observations.....	37
4.	The ECHR and Appeals .....	39
5.	Some Statistics .....	42
6.	Scope of Review .....	43
7.	The Composition of the Court.....	45
8.	Some Comparative Tendencies.....	46
9.	Technical Developments .....	47
10.	Conclusion .....	50
	Bibliography .....	51

**L. van der Baaren, J. Huijts, L. Molenaars and F. Fernhout**

	WEALTH SHIFT AS A CRITERION TO ASSESS THE IMPACT OF APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS – APPLIED TO DUCH CIVIL APPEAL .....	53
--	--	----

1.	Introduction.....	53
2.	Civil Appeal in the Netherlands .....	54
2.1.	General Remarks .....	54
2.2.	Court System .....	55
2.3.	Procedure in Appeal.....	55
3.	Wealth Shift as Indicator of the Impact of Appeal .....	56
3.1.	Wealth Shift .....	57
3.2.	Advantages .....	57
3.3.	Methodology .....	58
4.	Research .....	59
4.1.	Source of the Sample .....	59
4.2.	Representativeness of the Sample.....	60
4.3.	Coding .....	61
5.	Results .....	61
5.1.	Unus iudex Cases and Full Court Cases .....	61
5.2.	Cantonal Sector and Civil Sector.....	63
5.3.	The Courts of Appeal .....	64
5.4.	Wealth Shift and Costs of the Administration of Justice .....	66

6. To Conclude.....	67
Bibliography .....	68

## LESS APPEAL, MORE EFFICIENCY

### N. Andrews

RESTRICTIONS ON APPEALS IN ENGLISH LAW .....	73
1. Introduction .....	73
2. Time Limits for Filing a Notice of Appeal .....	76
3. Permission to Appeal .....	76
4. Permission for a Second Appeal .....	79
5. Security for the Costs of an Appeal .....	80
6. No Automatic Suspension ('Stay') of Judgment when Appeal is Brought ..	80
7. Criteria for Allowing an Appeal .....	81
8. Questions of Fact.....	81
9. Questions of Fact and Inadequately Reasoned Decisions .....	84
10. Questions of Discretion .....	85
11. Case Management Appeals: A 'Light Touch' Approach.....	87
12. New Points of Law Raised on Appeal.....	88
13. Prospective Overruling .....	88
14. Issues which have ceased to be 'Live' Disputes.....	89
15. Exceptional Possibility to Re-open an Appeal .....	90
16. Re-opening Cases on Ground of Alleged Fraud.....	90
17. Abuse of Process and Collateral Attack.....	91
18. Concluding Summary .....	92
Bibliography .....	94

### A. Saltzman

APPELLATE REVIEW IN CALIFORNIA: LIMITS ON THE RIGHT TO RECOURSE.....	95
1. The Structure of the California Courts .....	96
2. The Right to Appellate Review .....	97
3. Limits on the Right to Appellate Review .....	99
4. Limits on the Scope of Appellate Review .....	101
5. Conclusion .....	104

### R.L. Marcus

APPELLATE REVIEW IN THE REACTIVE MODEL: THE EXAMPLE OF THE AMERICAN FEDERAL COURTS .....	105
1. The Idealized Extreme Arrangements.....	105
2. Reflections on Realities Outside US.....	108
3. General Arrangement of the US Judicial System.....	111
4. Debatable Status of Appellate Review in the US System .....	112

5.	Timing of Appellate Review.....	114
6.	Exceptions to the 'Final Judgment' Rule.....	115
7.	Content of Appellate Review .....	117
8.	Composite Effect .....	121
	Bibliography .....	123

**C.H. van Rhee**

	APPEAL IN CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CASES IN THE NETHERLANDS.....	127
--	--	-----

1.	General Part .....	127
1.1.	Court System in the Netherlands.....	127
1.2.	Civil Litigation in General .....	128
1.3.	Appeal in Civil Litigation .....	130
1.3.1.	Accessibility of Civil Appeal .....	130
1.3.2.	Examination of Civil Appeals .....	131
1.4.	Administrative Litigation in General .....	133
1.5.	Judicial Review (Administrative Litigation) .....	134
1.5.1.	Accessibility of Judicial Review .....	134
1.5.2.	Examination in Judicial Review Proceedings.....	136
1.6.	Cassation Proceedings.....	137
1.6.1.	Cassation in Civil litigation .....	137
1.6.1.1.	Accessibility of Cassation Proceedings .....	137
1.6.1.2.	Examination in Cassation Proceedings .....	138
1.6.2.	Cassation in Administrative Litigation.....	138
2.	Specific Part .....	139
3.	Final Remarks.....	155
	Bibliography .....	158

**MORE APPEAL, LESS EFFICIENCY**

**P.C.H. Chan**

	CIVIL APPEALS AND OTHER MEANS OF RECOURSE AGAINST JUDGMENTS IN CHINA: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF THE PROCEDURAL ISSUES.....	161
--	--	-----

1.	Introduction.....	161
1.1.	Key Legislations and Judicial Interpretations .....	161
1.2.	The Position of the Courts within the Chinese Constitutional Structure and the Bureaucratic Hierarchy of the Chinese Judiciary .....	162
1.3.	The Lack of Adjudicatory Independence and its Impact on Appellate Review .....	163



2.	Civil Appeals in China .....	165
2.1.	An Outline of the Appellate Procedures.....	165
2.2.	The Nature of Chinese Appellate Review – Real Appeal or de novo Hearing?.....	170
2.2.1.	Fact-finding at the Appellate Level .....	171
2.2.2.	Power of the Appellate Court to Review beyond the Remit of the Request for Appeal .....	172
2.2.3.	Entertaining New Evidence at the Appellate Level .....	173
2.2.4.	Entertaining New Points of Law at the Appellate Level .....	174
2.2.5.	A Complete Review System with Chinese Characteristics .....	174
3.	The Adjudication Supervision Procedure.....	176
3.1.	The Nature of Adjudication Supervision and its Underlying Policy Considerations.....	176
3.2.	Adjudication Supervision: A Critical Review of the Procedural Issues .....	179
3.2.1.	Adjudication Supervision through Party Application.....	179
3.2.2.	Adjudication Supervision: Ex officio Power of the Court to Re-open Final Judgments .....	184
3.2.3.	Procuratorial Supervision: Enhancing Accountability or Violation of Adjudicatory Autonomy? .....	188
3.2.3.1.	Procuratorial Protest.....	188
3.2.3.2.	Procuratorial Recommendation .....	189
3.2.4.	Adjudication Supervision through Non-party Application.....	190
3.3.	Issues with Enforcement of an Effective Judgment Challenged under the Adjudication Supervision Procedure.....	190
3.4.	Critical Examination of the New Powers of the Chinese Procuratorate.....	192
3.5.	Interference from other Institutions .....	194
3.6.	Recent Statistics on Civil Appeals and Re-adjudication .....	195
3.6.1.	2012 Statistics: from 1 January to 30 September 2012.....	195
3.6.2.	Statistics in 2011 .....	196
3.6.3.	Statistics in 2010 .....	196
3.6.4.	Statistics in 2009 .....	196
4.	The Absence of Finality in Chinese Civil Justice .....	197
4.1.	The Importance of Finality.....	197
4.2.	The Pre-eminence of Substantive Justice – When True Finality Becomes an Obstacle.....	198
5.	The Role of Court Mediation in Civil Appeal .....	199
5.1.	Court Mediation at the Appellate Stage.....	199
5.2.	Why is Court Mediation Popular among Judges?.....	200
5.3.	Distorted Court Mediation: Subtle Coercion.....	201
6.	Concluding Remarks .....	202
	Bibliography .....	206

**J. Sladič**

## APPEALS IN CIVIL PROCEDURE IN SLOVENIA: WHY THE RECENT REFORMS OF CIVIL PROCEDURE DID NOT MANAGE TO AVOID THE ENDLESS CYCLE OF REMITTALS..... 211

1.	The State of Legislation and Jurisprudence ( <i>de iure condito</i> ).....	212
1.1.	Principle of Two Levels of Jurisdiction .....	212
1.2.	Appellate Proceedings as a Mere Review of the Challenged Decision of the First Instance.....	213
2.	Reform of Appellate Proceedings in Labour and Social Security Courts in 2004 as an Experiment in Appellate Proceedings .....	216
3.	The Reasons for the 2008 Reform of Appellate Proceedings.....	217
4.	The Reformed Appellate Proceedings.....	220
5.	Plus que ça change, .....	222
6.	Appellate Proceedings under Influence of the ECHR – How the <i>Common Law</i> Approach to Fair Trial Produces Unexpected Mutations in Civil Law Jurisdictions (Slovenian Experience) .....	223
7.	Not Considered an Option – Second Appeal Closes the Case .....	223
8.	Conclusion .....	223
	Bibliography .....	225

**A. Uzelac**

## FEATURES AND SHORTCOMINGS OF APPELLATE REVIEW IN CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CASES IN CROATIA ..... 229

1.	Introduction – An Overview of the National Court System .....	229
2.	Legal Sources Regulating Appellate Procedure in Civil, Commercial and Administrative Courts.....	232
3.	Typology of Legal Remedies in National Law and Doctrine.....	233
3.1.	Means of Review of Judicial Decisions in Civil and Commercial Cases ....	233
3.2.	Means of review of decisions in administrative suits .....	236
3.3.	Theory of legal remedies: general notion and classifications.....	236
4.	Main Features of Appeals in Civil and Commercial Cases .....	237
4.1.	Regular Appeal as a Universal, Fundamental Right? .....	237
4.2.	The Object of Recourse: Which Decisions may be Appealed? .....	239
4.3.	The Scope of Appeal.....	239
4.4.	Authorised Appellants and the Content of Appellate Pleadings .....	240
4.5.	Time Limits for Launching Appeals.....	242
4.6.	Grounds for Appeal.....	242
4.7.	Appeals Procedure.....	244
4.8.	Methods of Decision-making on the Merits of an Appeal.....	245
4.9.	Ordering (Sequence) of Different Means of Recourse.....	246
4.10.	The Powers of the Appellate Court .....	247
4.11.	Appeals and Enforceability of Decisions .....	250
4.12.	The Costs of Appeal – Court Fees.....	251
5.	Means of Recourse in Administrative Disputes – Recent Developments ..	253
6.	Conclusion: Open Issues for Future Reforms.....	254
	Bibliography .....	257

**F. Ferrari**

THE RECENT AMENDMENTS TO THE ITALIAN APPEALS SYSTEM .....	259
1. The Ever-Changing Procedural Rules on Appeals .....	259
2. The Reform of 2011: Law No. 183 of 12 November 2011 .....	261
3. The Reform of 2012: Law-Decree No. 83 of 22 June 2012 .....	263
4. The First ‘Filter’ of Admissibility: The New Requirements for the Contents of an Appeal .....	264
5. The Second ‘Filter’ of Admissibility: The Requirement of a Reasonable Likelihood of Success .....	265
6. Appeal against a First Instance Judgment at the Supreme Court .....	268
7. A Stricter Prohibition against the Submission of New Evidence on Appeal. <i>Novum iudicium or revisio prioris instantiae?</i> .....	269
8. An Inadequate Reform .....	270
Bibliography .....	272

**RECOURSE TO THE HIGHEST JUDICIAL AUTHORITIES: HOW MUCH,  
AND WHY?****T. Domej**

WHAT IS AN IMPORTANT CASE? ADMISSIBILITY OF APPEALS TO THE SUPREME COURTS IN THE GERMAN-SPEAKING JURISDICTIONS.....	277
1. Introduction .....	277
2. Development of the Criteria for Filtering Appeals to the Supreme Courts .....	278
3. Identifying ‘Important’ Questions of Law .....	280
4. Conclusion .....	285
Bibliography .....	286

**A. Galič**

RESHAPING THE ROLE OF SUPREME COURTS IN THE COUNTRIES OF THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA.....	291
1. Introduction .....	291
1.1. Revision as a Further Appeal on Points of Law .....	291
1.2. What Purpose Does the Supreme Court Serve?.....	292
1.3. Attempts at Legislative Reform and a Judicial ‘Self Defence’ against Excessive Overburdening .....	295
2. The Paradigm Shift: The ‘Leave to Appeal System’ and Guidance and Developing Law as the Prevailing Tasks of the Supreme Court .....	296
3. A Welcoming Embrace of the New (Public) Role of the Supreme Courts or only a Half-hearted one? .....	298
4. Which Court Should Decide whether to Grant Leave to Appeal and in what Kind of Procedure? .....	301

5.	Does a Ruling Rejecting a Motion for Leave to Appeal Need to Contain Reasons? .....	304
6.	Access to the Supreme Court in the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights .....	308
6.1.	General Principles .....	308
6.2.	Obligatory Representation by a Qualified Lawyer .....	309
6.3.	Uniform Application of Law and Promotion of its Development as a New Role of Supreme Courts .....	310
6.4.	Omission of Reasons in a Supreme Court’s Ruling not to Grant Leave to Appeal .....	311
6.5.	Respect for the Principle of Res Iudicata .....	312
7.	Conclusion .....	313
	Bibliography .....	315

**P. Bravo-Hurtado**

TWO WAYS TO UNIFORMITY: RECOURSE TO THE SUPREME COURT IN THE CIVIL LAW AND THE COMMON LAW WORLD .....	319
---	-----

1.	Introduction .....	319
2.	On Comparison of Supreme Courts .....	321
3.	Recourse of Last Resort in Comparative Perspective. Goals and Access ...	322
4.	Two Ways to Uniformity .....	326
5.	What is the Best Way? The Leap of Faith.....	327
6.	Historical Option.....	329
7.	Conclusion .....	330
	Bibliography .....	332

**APPEALS IN SPECIAL CASES**

**C. Hodges**

CONSUMER ADR AND APPEALS .....	339
--------------------------------	-----

1.	CDR Systems .....	339
2.	The EU’s Adoption of ADR and CDR .....	341
3.	Issues.....	342
4.	The Rehearing Issue.....	343
5.	The Issue of Who Makes the Law .....	345
6.	Conclusion .....	350
	Bibliography .....	351

**G. Gioia**

RECOURSE AGAINST JUDGMENTS ON INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION.....	353
1. Introduction.....	353
2. Decisions on International Jurisdiction in England.....	355
3. Decisions on International Jurisdiction in Germany.....	357
4. The French Dystem of <i>contredit</i> .....	358
5. Decisions on International Jurisdiction in Italy.....	358
6. Conclusions and Questions.....	359
Bibliography.....	361

**S. Aras**

THE POWERS OF THE APPELLATE COURT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE EFFECTIVE PROTECTION OF A CHILD'S RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE.....	363
1. Introduction.....	363
2. Regulation of Procedure in Child Maintenance Cases in Croatia: General Remarks.....	365
3. Appellate Procedure in Child Maintenance Cases in Croatia.....	365
4. Reformatory (Revision) vs. Cassation Powers of Croatian Appellate Courts: Collected Data.....	367
5. Amendments to the Civil Procedure Act of 2008 and 2011.....	370
6. Conclusion: Evaluation of the Croatian Legal System and Practice.....	370
Bibliography.....	372





## LIST OF AUTHORS

**N. Andrews**, MA, BCL, Oxon; Fellow in Law, Clare College, Cambridge; Professor of Private Law and Civil Justice, Cambridge University Faculty of Law (UK); Barrister; Bencher of Middle Temple

**S. Aras**, Associate Professor of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, Zagreb University (Croatia)

**P. Bravo-Hurtado**, PhD researcher, Faculty of Law, University of Maastricht (Netherlands).

**P.C.H. Chan**, Teaching Fellow, School of Law, City University of Hong Kong (Hong Kong, China)

**T. Domej**, Professor of Civil Procedure, Enforcement and Insolvency Law, Private Law, Private International Law and Comparative Law, University of Zurich (Switzerland)

**F. Fernhout**, Associate Professor of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Maastricht (Netherlands)

**F. Ferrari**, Adjunct Professor of Civil Procedure, Insubria University, Como-Varese (Italy)

**A. Galič**, Professor of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Ljubljana (Slovenia)

**G. Gioia**, Aggregate Professor of Civil Procedure Law, Università degli Studi della Tuscia, Viterbo (Italy)

**C. Hodges**, Head of the CMS Research Programme on Civil Justice Systems, Centre for Socio-Legal Studies, University of Oxford (UK); Erasmus Professor of the Fundamentals of Private Law, Erasmus University (Netherlands); Solicitor

**J. Huijts**, Bachelor Student of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Maastricht (Netherlands)

**J.T. Johnsen**, Professor emeritus at the Department of Public and International Law, University of Oslo (Norway); Member of the SATURN Centre for Judicial Time Management of the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ, Council of Europe)

**G. Kodek**, Professor at the Department of Civil and Commercial Law, Vienna University of Economics and Business (Austria); Judge of the Austrian Supreme Court

**R. Marcus**, Coil Chair in Litigation, University of California, Hastings College of the Law (USA); Associate Reporter, Advisory Committee on Civil Rules, Judicial Conference of the US

**L. Molenaars**, Bachelor Student of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Maastricht (Netherlands)

**A. Saltzman**, Certified Appellate Specialist, Certified by the California State Bar Board of Legal Specialization (USA)

**J. Sladič**, Sladič Law Firm (Ljubljana), Slovenia; Associate Professor of International and European Law, University of Maribor (Slovenia)

**A. Uzelac**, Chair of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb (Croatia)

**L. van der Baaren**, Bachelor Student of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Maastricht (Netherlands)

**C.H. van Rhee**, Chair of European Legal History and Comparative Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Maastricht (Netherlands)



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AACPA	Croatian Act on Amendments to the Civil Procedure Act
AAD	Croatian Act on Administrative Disputes
AAFA	Croatian Act on Amendments to the Family Act
ACF	Croatian Act on Court Fees
ADR	Alternative Dispute Resolution
Awb	Dutch General Administrative Law Act (Algemene Wet Bestuursrecht)
Awr	Dutch General Law on State Taxes (Algemene wet inzake Rijksbelastingen)
BIH	Bosnia & Herzegovina
BW	Dutch Civil Code (Burgerlijk Wetboek)
CC	Croatian Constitution
CCP	Code of Civil Procedure
CDR	Consumer ADR
CEE	Central and Eastern European
CEPEJ	European Commission on the Efficiency of Justice
CHF	Swiss Frank
CPA	Civil Procedure Act
CPR	Civil Procedure Rules
DT	Disposition Time
EC	European Commission
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EDF	Électricité de France
EU	European Union
FA	Croatian Family Act
FFSA	Fédération française des sociétés d'assurances
FY	Fiscal Year
HR	Dutch Supreme Court (Hoge Raad)
HRK	Croatian Kunas
LJ	Lord Justice
NPCSC	Standing Committee of the National People's Congress
PLN	Polish Zloty

List of Abbreviations

PRC	People's Republic of China
RO	Dutch Act on Judicial Organisation (Wet op de Rechterlijke Organisatie)
Rv	Dutch Code of Civil Procedure (Wetboek van Burgerlijke Rechtsvordering)
SPC	Supreme People's Court
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States of America
ZPO	Code of Civil Protection (Zivilprozessordnung)
ZPP	Slovenian Code of Civil Procedure

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The editors would like to thank Mrs. Marina Jodogne from the Maastricht European Institute for Transnational Legal Research (Maastrichts Europees Instituut voor Transnationaal Rechtswetenschappelijk Onderzoek or METRO) for her help and advice in editing the present volume. They are also grateful to Mr. Randolph Davidson (Pavia) for revising the English of the contributions of the non-native speakers; his language revision often went beyond what may be expected from a language revisor. Most of the ideas collected in this volume were presented, discussed and further developed at the Seventh Public and Private Justice Course and Conference entitled 'Appeals and other Means of Recourse against Judgments in the Context of Efficiency and Fairness' which was held at the Inter-University Centre in Dubrovnik from 28 to 31 May 2012. The conference was supported by the Higher Education Support Programme of the Open Society Institute (HESP), the Universities of Zagreb and Maastricht and the Croatian Ministries of Justice and of Science, Education and Sports. Special thanks are due to the Inter-University Centre in Dubrovnik, and in particular to its Executive Secretary Nada Bruer Ljubišić, for providing a hospitable venue for the conference of which the present volume is the end-result. Invaluable support in the organisation of this event was provided by the organising team from the Zagreb University Faculty of Law composed of Slađjana Aras, Ivan Milotić, Tomislav Karlović, Barbara Preložnjak and the students from the Zagreb Law Clinic.

A. Uzelac & C.H. van Rhee  
March 2014

