

DOMESTIC ABUSE AND HUMAN RIGHTS

DOMESTIC ABUSE
AND HUMAN RIGHTS

Jonathan HERRING

 INTERSENTIA

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1223 736 170
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)*
NBN International
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV
Hoogstraat 139/6
1000 Brussels
Belgium
Tel: +32 (0)800 39 067
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada
Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

Domestic Abuse and Human Rights
© Jonathan Herring 2020

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on cover: dpaint / Shutterstock

ISBN 978-1-78068-231-0
D/2020/7849/139
NUR 828

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The original plan was to co-author this book with Shazia Choudhry. Unfortunately, other (very exciting) projects prevented her from being directly involved, but I have worked with her on these issues over many years and her ideas occur throughout this book. She has also been a support and friend throughout the writing process.

I am also grateful to many other friends and colleagues who have been encouraging and intellectually stimulating during this book project. In particular, Alan Bogg, Charlotte Elves, Charles Foster, Imogen Goold, Michelle Madden Dempsey and Rachel Taylor. The team at Intersentia have been great and especially Ann-Christin Maak-Scherpe who has been very supportive of this book from the very beginning and Rebecca Moffat for her excellent work as managing editor.

My family, Kirsten, Laurel, Joanna and Darcy, have been hilarious throughout the writing of the book. This book focuses on the misery that home life can bring, but they have been a constant reminder of the joy and love it can provide too.

CONTENTS

| | |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | v |
| <i>List of Cases</i> | xiii |

Chapter 1. An Introduction to Domestic Abuse and Human Rights 1

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Terminology | 1 |
| 3. Legal Attitudes Towards Domestic Abuse | 3 |
| 4. Domestic Abuse in its Social Context | 5 |
| 5. Privacy | 8 |
| 6. Human Rights | 10 |
| 7. Violence against Women | 15 |
| 8. Outline of the Coverage of this Book | 17 |

Chapter 2. The Nature of Domestic Abuse 19

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 19 |
| 2. Statistics | 19 |
| 3. Official Definitions | 21 |
| 3.1. The World Health Organization | 21 |
| 3.2. The Istanbul Convention | 22 |
| 3.3. The Council of Europe | 22 |
| 3.4. The European Commission | 23 |
| 3.5. UK Law | 23 |
| 3.6. Discussion of the Definitions | 25 |
| 4. Developing a Definition of Domestic Abuse | 25 |
| 4.1. Coercive Control | 26 |
| 4.2. Intimate Relationship | 32 |
| 4.3. Patriarchal Social Inequality | 33 |
| 4.3.1. How Domestic Abuse Sustains Patriarchy | 37 |
| 4.3.2. How Patriarchy Sustains Domestic Abuse | 40 |
| 5. Gender and Domestic Abuse | 43 |
| 5.1. Gender and Statistics | 44 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 5.2. | Victims of Domestic Abuse Outside the Heterosexual Context | 46 |
| 5.3. | Problems with the Category ‘Women’ | 50 |
| 5.3.1. | The Gender Binary | 50 |
| 5.3.2. | Intersectionality | 51 |
| 6. | Conclusion: The Wrongs of Domestic Abuse | 55 |
| 6.1. | Loss of Freedom | 55 |
| 6.2. | A Breach of Trust | 56 |
| 6.3. | Attack on the Self | 56 |
| 6.4. | The Impact on Children | 57 |
| 6.5. | Public Harms | 57 |

Chapter 3. The ECHR, the Istanbul Convention

and Domestic Abuse

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 1. | Introduction | 59 |
| 2. | The ECHR | 60 |
| 2.1. | An Introduction | 60 |
| 2.2. | The Right to Protection | 62 |
| 2.2.1. | Article 2 | 62 |
| 2.2.2. | Article 2 and Domestic Abuse | 63 |
| 2.2.3. | Article 3 | 63 |
| 2.2.4. | Article 3 and Domestic Abuse | 67 |
| 2.2.5. | Article 6 | 71 |
| 2.2.6. | Article 8 | 73 |
| 2.2.7. | Article 8 and Domestic Abuse | 75 |
| 2.2.8. | Article 13 and the Right to a Remedy | 76 |
| 2.2.9. | Article 14 | 76 |
| 2.2.10. | Article 14 and Domestic Abuse | 77 |
| 2.3. | Balancing Different Rights | 80 |
| 2.4. | Losing Respect | 84 |
| 2.5. | Positive Duties on the State | 87 |
| 2.5.1. | The Level of Knowledge Required | 87 |
| 2.5.2. | What is Required of the State? | 92 |
| 2.5.2.1. | General Duties | 92 |
| 2.5.2.2. | Specific Operational Duties | 94 |
| 2.6. | Conclusion | 99 |
| 3. | The Istanbul Convention | 99 |
| 3.1. | An Introduction | 99 |
| 3.2. | Gender and the Istanbul Convention | 101 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 3.3. Prevention | 106 |
| 3.4. Protection and Support | 107 |
| 3.5. Prosecution | 108 |
| 3.6. Policy Integration | 110 |
| 4. Conclusion | 111 |
| Chapter 4. Legal Responses to Domestic Abuse | 113 |
| 1. Introduction | 113 |
| 2. The Range of Legal Responses | 113 |
| 3. Problems with the Traditional Substantive Criminal Law's Response to Domestic Abuse | 114 |
| 3.1. The Photograph Approach | 115 |
| 3.2. The Nature of Harm in the Criminal Law | 115 |
| 3.3. An Example of the Problem of Traditional Criminal Law and Domestic Abuse | 117 |
| 4. The Offence of Coercive Control | 119 |
| 4.1. The Definition of Coercive and Controlling | 121 |
| 4.2. Repeatedly and Continuously | 122 |
| 4.3. Personally Connected | 122 |
| 4.4. The Impact of the Behaviour | 123 |
| 4.5. The Required Mental State | 124 |
| 4.6. The Exclusion of Parents | 125 |
| 4.7. Defences | 125 |
| 5. Should there be a Specific Domestic Abuse Offence? | 126 |
| 5.1. A Special Wrong? | 126 |
| 5.2. The Incident Approach | 130 |
| 5.3. Gender-Neutrality | 131 |
| 5.4. Distinguishing Abusive from Non-Abusive Behaviour | 132 |
| 5.5. The Required Mental State | 134 |
| 5.6. Defence | 135 |
| 6. Victims of Domestic Abuse and Defences | 136 |
| 6.1. Loss of Control | 137 |
| 6.2. Diminished Responsibility | 139 |
| 6.3. Self-Defence | 142 |
| 6.4. Conclusion on Defences for Victims of Domestic Abuse | 144 |
| 7. Prosecution Policy in Domestic Abuse Cases | 145 |
| 7.1. Arguments for Pro-Prosecution Policies | 146 |
| 7.2. Arguments against Pro-Prosecution Policies | 149 |
| 7.3. Conclusions on Prosecution Policy | 153 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 8. | Civil Orders | 153 |
| 8.1. | Who can Apply for these Orders? | 154 |
| 8.2. | Occupation Orders. | 155 |
| 8.3. | A Human Rights Analysis | 156 |
| 9. | Non-Legal Approaches. | 157 |
| 10. | Conclusion. | 158 |

Chapter 5. Domestic Abuse and Children 159

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 1. | Introduction | 159 |
| 2. | Statistics on Domestic Abuse and Children | 161 |
| 3. | Statistical and Conceptual Links between Domestic Abuse and Child Abuse | 162 |
| 3.1. | Statistical Links | 162 |
| 3.2. | Conceptual Similarities | 163 |
| 4. | Witnessing or Living with Domestic Abuse as a Form of Child Abuse. | 165 |
| 4.1. | Being Harmed in the Incident | 168 |
| 4.2. | Children as Tools in the Abuse. | 169 |
| 4.3. | Loss of Normality. | 170 |
| 4.4. | Negative Role Modelling. | 170 |
| 5. | Abusing the Maternal–Child Relationship is Abusing the Child. | 172 |
| 6. | Contact and Domestic Abuse | 174 |
| 6.1. | The Harm of Conflict. | 177 |
| 6.2. | Parental Alienation | 179 |
| 6.3. | Downplaying the Severity of Abuse. | 184 |
| 6.4. | Difficulties of Proof | 185 |
| 7. | The Case for a Strong Presumption against Contact where Domestic Abuse has been Found | 186 |
| 7.1. | Domestic Abuse as Evidence of Bad Parenting | 186 |
| 7.2. | Responsibility for Behaviour | 187 |
| 7.3. | The Importance of the Child–Primary Carer Bond | 188 |
| 8. | Child Protection | 188 |
| 9. | Human Rights | 190 |
| 10. | Conclusion. | 192 |

Chapter 6. The Abuse of Parents by Children 195

| | | |
|----|------------------------|-----|
| 1. | Introduction | 195 |
| 2. | Statistics | 196 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 3. | Definitions | 198 |
| 3.1. | Coercive Control and Parental Abuse | 199 |
| 3.2. | Parental Abuse and Patriarchal Structural Inequality | 200 |
| 3.2.1. | Gender | 200 |
| 3.2.2. | Motherhood | 202 |
| 3.2.3. | Childhood | 203 |
| 3.2.4. | Links with Domestic Violence and Witnessing It | 205 |
| 4. | Tensions in the Parental Abuse/Domestic Abuse Link | 206 |
| 5. | Legal Responses | 208 |
| 5.1. | Criminal Law | 208 |
| 5.2. | Civil Orders | 210 |
| 5.3. | Child Protection | 211 |
| 6. | Non-Legal Interventions | 212 |
| 7. | Conclusion: Developing a Human Rights Approach | 213 |
| Chapter 7. Elder Abuse. | | 215 |
| 1. | Introduction | 215 |
| 2. | Defining Elder Abuse | 216 |
| 2.1. | Coercive Control | 218 |
| 2.2. | Intimacy | 218 |
| 2.3. | Patriarchy and Elder Abuse | 219 |
| 2.3.1. | Sexism | 220 |
| 2.3.2. | Ageism | 220 |
| 2.3.3. | Intersectionality and Elder Abuse | 222 |
| 3. | Statistics on Elder Abuse | 223 |
| 4. | ‘Caregiver Stress’ | 225 |
| 5. | Elder Abuse and Domestic Abuse | 226 |
| 6. | A Rights-Based Approach to Elder Abuse | 229 |
| 7. | Legal Responses to Elder Abuse | 230 |
| 7.1. | Crime and Elder Abuse | 230 |
| 7.1.1. | Gaps in the Current Law | 230 |
| 7.1.2. | An Offence of Elder Abuse? | 234 |
| 7.2. | Rights of Protection | 236 |
| 8. | Conclusion | 238 |
| Chapter 8. Concluding Thoughts | | 241 |
| <i>Index</i> | | 245 |

LIST OF CASES

| | |
|--|------------------|
| <i>A v Croatia</i> Application No. 55164/08..... | 70 |
| <i>A v UK</i> [1998] 3 FCR 597..... | 61, 92, 98 |
| <i>Airey v Ireland</i> [1979] 2 EHRR 305..... | 72 |
| <i>Aksoy v Turkey</i> Application No. 21987/93..... | 65 |
| <i>August v UK</i> Application No. 36505/02..... | 98 |
| <i>Bălşan v Romania</i> Application No. 49645/09..... | 92, 103 |
| <i>Bensaid v UK</i> [2001] 33 EHRR 10..... | 75 |
| <i>Buckley v UK</i> (1996) 23 EHRR 101..... | 75 |
| <i>Buturuga v Roumania</i> Application No. 56867/15..... | 91 |
| <i>Campbell and Cosans v UK</i> (1982) 4 EHRR 293..... | 66 |
| <i>CAS & CS v Romania</i> [2012] ECHR 512..... | 94 |
| <i>Chahal v UK</i> [1997] 23 EHRR 79..... | 64 |
| <i>Da Silva Mouta v Portugal</i> (2001) 31 EHRR 47..... | 230 |
| <i>Danini v Italy</i> Application No. 22998/93..... | 90 |
| <i>DB v HB v IB</i> [2017] EWFC B24..... | 181 |
| <i>Đorđević v Croatia</i> (2012) EHRR 1640..... | 65–66, 75–76, 97 |
| <i>DP and JC v UK</i> [2003] 36 EHRR 14..... | 76 |
| <i>DSD v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis</i> [2014] EWHC 436 (QB)..... | 94 |
| <i>Durmaz v Turkey</i> Application No. 42584/98..... | 63 |
| <i>E v UK</i> [2002] 3 FCR 700..... | 61, 70, 92, 98 |
| <i>Eremia v Moldova</i> Application No. 3564/11..... | 75, 93–94 |
| <i>Fretté v France</i> [2004] 38 EHRR 438..... | 77 |
| <i>G v G (Occupation Order: Conduct)</i> [2000] 2 FLR 36..... | 155–156 |
| <i>Hansen v Turkey</i> [2004] 1 FLR 142..... | 74 |
| <i>Ilaşcu v Moldova and Russia</i> [GC] Application No. 48787/99..... | 64 |
| <i>Ireland v UK</i> (1979–80) 2 EHRR 25..... | 65 |
| <i>Jeunesse v The Netherlands</i> Application No. 12738/10..... | 192 |
| <i>Kilic v Turkey</i> Application No. 63034/11..... | 94 |
| <i>Kiyutin v Russia</i> (2011) 53 EHRR 364..... | 77 |
| <i>Kontrová v Slovakia</i> Application No. 7510/04..... | 63, 91, 95 |
| <i>Lancashire CC v B</i> [2000] 2 AC 147..... | 211 |
| <i>M v Italy</i> (2013) 57 EHRR 29..... | 94 |
| <i>Mastromatteo v Italy</i> Application No. 37703/97..... | 92 |
| <i>Menson v UK</i> [2003] EHRR CD 220..... | 93 |
| <i>MG v Turkey</i> Application No. 646/10..... | 78–79 |
| <i>Mikulic v Croatia</i> Application No. 53176/99..... | 78 |
| <i>Mudric v Moldova</i> Application No. 74839/10..... | 65–66, 98 |

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Olsson v Sweden (No. 2)</i> (1992) 17 EHRR 134..... | 73 |
| <i>Oneryildiz v Turkey</i> (2005) 41 EHRR 20..... | 93 |
| <i>Opuz v Turkey</i> (2010) 50 EHRR 28..... | 64, 68, 77, 81, 88, 93, 95, 96, 153 |
| <i>Osman v UK</i> (1998) 29 EHRR 245..... | 88–89, 95, 97 |
| <i>P v C and Others (Child Arrangements Order)</i> [2018] 2 FLR 1139..... | 182 |
| <i>Perevedentsevy v Russia</i> Application No. 39583/05..... | 90 |
| <i>Petrovic v Austria</i> (2001) 33 EHRR 307..... | 76–77 |
| <i>Pretty v UK</i> (2002) 35 EHRR 1..... | 75, 86 |
| <i>R v Acott</i> [1997] 1 All ER 706..... | 138 |
| <i>R v Ahluwalia</i> [1992] 4 All ER 990..... | 137, 139 |
| <i>R v Chan Fook</i> [1994] 1 WLR 698..... | 119 |
| <i>R v Cocker</i> [1989] Crim LR 740..... | 137 |
| <i>R v Dhaliwal</i> [2006] EWCA Crim 1139..... | 118 |
| <i>R v Hinks</i> [2000] UKHL 53..... | 233 |
| <i>R v Jackson</i> [1891] 1 QB 671..... | 4 |
| <i>R v Katira</i> [2020] EWCA Crim 89..... | 129 |
| <i>R v Newington</i> (1990) 91 Cr App R 247..... | 232 |
| <i>R v Stone and Dobinson</i> [1977] QB 354..... | 231 |
| <i>R v Thornton</i> [1992] 1 All ER 306..... | 138 |
| <i>Rabone v Pennine Care NHS Trust</i> [2012] UKSC 2..... | 93 |
| <i>Re B-H</i> [2015] EWCA Civ 389..... | 182 |
| <i>Re J-M (A Child)</i> [2014] EWCA Civ 434..... | 175 |
| <i>Re K (Children)</i> [2016] EWCA Civ 99..... | 184 |
| <i>Re L, V, M, H (Contact: Domestic Violence)</i> [2001] Fam 260..... | 175–176 |
| <i>Re MFS (Appeal: Transfer of Primary Care)</i> [2019] EWHC 768..... | 181 |
| <i>Re S (Children)</i> [2010] EWCA Civ 421..... | 190 |
| <i>Re S (Parental Alienation: Cult)</i> [2020] EWCA Civ 568..... | 180 |
| <i>Re T (A Child: Suspension of Contact: Section 91(14) CA 1989)</i> [2015] EWCA Civ 71..... | 175 |
| <i>Re V (A Child) (Inadequate Reasons for Findings of Fact)</i> [2015] EWCA Civ 274..... | 184 |
| <i>Re W (Children)</i> [2012] EWCA 999..... | 175 |
| <i>Re Y (Children) (Occupation Order)</i> [2000] FCR 470..... | 155 |
| <i>Rutherford (No 2) v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry</i> [2006] UKHL 19..... | 77, 230 |
| <i>Salman v Turkey</i> (2002) 34 EHRR 17..... | 64 |
| <i>Savage v South Essex Partnership NHS Foundation Trust</i> [2009] 1 AC 691..... | 95 |
| <i>Secic Secic v Croatia</i> (2009) 49 EHRR 408..... | 98 |
| <i>Silver v UK</i> (1983) 5 EHRR 344..... | 73 |

| | |
|--|------------------------------|
| <i>Söderman v Sweden</i> (2013) 57 EHRR 29 | 96–97 |
| <i>State v Norman</i> 366 SE 2d 586, 588 (NC App 1988)..... | 30 |
| <i>Talpis v Italy</i> [2017] ECHR 075 | 66, 78, 91–92 |
| <i>TM and CM v Moldova</i> Application No. 26608/11..... | 71, 78 |
| <i>Tomašić v Croatia</i> Application No. 21753/02 | 63 |
| <i>Tyrer v UK</i> (1978) 2 EHRR 1 | 66 |
| <i>Valiulienė v Lithuania</i> Application No. 33234/07..... | 60–61, 66, 70, 91–92, 96, 98 |
| <i>Van Colle v UK</i> (2013) 56 EHRR 23..... | 97 |
| <i>Volodina v Russia</i> Application No. 41261/17 | 68–69, 77–79, 93–94 |
| <i>Vosylius v UK</i> (2013) 57 EHRR SE 20 | 92 |
| <i>Wieser v Austria</i> Application No. 2293/03 | 66 |
| <i>Wilson v UK</i> Application No. 10601/09..... | 98 |
| <i>X and Y v the Netherlands</i> (1986) 8 EHRR 235 | 74 |
| <i>Yemshaw v London Borough of Hounslow</i> [2011] UKSC 3..... | 25 |
| <i>Z v UK</i> [2001] 2 FCR 246 | 61, 88, 92 |

