TOWARDS A BETTER ASSESSMENT OF PAIN AND SUFFERING DAMAGES FOR PERSONAL INJURIES

EUROPEAN STUDIES IN LAW AND ECONOMICS SERIES

- 1. Group Litigation in European Competition Law. A Law and Economics Perspective, Sonja E. Keske
- 2. Behavioural Economics in Consumer Policy, Hanneke Luth
- 3. Ex-Post Liability Rules in Modern Patent Law, Rosa Castro Bernieri
- 4. Competition and Innovation in the EU Regulation of Pharmaceuticals: The Case of Parallel Trade, Claudia Desogus
- 5. The Law and Economics of Organ Procurement, Firat Bilgel
- 6. Law and Economics in the RIA World. Improving the use of economic analysis in public policy and legislation, Andrea Renda
- 7. Regulatory Competition in European Corporate and Capital Market Law, Lars Hornuf
- 8. Economic Criteria for Criminalization: Optimizing Enforcement in Case of Environmental Violations, Katarina Svatikova
- 9. Definition of the Relevant Market. (Lack of) Harmony between Industrial Economics and Competition Law, Hila Nevo
- 10. Patents as Protection of Traditional Medical Knowledge? A Law and Economics Analysis, Petra Ebermann
- 11. Rethinking the New York Convention. A Law and Economics Approach, Shen Wei

TOWARDS A BETTER ASSESSMENT OF PAIN AND SUFFERING DAMAGES FOR PERSONAL INJURIES

A Proposal Based on Quality Adjusted Life Years

Vaia Karapanou



Intersentia Publishing Ltd.
Sheraton House | Castle Park

Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom

Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK: Distribution for the USA and Canada:

NBN International International Specialized Book Services

Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300 Plymouth, PL6 7 PP Portland, OR 97213

United Kingdom USA

Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331 Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)

Distribution for Austria: Distribution for other countries: Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Intersentia Publishing nv

Argentinierstraße 42/6 Groenstraat 31 1040 Wien 2640 Mortsel Austria Belgium

Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50
Email: office@nwv.at Email: mail@intersentia.be

Towards a Better Assessment of Pain and Suffering Damages for Personal Injuries. A Proposal Based on Quality Adjusted Life Years Vaia Karapanou

© 2014 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-1-78068-230-3 D/2014/7849/75 NUR 820

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Writing this book would not have been possible without the help, support and good advice of numerous people. If it weren't for Roger van den Bergh and Louis Visscher, I would have never started this PhD in the first place. Roger, I am grateful to you for your support and for giving me the opportunity to research on my own ideas. Louis, your excellent supervision has been a decisive factor for the completion of this book. Thank you for the insightful suggestions, the continuous guidance, the inspiration, the intellectual stimuli and the endless hours of discussion. I owe you my deepest gratitude. A special thank you goes to Anthony Ogus for providing invaluable advice and comments, which critically improved my research, as well as support before and after the defence of the dissertation on which this book is based. I am truly grateful for your help. I would also like to thank Michael Faure for providing resourceful comments and suggestions throughout the writing of this book but most importantly for his attentiveness in the crucial final stage. I am grateful for your help.

Spending three years in the Erasmus School of Law allowed me to test empirically the hypothesis that being in the proximity of incredibly smart people is beneficial for one's research and personal development. For that positive influence I would also like to thank the rest of the amazing RILE group: Ann-Sophie Vandenberghe, Wicher Schreuders, Alessio Pacces, Marianne Breijer, Alessandra Arcuri, Sharon Oded, Franziska Weber, Pieter Desmet, Weiqiang Hu and Klaus Heine. I am more than grateful to Jennifer Arlen, Thomas Ulen, Neil Rickman, Gerhard Wagner, Werner Brouwer, Siewert Lindenbergh and Stefan Weishaar for insightful comments and suggestions during presentations and/or private discussions on parts of this book. The remaining mistakes are my own.

Even though one doesn't wish to be reminded of this after finishing it, the truth is that writing a PhD is a demanding task that involves stressful deadlines, long working hours and makes scarce the time spend with family and friends. I want to apologize to all of those who had to bear with me and cope with time scarcity. An enormous thanks goes to my brother for his inexhaustible humour. Christo, you gave me a reason to smile even in the face of the most absurd circumstances. Stefane, I know you don't like to be thanked but I wouldn't have gone through this without you. You are my sunshine and breath of fresh air. Finally, I would like to thank my parents, Dimitri and Antigone for their unconditional love, patience and support. The example you have set is my driving force. I love you with all my heart and I dedicate this book to you.

Intersentia V

CONTENTS

Ackr	iowledg	rements	V
Tabl	e of Cas	ses	Х
		eviationsx	
List	of Table	es and Figures xvi	ii
Chaj	oter 1.		
Intro	oductio	on	1
1.1.		of the Research	
1.2.	Resear	rch Questions	3
1.3.	Metho	odology	5
1.4.	Scient	ific and Societal Relevance	7
1.5.	Conte	nt Structure	8
Chaj	oter 2.		
How	Shoule	d Pain and Suffering Damages be Assessed?	
A Le	gal Per	spective	1
2.1.	Goals	of Tort Law regarding Pain and Suffering Damages 1	2
	2.1.1.	Compensation	3
	2.1.2.	Satisfaction	5
	2.1.3.	Deterrence	7
	2.1.4.	Loss Distribution	8
	2.1.5.	Punishment 1	9
2.2.	Pain a	and Suffering Damages in Cases of Personal Injuries	0
	2.2.1.	'Pain and Suffering Damages' in Different Countries	1
	2.2.2.	The Interplay between Tort Law and the Law of Damages 2	7
2.3.	Curre	nt Court Practice in Personal Injury Cases	8
	2.3.1.	How do Courts Assess Pain and Suffering Damages? 2	8
	2.3.2.	Can the Goals of the Law of Torts (and Damages) be Reached? 3	6

Intersentia Vii

	oter 3.	
	Should Pain and Suffering Damages be Assessed?	
A La	w and Economics Perspective	9
3.1.	Goals of Tort Law according to Law and Economics: Minimization	
	of Total Accident Costs 4	0
	3.1.1. The Goal of Deterrence	
	3.1.2. The Goal of Insurance/Loss Spreading	8
	3.1.3. The Goal of Reducing Tertiary (Administrative) Costs 5	
3.2.	Damages for Immaterial Losses: How Should they be Treated? 6	
	3.2.1. Dealing with the Discrepancy: Proposals So Far	
	3.2.2. Striking a Balance between Deterrence and Insurance:	
	Ex Ante Determined Pain and Suffering Damages 6	3
	3.2.3. The Value of Statistical Life (Year) for Fatalities 6	5
	oter 4.	
	ity Adjusted Life Years. A Measure for the Economic Evaluation	
of H	ealth Care	9
4.1.	Context and Origin of the QALY 7	0
	4.1.1. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for the Economic Evaluation	
	of Health Care	2
	4.1.2. Theoretical Foundations of QALY-Based CEA 7	5
4.2.	What is the Quality Adjusted Life Year? 7	9
4.3.	Calculation of QALY-Weights	2
	4.3.1. Single Question Format Valuation Techniques	2
	4.3.2. Generic Measures 9	0
4.4.	Who Should Value Health?	7
4.5.	QALYs and Fairness Considerations	9
4.6.	Monetization: Cost or WTP per QALY?	3
	4.6.1. Cost per QALY	7
	4.6.2. WTP per QALY 10	9
4.7.	Discounting	1
4.8.	Disability Adjusted Life Years: an Alternative to QALYs? 11	4
~ 1		
	oter 5.	
	g QALYs in a Tort Law Context. Assessing Pain and Suffering	7
Dan	ages	./
5.1.	Exploiting Existing QALY Research	8
	5.1.1. Utilizing Available QALY-Weights	9
	5.1.2. Deciding the Monetary Value of a QALY	2
	5.1.3 Applying a Discount Factor to Reflect Present Value 12	5

Viii Intersentia

5.2.	Synthesis	128
	5.2.1. A Graphical Representation of the Proposed Framework	129
	5.2.2. The Case of Deafness	130
5.3.	Can the Goals of Tort Law with respect to Pain and Suffering	
	Damages be Reached?	133
	5.3.1. Implications for Compensation and Satisfaction	134
	5.3.2. Implications for Deterrence and Loss Spreading: Striking a	
	Balance between the Goals	137
	5.3.3. Economizing on Administrative Costs of the Legal System	140
5.4.	Benefits Extending beyond the Goals of Tort Law	143
	5.4.1. Dealing with Strategic Behavior	143
	5.4.2. Inducing Victim Mitigation of Losses	144
5.5.	Challenges	145
	5.5.1. Using the Appropriate Research Results	145
	5.5.2. How to Deal with Multiple Injuries?	146
-	oter 6.	
	and Suffering Damages Based on QALYs. Circumventing Victims'	
(and	Judges') Hedonic Misperceptions	147
6.1.	Relevance of Psychological Insights for Pain and Suffering Damages	148
	6.1.1. Hedonic Adaptation Neglect	150
	6.1.2. Focalism/Focusing Illusion	157
	6.1.3. A Counterintuitive Empirical Result or just a Preference for	
	being Healthy?	160
6.2.	Pain and Suffering Damages Incorporating Insights from Cognitive	
	Psychology: Proposals So Far	161
6.3.	QALYs and Hedonic Adaptation: Pain and Suffering Damages Based	
	on the QALY Framework	166
6.4.	Should Psychological Insights Influence the Assessment of Pain	
	and Suffering Damages?	171
-	oter 7.	
	QALY Framework Applied. Practical Examples and Implementation	172
Keco	ommendations	1/3
7.1.	Personal Injury Cases	174
	7.1.1. The Case of Paralysis/Severe Spinal Cord Injury	175
	7.1.2. The Case of Lower Leg Amputation	181
	7.1.3. The Case of Vision Loss in One Eye	186
	7.1.4. The Case of HIV Contraction	191
7.2.	Discussion of the Resulting Amounts	
7.3.	Implementation Recommendations	203

Intersentia ix

Towards a Better Assessment of Pain and Suffering Damages for Personal Injuries

•	pter 8.	
Epilo	ogue	205
8.1.	Can the Proposed QALY Framework Improve the Current	
	Assessment of Pain and Suffering Damages?	206
8.2.	Using a Measure from Health Economics in the Context of Tort Law	211
8.3.	QALYs in Legal and Law and Economics Scholarship	213
8.4.	Avenues for Future Research	216
8.5.	The Way Forward	216
Bibli	ography	219

X Intersentia

TABLE OF CASES

ENGLAND AND WALES

Cook v. J.L. Kier and Co. [1970] WLR 774

Wise v. Kaye [1962] 1 QB 638

H. West & Son Ltd v. Shepard [1964] AC 326

Lim Poh Choo v. Camden and Islington Area Health Authority [1980] AC 174

Heil v. Rankin [2001] QB 272

Alan Roger Plater v. Sonatrach [2004] EWHC 146 (Qb)

Simmons v. Castle [2012] EWCA Civ 1288

FRANCE

François vs. Monsanto T.G.I. Lyon, 13 fév. 2012, nº 2012/144

GERMANY

LG Bonn 02.05.1994 9 O 323/93

LG München I 23.08.2004 17 O 1089/03 SP 2005, 52

LG Baden-Baden 24.10.2006 1 O 374/04

LG München I 11.01.2007 19 O 12070/04

LG Münster 17.04.2009 16 O 532/07, NJW 2010, 86

OLG Saarbrücken 16.05.1986, NJW-RR 1987, 984

OLG Hamburg 20.04.1990 zfs 1990, 260; NJW 1990, 2322

OLG Frankfurt a. M. 01.12.2001 1 U 35/03

OLG Stuttgart 18.03.2003 1 U 81/02 NJOZ 2003, 3064

OLG Frankfurt 23.12.2003 8 U 140/99

OLG Koblenz 18.03.2004 5U 1134/03 NJW-RR 2004, 1025

OLG Hamm 09.03.2006 6 U 62/05, NJW-RR 2006, 1251

OLG München 24.09.2010 10 U 2671/10, BeckRS 2010, 23467

OLG Schleswig 09.10.2009 4 U 149/08

BGH 16.02.1993 NJW 1993, 1531

BGH 13.10.1992 NJW 1993, 781

Intersentia Xi

GREECE

MonProtLeukadas 472/2004

EfAth 7146/1992, [1995] HellDni, 647, No. 11

EfThes 2717/2002

EfLarisis 919/2005, [2006] ESigD, 283

EfAth 6009/2005

EfDod 307/2005

EfThes 1328/2006, Armenopoulos, 8/2008

EfThes 2601/2006

EfPatron 151/2009

EfPatron 672/2009

EfAth 2591/2010, NV 61/2013, 2695

EfPirea 102/2011, Piraiki Nomologia 23/2011, 156

EfPirea 24/2012

EfPirea 278/2013

DPrAth 3441/2006

DEfThes 1876/2012

AP 526/2006

AP 433/2008, [2008] ESigD, 150

AP 605/2008, [2008] ESigD, 165

AP 1216/2008, [2008] ESigD, 428

AP 1174/2009, [2009] ESigD, 429

AP 525/2011

AP 72/2012

AP 924/2013

ITALY

Repetto v. A.M.T. di Genova, Corte cost., 14/07/1986, no. 184

Valentini v. Castaldini, Trib. Verona, 15/10/1990

Tribunale di Savona, 29/07/2005

Tribunale di Roma, sez. XIII, 24/11/2005

Tribunale di Roma, sez. II, 16/01/2009, n. 908

Tribunale di Pisa, 01/08/2009, n.189/2002 R.G

Tribunale di Modena, sez. Lav., 30/11/2011, n. 287

Tribunale di Roma, XIII sez. Civ., 22/02/2012 n. 36620

Corte d'Appello di Roma, 23/02/2009

Corte di Appello di Bari, sez. II nr. 737, 23/05/2011

Cass. Civ., sez. III 04/03/2008 n. 5795

Cass. Civ., sez. III 12/12/2008 n. 29191

Cass. Civ., sez. III 13/05/2009 n. 11059

Cass. Civ., sez. III 07/06/2011 n. 12408

Cass. Civ., sez. III 13/07/2011 n. 15373

Xİİ Intersentia

Cass. Civ., sez. III 21/04/2012 n. 9147 Cass. Civ., sez. III 26/10/2012 n. 18484 Cass. Civ., sez. III 03/10/2013 n. 22585 Cass. Civ., sez. III 14/01/2014 n. 531 Cass. Civ., sez. III 23/01/2014 n. 1361 Cass. Civ., sez. III 28/01/2014 n. 1762

Cass, Civ., sez. III 10/03/2012 n. 5770

Cass., 24/10/2008 n. 25751

Cass., UU. sez. Civ., 11/11/2008 n. 26972 Cass., UU. sez. Civ., 14/01/2009 n. 557

THE NETHERLANDS

Rb. Groningen, 24–03–2000, rolnr. 98–1028
Rb. Den Haag, 19–06–2002, rolnr. 99–791
Rb. Zwolle, 02–10–2002, rolnr. 01–1113
Rb. Utrecht, 31–03–2004, rolnr. 03–155
Rb. Alkmaar, 24–12–2008, VR 2009/60
Rb. Rotterdam, 20–10–2010, VR 2011/135
Rb. Midden-Nederland, 06–02–2013, RAV 2013/47
Hof Den Haag, 19–03–1996, VR 1999/149
Hof Arnhem, 30–11–2004, VK 2005/73, 381
Hof Den Bosch, 17–10–2006, VR 2007/11
Hof Leeuwarden, 08–02–2011, JA 2011/87
HR 08–07–1992, nr. 14852, NJ 1992/714
HR 20–09–2002, NJ 2004/112

UNITED STATES

Xavier vs. Philip Morris USA Inc., 787 F. Supp. 2d, 1075 (N.D. Cal. 2011)

Intersentia Xiii

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CBA Cost-Benefit Analysis
CEA Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

CUA Cost-Utility Analysis
DALY Disability Adjusted Life Year

EU European Union HUI Health Utilities Index QALY Quality Adjusted Life Year

SG Standard Gamble TTO Time Trade-Off UK United Kingdom

USA United States of America
VAS Visual Analogue Scale
VSL Value of Statistical Life
VSLY Value of Statistical Life Year

WTP Willingness To Pay
WTA Willingness To Accept

NICE National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Intersentia XV

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

Table 1.	Damages awarded for immaterial losses in England, Germany, Greece, Italy and the Netherlands	35
Table 2.	Pain and suffering damages for severe spinal cord injuries 1'	79
Table 3.	Pain and suffering damages for amputation of the lower leg 18	85
Table 4.	Pain and suffering damages for loss of sight in one eye and	
	loss of an eye	90
Table 5.	Pain and suffering damages for HIV contraction	98
FIGUR	ES	
Figure 1.	Comparison of medical interventions A and B on the basis of the	
	QALYs generated	82
Figure 2.	A lasting injury that does not affect life expectancy	29
Figure 3.	An injury that heals	29
Figure 4	An injury affecting both quality of life and life expectancy 1	20

Intersentia xvii