

Remedial Secession
A Right to External Self-Determination
as a Remedy to Serious Injustices?

SCHOOL OF HUMAN RIGHTS RESEARCH SERIES, Volume 61

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

Remedial Secession
A Right to External Self-Determination
as a Remedy to Serious Injustices?

SIMONE F. VAN DEN DRIEST



Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | mail@intersentia.co.uk

Simone F. van den Driest
Remedial Secession: A Right to External Self-Determination as a Remedy to Serious
Injustices?

ISBN 978-1-78068-153-5
D/2013/7849/33
NUR 828

Cover image by Jeroen Brevet (www.jeroenbrevet.nl)
Editing and typesetting by Steve Lambley Information Design

© 2013 Simone F. van den Driest/Intersentia
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is
available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm
or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

To my parents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Writing a dissertation is a challenging endeavour, which requires a great deal of effort, commitment and perseverance. Over the last couple of years, Tilburg University has given me the opportunity to delve into a fascinating and much debated subject of international law and to develop and improve my academic skills. It has been a very interesting and valuable experience, which I would not have missed for anything. Therefore, I am thankful for many people in both my professional and personal life who have contributed – each in their own way – to bring this project to a successful conclusion. I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to a number of them in particular.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Willem van Genugten, Nicola Jägers and Anna Meijknecht. I honestly could not have wished for a better and more pleasant ‘team’ to guide me through the process of writing this dissertation. Your constructive criticism and support have been very valuable to me and I truly enjoyed working with the three of you. Willem, I am most grateful for the confidence you instilled in me and your continuous encouragement, which helped me to keep on going and stimulated me to develop myself within academia. Thank you for your guidance and the opportunities you have given me over the last couple of years. You are a true mentor to me. Nicola and Anna, I am very thankful that you have both been willing to act as my supervisors. Your continuing willingness to discuss difficult issues of my research, your eye for detail, and your kind support have helped me tremendously. On a more personal level, I have thoroughly enjoyed our many pleasant talks, both short and long, about work-related and other matters. Thank you so much.

In addition, I would like to take the opportunity to extend my gratitude to the further members of the reading committee: Professors James Crawford, André Nollkaemper, Cedric Ryngaert and Nico Schrijver. I am grateful that they were willing to take a seat on the committee and I very much appreciate that they took the time to read and comment upon my manuscript.

Furthermore, I would like to thank my colleagues – both past and present – as they created such a pleasant working environment at the Law School’s fifth floor. Since mentioning some individuals also means excluding others, I wish to thank them all as a group. A special word of thanks, however, goes to Byung Sook, with whom I

shared an office for several years and whose presence made the process of writing a dissertation so much more enjoyable. Thank you for being such a wonderful roommate and friend.

I also wish to mention my dear friends: Angèle, Christophe and Stefania, Femke, Jerom, Maartje and Gary, Marjolein, and Michelle. Thank you for your support and understanding and thank you for taking my mind off things. Thanks for the many chats, lovely and funny messages, many cups of tea, delicious dinners, shopping dates, theatre visits, and other pleasant get-togethers. In short: thank you for being there for me – it means a lot to me.

In order to turn the manuscript into a publishable book, I have had the pleasure of working with Steve Lambley, Jeroen Brevet and Tom Scheirs. I would like to thank Steve for skilfully editing and typesetting the manuscript, and for his incredible flexibility in that respect. I thank Jeroen for designing the cover image of this book and Tom for providing me with the necessary support on behalf of Intersentia.

Finally, a special word of gratitude goes to my dear parents, who have supported and encouraged me throughout this project, who strongly believed in me and always were there for me. I cannot express how thankful I am for the part they play in my life. It is to them that I dedicate this book.

*Simone van den Driest
Breda, January 2013*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xv

Chapter I

Introduction **1**

1. Balancing Order and Justice: External Self-Determination after Serious Injustices?	1
1.1. The Contentious Issue of Unilateral Secession	1
1.2. Unilateral Secession and Self-Determination	3
1.3. Unilateral Secession as a Remedial Right?	4
2. The Approach of this Study	5
2.1. Defining (Unilateral) Secession and Remedial Secession	5
2.2. Principal Research Question	8
2.3. Structure and Methodology	8

Chapter II

Self-Determination: The Development from Principle to Right **13**

1. Introduction	13
2. The Emergence of the Principle of Self-Determination	14
2.1. Democratic Political Theory	14
2.2. Ethnic Nationalism	15
2.3. Liberal Nationalism	16
3. Self-Determination Before the Second World War	16
3.1. Lenin's Conception of Self-Determination	17
3.2. Wilson's Conception of Self-Determination	18
3.3. Self-Determination in the Wake of the First World War	21
3.4. The <i>Åland Islands</i> Case	24
4. Self-Determination in the Post-War Era	26
4.1. The Charter of the United Nations	27
4.2. The Decolonization Process	29

4.2.1. The Meaning of Self-Determination in the Context of Decolonization	29
4.2.2. The Subjects and Legal Status of Self-Determination in the Context of Decolonization	31
5. Conclusions	35

Chapter III
The Contemporary Meaning of the Right to Self-Determination **37**

1. Introduction	37
2. Self-Determination as a Continuous Entitlement	39
2.1. The International Human Rights Covenants of 1966	39
2.2. The Friendly Relations Declaration	43
2.3. Subsequent Documents	46
3. Internal Self-Determination	50
3.1. The Content of the Right to Internal Self-Determination	50
3.1.1. Implementation of the Right to Internal Self-Determination	51
3.1.2. Internal Self-Determination and Democratic Governance?	54
3.2. The Status of the Right to Internal Self-Determination	60
3.3. The Subjects of the Right to Internal Self-Determination	64
3.3.1. All Inhabitants of a State	65
3.3.2. Subgroups within States	66
3.3.3. Minorities	70
3.3.4. Indigenous Peoples	76
3.4. Conclusions on Internal Self-Determination	83
4. External Self-Determination	84
4.1. The Content of the Right to External Self-Determination	84
4.1.1. Dissolution	85
4.1.2. (Re)union or Merger	86
4.1.3. Secession	87
4.1.4. Dissolution and Secession: A Blurred Distinction	89
4.2. The Status and Subjects of the Right to External Self-Determination	92
4.3. Conclusions on External Self-Determination	94
5. Conclusions	94

Chapter IV
Traces of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession in Contemporary International Law? **97**

1. Introduction	97
2. Recognizing a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession?	99

2.1.	Traces of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession in International Conventions	99
2.2.	Traces of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession in Doctrine	103
2.2.1.	The Content of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession	107
2.2.2.	The Subjects of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession	115
2.2.3.	Contraindications	117
2.2.4.	Conclusions on Doctrine	121
2.3.	Traces of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession in Judicial Decisions and Opinions	121
2.3.1.	The Åland Islands Case	123
2.3.2.	<i>Katangese Peoples' Congress v. Zaire</i>	128
2.3.3.	<i>Loizidou v. Turkey</i>	129
2.3.4.	<i>Reference re Secession of Quebec</i>	131
2.3.5.	<i>Kevin Ngwanga Gumne et al. v. Cameroon</i>	138
2.3.6.	<i>Accordance with International Law of the Unilateral Declaration of Independence by the Provisional Institutions of Self-Government of Kosovo</i>	140
2.3.6.1.	Background of the Case	141
2.3.6.2.	The Advisory Opinion	144
2.3.6.3.	Individual Opinions of Judges on a Right to Remedial Secession	149
2.3.7.	Conclusions on Judicial Decisions and Opinions	155
2.4.	Traces of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession in General Principles of (International) Law	155
2.4.1.	The Principle of Respect for the Territorial Integrity of States	157
2.4.1.1.	The Content of the Principle of Territorial Integrity	158
2.4.1.2.	The Principle of Territorial Integrity and the Right to Self-Determination	159
2.4.1.3.	A Balancing Approach	165
2.4.1.4.	Conclusions on the Principle of Territorial Integrity	167
2.4.2.	The Principle of <i>Uti Possidetis Juris</i>	168
2.4.2.1.	The Content of the Principle of <i>Uti Possidetis Juris</i>	168
2.4.2.2.	The Applicability of the Principle of <i>Uti Possidetis Juris</i>	170
2.4.2.3.	The Principle of <i>Uti Possidetis Juris</i> and the Right to Self-Determination	174
2.4.2.4.	Conclusions on the Principle of <i>Uti Possidetis Juris</i>	175
2.4.3.	The Principle of Self-Determination	175
2.4.4.	Conclusions on General Principles of (International) Law	177
2.5.	Traces of a (Remedial) Right to Unilateral Secession in Other Possible Sources of International Law	178

2.5.1. Unilateral Acts of States	179
2.5.2. Acts of International Organizations	181
2.5.3. Conclusions on Other Possible Sources of International Law	185
3. Conclusions	186

Chapter V

Customary International Law: Preliminary Remarks on Assessing the Existence of a Customary Right to Remedial Secession 189

1. Introduction	189
2. The Two Conventional Elements of Customary International Law	190
2.1. State Practice	193
2.1.1. Uniformity	196
2.1.2. Extensiveness and Representativeness	197
2.1.3. Duration	199
2.1.4. The Interrelationship of the Three Factors	201
2.2. <i>Opinio Juris</i>	201
3. Customary International Law beyond the Conventional Model?	206
3.1. Progressive Approaches towards Customary International Law	208
3.2. A Critical Appraisal	213
4. Preliminary Remarks on Assessing the Existence of a Customary Right to Remedial Secession	217
5. Conclusions	221

Chapter VI

A Customary Right to Remedial Secession? 223

1. Introduction	223
2. The Recognition of States: a Brief Introduction	224
2.1. The Constitutive and Declaratory Approach	226
2.2. Recognition and Unilateral Secession	230
3. Acknowledgement of A Right to Remedial Secession in Practice?	232
3.1. The Case of Kosovo	233
3.1.1. General Responses to Kosovo's Declaration of Independence	234
3.1.1.1. Recapitulation	243
3.1.2. The Advisory Proceedings before the International Court of Justice	245
3.1.2.1. Support for the Existence of a Right to Remedial Secession	245
3.1.2.1.1. Views and Arguments Supporting a Right to Remedial Secession	245
3.1.2.1.2. Recapitulation	259

3.1.2.2.	Opposition to the Existence of a Right to Remedial Secession	261
3.1.2.2.1.	Views and Arguments Opposing the Existence of a Right to Remedial Secession	261
3.1.2.2.2.	Recapitulation	272
3.1.3.	Conclusions on the International Responses to Kosovo's Declaration of Independence	273
3.2.	Other Cases	275
3.2.1.	Bangladesh	276
3.2.2.	Eritrea	279
3.2.3.	The Baltic Republics (and the Other Successor States to the USSR)	280
3.2.4.	Croatia and Slovenia (and the Other Successor States to the SFRY)	284
3.2.5.	Conclusions on the International Responses to Other Cases	289
4.	Legal Appraisal of International Responses to Attempts at Unilateral Secession: State Practice and <i>Opinio Juris</i>	290
4.1.	State Practice	290
4.2.	<i>Opinio Juris</i>	292
4.3.	Taking Stock: A Customary Right to Remedial Secession?	294
5.	Conclusions	295
Chapter VII		
Recapitulation, Conclusions, and Final Reflections		297
1.	Introduction	297
2.	A Right to Remedial Secession?	297
2.1.	The Development of the Right to Self-Determination	298
2.2.	The Contemporary Meaning of the Right to Self-Determination	299
2.3.	Traces of a Right to Remedial Secession in Contemporary International Law	301
2.4.	Preliminary Remarks on Assessing the Existence of a Customary Right to Remedial Secession	306
2.5.	A Customary Right to Remedial Secession?	307
2.6.	Conclusions on a Right to Remedial Secession <i>De Lege Lata</i> and <i>De Lege Ferenda</i>	310
2.6.1.	A Right to Remedial Secession <i>De Lege Lata</i>	310
2.6.2.	A Right to Remedial Secession <i>De Lege Ferenda</i>	311
3.	Final Reflections on Remedial Secession	313
3.1.	Effectuating Remedial Secession through Recognition?	313

| Table of Contents

3.2. Remedial Secession and the Humanization of the International Legal Order	314
<i>Samenvatting</i>	321
<i>Bibliography</i>	339
<i>Index</i>	373
<i>Curriculum Vitae</i>	383

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACHPR	African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights
AU	African Union
CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States
CoE	Council of Europe
CSCE	Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe
EEC	European Economic Community
EC	European Community
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EU	European Union
ELF	Eritrean Liberation Front
EPLF	Eritrean Peoples Liberation Front
IACHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICERD	International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICISS	International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia
ILA	International Law Association
ILC	International Law Commission
ILO	International Labour Organization
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
OAU	Organization of African Unity
OSCE	Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice
RtoP/R2P	Responsibility to Protect
SFRY	Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia
TRNC	Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus
UDHR	Universal Declaration on Human Rights
UN	United Nations

List of Abbreviations

UNDRIP	United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNMIK	United Nations Interim Administration in Kosovo
UNWGIP	United Nations Working Group on Indigenous Populations
US(A)	United States (of America)
USSR	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
YNA	Yugoslav National Army