EU SANCTIONS: LAW AND POLICY ISSUES CONCERNING RESTRICTIVE MEASURES

Edited by

Iain Cameron



Intersentia Publishing Ltd.

Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road

Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom

Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK: Distribution for the USA and Canada:

NBN International International Specialized Book Services

Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300

Plymouth, PL6 7PP Portland, OR 97213

United Kingdom USA

Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331 Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)

Distribution for Austria: Distribution for other countries:
Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Intersentia Publishing nv

Argentinierstraße 42/6 Groenstraat 31
1040 Wien 2640 Mortsel
Austria Belgium

Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 Email: office@nwv.at Email: mail@intersentia.be

EU Sanctions: Law and Policy Issues Concerning Restrictive Measures Iain Cameron (ed.)

© 2013 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Cover illustration: Francisco de Goya (1746-1828), Etching, Plate 44 from 'Los desastres de la guerra'

ISBN 978-1-78068-141-2 D/2013/7849/29 NUR 828

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

PREFACE

The present book grew out of a conference I organized in September 2011 at the Faculty of Law of Uppsala University. In addition to the authors, I would like to thank all the participants in this conference for helpful comments, particularly Martin Björklund, Therese Hydén, Nils Jareborg, Agneta Hilding-Quarnström, Per Saland, Magnus Ulväng, Jørn Vestergaard and Andreas von Hirsch. I am grateful to the Faculty of Law for funding the conference.

The Emil Heijnes Foundation generously provided the necessary publication funding. Lastly, I should say that the authors submitted their chapters at different times during the late spring of 2012. In general, they have tried to state the law and practice as it is on 30 June 2012. In a number of cases they have been able to take account of subsequent changes.

Iain Cameron

Intersentia V

CONTENTS

Prej	tace
Abo	out the Authors xiii
Abb	reviations xvii
Tab	le of Cases xix
	·
Intr	oduction
	Iain Cameron1
1.	Overview
2.	Sanctions under international law
3.	Sanctions under the UN Charter and the development of targeted
	sanctions
4.	Purposes of sanctions and evaluating their effects
5.	Development and legal bases of EU sanctions prior to
	the Lisbon Treaty
6.	Personal reflections on the Kadi/al-Barakaat case and subsequent
	developments
7.	The EU's autonomous anti-terrorist sanctions and the PMOI
	standards of review
8.	Composite decision-making, secrecy and the scope of review
9.	Criminal Law, criminal procedure and the autonomous
	anti-terrorist sanctions
10.	Compensation
11.	Application of the safeguards to regime sanctions
12.	Legal bases for, and coherence of, EU sanctions after
	the Lisbon Treaty
13.	Post-Lisbon Internal Procedures for the adoption of EU sanctions 38
14.	Coherence in sanctions policy
On	Assessing Targeted Sanctions Blacklists
0	Mikael Eriksson. 41
	11
1.	Introduction
	1.1. Why study sanctions assessment?
2.	Targeted sanctions: an overview
	2.1. What are targeted sanctions?

Intersentia Vii

	2.2.	Twenty years of targeted sanctions practice	45
	2.3.	The classical principle of sanctions	46
	2.4.	Historic turns in the sanctions literature	47
	2.5.	The interest in sanctions assessment	48
	2.6.	An alternative reading on the practice of targeted sanctions	50
3.	Endu	ring challenges to sanctions assessments efforts	52
	3.1.	Intentionality	52
	3.2.	Collective action and free-rider problems	53
	3.3.	Unpredictability	54
	3.4.	The thief-and-police pastime	
	3.5.	Erudition	55
	3.6.	The technical and functionalist approach to social dilemmas $\ldots\ldots$	56
	3.7.	Symbolic and non-symbolic reference objects	57
	3.8.	Compartmentalisation and perceptions	
	3.9.	The before and after conundrum	59
		Liberal goals but illiberal means	
		Summary	
4.	Final	reflections	62
	ual T	ng Multiple EU Personalities: Ten Years of Blacklisting and rust jörn Andersson	65
	1010)		00
1.	EU a	nd mutual trust	65
2.	The I	EU and blacklisting	68
3.	The c	ases	74
	3.1.	Internal blacklisting – case law	74
		3.1.1. The first <i>PMOI</i> case	74
		3.1.2. The second <i>PMOI</i> case	77
		3.1.3. The third <i>PMOI</i> case	82
	3.2.	External Blacklisting	
		3.2.1. <i>Kadi I</i> – the Court of First Instance	84
		3.2.2. <i>Kadi I</i> – ECJ	87
		3.2.3. <i>Kadi II</i>	89
4.	Deve	loping Multiple Personalities?	92
ш	DI.		
	Piace errori	of Sanctions in the EU System for Combating the Financing	
01 10		a Bergström	97
	171411	u DERGOTROM.)
1.	Intro	duction	97
2.	The I	EU system for combating the financing of terrorism –	
	20 01	verview	99

Viii Intersentia

	2.1.	Background 9	19
	2.2.	Financial freezing measures)1
	2.3.	Anti-money laundering measures	
		2.3.1. Money laundering: beginnings)3
		2.3.2. Financial Action Task Force on Money Laundering 10)4
		2.3.3. Anti-money laundering and the European	
		single market)4
		2.3.4. Revising the FAFT recommendations towards	
		fighting organised crime)5
		2.3.5. Revising the FATF recommendations towards	
		combating the financing of terrorism	16
		2.3.6. Reflections on the legal basis	18
3.	Secu	rity, securitisation and risk-management	.0
	3.1.	A chameleon threat?	.0
	3.2.	Security, securitisation and risk-management	1
	3.3.	Some reflections on money laundering and securitisation 11	
4.	The o	coherence of applying AML tactics in terrorist sanctions 11	.3
Hov Law	?	t All, do Anti-Terrorist Blacklisting Sanctions Fit into (EU) Crimina mo Nuotio	
1.	Crin	ninal law beyond the state: introduction	.7
2.	Black	klisting as criminal law?12	0
3.	Black	klisting, prohibiting: freedom of association?	!1
4.	Black	klisting as a ground for prosecution and conviction?	23
5.	The l	European criminal law, then?	:6
n1	1 1		
ыас		ing Sanctions and Principles of Criminal Law	2 1
	rette	13 ASP	11
EU :	Black	listing Sanctions – A Danish Criminal Law Perspective	
		nas Elholm	19
1.	Intro	oduction	39
2.	Legis	slation	9
3.	_	case law	
	3.1.	Case 1: Seizure of documents (U 2007.1831 H)	
	3.2.	Case 2: The <i>Hamas</i> case (Copenhagen City Court judgment of 27 March 2007 and High Court judgment of 6 February 2008	
	2 2	- unpublished)	
	2.3.	Case 5: Fighters and Lovers case (U 2009.1455 ft)	: I.

Intersentia ix

	3.4.	Case 4: The internet appeal case (Copenhagen City Court, 15 March 2010)	4
	3.5.	Case 5: 2011 case (Copenhagen City Court, 16 June 2011 –	
	2.6	unpublished)	
	3.6.	Conclusions regarding the case law	
4.		cussion	
	4.1.	The prosecutorial level	
	4.2.	The judicial level	8
Pro	ceduı	ral Safeguards for Blacklisting Sanctions - A Comparison with	
the	EU F	ramework Decision on Orders Freezing Property or Evidence	
	Mal	in Thunberg Schunke	1
1.		oduction15	
2.	The	FD on Freezing Orders	1
3.	The	term "freezing"	2
4.	Proc	redural safeguards and legal remedies	4
	4.1.	The decision to freeze assets	4
	4.2.	The right to challenge a freezing order	
	4.3.	The duration of a freezing measure	8
5.	Con	clusions	8
Sar	nction	s Against Terrorism and Their Impact on Freedom of Expression	
	Tho	mas Bull	1
1.	Intro	oduction	1
2.	Crin	ninalization as a constitutional problem for free speech per se 16.	2
3.	Crin	ninalization as a practical issue of institutional competence 16	8
4.	Crin	ninalization as a problem for the media and its constitutional	
	role		2
5.	Con	clusion	5
De	cision	-Making in the Dark? Autonomous EU Sanctions and National	
Cla	ssifica	ation	
	Chri	istina Eckes	7
1.	Intro	oduction	7
2.	Ado	pting autonomous EU sanctions in secret?	
	2.1.	Information flow under the adoption procedure	
	2.2.	Failure to disclose relevant information	
3.	Can	secrecy remain a national choice?	5
	3.1.	Implications of national classification	5

X Intersentia

	3.2.	ORCON, authorship rule, or?	186
	3.3.	How much secrecy is needed?	190
4.	Secre	et information in courts: lessons that could be taken from	
	natio	onal law	191
5.	Cond	clusions	196
Pro	script	ion of Organisations in UK Counter-Terrorism Law	
	Sofia	Marques da Silva and Cian C. Murphy	199
1.	Intro	oduction: a parody of law	199
2.	The l	ogic of proscription	201
3.	The p	process of designation	203
4.	The i	mpact of the proscription system	205
	4.1.	Prosecution of suspects	206
	4.2.	Deterrence and disruption	207
	4.3.	Proscription and international diplomacy	208
	4.4.	Societal impact	210
5.	Syste	ems of review and delisting	212
	5.1.	Administrative review	213
	5.2.	8 11	
		review	
	5.3.		
6.		cription & the post-September 11 landscape	
7.		rm of systems of review	
8.	Cond	clusion: beyond the parody of pre-emption	220
	-	ementation of EU Terrorism Blacklisting Sanctions in the Dutch	
Nat		Legal System	
	Suus	HOPMAN and Michel UITERWAAL	223
1.		oduction	
2.	Sanc	tions in administrative law	223
	2.1.	Sanctions Act 1977	223
	2.2.	New system, old style	224
	2.3.	Al-Aqsa	227
	2.4.	,,	
	2.5.	More recent cases	229
3.	Crin	ninal law	231
	3.1.	Generally	
	3.2.	Criminal terrorist organisations	231
	3.3.	Continued activities of a proscribed organisation	233

Intersentia xi

Contents

	3.4.	Of	enc	e ag	gain	ıst	th	e S	Sa:	nc	t10	or.	18.	A	ct	19	7	/ . .	 	٠.	 	 	 		 	237
	3.5.	Ta	nil	Cou	ırt	cas	se.												 		 	 	 		 	238
4.	Con	clus	on									٠.					٠.	•	 	٠.		 	 	•	 	245
Bibl	iograj	phy.																			 	 	 		 	247
Inde	2x																				 	 	 		 	265

XII Intersentia

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Torbjörn Andersson

Torbjörn Andersson is Dean of the Law Faculty at Uppsala University. He is Professor of civil and criminal procedural law and formerly Jean Monnet professor of EC law. His research areas are primarily Procedural Law, EU Law and Competition Law, in particular issues relating to the parallel and conflicting enforcement of law.

Petter Asp

Petter Asp is professor of criminal law at Stockholm university, Sweden, and holder of Ragnar and Torsten Söderberg Chair of Legal Science. His research has focused on international aspects of criminal law (EU criminal law, criminal jurisdiction, cooperation in criminal matters etc.) as well as on national criminal law (especially the general part of the criminal law and sentencing). He is a member of the European Criminal Policy Initiative which in late 2009 published a Manifesto on European Criminal Policy and is currently the head of a legislative committee with the task of reforming (parts of) the Swedish legislation on money laundering.

Maria Bergström

Maria Bergström is Associate Professor in European Law at Uppsala University. She was previously research fellow at Stockholm University. She defended her thesis at the European University Institute (EUI) in Florence in 2003. Her particular research interests are concerned with competence issues, changing boundaries and the interactive development of national and EU law, particularly within the fields of constitutional, administrative, penal and procedural law. She is currently conducting research on public-private cooperation and the EU functional notion of the state, the related accountability dilemma and antimoney laundering regulation. Her recent publications include "EU Anti-Money Laundering Regulation: Multilevel Cooperation of Public and Private Actors", in Eckes, C., and Konstadinides, T. (eds.) *Crime within the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice: A European Public Order*, CUP, 2011 and Bergström, M., Svedberg Helgesson, K, Mörth, U., "A New Role for For-profit Actors? The Case of Anti-Money Laundering and Risk Management", 49 Journal of Common Market Studies 1043–1064 (2011).

Intersentia Xiii

Thomas Bull

Thomas Bull is a judge in the Supreme Administrative Court. Prior to this he was Professor of constitutional law at Uppsala University. Amongst his publications are *Regeringsformen – en kommentar* (with Fredrik Sterzel) [the Instrument of Government – a commentary] 2010 and Mötes- och demonstrationsfriheten. *En statsrättslig studie av mötes- och demonstrationsfrihetens innehåll och gränser i Sverige, Tyskland och USA: Freedom of Assembly*, a public law study of the content and limits in the freedoms of assembly and demonstration in Sweden, Germany and the USA] 1997.

Iain Cameron

Iain Cameron is Professor in Public International Law at the University of Uppsala. His research interests lie in human rights, international criminal law and civil liberties. He holds an LLD and an LLM in International Law. He has published extensively in the fields of international law and constitutional law, particularly on international criminal law and human rights issues. He has investigated the issue of legal safeguards and targeted sanctions for the Swedish government (2002), the Council of Europe (2006) and the European Parliament (2008). Since 2006 he has been a member of the European Commission on Democracy through Law (Venice Commission).

Christina Eckes

Christina Eckes is associate professor in EU law at the University of Amsterdam and senior researcher at the Amsterdam Centre for European Law and Governance (ACELG). In 2012/2013, she is Emile Noël Fellow-in-Residence at New York University. Her current research project Outside-In: Tracing the Imprint of the European Union's External Actions on Its Constitutional Landscape is funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). She has widely published on EU external relations and EU counterterrorist sanctions, including a monograph entitled EU Counter-Terrorist Policies and Fundamental Rights – The Case of Individual Sanctions (Oxford University Press, 2009).

Thomas Elholm

Thomas Elholm is Professor of Criminal Law, University of Southern Denmark, Department of Law. He holds an LLM and a PhD in law from the University of Copenhagen. Since 2011 he is Chief Editor of the Scandinavian Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology.

Mikael Eriksson

Dr. Mikael Eriksson is a researcher at the Swedish Defence Research Agency. Before joining the agency he obtained his PhD at the European University Institute, Florence, Italy. He has also been involved in different research project

XİV Intersentia

at the Department of Peace and Conflict Research, Uppsala University to which he is an affiliated researcher. Eriksson's main research interests include critical security studies, targeted sanctions, and problems of liberal peace. He is particularly interested in the security dynamics of the Middle East and Africa. A book based on his doctoral dissertation, *Targeting Peace: Understanding UN and EU targeted sanctions* was published by Ashgate in 2011.

Suus Hopman

Suus Hopman is currently working as a LLD candidate at Uppsala University. Until recently she was a member of both the Dutch and Swedish bar associations. She worked as an attorney at Böhler Advocaten in Amsterdam; in that capacity she participated in EU-level and national criminal law procedures concerning blacklisting.

Sofia Marques da Silva

Sofia Marques da Silva is a doctoral researcher at King's College London. She graduated from the University of Durham LL.B and holds LL.M Degrees from Universidade Católica Portuguesa de Lisboa and King's College London. She has worked as a research assistant and is now a research fellow at the Centre of European Law at King's College London. Sofia's research interests are EU Security and Defence, Counter-Terrorism and EU Constitutional and Criminal law.

Cian Murphy

Dr Cian Murphy is Lecturer in Law at King's College London. Dr Murphy's first monograph, EU Counter-Terrorism Law: Pre-emption & the Rule of Law was published in 2012 by Hart Publishing. He is also the co-editor of the forthcoming EU Security & Justice Law: After Lisbon & Stockholm (2013 Hart Publishing). Dr Murphy's current research examines the effect of transnationalisation in counter-terrorism on constitutional principles across the world. In Spring 2013 he will be a Fulbright-Schumann Research Scholar at the Center on National Security & the Law at Georgetown University, Washington DC.

Kimmo Nuotio

Kimmo Nuotio is Professor of Criminal Law at the University of Helsinki and dean of the Faculty of Law. He is also the vice-director of the Centre of Excellence in Foundations of European Law and Polity funded by the Academy of Finland (2008–2013) and the director of the national doctoral programme in law "Law in a Changing World" coordinated by the University of Helsinki. He has broad research interests including the Theoretical Foundations of Penal Liability, Modernization of Criminal Law, Nordic, European, and International Criminal Law, Transnational law, the Risk Society / Welfare State, Political Philosophy and Law, Legal Integration in Europe and Researcher Skills and

Intersentia

Research Ethics. His latest publications include "European criminal law under the developing constitutional setting of the European Union", in *Europe's constitutional mosaic*, N. Walker, J. Shaw, S. Tierney (eds), Hart 2011, and "Theories of Criminalisation and the Limits of Criminal Law: a Legal Cultural Approach" in *Boundaries of Criminal Law*, R.A. Duff, Lindsey Farmer, S.E. Marshall, V. Tadros, M. Renzo (eds) OUP 2010.

Malin Thunberg Schunke

Malin Thunberg Schunke is an Associate Professor in Criminal Law at the University of Uppsala. She holds an LLD in Criminal Law (Uppsala University) and an LLM in Criminology and Criminal Justice (King's College, London). Her research interests lie in national and international criminal law particularly EU judicial cooperation in criminal matters and human rights. She has been an Apprentice Judge at Stockholm City Court and has been working several years as an Assistant Prosecutor at the Prosecuting Office Stockholm. Her more recent publications include, "En kodifiering av tillräckliga rättssäkerhetsgarantier för misstänkta och tilltalade – Krav för ett fortsatt samarbete i brottmål inom EU?" in Festskrift till Suzanne Wennberg, Norstedts 2009, and International Criminal Law from a Swedish Perspective, Intersentia 2011 (joint author).

Michel Uiterwaal

Michel Uiterwaal is Advisor on Extractives, Human Rights and Conflict at IKV Pax Christi, Utrecht, The Netherlands. Until recently, he was an attorney at Böhler Advocaten in Amsterdam; in that capacity he has participated in several national and EU-level procedures concerning blacklisting.

XVİ Intersentia

ABBREVIATIONS

AC Appeal Cases (United Kingdom)

AML Anti-Money Laundering

BCBS Basel Committee on Banking Supervision

CDD Customer Due Diligence CFI Court of First Instance

CFSP Common Foreign and Security Policy
CFT Combating Financing of Terrorism
CJEU Court of Justice of the European Union
COPRI Conflict and Peace Research Institute

CUP Cambridge University Press
EAW European Arrest Warrant
EC European Community

ECHR European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights

ECJ European Court of Justice ECR European Court Reports

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

ed. Editor

EU European Union

FATF Financial Action Task Force on Money Laundering

FIUS Financial Intelligence Units
ICC International Criminal Court
ICJ International Court of Justice

ICLQ International and Comparative Law Quarterly

IS International Studies
ML Money Laundering
MLR Modern Law Review

NGO Non-Governmental Organisation
NJIL Nordic Journal of International Law

No. Number

OFAC Office of Foreign Assets Control

OJ Official Journal of the European Union

OUP Oxford University Press

p. Page para. Paragraph

PEPs Politically Exposed Persons

Intersentia XVII

Abbreviations

PMOI People's Mujahedeen of Iran TEU Treaty on European Union

TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

TFTP Terrorism Finance Tracking Programme

UK United Kingdom
UN United Nations
UNSC UN Security Council

UNTS United Nations Treaty Series

v. Versus Vol. Volume

xviii Intersentia

TABLE OF CASES

EU COURTS

COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE/TRIBUNAL

Case T-315/01 Kadi v Council and Commission [2005] ECR II-3649.

Case T-228/02 People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran v Council (OMPI/PMOI I) [2006] ECR II-4665.

Case T-47/03 Jose Maria Sison v Council and Commission [2007] ECR II-73.

Case T-256/07 People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran v Council (OMPI/PMOI II) [2008] ECR II-3019.

Case T-284/08 People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran v Council (OMPI/PMOI III) [2008] ECR II-3487.

Case T-341/07 Jose Maria Sison v Council [2009] ECR II-3625.

Case T-318/01, Omar Mohammed Othman v Council of the European Union and Commission [2009] ECR II-00000.

Joined Cases T-37/07 and T-323/07 Mohamed El Morabit v Council [2009] ECR II-131.

Case T-49/07 Sofiane Fahas v Council [2010] ECR II-5555.

Case T-348/07 Al Aqsa v. Council [2010] ECR II-4575.

Case T-85/09, Yassin Abdullah Kadi v European Commission [2010] ECR II-5177.

Case T-181/08 Pye Phyo Tay Za v Council, [2010] ECR II-1965.

Case T-362/08 IFAW Internationaler Tierschutz-Fonds v Commission [2011] ECR II-11.

Case T-341/07, Sison v Council of the European Union, 23 November 2011, nyr.

T-562/10 HTTS Hanseatic Trade Trust & Shipping GmbH v Council of the European Union, 11 December 2011 nyr.

Joined Cases T-439/10 and T-440/10, Fulmen and Fereydoun Mahmoudian v Council, 21 March 2012 nyr.

T-509/10, Manufacturing Support & Procurement Kala Naft Co., Tehran Teheran (Iran) v Council and Commission, 25 April 2012, nyr.

ECJ/CJEU

Case C 68/88, Commission v Greece [1989] ECR 2965.

Case C-377/02 Van Parys [2005] ECR I-1465.

Cases C-189/02 P, C-202/02 P, C-205/02 P to C-208/02 P and C-213/02 P, Dansk Rørindustri and others v Commission [2005] ECR I-5425.

Case C-176/03 Commission v Council, [2005] ECR I-7879.

Case C-266/05 P Sison v Council [2007] ECR I-1233.

Intersentia XİX

Case C-229/05 P PKK and KNK v Council [2007] ECR I-439.

Case C-355/04 P Segi and Others v Council [2007] ECR I-1657.

Case C-117/06, Gerda Möllendorf and Christiane Möllendorf-Niehuus [2007] ECR I-8361.

Case C-64/05 P Sweden v Commission [2007] ECR I-11389.

Joined Cases C-354/04 P and C-355/04 P Gestoras Pro Amnistía and others and Segi and others v Council [2007] ECR I-1579.

Joined Cases C-402/05 P and 415/05 P Kadi & Al Barakaat International Foundation v Council and Commission [2008] ECR I-6351.

Joined Cases C-120/06 P and C-121/06 P Fabbrica italiana accumulatori motocarri Montecchio SpA (FIAMM), and Fabbrica italiana accumulatori motocarri Montecchio Technologies LLC v Council and Commission [2008] ECR I-6513.

Case C-550/09 Generalbundesanwalt beim Bundesgerichtshof v E and F [2010] ECR I-6213.

Case C-340/08, M and others v HM Treasury [2010] ECR I-03913.

Joined Cases C-57/09 and C-101/09 Bundesrepublik Deutschland v B and D [2010] ECR I-10979.

Case C-548/9P Bank Melli Iran v Council, 16 November 2011, Grand Chamber, nyr.

Case C-27/09 French Republic v People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran (PMOI), Council and Commission, 21 December 2011, Grand Chamber, nyr.

Case C-360/10 SABAM v Netlog, 16 February 2012 (nyr).

Case C-380/09 Melli Bank plc v Council, French Republic, UK and Commission, 13 March 2012, Grand Chamber nyr.

Case C-376/10 Pye Phyo Tay Za v Council, 13 March 2012, Grand Chamber, nyr.

Case C-130/10 European Parliament v Council, 19 July 2012, Grand Chamber, nyr.

Joined Cases C 539/10 P and C 550/10 P, Stichting Al-Aqsa v Council, 15 November 2012, nyr.

ECtHR

Zana v Turkey, No. 69/1996/688/880, 25 November 1997.

Comingersoll S.A. v Portugal, No. 35382/97, 6 April 2000.

Segi and Others and Gestoras Pro-Amnistia and Others v 15 States of the EU, Nos 6422/02 and 9916/02, 23 May 2002.

Sürmeli v Germany, No. 75529/01, 31 October 2005.

Segerstedt-Wiberg and Others v Sweden, No. 62332/00, 6 June 2006.

Burden and Burden v UK, No. 13378/05, 12 December 2006.

Leroy v France No. 36109/03, 2 October 2008.

A and Others v UK, No. 3455/05, 19 February 2009.

Gül and Others v Turkey, No. 4870/02, 8 June 2010.

Sanoma Uitgevers v Netherlands, No. 38224/03, 14 September 2010.

Kiliç and Eren v Turkey, No. 43807/07, 29 November 2011.

Vejdeland and Others v Sweden, No. 1813/07, 9 February 2012.

Nada v Switzerland, No. 10593/08, 12 September 2012.

XX Intersentia

UN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE

Nabil Sayadi and Patricia Vinck v Belgium (Communication No. 1472/2006), final views, 22 October 2008.

NATIONAL COURTS

DENMARK

U 2007.1831 H

Copenhagen City Court, judgment of 27 March 2007, unpublished.

High Court, judgment of 6 February 2008, unpublished.

U 2009.1453 H

Copenhagen City Court, judgment of 15 March 2010, unpublished.

THE NETHERLANDS

Rechtbank's-Gravenhage 13-5-2003, KG 03/514, LJN AF8506.

Rechtbank 's-Gravenhage 3-6-2003, KG 03/514, LJN AF9389.

Rechtbank Amsterdam 31-3-2005, HA RK 04-948, JOR 2005/118.

Gerechtshof Amsterdam 5-1-2006, 987/05, JOR 2006/200.

Gerechtshof's-Gravenhage 8-11-2010, 200.076.673/01, LJN BO3682.

Rechtbank Amsterdam 21-7-2011, AWB 11/3132 BESLU, LJN BR2619.

Rechtbank 's-Gravenhage 21-10-2011, 09/748802-09, LJN BU9716.

Rechtbank's-Gravenhage 21-10-2011, 09/748801-09, LJN BU7200.

Hoge Raad 28-10-2011, nr. 10/05147, LJN BQ9880.

Rechtbank Amsterdam 15-11-2011, AWB 11/5163 WET, unpublished.

SWEDEN

Hovrätten över Skåne och Blekinge (Court of Appeal), case no. B 685–09, decided 9 November 2009, unpublished.

Stockholm District Court, judgment 2011-04-13 in case no. T 9176-08 unpublished.

UK

Secretary of State for the Home Department v Rehman [2001] UKHL 47.

Kurdistan Workers' Party & Ors, R (on the application of) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2002] EWHC 644 (Admin).

Intersentia XXI

A (FC) and others (FC) (Appellants) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (Respondent), [2004] UKHL 56.

Lord Alton of Liverpool & Others v Secretary of State for the Home Department Appeal PC/02/2007 Open Determination 30 November 2007.

Secretary of State for the Home Department v Lord Alton of Liverpool & Others [2008] EWCA Civ 443.

Her Majesty's Treasury v Mohammed Jabar Ahmed & Others [2010] UKSC 1.

Al Rawi v the Security Service [2011] UKSC 34.

Tariq v Home Office [2011] UKSC 3.

Lord Carlile of Berriew CBE QC and Others and Maryam Rajavi v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2012] EWHC 617 (Admin).

USA

Whitney v California, 274 U.S. 357 (1927).
Dennis v U.S., 341 U.S. 494 (1951).
Brandenburg v Ohio 395 U.S. 444 (1969).
Bethel School District No. 403 v Fraser, 478 U.S. 675 (1986).
Texas v Johnson, 491 U.S. 397, 412 (1991).
City of Ladue v Gilleo, 512 U.S. 43, 59 (1994).
Holder v Humanitarian Law Project, 561 U.S. _____ (2010).

XXII Intersentia