



Market Integration through 'Network
Governance': The Role of European
Agencies and Networks of Regulators

Market Integration through 'Network Governance':
The Role of European Agencies and Networks of
Regulators

DISSERTATION

to obtain the degree of Doctor
at Maastricht University,
on the authority of the Rector Magnificus,
Prof. dr. L.L.G. Soete
in accordance with the decision of the Board of Deans,
to be defended in public
on Thursday 15 November 2012, at 10.00 hours

by

Marco Zinzani

Supervisor:

Prof. dr. E. Vos

Assessment Committee:

Prof. dr. B. De Witte (Chairman)

Prof. dr. R. Dehousse (Sciences Po, Paris)

Prof. dr. L.F.M. Verhey (Universiteit Leiden)

Cover picture © Roberts - Dreamstime.com

Layout by Marina Jodogne.

A commercial edition of this PhD thesis will be published by Intersentia in the Ius Commune Europaeum Series, No. 110 under ISBN: 978-1-78068-128-3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

When I first reached Maastricht, in August 2006, I would never have thought I would eventually spend five years in the Netherlands. At that time, I was an Italian graduate, willing to deepen his knowledge of EU law by taking a master degree in a foreign country. My plan was to obtain the degree and then go back to my home country to complete the legal training. But, from the very beginning, I liked the academic environment at the Faculty of Law so much that, in January 2007, I had no hesitation when my supervisor informed me of the opportunity to write a proposal and apply for a Ph.D. position.

Throughout my 4 years as a *promovendus*, I have definitely experienced the university life to the full. For that, I want to thank first and foremost my supervisor, Prof. Ellen Vos, for having offered me the opportunity to work on an exciting research project that, after four years, eventually resulted in this book. Thank you for your understanding, constant support, patience, criticism and assessment of my chapters. You never stopped encouraging me in pursuing my goals: I was fortunate to have you as my *promotor*.

Then, I would like to thank wholeheartedly the members of the Assessment committee, Prof. B. De Witte, Prof. R. Dehousse and Prof. L. Verhey, for their willingness to read my work, taking time out from their busy schedules.

This dissertation owes a lot to the Faculty of Law in Maastricht: I recognize that this research would not have been possible without the financial assistance of the Faculty. For that, I would like to express my gratitude, in particular, to the former and to the current dean, Prof. Heringa and Prof. Schneider. I also owe a lot to my former colleagues and fellow Ph.D. students, for their friendship and support. In particular, I would like to thank all the members of the International and European Law Department (too many to be mentioned individually!), who contribute in making the Faculty such a stimulating, lively and friendly working environment. Throughout the last four years, we have had many opportunities of scientific interactions, which often resulted in ideas and suggestions for this book. A special reference to Andrea, Hildegard, Sjoerd, Stefaan, Anne Pieter: thank you for giving me the opportunity for us to teach together both at the Faculty of Law and the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences. Thanks also to Stephan Rammeloo, Sophie and Diane for their friendship dating back to the MIC programme. Finally, I am

Acknowledgments

grateful to Marijn, Lydie, Eva, Maureen, Suzanne, Diana, Ingrid, Roger and Henk for having been so kind and helpful since my first day in Maastricht.

Arkady, Elise, Eliza, Emanuel, Frank, Giancarlo, Jane, Kees, Maria Angela, Mario, Mariolina, Mark D., Mark S., Martin, Mieke, Nicola, Nishara, Roland, Salvatore, Siamak: it is because of good friends like you that my time in Maastricht was so enjoyable. Laura and Anke, thank you for participating in my Ph.D defence as paranymphs. Daniel, Adrienne, Erik: thank you for having shared with me the social fortunes of the PhD student life through the Ph.D. Academy. Carol, Inga, Marina, Gijs, thank you for the excellent formatting and editing assistance: it was a real pleasure putting the finishing touches on my Ph.D. with the support of such a helpful and committed group of collaborators.

Finally and most importantly, I want to thank my family and my girlfriend Giulia, without whose love, encouragement and patience I would have not been able to bring my work to its completion.

Marco Zinzani
Maastricht, September 2012

'For things to remain the same, everything must change'.

Il Gattopardo, directed by Luchino Visconti
(1963, Titanus Production)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... v

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....xvii

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLESxxi

INTRODUCTION 1

1. Policy Networks and New Modes of Governance..... 1

2. European Networks of Regulators and European Agencies 4

3. Legal Limits..... 7

4. Network Governance in Network Infrastructure Industries..... 9

5. The Structure of the Research and its Methodology 10

CHAPTER 1: REGULATORY NETWORK STRUCTURES IN EU LAW: A CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS 13

1. Introduction 13

2. The Emergence of Networks in the European Union..... 16

3. Narrowing down the List of Networks: Regulatory Networks 21

4. The Rationale behind the Rise of Regulatory Networks in the EU: Network Governance Theory 23

Table of Contents

5.	Common Features of European Networks of Regulators	30
5.1.	Institutional/organisational Architecture.....	30
5.2.	Powers.....	31
5.3.	The Interaction between the Networks, their Components and other Actors: Integrating Supranational and National Bodies	31
6.	An Alternative Option for the Regulation of the Internal Market: European Network Agencies	32
6.1.	The Rationale for EU Network Agencies: Network Agencies Theory	37
7.	Common Features of EU Network Agencies.....	38
7.1.	Institutional/organisational Architecture.....	38
7.2.	Powers.....	39
7.3.	The Interaction between Network Agencies and other Actors: Integrating Supranational and National Bodies.....	41
8.	Networks of Regulators and Network Agencies: Similarities and Distinguishing Features.....	41
9.	Network Infrastructure Industries.....	42
10.	Governance and Institutional Solutions for the Regulation of EU Network Infrastructure Industries.....	45
11.	Conclusion.....	46
CHAPTER 2: INTRODUCING EUROPEAN NETWORKS OF REGULATORS' AND NETWORK AGENCIES' CONTROVERSIAL LEGAL ISSUES		49
1.	Introduction	49
2.	A Background Principle: Institutional Balance and the Problem of Delegating Powers	52
2.1.	Institutional Balance: Legal Dimension.....	54
2.1.1.	The <i>Meroni</i> Doctrine	55
2.1.2.	The <i>Meroni</i> Cases	56
2.1.3.	The View of the Commission on Delegation of Powers.....	57
2.1.4.	An Assessment of the <i>Meroni</i> Doctrine.....	59
2.2.	Institutional Balance: Political Dimension	61
3.	Legitimacy	62
4.	Introducing Accountability Concerns	64
4.1.	The Question of Political Accountability.....	66

4.2.	Legal Accountability	70
4.2.1.	The Scope of Legal Accountability.....	71
4.2.1.1.	Legal Accountability and Network Structures.....	72
4.2.1.2.	Legal Basis	73
4.2.1.3.	The Legal Constraints on Actions for Annulment	75
4.2.1.3.1.	Standing.....	76
4.2.1.3.2.	Actions for Annulment and European Agencies	78
4.2.1.3.3.	Judicial Review and Networks of Regulators.....	80
4.2.1.3.4.	The Debate on the Role of the Judges	81
4.3.	The Emergence of New Forms of Accountability	83
5.	Participation and Transparency	83
5.1.	Participation.....	84
5.2.	Transparency.....	87
6.	Conclusion.....	90
CHAPTER 3: NETWORKS OF REGULATORS IN THE EU ENERGY FIELD.....		93
1.	Introduction	93
2.	Characteristics of European Energy Law	94
2.1.	Establishing an Internal Energy Market: Scope and Critical Issues.....	94
2.2.	Regulation of Energy Industries: The Difficult Integration of European Networks.....	97
2.3.	The Creation and Powers of National Regulators	98
2.4.	Main Features of the Energy Markets from a Substantive Perspective	99
3.	Institutional Arrangements for the Regulation of the European Energy Market	101
3.1.	Origins and Legal Basis of EU Energy Regulation	101
3.2.	The First Electricity Directive.....	104
3.3.	Mandate/Functions/Powers of the National Regulatory Authorities and Commission Competences under Directive 96/92/EC	104
3.4.	Reasons for Cooperation among National Energy Regulators	105
4.	A Loose Network of Regulators: The Florence Forum	106
4.1.	Origins of the Florence Forum	107
4.2.	Institutional Features and Composition	108
4.3.	Functions	110
4.4.	Evaluation of the Florence Forum	112
4.4.1.	Benefits of the Forum	112
4.4.2.	Shortcomings of the Forum	113
5.	The Council of European Energy Regulators (CEER).....	114

6.	The Second Electricity Directive	117
6.1.	Mandate and Functions of the NRAs	118
6.2.	Enforcement/Sanctions Available to NRAs.....	120
6.3.	Procedures Applicable to NRAs	120
6.3.1.	Accountability of the NRAs.....	121
6.3.2.	Role of the Commission	122
7.	An Enhanced Network of Regulators: Legal Basis, Composition and Organisation of the ERGEG.....	122
7.1.	The Tasks of the ERGEG	124
7.2.	Evaluation of the ERGEG.....	126
7.3.	Compliance with Good Governance Norms: Transparency, Participation and Accountability.....	128
8.	The Third Package	130
9.	The Creation of a European Regulatory Agency: ACER.....	133
9.1.	Role and Mandate	135
9.1.1.	Tasks Related to the Cooperation of Transmission System Operators.....	136
9.1.2.	Tasks Involving the National Regulatory Authorities	142
9.1.3.	Tasks as Regards Terms and Conditions for Access to and Operational Security of Cross-border Infrastructure	144
9.1.4.	Other Tasks	145
9.1.5.	Summary of the Tasks	146
9.2.	Structure	147
9.3.	Consultation of the Agency with Stakeholders and Openness.....	151
9.4.	Accountability of the Agency	153
9.5.	Advantages and Disadvantages of ACER	154
9.6.	Evaluation	155
10.	Conclusion	156
CHAPTER 4: NETWORKS OF REGULATORS IN THE EU TELECOM FIELD		159
1.	Introduction	159
2.	Characteristics of European Telecommunication Law	161
2.1.	Establishing an Internal Telecom Market: Scope and Critical Issues.....	162
2.2.	Substantive Issues: Liberalisation and Harmonisation.....	162
3.	Institutional Arrangements for the Regulation of the European Telecom Market	165
3.1.	Mandate and Powers of the National Regulatory Authorities and Commission Competences.....	167
3.2.	Reasons for Cooperation among European Regulators.....	168

4.	A Loose Network of Regulators: The Independent Regulators Group (IRG).....	169
4.1.	Analysis.....	171
4.1.1.	Institutional Organisation.....	171
4.1.2.	Powers.....	172
4.1.3.	Relationships with other Actors.....	173
4.1.4.	Mechanisms for Control.....	173
4.2.	Evaluation of the IRG.....	173
4.2.1.	Coherence of the IRG's Activities with the Objectives set out in the Constituent Acts/legitimacy.....	174
4.2.2.	Role Played by the IRG in the Inter-institutional Decision-making Process.....	174
4.2.3.	Overall Compliance of the IRG with the Principles of Good Governance.....	174
5.	The 2002 Regulatory Framework.....	175
6.	An Enhanced Network of Regulators: The European Regulators Group (ERG).....	175
6.1.	Analysis.....	176
6.1.1.	Institutional Organisation.....	177
6.1.2.	Powers.....	178
6.1.3.	Relationships with other Actors.....	180
6.1.4.	Mechanisms for Control.....	181
6.2.	Evaluation of the ERG.....	183
7.	The Third Legislative Package.....	185
7.1.	The Antefacts.....	185
7.2.	The Legislative Measures.....	187
7.3.	The Current EU Regulatory Framework for NRAs.....	187
8.	A New European Network Agency? The Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications (BEREC) and the Office.....	190
8.1.	Analysis of BEREC.....	194
8.1.1.	Institutional Organisation.....	194
8.1.2.	Powers.....	197
8.1.3.	Relationships with other Actors.....	202
8.1.4.	Mechanisms for Control.....	204
8.1.5.	Transparency, Consultation and Independence.....	204
8.2.	Analysis of the Office.....	208
8.2.1.	Institutional Structure.....	208
8.2.2.	Functions.....	211
8.2.3.	Mechanisms for Control.....	212
8.3.	Evaluation of BEREC and the Office.....	213
8.3.1.	Coherence of BEREC's Activities with the Objectives set out in the Constituent Acts.....	215
8.3.2.	Role Played by BEREC in the Inter-institutional Decision-making Process.....	216

8.3.3.	Compliance of BEREC and the Office with the Principles of Good Governance	219
9.	Conclusion	220
CHAPTER 5: THE INSTITUTIONAL MODELS FOR THE REGULATION OF EU NETWORK INDUSTRIES COMPARED		225
1.	Introduction	225
2.	The Multilevel System of Governance of EU Network Industries: The Actors Involved	226
3.	Institutional Models in Network Industries: A Comparison between Energy and Telecom Regulation.....	228
3.1.	Summary of the Results	230
3.2.	Concepts.....	232
3.3.	Analysis: A Comparison of ACER and BEREC	234
3.3.1.	Legal Basis.....	235
3.3.2.	Organisational Structures	235
3.3.3.	Role/function	237
3.4.	Legal Features of Networks in Network Industries Governance.....	238
4.	The Emerging Institutional System of Governance in Network Industries	240
4.1.	The Networks of Regulators within the Institutional Balance of Powers ..	241
4.2.	ACER, BEREC and Meroni.....	242
4.3.	Legitimacy of the Models.....	243
4.4.	Consequences for Accountability of Institutional Network Models in EU Network Industries	244
4.5.	New Institutional Models in Network Industries and Legal Accountability	245
4.6.	Political Accountability.....	250
4.7.	Overall Compliance of the Institutional Models with the Principles of Good Governance	252
5.	Towards a European Institutional Model of Industry Regulation?	254
6.	Conclusion	256
CONCLUSION		259
SAMENVATTING		265

TABLE OF CASES	271
BIBLIOGRAPHY	275
CURRICULUM VITAE	303

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACER	Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators
ASBL	fr: <i>Association Sans But Lucratif</i> ; Non-profit organisation
BEREC	Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications
BERT	Body of European Regulators in Telecom
BoR	Board of Regulators
CACM	Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management
CdT	Translation Centre for the Bodies of the European Union
CEDEFOP	European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training
CEER	Council of European Energy Regulators
CEN	European Committee for Standardization
CENELEC	European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
CEPT	Conference of Postal and Telecommunications Administrations
CERRE	Centre on Regulation in Europe
CESR	Committee of European Securities Regulators
CFI	Court of First Instance
CFSP	Common Foreign and Security Policy
CN	Contact Network
CPMP	Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products
CPVO	Community Plant Variety Office
DG	Directorate General
DSO	Distribution System Operator
EACEA	Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency
EACI	Executive Agency for Competitiveness and Innovation
EAHC	Executive Agency for Health and Consumers
EAR	European Agency for Reconstruction

List of Abbreviations

EASA	European Aviation Safety Agency
EBA	European Banking Authority
EC	European Community
ECB	European Central Bank
ECC-Net	European Consumer Centres Network
ECJ	Court of Justice of the European Union
ECN	European Competition Network
ECO	European Communications Office
ECPR	European Consortium for Political Research
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
ECSC T	European Coal and Steel Community Treaty
EEA	European Environment Agency
EEC	European Economic Community
EECMA	European Electronic Communications Market Authority
EEIG	European Economic Interest Grouping
EERF	European Electricity Regulation Forum
EFET	European Federation of Electricity Traders
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EFT	European Training Foundation
EFTA	European Free Trade Association
EIoP	European Integration Online Papers
EIOPA	European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
EJN	European Judicial Network
EMA	European Medicines Authority
EMCDDA	European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction
EMEA	European Medicines Evaluation Agency
EMSA	European Maritime Safety Authority
ENISA	European Network and Information Security Agency
ENTSO	European Network of Transmission System Operator
ENTSO-E	European Network of Transmission System Operator for Electricity
ENTSO-G	European Network of Transmission System Operator for Gas
EP	European Parliament
ERA	European Regulatory Agency
ERC	European Research Council
ERG	European Regulators Group for electronic communications networks and services
ERGEG	European Regulators' Group for Electricity and Gas
ESC	European Securities Committee
ESMA	European Securities and Markets Authority
ETSI	European Telecommunications Standards Institute
ETSO	European Transmission Systems Operators
EU	European Union

EUI	European University Institute
EU-OSHA	European Agency for Safety and Health at Work
EUROGOV	European Governance Papers
EUSA	European Union Studies Association
FIDE	<i>Fédération Internationale pour le Droit Européen</i>
FSR	Florence School of Regulation
GFG	Gas Focus Group
GGP	Guidelines of Good Practice
GGP-EBMI	Guidelines of Good Practice on Electricity Balancing Market Integration
GGP-FIU-DSO	Guidelines of Good Practice – Functional and Informational Unbundling for DSOs
GGP-IMT	Guidelines of Good Practice on Information Management and Transparency in Electricity Markets
GGP-OSE	Guidelines of Good Practice on Operational Security in Electricity
GGP RAU	Guidelines of Good Practice – Regulatory Account Unbundling
IERN	International Energy Regulation Network
IFIEC	International Federation of Industrial Energy Consumers
IRG	Independent Regulators Group
MS	Member State
NCA	National Competition Authority
NDP	Network Development Plans
NGA	Next Generation Access
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NRA	National Regulatory Authority
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OHIM	Office of Harmonization for the Internal Market
OJ	Official Journal
OLAF	European Anti-Fraud Office
OMC	Open Method of Coordination
ONP	Open Network Provision
PIBs	Principles of Implementation and Best Practices
PJCCM	Police and Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters
REA	Research Executive Agency
REMIT	Regulation (EU) No. 1227/2011 on Wholesale Energy Market Integrity and Transparency
RSCAS	Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies
RSPG	Radio Spectrum Policy Group
SMP	Significant Market Power
SNE	Seconded National Expert
SOLVIT	Internal Market Problem Solving System
TEN-T EA	Trans-European Transport Network Executive Agency

List of Abbreviations

TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TILEC	Tilburg Law and Economics Centre
TSO	Transmission System Operator
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

List of Figures

Figure 1: Process for establishing binding network codes under the Third Package (summary)	141
Figure 2: The position of ACER in the Third Package Framework	147
Figure 3: Two-tier institutional structure: a summary	194
Figure 4: Overview - The interaction of BEREC with the institutions	207
Figure 5: EU telecom regulation framework- summary	221
Figure 6: Network governance in network industries: development over time	230

List of Tables

Table 1: Tasks of BEREC and the Office - summary	202
Table 2: Overview	232

