

THE ROLE OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS
IN MULTILEVEL GOVERNANCE

THE ROLE OF
CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS
IN MULTILEVEL GOVERNANCE

Patricia POPELIER
Armen MAZMANYAN
Werner VANDENBRUWAENE
(eds.)



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Publishing Ltd.
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK:
Hart Publishing Ltd.
16C Worcester Place
Oxford OX1 2JW
UK
Tel.: +44 1865 517 530
Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for the USA and Canada:
International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)
Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for Austria:
Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag
Argentinierstraße 42/6
1040 Wien
Austria
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24
Email: office@nvw.at

Distribution for other countries:
Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50
Email: mail@intersentia.be

The Role of Constitutional Courts in Multilevel Governance
Patricia Popelier, Armen Mazmanyan and Werner Vandenbruwaene (eds.)

© 2013 Intersentia
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Cover image: Blütenzauber, Klee Paul (1879-1940) © Musée national d'Art moderne – Centre Georges Pompidou, MNAM-CCI, Dist. RMN-Grand Palais/Jean-Claude Planchet

ISBN 978-1-78068-106-1
D/2013/7849/3
NUR 828



British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

CONTENTS

Chapter 1.

Constitutional Courts and Multilevel Governance in Europe.

Editors' Introduction

Armen MAZMANYAN, Patricia POPELIER and Werner

VANDENBRUWAENE 1

1. Institutional transformation and the expansion of constitutional review. . 1
2. The expansion of the scope and functions of constitutional review. 6
 - 2.1. Constitutional courts as guardians of fundamental rights 6
 - 2.2. Constitutional courts as watchdogs over the institutional balance . . 8
 - 2.3. Constitutional courts as forums for deliberation 11
 - 2.4. Constitutional courts as regulatory watchdogs 13
3. Navigating the meanders of multi-level governance. 15

PART I.

CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS GUARDIANS OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

Chapter 2.

Constitutional Courts as Guardians of Fundamental Rights.

The constitutionalisation of the Convention through domestic constitutional adjudication

Catherine VAN DE HEYNING 21

1. Introduction. 21
2. The role of constitutional courts in the embedding of the Strasbourg jurisprudence. 25
 - 2.1. Interpretation in the light of the Convention. 28
 - 2.2. A Convention infringement as a constitutional violation 30
 - 2.3. Convention harmonious interpretation as a constitutional obligation 32
3. Incentives and hindrances to the domestic embedding of the Strasbourg jurisprudence. 33
 - 3.1. Strasbourg jurisprudence as binding or inspirational? 33
 - 3.2. Hindrances to the embedding of Strasbourg jurisprudence 36

4.	The European Court of Human Rights as a constitutional court	39
4.1.	A constitutional role for the European Court of Human Rights . . .	39
4.2.	A fundamental dichotomy	43
5.	Conclusion	48

Chapter 3.

The Challenges for Constitutional Courts as Guardians of Fundamental Rights in the European Union

	Aida TORRES PÉREZ	49
1.	Introduction	49
2.	A disturbing scenario for constitutional courts	51
2.1.	Decentralising the judicial review of legislation	51
2.2.	Reviewing domestic legislation in light of EU fundamental rights	53
3.	Rethinking the role of constitutional courts	61
4.	Case study: constitutional conflicts on the European Arrest Warrant	66
5.	Concluding remarks	75

PART II.

CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS WATCHDOGS OVER THE INSTITUTIONAL BALANCE

Chapter 4.

The Role of National Constitutional Courts in the European Legal Space

	Monica CLAES and Bruno DE WITTE	79
1.	Introduction	79
2.	The legal routes for entering the European legal space	83
2.1.	European Convention law	83
2.2.	EU law	87
3.	Facilitating European legal integration	92
3.1.	Facilitating the general development of EU law and its reception in the national legal order	92
4.	Constitutional courts and the development of a common constitutional heritage	94
5.	Constitutional courts up in arms	101
6.	Final remarks	104

Chapter 5.**Regions and Constitutional Courts in a Multilayered Europe**

Anna GAMPER	105
1. The new role of constitutional courts in multilevel governance	105
2. The regions of Europe: a classification	107
3. Premises for the judicial protection of regions	109
4. Constitutional avenues to the protection of regional interests by national constitutional courts	110
4.1. Organisational approach	110
4.2. Functional approach	111
5. Regions and the CJEU <i>de lege lata</i>	117
6. Stakeholders as an alternative model?	121
7. Regional access to subsidiarity actions: national arrangements	123
8. Regions and the CJEU <i>de lege ferenda</i>	126
9. Conclusion	129

Chapter 6.**The Judicial Enforcement of Subsidiarity. The comparative quest for an appropriate standard**

Werner VANDENBRUWAENE	131
1. Introduction	131
2. Subsidiarity: a multilevel concept	133
2.1. Multilevel governance	133
2.2. The principle of subsidiarity	134
3. The judicial enforcement of subsidiarity	137
4. Subsidiarity as a non-justiciable political question: three questions	139
4.1. Question 1 – Is there an explicit textual commitment to political resolution of subsidiarity?	140
4.1.1. Concerning constitutional subsidiarity	140
4.1.2. Concerning legislative subsidiarity	140
4.1.2.1. The Bundesverfassungsgericht on Article 72 II GG	140
4.1.2.2. The CJEU on Article 5(3) TEU	141
4.1.2.3. The Belgian Constitutional Court on local autonomy	142
4.2. Question 2 – Are there prudential reasons for judicial self-restraint?	142
4.2.1. Concerning constitutional subsidiarity	142
4.2.2. Concerning legislative subsidiarity	144
4.2.2.1. The Bundesverfassungsgericht on Article 72 II GG	144

4.2.2.2.	The CJEU on Article 5(3) TEU	144
4.2.2.3.	The Belgian Constitutional Court on local autonomy	145
4.3.	Question 3 – Methodological barriers to justiciability?	146
4.3.1.	Concerning constitutional subsidiarity.....	146
4.3.2.	Concerning legislative subsidiarity	146
4.3.2.1.	The Bundesverfassungsgericht on Article 72 II GG.....	146
4.3.2.2.	The CJEU on Article 5(3) TEU	147
4.3.2.3.	The Belgian Constitutional Court on local autonomy	147
5.	How to remedy the methodological objections? <i>Capita selecta</i>	147
5.1.	The <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> on Article 72 II GG	148
5.2.	The CJEU on Article 5(3) TEU	152
5.3.	The Belgian Constitutional Court on local autonomy	159
6.	General observations on methodology	160
7.	Conclusion	164

PART III.

CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS FORA FOR DELIBERATION

Chapter 7.

**Majoritarianism, Deliberation and Accountability as Institutional
Instincts of Constitutional Courts**

Armen MAZMANIAN	167	
1.	Majoritarian countermajoritarian courts: introduction.....	167
2.	Majoritarian courts: perspectives from the theories of constitutional dialogue.....	168
3.	Majoritarian courts: evidence.....	171
4.	Expanding the case study: evidence from non-consolidated democracies	173
5.	Why do constitutional courts make popular decisions?.....	176
6.	Deliberative courts: implications for multilevel systems of governance	179
7.	Conclusions	181

Chapter 8.

Constitutional Courts and Deliberative Democracy

Mark VAN HÖECKE.....	183	
1.	Introduction.....	183
2.	Ideological backgrounds	184

3. Legitimation of constitutional review	185
4. Legitimation through deliberative communication	190
5. The constitutional interpretive community	192

Chapter 9.

Deliberative Practices of Constitutional Courts in Consolidated and Non-Consolidated Democracies

Patricia POPELIER and Aída Araceli PATIÑO ÁLVAREZ	199
1. The role of courts in deliberative theory	199
2. Brief introduction to the legal and political context	203
2.1. Deliberative judicial review in consensus democracies	204
2.2. Deliberative judicial review in Latin America	206
3. Deliberative practices of constitutional courts in old and new democracies	208
3.1. Variable 1. Access to the Court	208
3.1.1. Limited access	209
3.1.2. Wide access	211
3.2. Variable 2. The set of reference norms	215
3.3. Variable 3. Reasoning	218
3.3.1. Transparency of the reasoning process	218
3.3.2. Reasoning	221
3.4. Variable 4. Sanctioning and modalities	223
3.5. Variable 5. Review against procedural norms	226
3.6. Variable 6. Review against deliberative requirements included in legal principles	229
4. Conclusion	230

PART IV.

CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS REGULATORY WATCHDOGS

Chapter 10.

The Good Shepherd of Karlsruhe. The ‘Hartz IV’ decision – A good example of regulatory review by the German Federal Constitutional Court?

Klaus MEßERSCHMIDT	235
1. Introduction	235
2. The image and role of the constitutional court as regulatory watchdog	237
2.1. Judicial activism versus judicial self-restraint	237
2.2. Different approaches to judicial review	238
3. Challenges to the procedural approach of regulatory review	240

4. <i>Hartz IV</i> Case	243
5. Outlook.....	247

Chapter 11.

The Court as Regulatory Watchdog. The procedural approach in the case law of the European Court of Human Rights

Patricia POPELIER	249
1. Rationality, legitimacy and the rise of regulatory reform programs	249
2. Procedural rationality as a middle way between deference and rights protection	251
3. Procedural rationality versus parliamentary sovereignty	254
4. The European Court of Human Rights as regulatory watchdog: analysis of case law	257
4.1. Consultation procedures	258
4.2. Evidence based law making.....	259
4.3. <i>Ex post</i> evaluation	263
4.4. Self-regulation and co-regulation.....	264
5. Conclusion	265

Chapter 12.

Courts as Regulatory Watchdogs. Does the European Court of Justice bark or bite?

David KEYAERTS	269
1. Introduction.....	269
2. Better Regulation in the EU	271
3. Should EU courts act as regulatory watchdogs?.....	274
4. Impact of Better Regulation in case law of the EU courts	277
4.1. Introduction: convergence between BR output standards and the principles of proportionality and subsidiarity.....	277
4.2. Low intensity of review	279
4.3. The recourse to procedural requirements	281
4.3.1. Introduction.....	281
4.3.2. The principle of proportionality and due care requirements	281
4.3.3. Essential procedural requirement.....	284
4.3.4. Self-binding effect of BR Programme commitments.....	285
4.3.5. The principle of proportionality and IA as procedural requirement	287
5. Conclusion	288

Chapter 13.**Standing Rights and Regulatory Dynamics in the European Union**

Anne MEUWESE.	291
1. Introduction.	291
2. Standing rights and regulation.	295
3. Standing rights and participation	296
4. Pre-Lisbon case law: restrictive on ‘individual concern’	297
5. Crafting the reform of Article 263 para 4 TFEU	300
6. Recent case law: still restrictive?	302
7. Conclusion: what change in regulatory dynamics?	308

