# ANNOTATED LEADING CASES OF

# **INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS**

**VOLUME XXXIII:** 

# THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA 2006–2007

André KLIP and Göran SLUITER (eds.)



Intersentia Publishing Ltd. Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK: Hart Publishing Ltd. 16 C Worcester Place Oxford OX1 2JW UK Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30 Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for Austria: Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Argentinierstraße 42/6 1040 Wien Austria Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Email: office@nwv.at Distribution for the USA and Canada: International Specialized Book Services 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300 Portland, OR 97213 USA Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) Tel.: +1 503 287 3093 Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for other countries: Intersentia Publishing nv Groenstraat 31 2640 Mortsel Belgium Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 Email: mail@intersentia.be

Please cite as: ICTY, Decision on Ojdanić motion to prohibit witness proofing, *Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.*, Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III, 12 December 2006, Klip/ Sluiter, ALC-XXXIII-69.

Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals André Klip and Göran Sluiter (eds.) Cover illustration: Helena C. Kluwer

© 2013 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com

ISBN 978-1-78068-099-6 D/2013/7849/17 NUR 828

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	5
Preface	9

## Part 1/ Preliminary Matters

#### 1. Jurisdiction and indictment

Decision on Ljube Boškoski's appeal on jurisdiction, <i>Prosecutor v. Boškoski and Tarčulovski</i> , Case No. IT-04-82-AR72.2, A. Ch., 9 January 2007	11
Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Pocar	14
Decision on several motions challenging jurisdiction, <i>Prosecutor v. Gotovina, Čermak and Markač</i> , Case No. IT-06-90-PT, T. Ch. I, 19 March 2007	15
Decision on Ante Gotovina's preliminary motions alleging defects in the form of the joinder indictment, <i>Prosecutor v. Gotovina, Čermak and Markač</i> , Case No. IT-06-90-PT, T. Ch. I, 19 March 2007	35
Commentary Joseph William Davids	52

#### 2. Provisional release

Decision on prosecution's urgent motion to revoke UNMIK's delegated authority to monitor Ramush Haradinaj's political activities, <i>Prosecutor v. Haradinaj, Balaj and Brahimaj</i> ,	
Case No. IT-04-84-T, T. Ch. I, 7 March 2007	57
Commentary Karel De Meester	60

#### Part 2/ Procedural Matters

#### 3. Witnesses

Decision on Ojdanić motion for disclosure of witness statements and for finding of violation of Rule 66(A)(ii), <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III,	
29 September 2006	63
Decision on Ojdanić motion to prohibit witness proofing, <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III, 12 December 2006	69
Second decision on prosecution motion for leave to amend its Rule 65 <i>ter</i> witness list to add Wesley Clark, <i>Prosecutor v, Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III,	
16 February 2007	77
Commentary Stefanie Bock	86

## 4. Access to, admissibility of and presentation of evidence

Decision concerning the use of statements given by the accused, <i>Prosecutor v. Mrkšić, Radić and Šljivančanin</i> , Case No. IT-95-13/1-T, T. Ch. II, 9 October 2006	97
Decision on the prosecution motions for admission of evidence pursuant to Rule 92 <i>bis</i> of the Rules, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 8 December 2006	107
Decision on defence's submission of the expert report of Professor Smilja Avramov pursuant to rule 94 <i>bis</i> , <i>Prosecutor v. Martić</i> , Case No. IT-95-11-T, T. Ch. I, 9 November 2006	115
Decision on prosecution motion to admit documentary evidence, <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III, 10 October 2006	127
Decision on "Motion by Mićo Stanišić for access to all confidential materials in the Krajišnik case", <i>Prosecutor v. Krajišnik</i> , Case No. IT-00-39-A, A. Ch., 21 February 2007	141
Commentary Christodoulos Kaoutzanis	154
5. Counsel	
Decision on appeal against the Trial Chamber's decision on assignment of counsel, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-AR73.3, A. Ch., 20 October 2006	159
Reasons for decision (No. 2) on assignment of counsel, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67, T. Ch. I, 27 November 2006	175
Decision on appeal against the Trial Chamber's decision (no. 2) on assignment of counsel, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-AR73.4, A. Ch., 8 December 2006	181
Decision on finding of misconduct of attorney Miroslav Šeparović, <i>Prosecutor v. Gotovina, Čermak and Markač</i> , Case No. IT-06-90-T, T. Ch. I, 6 March 2007	191
Commentary Jarinde Temminck Tuinstra	196
6. Joinder and aspects of the right to a fair trial	
Decision on second Ojdanić motion for stay of proceedings, <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III, 19 October 2006	209
Decision on interlocutory appeals against the Trial Chamber's decision to amend the indictment and for joinder, <i>Prosecutor v. Gotovina, Čermak and Markač</i> , Case No. IT-01-45-AR73.1, IT-03-73-AR73.1, IT-03-73-AR73.2, A. Ch.,	
25 October 2006	215
Decision on adoption of new measures to bring the trial to an end within a reasonable time, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 13 November 2006	233
Decision on prosecution appeal concerning the Trial Chamber's ruling reducing time for the prosecution case, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-AR73.4, A. Ch., 6 Echrupry 2007	241
6 February 2007	241
Commentary Katarina Škrbec	249

## 7. Relations with States – cooperation and referral

Decision on Sreten Lukić's amended Rule 54 <i>bis</i> application, <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III, 29 September 2006	257
Decision on appeal against decision on referral under Rule 11 <i>bis</i> , <i>Prosecutor v. Kovačević</i> , Case No. IT-01-42/2-AR11 <i>bis</i> .1, A. Ch., 28 March 2007	267
Commentary David Rieks	277

## Part 3/ Judgements

## 8. Contempt

Judgement on allegations of contempt, <i>Prosecutor v. Margetić</i> , Case No. IT-95-14-R77.6, T. Ch. I, 7 February 2007	285
Commentary Dov Jacobs	304

## 9. Judgements

Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Blagoje Simić</i> , Case No. IT-95-9-A, A. Ch., 28 November 2006	313
Dissenting opinion of Judge Shahabuddeen	393
Dissenting opinion of Judge Schomburg	399
Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Liu	407
Commentary Robbert-Jan Winters	422
Judgement, Prosecutor v. Galić, Case No. IT-98-29-A, A. Ch., 30 November 2006	429
Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Pocar	554
Separate Opinion of Judge Shahabuddeen	556
Separate and Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Meron	565
Separate and Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Schomburg	571
Commentary Erica Finkle	593
Judgement, Prosecutor v. Brđanin, Case No. IT-99-36-A, A. Ch., 3 April 2007	609
Declaration of Judge Van den Wyngaert	716
Separate Opinion of Judge Meron	718
Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Shahabuddeen	720
Commentary Lars C. Berster	741

## 10. Sentencing Judgements

Judgement on sentencing appeal, <i>Prosecutor v. Bralo</i> , Case No. IT-95-17-A, A. Ch., 2 April 2007	757
Cii., 2 April 2007	131
Commentary Iris Figueroa	795

## Part 4/ Post-conviction or post-acquittal issues

#### 11. Review

Decision on defence request for review, <i>Prosecutor v. Radić</i> , Case No. IT-98-30/1-R.1, A. Ch., 31 October 2006	801
Declaration of Judge Shahabuddeen	807
Decision on prosecutor's request for review or reconsideration, <i>Prosecutor v. Blaškić</i> , Case No. IT-95-14-R, A. Ch., 23 November 2006	809
Commentary Emmelie Koster	829
Index	833
Contributors and Editors	839

#### PREFACE

This is the thirty-third volume in the series "Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals" and contains the most important decisions of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) from 29 September 2006 up to and including 3 April 2007. It is the sixteenth volume containing decisions of the ICTY.

The present volume is in its approach and structure similar to the previous volumes. Thus, the book contains the full text of all the decisions and judgements, including separate, concurring and dissenting opinions, as well as annexes to the decisions. As with the previous volumes, the editors have ensured that the decisions are fully identical to the *written* original text, as issued by the ICTY Press and Information Office and which bears the signatures of the judges. We are aware that more and more decisions are available on the internet. However, only the written decisions bearing the signatures of the judges can be considered as authoritative versions. In the course of our editorial work on this and previous volumes, we have discovered inconsistencies between the written original version of the decision and the internet version, if the latter is available at all. Much of our editorial efforts consist in making the texts in this series identical to the written original version.

We could only include the full text of the decisions in this volume by reducing their original format. Still, we wanted the reader to be able to identify the page number of the original text, which is throughout the text put in brackets []. We are again very happy that a number of scholars in the field of international criminal law were prepared to write interesting and stimulating commentaries regarding the decisions.

A few words regarding the selection of decisions may give the user insight into our working method. In principle, we select all final judgements. In addition, we publish decisions taken at any stage of the procedure that are important for other reasons: because they deal with a specific legal question, because they are representative of a specific type of decision or because they enter new legal waters. Of course, we cannot publish all decisions. As a result, we may not publish decisions in which issues have been decided in a way similar or identical to a decision that has already been selected.

The decisions are presented in different parts and under different headings.

Part 1, "Preliminary matters", contains two decisions regarding jurisdiction and a decision on alleged defects in the form of the indictment under heading 1. Provisional release is the subject of the decision included under heading 2.

Part 2 deals with procedural matters. It contains decisions regarding witnesses under heading 3 and decisions on the access to, admissibility of, and the presentation of evidence under heading 4. Heading 5 deals with counsel and joinder and aspects of the right to a fair trial are the subjects of the decisions under heading 6. The decisions under heading 7 relate to state cooperation and referral. A contempt decision has been included under heading 8.

Part 3, "Judgments", contains three appeals judgements under heading 9 and a sentencing judgement under heading 10. As mentioned above, judgements are by definition included in this series, because of their importance, both from a factual and legal perspective.

Part 4 deals with post-conviction and post-acquittal issues. It contains two decisions regarding review under heading 11.

We owe acknowledgements to many people without whom we could not have completed this thirty-third volume. These include the Press and Information Office of the ICTY, which offered generous assistance in obtaining all the hard copies of decisions. Our publisher Intersentia, in particular Hans Kluwer, Tom Scheirs and Isabelle van Dongen, facilitated our work. We also acknowledge the work of our student assistants, Anzinga

Low (Maastricht), Mariam Pathan and Jeroen Gunning (Amsterdam), who assisted with the corrections of the text and without whom we would not be able to publish this series. The Netherlands School of Human Rights Research stimulated our work. Steven Freeland from the University of Western Sydney, Australia, offered tremendous help by correcting our English. Last but not least, we wish to thank the distinguished authors of for their commentaries on the decisions.

We hope that this volume will contribute to the further dissemination of the important work of the ICTY and that it will provide access to its decisions to practitioners, academics and students.

André Klip and Göran Sluiter

Maastricht/Amsterdam, October 2012