PATENTS AS PROTECTION OF TRADITIONAL MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE?

EUROPEAN STUDIES IN LAW AND ECONOMICS SERIES

- 1. Group Litigation in European Competition Law. A Law and Economics Perspective, Sonja E. Keske
- 2. Behavioural Economics in Consumer Policy, Hanneke Luth
- 3. Ex-Post Liability Rules in Modern Patent Law, Rosa Castro Bernieri
- 4. Competition and Innovation in the EU Regulation of Pharmaceuticals: The Case of Parallel Trade, Claudia Desogus
- 5. The Law and Economics of Organ Procurement, Firat Bilgel
- 6. Law and Economics in the RIA World. Improving the use of economic analysis in public policy and legislation, Andrea Renda
- 7. Regulatory Competition in European Corporate and Capital Market Law, Lars Hornuf
- 8. Economic Criteria for Criminalization: Optimizing Enforcement in Case of Environmental Violations, Katarina Svatikova

PATENTS AS PROTECTION OF TRADITIONAL MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE?

A Law and Economics Analysis

Petra Ebermann



Intersentia Publishing Ltd.

Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road

Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom

Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK: Distribution for the USA and Canada: Hart Publishing Ltd. International Specialized Book Services

16C Worcester Place 920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300 Oxford OX1 2JW Portland, OR 97213

UK USA

Tel.: +44 1865 517 530 Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)

Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for Austria: Distribution for other countries:
Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Intersentia Publishing nv

Argentinierstraße 42/6 Groenstraat 31
1040 Wien 2640 Mortsel
Austria Belgium

Austria Belgium
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24 Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50

Email: office@nwv.at Email: mail@intersentia.be

Patents as Protection of Traditional Medical Knowledge? A Law and Economics Analysis Petra Ebermann

© 2012 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-1-78068-073-6 NUR 820

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book was in large parts written while I was a research assistant at the Institute of Law and Economics at the University of Hamburg. A number of people have contributed to the final success of this project and I want to take the opportunity to thank them.

First of all, I am especially grateful to my supervisor Thomas Eger for his time, helpful comments and advice and likewise to Hans-Bernd Schäfer who kindly agreed to act as second reviewer and handled the review process very efficiently whilst offering appropriate critique. My colleagues at the Institute were supportive at all times and provided well appreciated comments and inspiration. Amongst them, I would like to mention Katharina Greczszuk and Katherine Walker explicitly who have also become good friends of mine during this time. I wish to thank the editors of the scientific series 'European Studies in Law and Economics' for giving me the opportunity to publish this book in this series: Michael G. Faure, Luigi A. Franzoni and Patrick C. Leyens. I thank the Max Planck Institute of Intellectual Property and Competition Law in Munich for allowing me to conduct parts of my research at their library. I am especially indebted to Inga Ebermann and Tanja Friedrich for their active support, valuable comments and advice and Gabor Gottlieb and Paul Stange for providing practical and technical support at all times.

Most importantly, I want to take the opportunity to thank my parents, my sister (once more) and Patrick Hauser for their support, patience, kindness and constant encouragement.

Intersentia V

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments
Introduction
PART 1. TRADITIONAL MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE, BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS
Chapter 1. The Concept of Traditional Medical Knowledge and the Impact of Biotechnology
1.1. A Definition of Traditional Medical Knowledge111.1.1. Traditional and Indigenous Knowledge121.1.2. Traditional Medical Knowledge131.1.3. General Characteristics of Traditional Medical Knowledge141.1.3.1. Traditional Knowledge Holders151.1.3.2. Creation and Preservation151.1.3.3. Ownership15
1.2. The Role of Natural Resources in Pharmaceutical R&D 16 1.2.1. Overview 16 1.2.2. Pharmaceutical Biotechnology 17 1.2.3. Bioprospecting Research Project 19
1.3. The Value of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology and Traditional Medical Knowledge
Chapter 2. The Convention on Biological Diversity
2.1. Biological Diversity. 25 2.1.1. Loss of Biodiversity 25 2.1.2. Consequences of Biodiversity Loss 26
2.2. Main Objectives of the CBD272.2.1. Conservation and Sustainable Use28

Intersentia Vii

	2.2.2.	Access t	o Biological Resources and Benefit Sharing	. 30
		2.2.2.1.	National Sovereignty	. 31
		2.2.2.2.	The Tragedy of the Commons	. 32
		2.2.2.3.	The Role of Property Rights in Access and	
			Benefit-Sharing Regulation	. 33
2.3.	Article	e 8 (j) CB	D	
		•	ments of Traditional Knowledge Protection	
			ship with the Objectives	
			Protection	
			Protection by Intellectual Property Rights	
			Positive and Negative Approaches to Protection	
	pter 3.			
			ectual Property Rights and Traditional Medical	
Kno	wledge			. 39
3.1.	The T	RIPS Agr	reement	. 39
		_	ction	
		3.1.1.1.		
		3.1.1.2.	The Economic Rationale of Intellectual Property	
			Protection	. 41
	3.1.2.	Objectiv	ves of the TRIPS Agreement	
			Promotion of Free Trade	
			Promotion of Economic Development	
		3.1.2.3.		. 10
		0.11.2.01	Countries	47
	313	General	Principles of Non-Discrimination	
	3.1.3.	3.1.3.1.		
			Most-Favoured-Nation Clause	
3 2	The In		nal Legal and Economic Framework of Patents	
3.2.			al Development of Today's Patent Laws	
			w on TRIPS Rules on Patents	
	3.2.2.		Patentable Subject Matter	
			Rules on Biotechnological Inventions and Biological	. 33
		3.4.4.4.	ē	E C
		2222	Resources	
	222		Disclosure	
	3.2.3.		nomic Underlyings of Patenting	
		3.2.3.1.	The Incentive-to-Invent Theory	
		3.2.3.2.	1	. 59
3.3.			Between Traditional Medical Knowledge, the TRIPS	
	-		the CBD	
			nal Knowledge in the TRIPS Context	
	3 3 2	Intellect	ual Property Rights and the CBD	. 62

Viii Intersentia

		3.3.2.1.	Article 8 (j) CBD – Preservation of and Respect for the	
			Knowledge, Innovations and Practices of Traditional	
			Communities	62
		3.3.2.2.	Conservation and Sustainable Use of Biodiversity	63
		3.3.2.3.	Article 15 CBD - Access to Genetic Resources and	
			Fair and Equitable Benefit Sharing	63
		3.3.2.4.	Article 16 CBD – Access to and Transfer of	
			Technology	63
3.4.	Summ	nary of th	e Main Results of Part 1	
PAF	RT 2.			
		US QUO	- PROTECTION OF TRADITIONAL MEDICAL	
			D BIOTECHNOLOGICAL INVENTIONS UNDER	
			T LAW	67
	pter 1.			
Pate	entabili	ty Criteri	a in the US and the EU	71
1.1.	Legal	Foundati	ons for (Biotechnological) Patents in the US and	
				72
			of US Patent Law	
		1.1.1.1.		
		1.1.1.2.	Federal Statutes and Regulations	
			Case Law	
	1.1.2.		nn Patent Law	
		•	The European Patent Convention	
		1.1.2.2.		
		1.1.2.3.		
1.2.	Substa		tent Requirements	
1.2.			s of Nature	
	1.2.1.		US Patent Law	
			European Patent Law	
	122			
	1.2.2.		The State of the Art in US Patent Law	
			The European Approach to Novelty	
	1 2 2		re Step and Non-Obviousness	
	1.2.3.	1.2.3.1.	US Patent Law – Non-Obviousness	
	124	1.2.3.2.	1	
	1.2.4.	•	and Industrial Application	
			US – Utility	
1 2	Cm = =! (EU – Industrial Application	
1.5.	•		ure of the Invention	94 94
	1 3 1	LUCCIOCI	tre of the Invention	44

Intersentia ix

		1.3.1.1. US Patent Law)4
		1.3.1.2. Europe	6
	1.3.2.	Patent Claims	8
		1.3.2.1. Claim Categories	8
		1.3.2.2. Claim Drafting 9	8
1.4.	Concl	uding Remarks 10	0
Cha	pter 2.		
Biot	echnol	ogical Patents on Pharmaceutical Drugs Developed in	
Biop	prospec	ting Research Projects)1
2.1.		atentability of Biotechnological Inventions Using Traditional	
		al Knowledge 10	
		Natural Products Doctrine	
	2.1.2.	Traditional Medical Knowledge as Invalidating Prior Art 10	
		2.1.2.1. The <i>Neem</i> Patents	13
		2.1.2.2. Analysis of the Prior Art Assessment in the <i>Neem</i>	
		Proceedings	
		2.1.2.3. The Standard of Disclosure	
	2.1.3.	Non-Obviousness in Bioprospecting Research Projects 10	6
		2.1.3.1. US Patent Law: The Standard of Non-Obviousness in	
		the Aftermath of KSR	17
		2.1.3.2. The Inventive Step Requirement in Europe: <i>Neem</i> and	
		Hoodia10	
2.2.	Analy	sis of the Results	.2
	2.2.1.	The Importance of Patents for Pharmaceutical R&D 11	.2
	2.2.2.	The Predictability of the Outcomes of Patent Proceedings 11	4
Cha	pter 3.		
The	Rights	of the Providers of Traditional Medical Knowledge	.7
3.1.		atentability of Traditional Medical Knowledge	
		Products of Nature	
		Novelty and Non-Obviousness	
		Formal Requirements	
3.2.		wner of the Patent: The Concept of Joint Inventorship	
	3.2.1.	Legal Definition of Joint Inventorship	:1
		3.2.1.1. Requirements for Joint Inventorship in US Patent	
		Law	
		3.2.1.2. Joint Inventorship Under the EPC	:2
	3.2.2.	Joint Inventorship of Traditional Knowledge Groups and	
		Pharmaceutical Companies	
3.3.	•	sis of the Results	:7
	3 3 1	The Public Good Problem	7

X Intersentia

132
132
L
_
135
139
139
139
140
140
digenous
141
owledge
144
144
145
145
owledge
148
itive
149
f Profits 149
151
153
cal
154
154
155
155
156
156
157

Intersentia xi

	ter 2. ît-Sharing Agreements and Liability Rules	159
2.1.	Mandatory Benefit-Sharing Agreements as Conditions to	160
2.2.	Benefit Sharing Under a Liability-Based Approach	
	2.2.1. Conditions of a Compensatory Liability Regime	
	2.2.2. Determination of the Value	
	2.2.2.1. Statutory Rules	
	2.2.2.2. Collective Rights Organizations	
	2.2.3. Impact of Benefit Sharing on Retail Costs of Pharmaceuticals	
2.3.	Conclusion on Benefit Sharing	167
Cha	ter 3.	
Prio	Informed Consent and Disclosure Requirements	169
3.1.	The Content and Scope of the Requirements	
	3.1.1. Prior Informed Consent Requirement	
	3.1.2. Disclosure Requirements	170
	3.1.3. Effects and Costs of Prior Informed Consent and Disclosure	150
	Requirements.	
	3.1.3.1. The Sanction in Case of Non-Compliance	
	3.1.3.2. The Effects of Disclosure Requirements	
2 2		
3.2	Compatibility with TRIPS	
	3.2.2. Disclosure Requirements	
	3.2.2.1. Substantive or Formal Requirements	
	3.2.2.2. Article 29 TRIPS.	
	3.2.2.3. Article 62 TRIPS	
3.3.	Conclusion on Disclosure and Prior Informed Consent	1, 1
	Requirements	175
3.4.	Summary of the Results of Part 3.	
Con	lusion. The Results of the Analysis and the Implications for Future	
	issions	177
Bibl	graphy	185
List	f Cases	193
Abo	t the author	197
1 ha	t the course	100

Xii Intersentia