Constitutional Conversations in Europe

Editors: Monica Claes Maartje de Visser Patricia Popelier Catherine Van de Heyning

Constitutional Conversations in Europe

Actors, Topics and Procedures





Ius Commune Europaeum

Monica Claes, Maartje de Visser, Patricia Popelier and Catherine Van de Heyning (eds.) Constitutional Conversations in Europe – Actors, Topics and Procedures

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ ERC Grant agreement no. 207279 (EuNaCon) and from the FWO (fundamental research organization Flanders) and Antwerp University.

Intersentia Publising Ltd
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-1-78068-069-9 D/2012/7849/71 NUR 823

© 2012 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk



Cover picture: The Magna Carta – Wikimedia commons

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photocopy, microfilm or any other means, without written premission from the publisher.

CONTENTS

	onal conversations e Visser, Patricia Popelier and Catherine Van de
 The rise of conversation Some comments on ter Organisation of the book 	ns
PART I NORMATIVE PERSPECTI	VES ON CONSTITUTIONAL CONVERSATIONS 11
Court of Justice	ng with Judicial Minimalism at the European
 A topography of the m The causes of minimals 3.1. External choice- 3.2. Internal choice- The consequences of m An assessment 	U-style. 14 inimalist case law of the CJEU 19 ism 22 oriented minimalism 23 oriented minimalism 26 inimalism 29 ting the wrongs of minimalism: nudge or reform? 35
of National Courts has Dive Constitutional Rights in the	urse on Sovereignty and on the Co-operativeness rted Attention from the Erosion of Classic EEU 41
1. Introduction: Constitu	tional rights and the CJEU's rulings on secret stronic surveillance and property rights 41

2.	The European Arrest Warrant in the EU constitutional law discourse:	4.0
3.	the focus on relinquishing sovereignty and compliance with <i>Pupino</i> Presumption of innocence, the right to judicial protection and the	46
3.	rule of law	18
	3.1. Nullum crimen, nulla poena sine lege	
4.	The right to a fair trial and the heightened likelihood of miscarriages of	50
1.		51
5.	The helplessness of the 'simple person' in a foreign prison: a continued	-
		53
6.		55
7.	The 55,000 arrest warrants and the 12,000 individuals caught in the system:	
	•	57
8.	Transplanting mutual recognition from internal market to criminal law:	
	towards ever closer integration with 'almost total absence of political	
	debate' and at any cost?	58
9.	A call for 'substantive co-operative constitutionalism': the need for a more	
	proactive role for the national constitutional courts in judicial dialogues	
	with the Court of Justice of the EU	61
PAR		
	DALITIES OF CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN CONSTITUTIONAL	
COL	JRTS AND THE EUROPEAN COURTS	71
CI.	2	
-	pter 3.	
	cial Conversations in Multilevel Constitutionalism. The Belgian Case	73
Г	atricia Fopetier	13
1.	Introduction: questions underlying the assessment of judicial	
	conversations in Belgium	73
2.	Position of the Belgian courts: an introduction to Belgian judicial	
	organisation	
	2.1. The starting position of the courts in the Belgian judicial system	
	2.2. Positions in the light of the europeanisation of the constitution	
3.	A methodological note	
	3.1. The object of study: forms of judicial conversations	
	3.2. Looking for data: samples	
	3.3. Interpretation of data: purpose of judicial conversations	
4.	Preconditions: tools for judicial conversations	
	1	79
	4.2. Interrelationships	
_	4.3. Networks and conferences	
5.	Multilevel conversations: confirmation of a Europe-friendly stance	81
5.	Multilevel conversations: confirmation of a Europe-friendly stance 5.1. Diffusion of European law into domestic jurisdiction	81 81
5.	Multilevel conversations: confirmation of a Europe-friendly stance	81 81 82
5.	Multilevel conversations: confirmation of a Europe-friendly stance 5.1. Diffusion of European law into domestic jurisdiction	81 81 82 83

		5.2.3. The Council of State
	5.3.	Citations of the case law of the European courts 8.
		5.3.1. The Constitutional Court
		5.3.2. The Court of Cassation
		5.3.3. The Council of State
	5.4.	Reasoning in the domestic judgments
	5.5.	Conclusion
6.	Multi	level conversations: a one-way flow of arguments
7.		contal conversations: interpretative competition
	7.1.	Parallels in the willingness to engage in judicial conversations
		7.1.1. Preliminary referrals
		7.1.2. Reference to other national courts
		7.1.3. Reasoning
	7.2.	The use of European law in horizontal dialogue
	7.3.	Horizontal conversations strengthen the position of national
	,	courts in relation to the European courts
8.	Conc	lusion
Char	oter 4.	
		Constitutional Court and the European Court of Justice: a
		e Overlapping between the Supranational and the Domestic
_	ension	
		Pollicino
1.		duction and methodological remarks
2.		ursery rhyme from a different perspective
3.		Granital: the (absence of) changes brought by the introduction of
		le 117, par. 1 of the Constitution
4.		an indirect to a (partially) direct dialogue: the first preliminary
		nce of the ICC to the CJEU
5.		xtension of the conversation: the interplay between the common
		s and the overlapping between the constitutional case law in EU
	matte	ers and the constitutional case law in ECHR matters
6.	Final	remarks
Chap	oter 5.	
The (Czech	Constitutional Court and the Court of Justice: Between Fascination
and S	Securi	ng Autonomy
P	avel M	[olek
1	D:	4
1.		t relations between the Court of Justice and the CCC: guided by
		ourt of Justice
	1.1.	Waiting for a preliminary ruling
	1.2.	Court of Justice case-law in the decisions of the CCC

2.	The C 2.1. 2.2.	Lisbon	the gatekeeper of Czech law: EU law as a friend at the gates? I decision	140
3.			titutional review of Czech law implementing EU law	143
4.			pervising the communication between ordinary courts and	
_			Justice: scared of being bypassed?	
5.	Conc	lusions:	listen and respect, but neither ask nor compete	157
Chap	oter 6.			
Muti	ual Re	_	on and Fundamental Constitutional Rights. The first	
			ence of the Spanish Constitutional Court	
N	1aribe	l Gonzá	lez Pascual	161
1.			Constitutional Court and EU Law	161
2.		_	n Arrest Warrant: mutual recognition and	
_			rights	
3.			on of a person condemned <i>in absentia</i> : the Spanish case law	165
4.		•	o cope with the clash between the Constitutional Rights	168
	4.1.		fusal of the European Arrest Warrant: judgment 199/2009	100
	1.1.		Spanish Constitutional Court	169
	4.2.		ference of a preliminary ruling; the <i>Melloni</i> case	
		4.2.1.	, ,	
			Fundamental Rights	170
		4.2.2.	The national fundamental rights and the EU Charter of	
			Fundamental Rights	171
		4.2.3.	U	
	4.2	т	Spanish Constitutional Court	172
	4.3.		ed to change the case law of the Constitutional Court:	174
5.	The c		senting opinion	1/4
٥.		_	terpretation	174
	001101			1, 1
PAR'	ТШ			
			OF CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN CONSTITUTIONAL	
COU	JRTS A	AND TI	HE EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE	179
C1				
_	oter 7.	an Daw	enectives from lingua fugues to a common language	
			spective: from <i>lingua franca</i> to a common language de Heyning	181
1.				
2.			g content of European judicial conversations	
	2.1.		anging content of constitutional conversations	
	2.2.	Consti	tutional boundaries	198

3.	The CJEU focus on <i>lingua franca</i>	190
	3.1. The lack of genuine conversation	190
	3.2. The need for a common language	193
4.	In search of common language	198
	4.1. New possibilities in the Lisbon Treaty	198
	4.2. Conditions for national identity as common language	201
5.	Conclusion	203
	pter 8.	
	otiating Constitutional Identity or Whose Identity is It Anyway?	
N	Monica Claes	205
1.	Introduction	205
2.	A European community of courts: conversations between national	203
	constitutional courts and the CJEU	208
3.	The European discovery of the concept of 'constitutional identity'	
	3.1. The advent of 'national identity'	
	3.2. A new context	
	3.3. 'National and constitutional identity' in the case law of	
	the constitutional courts	222
	3.3.1. Case law of the European Court	226
4.	Back to judicial conversations	
5.	Modalities of the conversation on national identities	230
6.	In lieu of conclusions	233
Chaj	pter 9.	
	ck or Retreat? Evolving Themes and Strategies of the Judicial Dialogue	
	veen the German Constitutional Court and the European Court of Justice	
Ι	Daniel Thym	235
1.	Introduction	235
2.	Traditional approach: fundamental rights	
3.	Maastricht: democracy & competences	
	3.1 <i>Ultra vires</i> review	238
	3.2 Honeywell: judicial retreat	
4.	Lisbon: sovereignty & constitutional identity	
	4.1. Political and academic context of the German <i>Lisbon</i> judgment	241
	4.2. New <i>leitmotiv</i> : sovereign statehood	242
	4.3. Constitutional identity control	243
5.	Pluralist multi-level constitutionalism	246

The	pter 10. French Constitutional Council and the CJEU: between splendid isolation, munication and forced dialogue	
	rançois-Xavier Millet	251
1.	The Constitutional Council's signalling of its red lines through constitutional identity. 1.1. Adjudicating statutes implementing EU secondary law: how constitutional identity surfaced. 1.2. Providing national interpretations of EU primary law: unveiling French constitutional identity.	254
2.	The CJEU's response to the domestic signals: how to come to terms with constitutional identity	
	 2.1. Adjudicating <i>lois</i> de transposition: imposing constitutional conversation. 2.2. The unknown status of interpretations of EU law by the Constitutional Council: the case for engaging into constitutional conversation. 	262 264
Send in D	oter 11. ling Smoke Signals to Luxembourg – The Polish Constitutional Tribunal ialogue with the ECJ Trystyna Kowalik-Bańczyk.	267
 2. 3. 	The possibility of open dialogue between the Polish Constitutional Tribunal and the ECJ. Indirect dialogue between ECJ and the Polish Constitutional Tribunal The Lisbon judgment. 3.1. The notion of sovereignty and its core content 3.2. EU membership and Polish sovereignty. 3.3. Interference with different legislative centres 3.4. Exclusion of a 'total' transfer of competences 3.5. Sovereignty in constitutional jurisprudence of different Member States on the Lisbon Treaty. The implications of Lisbon judgment	272 275 277 278 279 280
	T IV ANDING THE CONVERSATION: THE INFLUENCE OF THE OPEAN MANDATE OF ORDINARY COURTS	285
The Cons	oter 12. Impact of the European Mandate of Ordinary Courts on the Position of stitutional Courts Michal Bobek	287
		207

2.	Settin	ig the sc	cene: the birth of constitutional uniqueness	288
3.	The cl	hallenge	es	290
	3.1.		f challenge	
	3.2.		y of the challenge: the decrease in <i>caput</i>	
	0.2.	3.2.1.	Capitis deminutio minima: not blocking access to a	
		3.2.1.	different master	204
		2 2 2		250
		3.2.2.	1	20.
			exclusivity	296
		3.2.3.	Capitis deminutio maxima: constitutional marginalisation	
			as a consequence of the Charter?	
4.			ve after Lisbon: give and take, but integrate	
5.	Conc	lusion .		308
Chap	oter 13			
			ne Melki Case and Everything You Always Wanted to Know	
Abou	ut Fren	ich Judi	icial Politics (but Were Afraid to Ask)	
Α	rthur	Dyevre		309
1	T4	14:		200
1.				
2.			racter story	
3.			cional status quo	
	3.1.		tional limits to the expansion of constitutional law	312
	3.2.		off under the status quo: the Conseil d'Etat and the	
			le cassation	313
4.	Chan	ging the	e institutional configuration of the judiciary:	
	the ne	ew conc	rete review mechanism	315
5.	Refra	ming th	ne reform: the Constitutional Council and the	
	const	itutiona	ıl law lobby	316
6.			nsions	
7.				
8.			e aftermath of <i>Melki</i>	
	-r	8		
Char	oter 14	٠.		
			Fundamental Rights by the Belgian Constitutional Court	
			deli Judgment of the European Court of Justice	
				323
) (all VCIC	ac13		320
1.	Intro	duction:	: centralised and diffuse review of laws and the Melki-Abdeli	
	judgn	nent of t	the CJEU	323
2.			situation: the 'war of the judges'	
3.			the Belgian legislative provision	
4.	Ouest	tions on	a compatibility with EU law	330
5.			at of the Court of Justice of the European Union	
٠.			nces for a preliminary ruling possible at any time	
	J.1.	ACICI CI	need for a preliminary runing possible at any time	332

	5.2.	The necessity of referring a question for a preliminary ruling on the validity of Directives to the Court of Justice of the	
		European Union	334
	5.3.	Measures to ensure the interim protection of the rights	334
	3.3.	conferred under EU law	335
	5.4.	Enforcement of EU law after the proceedings before the	333
	5.1.	constitutional court	336
6.	Two	major problems still remain	
0.	6.1.	The interpretation of the national Constitution in conformity with	330
	0.1.	international and European Law	339
	6.2.	Preliminary references from the Constitutional Court to the CJEU	
7.		clusion	
,·	00111		0 11
Cha	pter 15	5.	
	-	the Conversation in the Netherlands? Two Recent Legislative	
		Evaluated from a European and Comparative Perspective	
Ñ	//aartj	e de Visser	343
1.	Intro	duction	343
2.		h judges, the Dutch constitution and EU law: a primer	
3.		on 1: designing the domestic preliminary reference procedure	
	3.1.	Background and characteristics of the proposed Dutch preliminary	
		reference procedure	346
	3.2.	The design of the procedure in European and comparative	
		perspective	347
	3.3.	A Dutch Melki?	349
	3.4.	Dutch judges and Article 267 TFEU after the introduction of	
		a national reference procedure	353
4.	Lesso	on 2: towards constitutional adjudication?	355
	4.1.	Characteristics of the proposal and some recent developments	356
	4.2.	The design of judicial constitutional review from a comparative	
		perspective	358
	4.3.	The design of judicial constitutional review from a European	
		perspective	363
5.	Conc	cluding remarks	367

	EOTH	HER EUROPE': A CONVERSATIONAL PERSPECTIVE ON OPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS	369
The l	strun	6. udgment Procedure Before the European Court of Human Rights as nent for Dialogue Gerards	371
1.	Intro	duction	271
2.		duction	
<i>2</i> . 3.		ral introduction to the ECtHR's pilot judgment procedure	
		1 , 0 1	3//
4. First dialogical element: listening to the respondent state and giving			
		answers.	380
	4.1.	8	200
	4.0	problem	
	4.2.		
		4.2.1. The declaratory character of the Court's judgments	
		4.2.2. Suggested remedies in individual cases	
		4.2.3. Suggested remedies in pilot judgment procedures	
5.		nd dialogical element: supervision of the execution of judgments	
6.	Third	dialogical element: relations after execution of the judgment	
	6.1.	The relationship between the CoM and the ECtHR	
	6.2.	The relationship between the Court and the national authorities	392
	6.3.	Conclusion	395
7.	Conc	clusion: the 'conversational' potential of the pilot judgment	
	proce	edure	395