

SOCIAL INCLUSION AND SOCIAL PROTECTION IN THE EU:  
INTERACTIONS BETWEEN LAW AND POLICY



SOCIAL INCLUSION AND  
SOCIAL PROTECTION IN THE  
EU: INTERACTIONS BETWEEN  
LAW AND POLICY

Bea CANTILLON  
Herwig VERSCHUEREN  
Paula PLOSCAR  
(eds.)



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Publishing Ltd.  
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road  
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK:*  
Hart Publishing Ltd.  
16C Worcester Place  
Oxford OX1 2JW  
UK  
Tel.: +44 1865 517 530  
Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

*Distribution for the USA and Canada:*  
International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213  
USA  
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)  
Email: info@isbs.com

*Distribution for Austria:*  
Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag  
Argentinierstraße 42/6  
1040 Wien  
Austria  
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24  
Email: office@nwv.at

*Distribution for other countries:*  
Intersentia Publishing nv  
Groenstraat 31  
2640 Mortsel  
Belgium  
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Social Inclusion and Social Protection in the EU: Interactions between Law and Policy

Bea Cantillon, Herwig Verschueren and Paula Ploscar (eds.)

© 2012 Intersentia  
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Cover image: The Grapes (detail), Juan Gris (1887-1927)

ISBN 978-1-78068-056-9  
NUR 828



British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The chapters of this volume result from an expert seminar held at the University of Antwerp on 10–11 February 2011. The seminar was organized within the framework of a research project sponsored by the Research Foundation Flanders and was co-sponsored by the Faculty of Law of the University of Antwerp. First of all we are very grateful to the authors of the various chapters for their willingness to adapt their papers in accordance with the discussions and comments during the seminar, and also for their openness to our editorial suggestions.

We would also like to thank the other participants at the seminar for their invaluable contributions to the debate. We would like to acknowledge Malcolm Ross' (Sussex Law School) contribution to the seminar, and we appreciate the fruitful thoughts of the discussants (in alphabetical order): Rita Baeten (European Social Observatory), Gareth Davies (VU University of Amsterdam, Faculty of Law), Jo De Cock (Belgian National Institute for Health and Disability Insurance), Eric Marlier (CEPS/INSTEAD, Luxemburg), Charlotte O'Brien (York Law School), Anne-Pieter van der Mei (Maastricht University, Faculty of Law), Koen Vleminckx (Belgian Federal Ministry of Social Security) and Fritz von Nordheim (European Commission).

In addition, we are much indebted to those who in their quality of chairs of the various sessions facilitated the meaningful discussions: Willy Palm (European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies), Philippe Pochet (European Trade Union Institute) and Anne-Marie Van den Bossche (University of Antwerp, Faculty of Law). Also, we are appreciative of the participation of Dagmar Schiek (University of Leeds, School of Law) and Jérôme Vignon (European Commission) particularly for their concluding remarks.

Additional thanks go to the editors of the *Law and Cosmopolitan Values Series* and its anonymous reviewers. Finally we are very grateful to all those involved in the editing and publication of the book, in particular the publisher *Intersentia* and Tom Scheirs for their support and timely publication.

Antwerp, November 2011

*Bea Cantillon, Herwig Verschueren and Paula Ploscar*



# CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i> .....	v
<i>List of Abbreviations</i> .....	xiii
<i>List of Authors</i> .....	xvii

## Social Protection and Social Inclusion in the EU: Any Interactions between Law and Policy?

Bea CANTILLON, Herwig VERSCHUEREN and Paula PLOSCAR .....	1
1. Social inclusion and social protection: high on the agenda of EU lawyers and policymakers .....	1
2. Need for dialogue between legal and social policy scholars. ....	3
3. Is there any interaction between the legal and the policy discourse on social inclusion and social protection? .....	5
3.1. Framing the discussion: a promising Treaty framework, but can it deliver? .....	5
3.2. Health policy objectives recently laid down in a legal instrument driven by ‘consumer protectionism’ .....	7
3.3. OMC Pensions and the EU legal realm: parallel worlds? .....	9
3.4. Combating poverty: doubts about the feasibility of binding EU instruments .....	10
4. A way forward for an adequate ‘nesting’ of social policy objectives? .....	12

## Modest Beginnings, Timid Progresses: What’s Next for Social Europe?

Maurizio FERRERA .....	17
1. Introduction .....	17
2. The challenge: closure vs. opening .....	20
3. A new ‘nested’ architecture .....	24
4. A more social EU: reconfiguring the patchwork .....	28
5. Europe 2020 and its institutional potential .....	37
6. Conclusion .....	39

The EU Legal Framework of Social Inclusion and Social Protection: Between the Lisbon Strategy and the Lisbon Treaty	
Mark DAWSON and Bruno DE WITTE.....	41
1. Introduction.....	41
2. Social inclusion and social protection under the Lisbon Strategy.....	43
2.1. Social Europe under the Lisbon Strategy.....	43
2.2. The OMC for Social Inclusion and Social Protection – empirical strengths and weaknesses.....	45
2.3. Social inclusion and social protection under the renewed ‘Lisbon 2020’ Strategy.....	47
2.4. Lisbon and the law – an opportunity missed?.....	50
3. The Lisbon Treaty: new legal tools for European social inclusion and protection?.....	52
3.1. The ‘Other Lisbon’.....	52
3.2. New Treaty objectives – but without new policy competences.....	54
3.3. A new Treaty regime for social services?.....	57
3.4. The social protection rights in the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.....	62
4. From Lisbon to Lisbon: a strategy and a treaty compared.....	67
The OMC and Beyond: ‘Soft-to-Hard-to-Soft’ Governance of Health Care in the EU	
Bart VANHERCKE and Lena WEGENER.....	71
1. Introduction.....	71
2. The open method of coordination in health care: emergence and key features.....	73
2.1. Emergence: the Commission’s purposive optimism versus foot- dragging Member States.....	73
2.2. The defining features of an incomplete method.....	74
2.2.1. Common objectives: ambiguous words.....	74
2.2.2. Reports to Brussels and (not so) soft recommendations....	76
2.2.3. Indicators: a growing but preliminary portfolio.....	78
2.2.4. Policy learning through peer reviews.....	80
3. Looking for a needle in a haystack: the impact of the health care OMC at the domestic level.....	83
3.1. Prudent mirror effects.....	84
3.2. Spreading of peer reviews as a domestic governance tool.....	85
3.3. National steering capacity.....	86
3.4. The needle in the haystack: health inequalities.....	87



4.	Tracing EU significance: a patchwork of soft governance and instrument hybridity . . . . .	88
4.1.	OMC as a ‘template’ for EU soft law mechanisms . . . . .	88
4.2.	Interaction with other (harder) EU instruments: ‘soft-to-hard-to-soft’ governance . . . . .	89
4.3.	Soft governance and the European Court of Justice . . . . .	94
5.	Explaining limited substantive impact: lack of ownership and actor rivalry? . . . . .	95
5.1.	Ownership of a closed shop . . . . .	96
5.2.	Actor rivalry . . . . .	97
6.	Wrapping things up: ‘soft-to-hard-to-soft’ governance in the EU . . . . .	100

Harmonization in Health Care: The EU Patients’ Rights Directive

	Wolf SAUTER . . . . .	105
1.	Introduction . . . . .	105
2.	Background . . . . .	106
3.	Summary of the case law . . . . .	109
4.	The legislative context . . . . .	110
4.1.	Renewing the social agenda . . . . .	110
4.1.1.	Impact assessment: quantifying the case for codification . . . . .	111
4.2.	The dynamics of ‘old’ and ‘new’ patients’ rights . . . . .	112
5.	Legal basis and scope . . . . .	112
5.1.	Legal basis . . . . .	112
5.2.	Scope . . . . .	114
6.	Parallel regimes based on Articles 56 and 48 TFEU continued . . . . .	114
6.1.	The right to treatment . . . . .	114
6.2.	Undue delay: a time-limit which is medically justifiable . . . . .	116
6.3.	The right to reimbursement . . . . .	117
6.4.	Would an amendment of the social security rules have sufficed? . . . . .	117
7.	Framing cross-border health care: the ‘old’ patients’ rights . . . . .	118
7.1.	Reimbursement of actual costs . . . . .	118
7.2.	Supplementary conditions . . . . .	119
7.3.	Non-hospital care: full liberalization . . . . .	119
7.4.	Hospital care and specialized care: the end of prior authorization regimes? . . . . .	120
7.5.	Reasons to refuse prior authorization . . . . .	122
8.	Common principles for health care: the ‘new’ patients’ rights . . . . .	122
8.1.	The Social Policy Agenda . . . . .	122
8.2.	Rights to accountability and transparency . . . . .	123
8.3.	Universal applicability for the new patients’ rights? . . . . .	124
8.3.1.	Safeguards measures for Member States of treatment . . . . .	125
9.	Cooperation . . . . .	125

10. Further analysis . . . . . 126  
 10.1. Prior authorisation requirements: liberalisation . . . . . 126  
 10.2. New patients’ rights: harmonization . . . . . 127  
 11. Conclusion . . . . . 128

EU Coordination of Pension Policy: Policy Content and Influence on National Reforms

David NATALI . . . . . 131

1. Introduction . . . . . 131  
 2. EU ‘direct’ and ‘indirect’ coordination of national pension policy . . . . . 132  
 2.1. Stability and Growth Pact: procedures and content . . . . . 133  
 2.1.1. Stability and Growth Pact (I), 1997 . . . . . 133  
 2.1.2. Stability and Growth Pact (II), 2005 . . . . . 135  
 2.1.3. Stability and Growth Pact (III), 2010–11 . . . . . 137  
 2.2. OMC on Pensions: procedures and content . . . . . 139  
 3. Assessing the influence of EU coordination on national pensions . . . . . 144  
 4. What effect (if any) on national reforms? . . . . . 145  
 4.1. SGP assessment . . . . . 146  
 4.2. Pensions OMC assessment . . . . . 147  
 5. Conclusion . . . . . 150

Interactions Between Policy and Law Regarding Pensions

Yves STEVENS . . . . . 153

1. Introduction: the research question . . . . . 153  
 2. The EU competence for pensions . . . . . 155  
 2.1. No true pension policy, only general social policy . . . . . 155  
 2.2. EU pension law: a generic term . . . . . 155  
 2.2.1. Freedom of movement of workers . . . . . 156  
 2.2.2. Freedom of establishment and services . . . . . 156  
 2.2.3. Free movement of capital and flow of payments . . . . . 157  
 2.2.4. High standards for employees’ rights . . . . . 157  
 2.2.5. Equality between men and women . . . . . 157  
 2.3. The research question . . . . . 158  
 3. Pension provision under the social security regulations: limited EU power . . . . . 159  
 3.1. Coordination of social security schemes . . . . . 159  
 3.2. The research question . . . . . 161  
 4. Occupational, supplementary and individual retirement provision: some EU power . . . . . 161  
 4.1. General . . . . . 161  
 4.2. The IORP Directive . . . . . 162

4.3. The Insolvency Directive . . . . .	164
4.4. Quasi-Portability Directive 98/49 . . . . .	164
4.5. The Life Assurance Directive . . . . .	165
4.6. Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MIFID) . . . . .	165
4.7. Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) . . . . .	166
4.8. Solvency II . . . . .	166
4.9. Tax issues . . . . .	167
4.10. Supervision: EIOPA . . . . .	169
4.11. The research question . . . . .	170
5. Conclusion . . . . .	171
Between Dream and Reality ... On Anti-Poverty Policy, Minimum Income Protection and the European Social Model	
Bea CANTILON and Natascha VAN MECHELEN . . . . .	173
1. Introduction . . . . .	173
2. The <i>ritornello</i> of the minimum income guarantee . . . . .	176
2.1. From ‘harmonization’ to ‘convergence’ . . . . .	176
2.2. The Lisbon Strategy, the OMC and the social indicators . . . . .	178
2.3. The Lisbon Treaty, the new social agenda for 2005–2010 and the EU 2020 targets . . . . .	180
3. Practices in the EU27 . . . . .	182
3.1. General social assistance schemes across Europe . . . . .	182
3.2. The common denominator of the European Social Model? . . . . .	185
3.3. Unity in Diversity . . . . .	187
4. Poverty trends and social assistance generosity . . . . .	190
4.1. The relationship between social assistance and poverty . . . . .	190
4.2. Lisbon and its poverty outcomes . . . . .	192
5. Between dream and reality stand concepts and practical considerations	194
5.1. What is an adequate minimum income? . . . . .	195
5.2. Budgetary burden . . . . .	197
5.3. Impact on dependency traps . . . . .	200
6. Conclusion . . . . .	201
Union Law and the Fight Against Poverty: Which Legal Instruments?	
Herwig VERSCHUEREN . . . . .	205
1. Introduction . . . . .	205
2. EU legal instruments for guaranteeing a minimum income: legal and political basis . . . . .	208
2.1. Providing adequate income support as a pillar in the active inclusion strategy . . . . .	208

2.2.	EU legislative competences for a directive on minimum income schemes. . . . .	210
3.	Free movement of persons and the right to minimum subsistence benefits . . . . .	214
3.1.	Legal framework . . . . .	214
3.2.	Union law guarantees access to minimum subsistence benefits in the host State to poor migrant workers. . . . .	216
3.3.	Union law guarantees access to minimum subsistence benefits in the host State to former migrant workers and the members of their family . . . . .	217
3.4.	Union law guarantees access to minimum subsistence benefits in the host State to first time jobseekers . . . . .	220
3.5.	The right to free movement for economically inactive persons and equal treatment in the host State for minimum subsistence benefits . . . . .	221
3.5.1.	Residence Directive 2004/38 and the self-sufficiency requirement for economically inactive Union citizens. . . . .	221
3.5.2.	Union citizenship and access to social minimum benefits for economically inactive migrant Union citizens. . . . .	222
3.5.3.	Unjustified interference by the EU into the national boundaries of minimum subsistence schemes? . . . . .	224
3.6.	EU social security coordination and the access to social minimum benefits for migrant persons. . . . .	226
4.	Conclusion . . . . .	229

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AG	Advocate General
Art.	Article
BEPGs	Broad Economic Policy Guidelines
CEBS	Committee of European Bank Supervision
CEE	Central Eastern European
CEIOPS	Committee of European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Supervisors
CESifo	Munich Society for the Promotion of Economic Research
CESR	Committee of European Security Regulators
Cfdt	Confédération française démocratique du travail
Cgt	Confédération générale du travail
CML Rev	Common Market Law Review
CSB	Herman Deleeck Centre for Social Policy
DG	Directorate General
DG SANCO	Directorate General for Health and Consumers
EAPN	European Anti-Poverty Network
EC	European Community
ECB	European Central Bank
ECHP	European Community Household Panel
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECOFIN	Council of Ministers of Economic and Financial Policy
ECR	European Court Reports
ECSA	European Community Studies Association
EEA	European Economic Area
EEE	Exempt contributions, exempt investment income and capital gains, exempt benefits
EEEPB	European Economic and Employment Policy Brief
EES	European Employment Strategy
EET	Exempt contributions, exempt investment income and capital gains, tax benefits
EGTC	European Grouping for Territorial Cooperation

EHPF	EU Health Policy Forum
EIOPA	European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
EJML	European Journal of Migration and Law
EJSS	European Journal of Social Security
EL Journ	European Law Journal
EL Rev	European Law Review
EMCO	Employment Committee
EMPLOY	Directorate General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion
EMU	Economic and Monetary Union
EOT	Economics of Transition
EPC	Economic Policy Committee
EPSCO	Employment, Social Policy, Health and Consumer Affairs
ESAs	European Supervision Authorities
ESF	European Social Fund
ESPAnet	European Network for Social Policy Analysis
ESRB	European Systemic Risk Board
ESRC	Economic and Social Research Council
ETT	Exempt contributions, tax investment income and capital gains, tax benefits
ETUI	European Trade Union Institute
EU	European Union
EU-SILC	EU Statistics on Income and Living Conditions
EURACTIV	EU Information Website
EUROGOV	European Governance
EUROMOD	Multi-country Europe-wide tax-benefit model
Eurostat	Statistical Office of the European Union
FAQ	Frequently Asked Questions
FPS	Federal Public Service
GASPP	Globalism and Social Policy Programme
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HiAP	Health in All Policies
HiT	Health Care Systems in Transition
HTA	Health Technology Assessment
Ibid.	Ibidem
IGC	Inter-Governmental Conference
IHS	Institute for Advanced Studies

IMC	Inter-Ministerial Conference
IMF	International Monetary Fund
INAMI/RIZIV	National Institute for Health and Disability Insurance
INTERREG	Interregional cooperation
IORP	Institutions for Occupational Retirement Provision
ISD	Investment Services Directive
ISG	Sub-Group on Indicators
JCMS	Journal of Common Market Studies
JEPP	Journal of European Public Policy
JESP	Journal of European Social Policy
MARKT	(DG) Internal Market and Services
MIFID	Markets in Financial Instruments Directive
MIPI	Minimum Income Protection Indicators
ML Rev	Modern Law Review
MPE	Monetary Policy and the Economy
n./N.	(Foot)note
NBER	National Bureau of Economic Research
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NHS	National Health Service
NIER	National Institute Economic Review
NSRs	National Strategic Reports
NZa	Dutch Healthcare Authority
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OJ	Official Journal
OMC	Open Method of Coordination
OPOCE	Office for Official Publications of the European Communities
OREP	Oxford Review of Economic Policy
OSE	European Social Observatory
PAYGO	Pay-as-you-go
PPMI	Public Policy and Management Institute
PROGRESS	Community Programme for Employment and Social Solidarity
QMV	Qualified Majority Voting
RBSS	Revue belge de sécurité sociale
RPS	Rivista delle politiche sociali
SEA	Single European Act

SGEI	Services of General Economic Interest
SGP	Stability and Growth Pact
SNAP	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
SPC	Social Protection Committee
SPSI	Social Protection and Social Inclusion
TANF	Temporary Aid for Needy Families
TEE	Tax contributions, exempt investment income and capital gains, exempt benefits
TERL	Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TILEC	Tilburg Centre of Law and Economics
TTE	Tax contributions, tax investment income and capital gains, exempt benefits
TTT	Tax contributions, tax investment income and capital gains, tax benefits
UCITS	Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities
UNDESA	United Nations Department for Economic and Social Affairs
WEP	West European Politics
WHO	World Health Organisation
WRAMSOC	Welfare Reform and Management of Societal Change



## LIST OF AUTHORS

**Bea Cantillon** is Professor of Social Policy and Director of the Herman Deleeck Centre for Social Policy at the University of Antwerp.

**Mark Dawson** is Professor of European Law and Governance at the Hertie School of Governance, Berlin.

**Bruno de Witte** is Professor of European Union Law at Maastricht University, and part-time Professor at the Robert Schuman Centre of the European University Institute (EUI) in Florence.

**Maurizio Ferrera** is Professor of Political Science at the University of Milan.

**David Natali** is Research Director at the European Social Observatory (OSE) and Associate Professor at the University of Bologna/Forli, Italy.

**Paula Ploscar** is PhD candidate at the University of Antwerp.

**Wolf Sauter** is Professor of Health Care Regulation at Tilburg University and competition expert at the Dutch Healthcare Authority (NZa).

**Yves Stevens** is Professor of Social Security and Pension Law at the University of Leuven, president of the occupational pension commission at the Financial Services and Markets Authority and member of the OPSG at the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority.

**Bart Vanhercke** is Co-Director at the Brussels-based European Social Observatory and associate academic staff at the Centre for Sociological Research (CESO), the University of Leuven.

**Natascha Van Mechelen** is Post-Doctoral Researcher at the Herman Deleeck Centre for Social Policy, University of Antwerp.

**Herwig Verschueren** is Professor of International and European Social Law at the University of Antwerp and visiting professor at the University of Brussels.

**Lena Wegener** was junior researcher at the European Social Observatory and is now enrolled in the International Relations master programme at Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin and University of Potsdam.

