A Disrupted Balance?



A Disrupted Balance?
Prevention of terrorism and compliance with fundamental legal rights and principles of law –
The Dutch anti-terrorism legislation

Karin Veegens



Intersentia Ltd
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom
mail@intersentia.co.uk

#### Karin Veegens

A Disrupted Balance? Prevention of terrorism and compliance with fundamental legal rights and principles of law – The Dutch anti-terrorism legislation

© 2012 Intersentia Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Cover image: © Fokko Veegens

ISBN 978-1-78068-042-2 NUR 828



British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Writing this book, has been a valuable experience in every possible way. It has taught me what I am capable of, and what I am not capable of doing. It has taught me to have patience with myself and with others and has caused me to experience all sorts of emotions. It has proven to me that perseverance, drive and discipline are the most important prerequisites for finishing a dissertation, or any long-term project for that matter. Most importantly, perhaps, it has showed me how many people are willing to help you, in various, sometimes quite unexpected ways, if only you let them.

The impact of writing a PhD on your frame of mind is difficult to put into words and to explain to those who you want to understand it the most. It is a lonely job, but to actually finish it alone is almost impossible. During the last 3 years, especially, there have been several people who have helped me to finish this dissertation, in many different ways. Finding the right words to thank those people has sometimes proven to be more difficult than finishing this book.

First of all, I would like to thank Taru Spronken, Gerard Mols, Michele Panzavolta, Martin Kuijer and Stijn Franken for having read my work at such short notice. Your comments amply demonstrated how thoroughly and critically you have read my work

Jan Fermon and Paul Frielink, you helped me to accentuate specific chapters as regards content. Thank you for giving me valuable insight into the practical side of law, and for challenging me to consider the legal system in more than one way.

Gerard de Jonge, almost 10 years ago I wrote my Master's thesis under your supervision. Your prediction as regards my future 'career plans' came true. Your enthusiasm, your vigorous mind, your incredible knowledge, and your capability of finding connections between different aspects of law have inspired me to start and to continue writing.

In the first few years of my appointment at Maastricht University I participated in the activities of the School of Human Rights Research and in the activities of the Maastricht Centre for Human Rights. During those years I enjoyed working with Fons Coomans, Menno Kamminga, Fred Grünfeld, Chantal Kuijpers and Martine Boersma. Your enthusiasm for human rights related matters has always been a source of encouragement for me, as well as your sincere interest in and compassion with others. Thank you!

#### Acknowledgements

Johannes Keiler and Dorris de Vocht, I would like to thank you for encouraging me so many times, for very pleasantly distracting me when necessary, and for just being there and caring. You proved to me that the adagium 'Out of sight, out of mind', does not always hold true.

David Roef and Ria Wolleswinkel, thank you so much for having been there for me, especially after Peter died. All three of us experienced a great loss when he died. Being able to share my sorrow with you, to cry together, to laugh together, and to talk about Peter, who we all loved in our very own way, has helped me to continue writing, without Peter.

Peter, we were going to finish this book together, we were going to do 'close-reading', we were going to laugh, to eat, to drink together during that work, and to party long into the night when the book was finished. Then all of a sudden you died.

I was stricken by grief. I never had the chance to tell you once again how fond I was of you, how I enjoyed working with you, talking seriously, but also making jokes and mocking things we were indignant about. How you surprised me with your creative insights, with your ability to really cooperate, with your knowledge of such a broad spectrum of subjects, and with your everlasting desire for new ideas and new challenges. I was amazed by your resilience, your energy, and by your ability to sincerely see and treat everyone as equals. You were one of a kind.

During the first years of writing this book when I was stressed about finishing things on time and rightly – which was quite often – you always told me 'Don't worry, it will all be okay'. I have missed those soothing words so much. You were right, it is okay now. Only, I will never have the chance to show you and to share these feelings of intense relief and happiness with you. Hopefully you will be around on the 20<sup>th</sup>, because I need you there standing next to me, whispering to me 'Don't worry, it will all be okay'...

André, when, in 2004, you offered me a PhD position I promised you I would not disappoint you. Well, with this book, I hope, I have adequately honoured that promise to you. During these years you have been incredibly patient, reliable, steady and understanding. You have taught me to get over my 'rhetorical-emotional writing style' (and insights!) and to start thinking and writing as a lawyer: well-defined, concise and open-minded. You have, moreover, successfully challenged me to look into, and get enthusiastic about, new fields of law. I needed a push in that (right) direction and you sensed that perfectly!

Since Peter died, you have done a tremendous job of filling in that gap. I'm sure that has not at all been easy for you. You have been there for me ever since, in many ways, and I'm incredibly thankful for that. I'm touched by your genuine empathy and thoughtfulness. Your trust in me, in particular during these last 2 years, has given me the confidence to conclude this dissertation.

My dear uncle Harm, you have taught me the actual *metier* of writing. The difference between my pre-Harm era writing and my post-Harm era writing is incredible. You forced me to reason perspicuous, concise, and in diverse ways. You taught me to sort out the chaos, in my reasoning and in my writing. Your wit, your endless knowledge of the most diverse subjects, and your swift and high-level ability to reason, made it very inspiring and challenging to work with you. Even though we started working together in 2005, during the past 1.5 years in particular, you have been there for me continuously, with infinite patience, encouraging me and convincing me that this book was worth publishing. You made me realise what I'm capable of, without telling me in so many words. That is what I needed to finish this book in a way that would make even me satisfied.

Peet and Kees, the evenings we spent together – especially while eating pizza at Quattro Mori (!) – showed me why I have such a fascination for criminal law. To me, you are the perfect voices of a just, moderate and conscious criminal justice system. I have learned a lot from your open-mindedness, your endless knowledge, and your playful way of discussing serious matters. Dear Peet, ever since we met at Scorp many years ago, you have been a dear and very loyal friend – thank you for that! Kees, you showed me the practical side of the criminal justice system with so much patience, enthusiasm and knowledge; various chapters of this book have undoubtedly gained quite a bit of reality thanks to your help!

Dear Maartje, in 2005 we went to Bella Sicilia together for 2 weeks, for a specialisation course in International Criminal Law. During those weeks, our 'friendly colleagues relationship' developed to a steady friendship. One way or the other I always had the feeling that we are a 'perfect match' – paradoxically, because we are quite different in some respects. Our adventure in Sicilia confirmed that feeling. Your wisdom, your ability to observe without passing judgement, your sincere truthfulness, your peace of mind and, of course, your incredible sense of humour, have made me take you to my heart. These last years you have helped me to overcome many hurdles, and you consoled me with such patience when Peter died. I am very grateful for our friendship and will cherish it.

Also, I would like to take the opportunity to thank Dr. van den Neucker, Valentina's physician. If only all physicians would be like you! You have given me the peace of mind and confidence regarding Valentina's health, which I needed to finish this book. Your understanding, expertise and incredible sympathy towards Valentina, Ama, Bart and me continue to be invaluable!

Niek, for the past 3 years I have called you desperately time and again, even in the middle of the night, when something was wrong with Valentina. From the first day on, you have been an incredible support to me – giving advice, listening, reassuring,

#### Acknowledgements

but most of all caring. Thank you so much for having taken such incredibly good care of Valentina, Bart and me.

Dear Mieneke and Berend, your love, understanding, warmth and compassion have given me the energy to keep on seeing and enjoying the beautiful, often little, things in life, even though it has been very difficult from time to time: Valentina feeding the chickens, playing with Berend with the doll's house, walking Duko with Mieneke, and playing the 'ballonenspel' together. My gratitude cannot be adequately expressed in words. I love you both, very, very much.

My dear Fokko and Floor, thank you for having been there for me, for having helped me with practical computer related problems (Fokko!), for having understood the obstacles I had to overcome to finish this book, and thank you for not blaming me for my absence on many (important) occasions during the past few years.

Jules, my dear 'almost father', your philosophical calm has quieted me down so many times that I have lost track. You have 'counterbalanced' me by asking questions instead of passing judgement and by waiting instead of acting at once. Steady as a rock, you have been there for Valentina, Bart and me all these years. No effort was too much for you, even as a busy 'pensionado'. Your thoughtfulness and gentle way touched me many times. You have continued to take a sincere interest in the progress of this book, even reading pieces once and a while. Never during these years you have lost the profound belief that I would and could finish it. Trusting my abilities to such an extent has prompted me to do my best even more. Thank you for just being you, always, no matter what the circumstances.

Dear Bart, we have had some rough years; difficult years, for both of us. Valentina's health problems, added to writing this book, have made it difficult for me to still sufficiently see and appreciate the many things that we share. There have been many lonely evenings for both of us – me working, you dealing with things at home. That has been hard for you, especially during these past few months. Your patience with me while finishing this book has been admirable. Practical as you are, you must have felt powerless to not being able to do anything about so many things that are incomprehensible to you. I hope that on the 20<sup>th</sup> December, you will understand why things have gone the way they went. I hope more than anything that you will be proud of me, and of yourself, and of us together!

Dear Mammie, these, almost last words are for the one person without whom this book would never have been finished. You have – literally – done everything possible to help me during all these years, as if it was the most natural thing in the world. You took care of Valentina as if she was your own daughter, with so much dedication, patience and love. You showed me that there is always reason for hope,

if not now, surely in the near future. You convinced me over and over again, without actually saying it, to *go on*, in the broadest sense of the word.

These past three years have been both beautiful and very difficult for me, and for you. To me, we experienced these extreme feelings together. You have been capable of making me feel that I was *not* alone in these feelings, simply by being there unconditionally, by showing understanding without using words, by *seeing* me as I am, and by making me feel loved. There are no ways to adequately put my love and gratitude for you into words, but, that, you know...

Valentina, mijn lieve kleine dappere nenà, jouw ongelofelijke moed, oneindige veerkracht en niet te stuiten vrolijkheid hebben mij eindeloos geïnspireerd om dit 'boekje' af te maken...

Reijmerstok, October 2011

# **CONTENTS**

		_	nts tions																							
	apter l		neless Tre	end	1: A	\n	Int	rod	duc	ctio	on															1
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Struc Delin Meth	cture neation odolog	the Neth	 			 			 	  												 	 	 	4 7 8
	apter l e Crin		tion of Te	erro	oris	st C	Offe	enc	ces	S														 		11
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The later of Terror	Europea Dutch r orism as	an Frameveaction to defined	wo o 9/ in t	rk I /11 a the	De an Di	cis: d tl utc	ion he i h c	n o im crir	n ( nplo mi	Coi em nal	mb en l ju	ati tat sti	ing io	g T n c	eri f F	or D em	isr 2(	n . 002	 2/4 	 75 			 		13 15 18
σ.	5.1 5.2 5.3	Mohai Prepai Illegal	mmed B: ration of a possession	Mu a te	urde erro anc	er oris d/o	or i st a	teri ttad	roi ck of	ris an we	t m nd t eap	nur teri	de ror is v	r? is wi	 t ii th	 nte	 nt. wi	 the	  out	te	 rr(	ori	 ist	 	. 4	22 25
6.	Thre 6.1 6.2 6.3	e catego Seriou 6.1.1 Terror Specif	ist offenc	erro	oris ffer le: ( wit	t once Co th	offentes .  onspinctes.	ence  pira erea	es  acg ase	y t	o c	on con	 nm tie	 nit s .	a 1	eri	or	ist	 of 	 fei	  106	e.	  	 		34 34 36 44
		6.3.1	An examorganisa 6.3.1.1 6.3.1.2	atio Tl Tl		firs	st re	equ nd r	 uir eq	en qui	ner	it: (	 Or nt:	ga T	ni he	at or	 ior gai	 1 nis	 ati	  on	·· 'S			 •	. 4	48
7.		orincipl	6.3.1.3 ng for ter e of legal	Tl rror lity	he trism wi	thi n a ithi	rd ind in t	req pre the	qui epa D	irei ara utc	me atic ch l	nt: on i leg	Pa for al	art a sy	ici te ste	pa ro m	tor ris	y i t c	bel offe	nav enc	io e .	ur		 	. (	66 74 76
	7.1 7.2		uction x certa pi																							

	7.3 7.4	The prohibition of applying criminal law by analogy	. 86
	7.4	retro-active application of criminal law	00
	7.5	In sum	
8.		principle of legality pursuant to Article 7 of the ECHR	
ο.	8.1	Introduction	
	8.2	Only law can define a crime and prescribe a penalty	
	8.3	The lex certa requirement	
	8.4	Judicial interpretation.	. 78 101
	8.5	Foreseeability	103
	8.6	Law and state practice	110
	8.7	State succession	111
	8.8	Section 2	113
	8.9	In sum	113
	0.5	III Julii	115
Ch	apter	III	
		y Involved in Terrorist Activities	117
2 111	ogean	j involved in Terrorist retrivities	
1.	Intro	oduction	117
2.		ged involvement in terrorist activities.	119
3.	Perso	onal disturbance powers in practice	121
4.		onal disturbance powers and the DCCP	124
5.		right to privacy	126
٠.	5.1	Introduction	126
	5.2	An adequate legal basis for personal disturbance powers: The first	
		requirement.	127
	5.3	The necessity requirement in light of personal disturbance	130
	5.4	In sum	134
Ch	apter	IV	
		d Connections with Terrorism	137
1.	Intro	oduction	137
2.		imed connections with (the support of) terrorist activities	139
3.		essity	143
4.		administrative measures, statutory remedies and further details	144
5.		expected effects.	146
6.		ting administrative law measures	146
7.		cism and recommendations from experts	148
8.	Reco	ommendations of the Council of State.	
9.		right to freedom of movement	152
	9.1	Introduction	
		Restrictions on liberty of movement versus deprivation of liberty	

	9.3	The scope of the right to liberty of movement	155
	9.4	Lawful restrictions on the right to liberty of movement	156
		9.4.1 Criminal proceedings?	157
		9.4.2 Level of suspicion and evidence	159
		9.4.3 Automatic continuation and prior warning	
	9.5	In sum	
Ch	apter	V	
		ns of a Terrorist Offence.	165
1.	Intro	duction	165
2.		eations of a terrorist offence	167
3.		ers on the basis of indications of a terrorist offence	173
4.		exploratory inquiry into terrorist offences	174
	4.1	Exploratory inquiry into common offences: Article 126gg of the	
		DCCP	175
	4.2	Exploratory inquiry into terrorist offences: Articles 126hh and	1,0
		126ii of the DCCP.	176
5.	Spec	ial investigation techniques	181
٠.	5.1	General provisions	182
	5.2	Systematic surveillance, pseudo purchases and the provision of	102
	5.2	services, undercover systematic gathering of information,	
		powers to enter enclosed places, and infiltration	183
	5.3	Recording of confidential communication	
	5.4	Requisition of data	
6.		stigative powers in security risk areas	
0.	6.1	Indications of a terrorist offence and security risk areas	
	6.2	Powers of investigation.	
7.		tical application of the powers	201
8.		right to privacy	
ο.	8.1	In accordance with the law?	203
	0.1	8.1.1 Qualitative requirements for legal provisions	
		8.1.2 Investigative powers within security risk areas and the law	
		8.1.3 The exploratory inquiry and the law	
		8.1.4 Effective and adequate safeguards	
	0.3		
	8.2	Necessary in a democratic society	238
	apter		
ΑI	Reaso:	nable Suspicion	251
1.	Intro	duction	251
2.		onable suspicion	
		Facts or circumstances	253

	2.2	Reasonable								
	2.3	Guilty of a criminal offence	271							
3.	Powe	ers on the basis of a reasonable suspicion	272							
4.		ping suspects								
5.		st and police arrest for interrogative purposes								
6.	Police custody									
	6.1	Introduction								
	6.2	Rules and formalities								
	6.3	Terms regarding police custody								
	6.4	Supportive coercive measures								
	6.5	Police custody and judicial control.								
7.		and in custody								
	7.1	Introduction								
	7.2	Rules and formalities								
	7.3	Terms regarding remand in custody.								
8.		tical application of the powers								
9.		icions, terrorism and terrorist suspects								
		asonable suspicion and the ECtHR								
10.	10.1	Introduction								
	10.1	The 'UK cases'								
	10.2									
		In sum								
11		right to liberty and security of person								
11.	11.1	Introduction								
	11.1	The concept of 'Liberty and security of Person'								
	11.2									
	11.3	Arrest period.								
		11.3.1 The scope of and the reasons for deprivation of liberty	307							
		11.3.2 A procedure prescribed by law and lawful: A tripartite	21.5							
		structure								
	11 /	11.3.3 Judicial control during the arrest period								
	11.4	Pre-trial/remand period								
		11.4.1 Introduction								
		11.4.2 Danger of absconding								
		11.4.3 The risk of an interference with the course of justice								
		11.4.4 The need to prevent crime								
		11.4.5 The need to preserve public order								
		11.4.6 Alternative measures								
	11.5	In sum	338							
Cha	apter \	VII								
		Objections	341							
1	Intro	duction	341							

2.	Serie	ous obje	ections	343
3.			n remand	
4.	Non	-disclos	ure of the case file	353
5.	The	reasona	bleness of pre-trial detention and special diligence	359
	5.1	Introd	luction	359
	5.2		ia to determine compliance with the special diligence	
			rement	363
	5.3		al diligence: The type of criminal behaviour	
	5.4		al diligence: Inactivity of domestic authorities	
	5.5		al diligence: The complexity of the case and investigative	
			ires	370
	5.6	Specia	al diligence: The suspect's attitude	373
	5.7		n	
6.			ous proceedings	
•	6.1		ght to lodge a habeas corpus complaint	
	6.2		cability of Article 5, section 4 of the ECHR	
	6.3		ompetent authority	
	6.4		ature of habeas corpus proceedings	
	6.5		as corpus proceedings in case of terrorism: Exceptions?	
	6.6		Ilness of pre-trial detention	
	6.7		ing speedily	
	6.8		n	
	0.0	III Suii	ш	413
Ch	apter	VIII		
			errorist	417
Dic	ICKIIS	icu as 1	CHOHSt	41/
1	Intro	duation		417
1. 2.			1 The Initial Medical Street The United Nations	41/
۷.			e European Union blacklisting system: The United Nations	419
2				
3.		Europe	an Union blacklisting system	420
	3.1		cil Common Position 930/2001/CFSP	
	3.2		cil Common Position 931/2001/CFSP	
	3.3		cil Regulation (EC) No 2580/2001	425
4.			g fundamental rights and freedoms throughout blacklisting	12.6
_				
5.			violations	
	5.1		etence of the Union regarding blacklisting	
	5.2		ce rights	431
		5.2.1	The right to a fair hearing	432
		5.2.2	The right to be informed of the reasons for inclusion on	
			the blacklist	
		5.2.3	The right to an effective legal remedy	
		5.2.4	The interdependence of defence rights	437

	5.3	Effective judicial review and essential procedural requirements	443
			444
		5.3.2 Judicial review for Category 1 terrorists	446
		5.3.3 Judicial review for Category 3 terrorists	
			449
		5.3.5 Safeguarding essential procedural requirements and the	
			451
	5.4		453
6.			460
	6.1	Non-compliance with substantive prerequisites of the Regulation	461
	6.2		461 468
			408
	6.3	Infringement on the principles of subsidiarity, proportionality	471
	<i>C</i> 1	and legal certainty	471
	6.4	Infringement on the right to assembly, association, expression and	477
_		1 3	473
7.	In su	ım	474
	apter		
Co	nclusi	ons and Recommendations	479
1.			479
2.	Chai		480
	2.1		480
	2.2	Procedural anti-terrorism legislation	493
3.	Anti	-terrorism legislation and fundamental rights and principles of law	503
	3.1	The principle of legality and the DTA	503
	3.2		505
		3.2.1 'In accordance with the law' for the sake of preventing	
			506
			513
			521
	3.4	$\mathcal{U}$	522
	٥	3.4.1 The arrest period and Article 5 of the ECHR	
		1	528
		3.4.3 Non-disclosure of part of the case file, habeas corpus	220
			532
	3.5	The right to freedom of movement and Dutch anti-terrorism	332
	٥.٥	C	537
	2.6	$\mathcal{C}$	
1	3.6		539
4.		nterbalancing interferences with fundamental rights and principles	- 10
	of la		543
	4.1	Terrorist offences	544

	4.2	Special investigation techniques	15
	4.3	Investigative powers in security risk areas	17
	4.4	Exploratory inquiry 54	18
	4.5	Deprivation of liberty	18
	4.6	Non-disclosure of information comprised in the case file 54	19
	4.7	Blacklisting	51
5.	Conc	luding remarks	52
Bib	oliogra	<i>1phy</i>	55
Sui	mmary	59	99
Sai	nenva	tting 61	15
Cu	rricul	um Vitae 63	33

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA Aliens Act [Vreemdelingenwet]

AEO Act on Economical Offences [Wet economische misdrijven]

AIVD Algemene Inlichtingen en Veiligheidsdiensten CFI Court of First Instance of the European Union

CIE Criminele Inlichtingen Eenheid

DCC Dutch Criminal Code [Wetboek van Strafrecht]

DCCP Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure [Wetboek van Strafvordering]

DPTA Dutch Procedural Terrorism Act

DTA Dutch Terrorism Act [Wet Terroristische Misdrijven]

ECHR European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and

Fundamental Freedoms

ECJ European Court of Justice

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

EU European Union

MIVD Militaire Inlichtingen en Veiligheidsdiensten

NJ Nederlandse Jurisprudentie NJB Nederlands Juristenblad

NJCM Nederlands Juristencomité voor de mensenrechten

OA Opium Act [Opiumwet]
PA Police Act [Politiewet]

RCIE Regionale Criminele Inlichtingen Eenheid TEC Treaty establishing the European Community

TEU Treaty on the European Union

TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

UK The United Kingdom UN The United Nations

UNSC United Nations Security Council

USA United States of America

WAA Weapons and Ammunition Act [Wet Wapens en munitie]
WODC Wetenschappelijk Onderzoeks- en Documentatie Centrum