

## RECONSTRUCTING MARRIAGE

EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW SERIES

Published by the Organising Committee of the  
Commission on European Family Law

Prof. Katharina Boele-Woelki (Utrecht)

Prof. Frédérique Ferrand (Lyon)

Prof. Cristina González Beilfuss (Barcelona)

Prof. Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg (Uppsala)

Prof. Nigel Lowe (Cardiff)

Prof. Dieter Martiny (Frankfurt/Oder)

Prof. Walter Pintens (Leuven)

# RECONSTRUCTING MARRIAGE

## The Legal Status of Relationships in a Changing Society

Caroline SÖRGJERD



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Publishing Ltd.  
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road  
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK:*  
Hart Publishing Ltd  
16C Worcester Place  
Oxford, OX1 2JW  
UK  
Tel.: +44 1865 517 530  
Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

*Distribution for the USA and Canada:*  
International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213  
USA  
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)  
Email: info@isbs.com

*Distribution for Switzerland and  
Germany:*  
Stämpfli Verlag AG  
Wölflistrasse 1  
CH-3001 Bern  
Switzerland  
Tel.: +41 0 31 300 63 18  
order@buchstaempfli.com

*Distribution for other countries:*  
Intersentia Publishing nv  
Groenstraat 31  
2640 Mortsel  
Belgium  
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Reconstructing Marriage. The Legal Status of Relationships in a Changing Society  
Caroline Sörgjerd

© 2012 Intersentia  
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-1-78068-037-8  
NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

*For my mother*



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“Feeling gratitude and not expressing it is like wrapping a present and not giving it.”\* Now that I am wrapping up this thesis, time has come to acknowledge those who have stood by me and assisted me in different ways on this journey.

First of all, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg. This thesis would not have been finished without her dedication, generosity, expertise and her meticulousness. Maarit has shown a devotion which extends far beyond what one can expect from a supervisor. For this I am deeply indebted to her. I have been fortunate enough to have an excellent assistant supervisor as well, Professor Anna Singer, who has participated actively in the formation of this thesis. Anna has contributed immensely with her sharp analysis and personal commitment. It has been an honor to work under these two women’s guidance.

I also wish to thank Professor Håkan Andersson for providing me with a gateway into the world of science, available through his introductory course for new doctoral candidates. His lectures have inspired me in many ways and, not least, taught me to think “outside the box”. Furthermore, I would like to thank Professor Rolf Nygren for valuable and thought-provoking discussions on legal history. My gratitude also goes to my fellow – past and present – doctoral candidate friends at the Department of Law, for encouragement, pleasant company and interesting discussions. I would especially like to thank Dr. Mosa Sayed for being my friend, and for sharing the experience of being a doctoral candidate with me. I also wish to express my gratitude to Maria Sörgjerd, Ylva Sörgjerd, Thérèse Lejfalk, Helena Hillström, Victoria Enkvist and Jane Stoll for proofreading parts of my manuscript.

The comparative outlooks into the Dutch and Spanish legal systems have been significantly improved by input from legal experts in the Netherlands and Spain. I wish to thank Professor Katharina Boele-Woelki for her sharp input on my discussions concerning Dutch law, and Professor Cristina González Beilfuss for insightful and valuable comments on my account of Spanish law. Any remaining errors are mine. During my work with this thesis, I have been in contact with numerous authorities and experts, in Sweden and abroad. There is not enough room to thank each of them here, but a collective thank you is in order.

---

\* William Arthur Ward.

Last but not least I would like to express my gratitude to my family. A special thank you goes to my parents-in-law Ylva and Peter, to my sister-in-law Karin, to my father Krister and to my brother Rasmus. Furthermore, I am deeply indebted to Jenny, my best friend and “diary” for her endless patience and support on a daily basis, and to my sister Therèse, who never feels far away although we live in different countries. This book has been dedicated to my mother Michèle, for all the sacrifices she made for me when I was growing up, and for always believing in me.

Finally, I wish to express my love and gratitude to my immediate family – Maria, Estelle and Lovisa – for their endless patience and support. You are amazing.

This book is a slightly modified version of my doctoral thesis, successfully defended on 11 February 2011 at Uppsala University, and takes into account questions and viewpoints presented at the public defense. For inspiration in this respect, I would like to thank my opponent Professor Eva Ryrstedt (Lund) and the board members – Professor Margareta Brattström (Uppsala), Professor Masha Antokolskaia (Amsterdam) and Professor Urpo Kangas (Helsinki). I would also like to thank Dr. Katharina Hilbig (Göttingen) for valuable input on German law and Dr. Helena Franzén for language revising this book. In this context, Professor Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg deserves an additional thank you for her continuing support and dedication.

My doctoral thesis was written within the multidisciplinary research programme Impact of Religion: Challenges for Society, Law and Democracy, established as a center of excellence at Uppsala University. The research programme provided financial means for the revision of my thesis after the public defense. For this I am deeply grateful. Financial means to write my doctoral thesis were provided by the Uppsala Faculty of Law and by a scholarship from Sigrid and Anna Åbergssons stipendiefond. Emil Heijnes Stiftelse för rättvetenskap contributed financially to the printing of this book. Like in the doctoral thesis, documents issued before 1 October 2010 have been taken into account in this book. Documents issued thereafter have only been considered selectively.

*Caroline Sörgjerd*

Uppsala, October 2011



# CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i> .....	vii
<i>Abbreviations</i> .....	xxi

## *PART I – INTRODUCTION*

1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
1.1. Purpose.....	3
1.2. The Research Object.....	6
1.2.1. A Study at Two Levels.....	6
1.2.2. The Topicality of the Research Choice.....	7
1.2.3. The Choice of the Research Object – Three Arguments.....	8
1.3. Methodology.....	10
1.3.1. Sources and Method.....	10
1.3.2. The Function of Legal Comparisons in this Study.....	12
1.4. Delimitation.....	14
1.4.1. The Twosome Relationship in Focus.....	14
1.4.2. Why Is Sweden in the Forefront? Three Arguments.....	16
1.4.3. Why the Netherlands and Spain?.....	18
1.4.4. The Focus on Same-Sex Couples.....	19
1.5. Outline.....	19

## *PART II – MARRIAGE IN SWEDEN: FROM DIVINE TO GENDER-NEUTRAL*

2. MARRIAGE AS A GIFT OF GOD.....	23
2.1. The Point of Departure.....	23
2.2. Toward the Marriage Code of 1734 – Conflicting Interests.....	23
2.2.1. Germanic Marriage Customs versus Canonical Law.....	23
2.2.2. The Reformation – From Catholicism to Lutheranism.....	26
2.2.3. The Period of Enlightenment – the Impact on Marriage.....	27
2.3. Sweden in the Eighteenth Century – the State, Church and Society.....	28
2.3.1. The Church of Sweden’s Special Interest in Marriage.....	28
2.3.2. Society in General – Basic Features Related to Marriage.....	30
2.4. Novelties Regarding Marriage – The Code of 1734.....	32
2.4.1. Conclusion of Marriage.....	32

2.4.2.	Dissolution of Marriage . . . . .	33
2.5.	The Forms and Conditions of Marriage – The Code of 1734 . . . . .	33
2.5.1.	Betrothal . . . . .	33
2.5.2.	Who Could Marry Whom? . . . . .	36
2.5.2.1.	Impediments to Marriage . . . . .	36
2.5.2.2.	The Legal Authority to Celebrate Marriages . . . . .	38
2.5.3.	The Applicable Marriage Service . . . . .	39
2.5.3.1.	The Marriage Service: Background and Wording . . . . .	39
2.5.3.2.	An Alternative Wording of the Marriage Service . . . . .	41
2.5.4.	The “Incomplete Marriage” . . . . .	41
2.5.4.1.	Background – the Church Battling with Old Customs . . . . .	41
2.5.4.2.	The Incomplete Marriage Regulation . . . . .	43
2.5.4.3.	The Function of Incomplete Marriage in the Swedish Legal System . . . . .	44
2.5.4.3.1.	The “Feminist” Alternative to Marriage? . . . . .	44
2.5.4.3.2.	A Predecessor to the Contemporary Model of Cohabitation without Marriage? . . . . .	46
2.5.4.3.3.	Protection of a Weaker Party and Recognition of Couples Unable to Marry in the Lutheran Church – the Central Functions of Incomplete Marriage . . . . .	47
2.6.	The Legal Effects of Marriage . . . . .	48
2.6.1.	The Position of the Wife . . . . .	48
2.6.2.	The Dowry and Morning Gift . . . . .	49
2.7.	Dissolution of Marriage: Grounds for Divorce . . . . .	50
2.8.	The Essence of Marriage under the 1734 Code – Summarizing Analysis . . . . .	52
3.	MARRIAGE BETWEEN TWO EQUALS . . . . .	55
3.1.	The Point of Departure . . . . .	55
3.2.	Toward the Marriage Code of 1920 – Relevant Enactments and Nordic Legal Cooperation . . . . .	56
3.2.1.	The Enactments of 1908 and 1915 . . . . .	56
3.2.1.1.	Civil Marriage as an Option to Religious Marriage . . . . .	56
3.2.1.2.	The Enactment of 1915: Conclusion and Dissolution of Marriage . . . . .	57
3.2.2.	Nordic Legal Cooperation . . . . .	57
3.2.2.1.	Harmonization of Marriage Law . . . . .	57
3.2.2.2.	Why were the Nordic Countries Pioneers with Equality between Spouses? . . . . .	60

3.3. Novelties Regarding Marriage – Equality between Spouses as the Basis for the Marriage Code of 1920. . . . .	61
3.4. The Forms and Conditions of Marriage – The Marriage Code of 1920 . . . . .	63
3.4.1. Betrothal. . . . .	63
3.4.2. Impediments to Marriage . . . . .	64
3.4.2.1. Underlying Functions . . . . .	64
3.4.2.2. Annulment and Invalidity . . . . .	65
3.4.2.3. Adoption – Indispensable Impediment yet Possible to Bypass . . . . .	66
3.4.2.4. Age-Limits for Conclusion of Marriage . . . . .	67
3.4.3. The Marriage Ceremony: Terminology and Formalities . . . . .	68
3.4.3.1. Formal Requirements . . . . .	68
3.4.3.2. Terminology and Symbolism: <i>Vigsel</i> and <i>Giftermål</i> . . . . .	69
3.4.3.3. Capacity to Celebrate Marriages . . . . .	70
3.4.4. The Marriage Ceremonies in 1920 – the Religious and the Civil Compared . . . . .	71
3.4.4.1. The Civil Marriage Ceremony . . . . .	71
3.4.4.2. The Religious Marriage Ceremony . . . . .	72
3.4.4.3. Comparisons between the Two Ceremonies . . . . .	73
3.5. Legal Effects of Marriage . . . . .	75
3.5.1. Adjusting the Law to the Values in Society. . . . .	75
3.5.2. The Revised Marital Property Regime. . . . .	75
3.5.3. Spousal Maintenance . . . . .	77
3.5.3.1. The Regulation . . . . .	77
3.5.3.2. Maintenance and Equality – A Contradiction? . . . . .	78
3.6. The Dissolution of Marriage . . . . .	79
3.6.1. “Copenhagen Divorces” and the Need for Law Reform . . . . .	79
3.6.2. Preliminary Stages to Divorce: Legal Separation and Mediation . . . . .	80
3.6.2.1. Legal Separation . . . . .	80
3.6.2.2. Mediation . . . . .	81
3.6.3. Grounds for Divorce. . . . .	82
3.7. The Essence of Marriage in 1920 – Summarizing Analysis and Comparisons . . . . .	83
3.7.1. Summarizing Analysis . . . . .	83
3.7.2. International Comparisons: Perspectives on the Swedish Legal Development . . . . .	84
3.7.2.1. How Progressive was the Swedish Marriage Code of 1920? . . . . .	84
3.7.2.2. Equality and the Legal Position of Married Women . . . . .	85
3.7.2.3. Access to Divorce . . . . .	87

4. TOWARD INCREASED AUTONOMY IN MARRIAGE – THE ENACTMENTS OF THE 1970S AND THE MARRIAGE CODE OF 1987 .....	91
4.1. Laying the Foundation for an Altered Concept of Marriage .....	91
4.1.1. The 1970s – “Modern” Values Relating to Marriage Gaining Ground .....	91
4.1.2. The Establishment of the Swedish Welfare State: 1930–1970 ..	92
4.1.2.1. The Swedish Social Democratic Party .....	92
4.1.2.2. The Folk Home .....	93
4.1.2.3. The Reform Program of the 1930s: Alva and Gunnar Myrdal as Two Key Ideologists .....	94
4.1.2.4. The Impact of the Welfare Reforms on Values Relating to Marriage .....	96
4.2. The Family Law Enactments of the 1970s .....	97
4.2.1. A “Neutral” Approach to Family Law Matters: The 1969 Guidelines .....	97
4.2.2. The 1973 Enactments – Conclusion and Dissolution of Marriage .....	99
4.2.2.1. Minimizing Impediments to Marriage .....	99
4.2.2.1.1. Marriage between “Half-Siblings” .....	99
4.2.2.1.2. Affinity through Adoption .....	102
4.2.2.2. Divorce as a Unilateral Right .....	103
4.2.2.3. The Period of Reconsideration – An Ethical Remainder in the Law .....	106
4.2.2.4. The Marriage Ceremony – Radical Proposals Rejected .....	109
4.2.3. The 1978 Enactment – Spousal Maintenance .....	110
4.2.3.1. Maintenance after Divorce .....	110
4.2.3.2. Maintenance during Marriage .....	112
4.2.3.3. The Maintenance Regulation – Summarizing Reflections .....	114
4.2.4. The Reforms of the 1970s – Discussions of Legal Policy .....	116
4.2.4.1. The Impact of Neutrality on the Swedish Legal Development .....	116
4.2.4.2. Abolishing Religious Values and Creating a Secular System: A Deliberate Political Agenda? .....	119
4.3. The Marriage Code of 1987 .....	120
4.3.1. The Definition of Marriage .....	120
4.3.2. The Deferred Community of Property Regime: Novelties ..	121
4.3.3. Faithfulness and the Spouses’ Joint Responsibilities: “Upgraded” to Chapter 1 .....	122

4.4.	The Essence of Marriage under the 1970–1987 Enactments: Summarizing Analysis and Comparisons . . . . .	124
4.4.1.	Marriage as a Union with “Revolving Doors” . . . . .	124
4.4.2.	International Comparisons: Perspectives on the Swedish Legal Development . . . . .	125
4.4.2.1.	How Progressive were the Swedish Marriage Enactments of the 1970s? . . . . .	125
4.4.2.2.	Divorce as a Unilateral Right for each Spouse. . . . .	126
4.4.2.2.1.	The Divorce Regulations: A Brief Summary. . . . .	126
4.4.2.2.2.	Comparative Reflections . . . . .	129
4.4.2.3.	The “Clean Break” of Divorce – Termination of Legal Effects . . . . .	130
4.4.2.4.	Was the Swedish Maintenance Enactment of 1978 too Far-Reaching?. . . . .	131
4.4.2.5.	Progressive Swedish Marriage Regulation and European Harmonization. . . . .	132
5.	A “SECOND-CLASS” MARRIAGE? THE COHABITEE ACTS OF 1973, 1987 AND 2003 . . . . .	135
5.1.	Point of Departure. . . . .	135
5.2.	The 1973 Act on the Joint Dwelling of an Unmarried Couple . . . . .	136
5.2.1.	Practical Reasons for Adopting the Act. . . . .	136
5.2.2.	Social Protection of a Weaker Party. . . . .	137
5.2.3.	“The Neutrality Policy” . . . . .	139
5.2.3.1.	Conflicting Interests in the 1969 Guidelines . . . . .	139
5.2.3.2.	The Neutrality Policy and Cohabitation without Marriage: Criticism in the Legal Literature. . . . .	141
5.2.4.	Structure and Content . . . . .	144
5.3.	The Cohabitees Joint Homes Act of 1987. . . . .	144
5.3.1.	Legally Recognizing a New Status? . . . . .	144
5.3.2.	The Cohabitees Act and the Marriage Frequency: A Brief Observation . . . . .	146
5.4.	The Cohabitees Act of 2003 . . . . .	147
5.4.1.	Why was the Act Adopted? . . . . .	147
5.4.1.1.	The Symbolic Value of Including Same-Sex and Different-Sex Cohabitees in the Same Enactment . . . . .	147
5.4.1.2.	Protection of a Weaker Party – Still a Central Goal. . . . .	148
5.4.1.3.	A Minimum Level of Protection? Unfair Results of the Act . . . . .	149
5.4.1.4.	What is “Fair” in the Context of Cohabitation without Marriage? . . . . .	152

5.4.2.	The Forms and Conditions of Cohabitation without Marriage: The Act of 2003 . . . . .	155
5.4.2.1.	Establishment of Cohabitation without Marriage . . . . .	155
5.4.2.1.1.	Substantive Content of the Act . . . . .	155
5.4.2.1.2.	“Non-Intimate” Relationships Excluded: Relatives and Friends . . . . .	156
5.4.2.2.	A Registration Procedure Instead of Application by Default? . . . . .	157
5.4.3.	Legal Effects of Cohabitation without Marriage: The Act of 2003 . . . . .	159
5.4.3.1.	Division of Property . . . . .	159
5.4.3.2.	Joint “Caveat” Ownership. . . . .	160
5.4.3.3.	Proposals to Extend the Scope of the Act. . . . .	161
5.4.4.	Dissolution of Cohabitation without Marriage . . . . .	162
5.4.4.1.	Substantive Content of the Rules. . . . .	162
5.4.4.2.	Dissolution Because of the Death of a Cohabitee – A Few Comments. . . . .	163
5.5.	Summarizing Analysis – The Impact of Cohabitation without Marriage on the Institution of Marriage . . . . .	164
6.	TOWARD GENDER-NEUTRAL MARRIAGE – PRECEDING DEVELOPMENTS . . . . .	167
6.1.	What’s in a Name? . . . . .	167
6.2.	Legal Strategies and Approaches to Homosexuality in Sweden. . . . .	168
6.2.1.	The Point of Departure. . . . .	168
6.2.2.	Homosexuality as a Criminal Offense: 1734–1944 . . . . .	168
6.2.3.	Decriminalization of Homosexuality . . . . .	170
6.2.4.	A Turning Point: Official Acceptance and Legal Recognition . . . . .	171
6.2.4.1.	Background. . . . .	171
6.2.4.2.	A Lesbian Mother Awarded Child Custody in 1955 . . . . .	172
6.2.4.3.	A Perfectly Acceptable Form of Family Life from Society’s Point of View . . . . .	173
6.3.	The Church of Sweden – Responses to the Legal Development and Biblical Perspectives on Homosexuality . . . . .	175
6.3.1.	The Point of Departure. . . . .	175
6.3.2.	Same-Sex Partnerships and the Church of Sweden: Responses to the Legal Development. . . . .	176
6.3.2.1.	Decriminalization of Homosexuality. . . . .	176
6.3.2.2.	Officially Accepting “Genuine” Homosexuality. . . . .	177
6.3.2.3.	The Registered Partnership Act . . . . .	177

6.3.2.4.	The Gender-Neutral Marriage Concept . . . . .	178
6.3.3.	Homosexuality and the Bible: Different Interpretations . . . .	179
6.3.3.1.	“ <i>Contra Naturam</i> ”: Sexuality and Reproduction. . . . .	180
6.3.3.2.	The Story of Sodom . . . . .	181
6.3.3.3.	The Song of Solomon . . . . .	182
6.3.4.	The Impact of Religious Values Relating to Marriage in the Twenty-first Century . . . . .	183
6.4.	Family Law Enactments Recognizing Same-Sex Couples . . . . .	184
6.4.1.	The Homosexual Cohabitees Act of 1987 . . . . .	184
6.4.2.	The Gender-Neutral Cohabitees Act of 2003 . . . . .	186
6.4.3.	The Registered Partnership Act . . . . .	187
6.4.3.1.	Background and Substantive Content . . . . .	187
6.4.3.2.	Subsequent Amendments to the Act. . . . .	189
6.4.3.2.1.	Adoption of Children . . . . .	189
6.4.3.2.2.	Assisted Procreation Methods. . . . .	190
6.4.4.	Gender-Neutral Marriage . . . . .	191
6.5.	Registered Partnership and Same-Sex Marriage: Ideology, Symbolism and Constitutional Aspects. . . . .	193
6.5.1.	The Registered Partnership Act: Ideological Foundation and the Human Rights Discourse . . . . .	193
6.5.2.	Registered Partnership: Equality in Rights – Not in Dignity? . . . . .	195
6.5.3.	Same-Sex Marriage: Value-Charged Concepts as the Basis for Reform? . . . . .	197
6.5.4.	Constitutional Protection against Discrimination – Basic Provisions Relating to Same-Sex Unions . . . . .	199
6.6.	Linguistic Perspectives on Marriage and Registered Partnership. . .	201
6.6.1.	The Partnership Ceremony – Differences and Similarities Compared to Civil Marriage. . . . .	201
6.6.1.1.	The Longer Versions of the Ceremonies. . . . .	202
6.6.1.2.	Upholding of Society as the Purpose of Marriage . . . . .	203
6.6.1.3.	The Shorter Versions of the Ceremonies . . . . .	204
6.6.1.4.	Gender-Neutral Marriage – the Civil Ceremonies. . . . .	205
6.6.1.5.	Gender-Neutral Marriage – the Religious Service . . . . .	208
6.6.2.	Semiotic Reflections . . . . .	209
6.6.2.1.	The Word “Marriage” as a Source of Conflict . . . . .	209
6.6.2.2.	Focusing on Differences . . . . .	212
6.7.	Summarizing Analysis . . . . .	213
6.7.1.	Gender-Neutral Marriage: Undermining Marriage? . . . . .	213
6.7.2.	Why Marriage? . . . . .	214

*PART III – INTERNATIONAL AND EUROPEAN OUTLOOKS*

7. LEGAL RECOGNITION OF SAME-SEX COUPLES – SPAIN AND THE NETHERLANDS. . . . .	219
7.1. Recapitulation and Choice of Jurisdictions. . . . .	219
7.2. The Netherlands. . . . .	221
7.2.1. Freedom of Choice – Various Alternative Regulations. . . . .	221
7.2.2. Equal Treatment – the Basis for the Dutch Course of Events . . . . .	223
7.2.2.1. The Dutch Concept of Discrimination. . . . .	223
7.2.2.2. “Discrimination” – Different Approaches in Sweden and the Netherlands . . . . .	225
7.2.3. Legal Approaches to Homosexuality: Policies and Key Enactments. . . . .	227
7.2.3.1. Background. . . . .	227
7.2.3.2. Court Interpretations of Same-Sex Marriage . . . . .	228
7.2.4. Registered Partnership. . . . .	229
7.2.4.1. The First Kortmann Committee . . . . .	229
7.2.4.2. The Forms and Conditions of Registered Partnership – Similarities and Differences Compared to Marriage . . . . .	231
7.2.4.2.1. Impediments . . . . .	231
7.2.4.2.2. The Marriage Ceremony. . . . .	233
7.2.4.3. Legal Effects of Registered Partnership . . . . .	234
7.2.4.4. Dissolution of Registered Partnership . . . . .	236
7.2.4.4.1. Registered Partnership: Easier to Dissolve than a Marriage . . . . .	236
7.2.4.4.2. The Conversion Mechanism . . . . .	238
7.2.5. Gender-Neutral Marriage . . . . .	239
7.2.5.1. The Second Kortmann Committee. . . . .	239
7.2.5.2. Why Was the Netherlands First? . . . . .	241
7.2.6. Registered Partnership and Marriage in the Netherlands as Two Parallel Institutions – Comparisons with Swedish Discussions. . . . .	243
7.2.6.1. Dutch Registered Partnership: Marriage without Its Symbolism? . . . . .	243
7.2.6.2. Hierarchical and Patriarchal Connotations Attached to Marriage: A Few Reflections. . . . .	244
7.2.6.3. Secular and Religious Values Relating to Marriage . . . . .	247
7.3. Spain . . . . .	249
7.3.1. Progression as a Means of Reinforcing Democracy . . . . .	249



7.3.2.	Background – Legal Strategies and Approaches to Same-Sex Unions . . . . .	250
7.3.2.1.	Toward Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Couples . . .	250
7.3.2.2.	Competence to Legislate: The Federal State and the Autonomous Communities . . . . .	252
7.3.2.3.	Why was Catalonia First? . . . . .	253
7.3.2.4.	Two Key Cases from the Spanish Constitutional Court . . . . .	254
7.3.2.4.1.	Unmarried Cohabitees’ Legal Position . .	255
7.3.2.4.2.	The Legal Position of Same-Sex Couples .	255
7.3.3.	Gender-Neutral Marriage . . . . .	257
7.3.3.1.	The Impact of Politics . . . . .	257
7.3.3.2.	Constitutional Aspects of a Gender-Neutral Marriage Concept . . . . .	258
7.3.3.3.	Legal Parenthood for Same-Sex Couples: Adoption and Assisted Procreation Methods . . . . .	260
7.3.3.4.	What Difference in Treatment Is Left? . . . . .	261
7.3.4.	The Catholic Church and Gender-Neutral Marriage . . . . .	262
7.3.4.1.	The Franco-Regime and the Sacramental Nature of Marriage in the Catholic Faith. . . . .	262
7.3.4.2.	A “Virus” Destroying the Family? . . . . .	264
7.3.4.3.	The Catholic Church’s Impact on Spanish Society Today . . . . .	266
7.4.	Registered Partnership and Same-Sex Marriage – Driving Forces to Legislate in the Nordic Countries. . . . .	268
7.4.1.	The Nordic Enactments . . . . .	268
7.4.2.	Nordic Legal Cooperation in the Twentieth Century . . . . .	269
7.4.3.	Being the Most “Progressive”: A Motivation Also in the Nordic Countries. . . . .	271
7.5.	Summarizing Remarks: Gender-Neutral Marriage as the Target of Progression and Equality Achievements . . . . .	273
8.	THE EUROPEAN UNION’S, COUNCIL OF EUROPE’S AND HUMAN RIGHTS’ PERSPECTIVES ON CHANGING COHABITATION MODELS. . . . .	275
8.1.	The Point of Departure. . . . .	275
8.2.	EU Law Perspectives: Who are “Family”? . . . . .	276
8.2.1.	Free Movement of Persons: The Regulation of 1968 . . . . .	276
8.2.2.	“The Citizens Directive” of 2004 . . . . .	278
8.2.2.1.	The “If-the-Host-State-So-Wishes-Approach” . . . . .	278
8.2.2.2.	A Once Again Established Duty to Facilitate Entry. . . . .	281

8.2.3.	The Principle of Non-Discrimination . . . . .	283
8.2.3.1.	Non-Discrimination – A Fundamental Principle in EU Law . . . . .	283
8.2.3.2.	A Few Key Judgments by the ECJ . . . . .	285
8.2.4.	The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union . . . . .	290
8.2.4.1.	Background – Drafting and Promulgation . . . . .	290
8.2.4.2.	Non-Discrimination and the Right to Marry and to Found a Family: The Charter and the ECHR Compared . . . . .	292
8.3.	Human Rights pursuant to the ECHR . . . . .	293
8.3.1.	The Court and the Convention in Brief . . . . .	293
8.3.2.	The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life (Art. 8) . . . . .	294
8.3.2.1.	Private Life and Family Life – A Distinction . . . . .	295
8.3.2.2.	Unmarried Different-Sex Couples and Protection of Family Life . . . . .	296
8.3.2.3.	Same-Sex Partnerships: Family Life Instead of Private Life . . . . .	297
8.3.3.	The Right to Marry and Found a Family (Art. 12) . . . . .	297
8.3.3.1.	Marriage: A Union Restricted to a Man and a Woman . . . . .	297
8.3.3.2.	Christine Goodwin v. the United Kingdom . . . . .	298
8.3.3.3.	The Goodwin Case: Impact on Same-Sex Couples’ right to Marry? . . . . .	299
8.3.4.	The Prohibition Against Discrimination (Art. 14) . . . . .	301
8.3.4.1.	Prerequisites for Application . . . . .	301
8.3.4.2.	Schalk and Kopf v. Austria . . . . .	303
8.3.4.3.	P.B. and J.S. v. Austria . . . . .	306
8.4.	Summarizing Reflections: Achieving Equality and Preserving Diversity – A Contradiction . . . . .	308

*PART IV – CONCLUDING ANALYSIS*

9.	THE ESSENCE OF MARRIAGE . . . . .	315
9.1.	Marriage: A Diverse and Elastic Concept . . . . .	315
9.1.1.	Diversity: Characteristic feature of Marriage and Partnership Regulations in Europe in the Twenty-first Century . . . . .	315
9.1.2.	Flexibility within the Swedish Concept of Marriage – Historically and Contemporarily . . . . .	317
9.2.	The Essence of Marriage: Contract, Civil Status and Symbolism . . . . .	320
9.2.1.	Marriage: from Civil Status to Contract and Back Again . . . . .	320

9.2.2.	The Symbolic Dimension of Marriage . . . . .	321
9.2.2.1.	The Purpose of Marriage – Religious Connotations . . . . .	321
9.2.2.2.	The Institution of Marriage – Connotations to Values Extending Beyond the Legal Sphere. . . . .	323
9.3.	The Relevance of the Research Object – Three Practical Arguments Revisited. . . . .	326
9.3.1.	The Value of Analyzing the Impact of the Gender-Neutral Marriage Enactment on the Essence of Marriage in Sweden. . . . .	326
9.3.2.	The Value of Providing a Foundation for Future Challenges Relating to Marriage. . . . .	328
9.3.3.	The Value of Comparing Reasons for Reform in Sweden, Spain and the Netherlands . . . . .	329
9.4.	Does the Institution of Marriage Have a Future? . . . . .	330
9.4.1.	Abolish Marriage? Pro et Contra . . . . .	330
9.4.2.	The Institution of Marriage – Elastic yet Tradition-Bound . . . . .	333
	<i>References</i> . . . . .	335



## ABBREVIATIONS

Art./Arts.	Article/Articles
BOE	Boletín Oficial del Estado
c	case
CEFL	Commission on European Family Law
Charter	Charter on Fundamental Rights of the European Union
CiU	Convergència i Unió
COM	European Commission Documents (European Union)
Council	Council of Europe
Ds	Departementsserien
ECHR/the Convention	European Convention of Human Rights
ECJ	European Court of Justice (European Union)
EEC	European Economic Community
e.g.	exempli gratia (for example)
etc.	et cetera (and the rest)
et al.	et alii (and others)
EU	European Union
f.	following (page)
FamPra.ch	Die Praxis des Familienrechts/La Pratique du Droit de la Famille
i.e.	id est (that is)
JT	Juridisk Tidskrift
LU	Lagutskottet
NJA	Nytt Juridiskt Arkiv (I) (case law from the Supreme Court, Sweden)
NJA II	Nytt Juridiskt Arkiv II
no.	number
p./pp.	page/pages
PACS	Pacte civil de solidarité
Para./Paras.	Paragraph/Paragraphs
PP	Partido Popular
Prop	proposition
PSOE	Partido Socialista Obrero Español
RF	Regeringsformen

Abbreviations

RH	Rättsfall från hovrätterna (case law from the Court of Appeals, Sweden)
SOU	Statens offentliga utredningar
Strasbourg Court	The European Court of Human Rights
SvJT	Svensk Juristtidning
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
UN	United Nations
UU	Utrikesutskottet
v.	versus
Vol.	Volume