Procedural Justice? Victim Participation in International Criminal Proceedings



# Procedural Justice? Victim Participation in International Criminal Proceedings

Brianne McGonigle Leyh



Brianne McGonigle Leyh Procedural Justice? Victim Participation in International Criminal Proceedings

Cover image © Giovanni van Heusden

Typesetting: G.J. Wiarda Institute for Legal Research, Utrecht

ISBN 978-1-78068-020-0 NUR 828

© 2011 Intersentia www.intersentia.com

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Behoudens uitzondering door de wet gesteld, mag zonder schiftelijke toestemming van de rechthebbende(n) op het auteursrecht c.q. de uitgevers van deze uitgave, door de rechthebbende(n) gemachtigd namens hem (hen) op te treden, niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd en/of openbaar gemaakt door middel van druk, fotocopie, microfilm of anderszins, hetgeen ook van toepassing is op de gehele of gedeeltelijke bewerking. De uitgevers zijn met uitsluiting van ieder ander onherroepelijk door de auteur gemachtigd de door derden verschuldigde vergoedingen van copiëren, als bedoeld in artikel 17 lid 2 der Auteurswet 1912 en in het KB van 20-6-'64 (Stb. 351) ex artikel 16b der Auteurswet 1912, te doen innen door (en overeenkomstig de reglementen van) de Stichting Reprorecht te Amsterdam.

Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden verveelvoudigd en/of openbaar gemaakt door middel van druk, fotocopie, microfilm of op welke andere wijze ook, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgevers.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photo copy, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publishers.

One must not forget, [...] that international criminal law does not provide all the answers. It is only one component of the highly complicated reactions to humanitarian emergencies.<sup>1</sup>

THEODOR MERON

<sup>1</sup> Meron, T., Reflections on the Prosecution of War Crimes by International Tribunals, 100 American Journal of International Law 551, 578 (2006).

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

When I first began researching and writing this book in the fall of 2006, victim participation in international criminal proceedings was a novel concept. No one really knew how the inclusion of victims would impact proceedings and very little had been written on the subject. In fact, a number of individuals openly questioned my choice to write a book on a subject that they argued could be covered in a medium-sized academic article. In the years that followed, however, the topic of victim participation became increasingly important. Significant developments were underfoot and interest in this aspect of the law grew substantially. I have been very fortunate to have been able to research this issue at such a dynamic time. Nonetheless it has also been challenging to write about such a divisive subject and one which remains in a state of unrest. Thankfully, I have been fortunate enough to have received an enormous amount of valuable support from colleagues, friends and family. I am truly grateful. Unfortunately, I am unable to name everyone who has assisted and encouraged me throughout the past five years, but there are a number of individuals who I would very much like to mention.

I would first like to recognize and thank my supervisors, Prof. Jenny Goldschmidt and Prof. Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops. They gave me the freedom to explore the topic and shape my research. Moreover, they were always there for me in times of need. For instance, when funding was initially not available for my research visit to Cambodia both of my supervisors found ways to make it possible. For this, and many other things, I am truly grateful. I would also like to thank my reading committee members, including Prof. Marc Groenhuijsen, Prof. François Kristen, Prof. Hector Olásolo, Prof. Michael Scharf, and Prof. Elies van Sliedregt. Their academic writings have greatly influenced my work and the final version of my manuscript has benefited from their comments.

In addition to my supervisors and my reading committee members, there is one individual, in particular, who has been a constant source of support and motivation. I am indebted, both professionally and personally, to Prof. Tom Zwart. Over the past five years it has been a pleasure working with him on a variety of projects. There is no one else I would rather co-teach American law with. Every class, every debate (and there have been many) was enjoyable.

It is often said that doctoral research is a lonely endeavor. Luckily, I never experienced moments of loneliness. I have been fortunate to work in a fantastic academic environment led by Prof. Jenny Goldschmidt. The Netherlands Institute of Human Rights (SIM) is truly a home away from home. Many thanks to all of my colleagues at SIM—I value being part of the SIM family. Specifically, I would like to thank

Leo Zwaak and Antoine Buyse for their professional mentorship as well as for their friendship. I would also like to express my gratitude to Hanneke van Denderen, Marcella Kiel, Esther Heldenbergh-Bode, Maeyken Hoeneveld and Remko Zwerver for all of their assistance on matters big and small. Further, a special thank you to Saskia Bal. She has very often guided me through the maze of academia and never gave up hope that I would someday speak Dutch.

Each day as I walked into my office I was greeted by a framed poster hanging on my wall with Rosie the Riveter exclaiming 'We Can Do It!" This poster (a gift from my sister), together with photos of my family and other images, were a constant source of motivation. However, it has been my fellow PhD candidates, and not the objects or images I surround myself with, who provided the greatest source of inspiration. Our lunch time chats and out-of-office get-togethers have made working on a PhD seem like a collaborative effort. Thank you especially to Diana Contreras Guadano and Marthe Lot Vermeulen for reading over draft sections of my work, to Marie Elske Gispen who brought order to my bibliography, and to my roommates, past and present: Gentian Zyberi, Sarah Haverkort and Masha Fedorova, all of whom read over draft sections of my book. Masha, in particular, deserves special thanks. Simply put, I could not have completed this book without her. Throughout the years she offered wonderful advice and suggestions and constantly forced me to question my assumptions. I owe her a great professional debt and I value her friendship above anything. I would also like to thank her partner and graphic designer, Giovanni van Heusden, for the cover photo. In addition to those at SIM, I am grateful to Titia Kloos and Peter Morris from the Wiarda Institute for their assistance in editing the book. I am also grateful to Jill Coster van Voorhout, Enrique Carnaro Rojo, Anand Shah, Joeri Maas and Helen Hamzei for their detailed comments upon draft chapters. My final book certainly profited from their feedback and suggestions. A special thanks is also in order for Tom Scheirs from Intersentia.

Throughout the period of my doctoral research I have had the honor to work with and learn from a number of amazing practitioners. In this regard, I would like to thank Paolina Massidda with the Office of Public Counsel for Victims at the International Criminal Court. I felt very welcome there during my time as a Visiting Professional and genuinely appreciate all of the support she has offered me over the years. I continue to be impressed by the incredibly dedicated staff of that office. I am also sincerely grateful to Karim A.A. Khan. It was a privilege working under his leadership and having the opportunity to help represent victims before the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia together with Alain Werner, Srinna Ty, Daniella Ku, and Kate Gibson. I would also like to thank Terith Chy and Sokvisal Kimsroy from the Documentation Center of Cambodia. Our representation would not have been possible without the work of this NGO. Furthermore, I am grateful to everyone at both of these Courts who took the time to sit down with me for formal and informal interviews. The interviews gave me great insight into the complexities of participation at the international level and allowed me to view the topic from a broader mindset.

My growth as an academic and practitioner in the field of international criminal law was largely assisted through my work with an amazing NGO, the Public International Law & Policy Group (PILPG). Paul Williams, its Executive Director, has been instrumental in showing me the importance of bridging in the gap between theory and practice. I would also like to thank Marieke de Hoon. Together we co-direct PILPG's Netherlands Office, and I do not know what I would have done without her friendship and assistance during my maternity leave and the final stages of my PhD.

Unquestionably, the love and support of my friends and family has meant the most to me during this adventure. It is difficult to express how grateful I am to friends who offered to babysit during my maternity leave so that I could finalize a chapter or who encouraged me to enjoy life away from my computer screen. Whether in the US or the Netherlands, I am surrounded by remarkable people who never fail to amaze me with their ability to give of themselves.

Finally, I cannot even begin to convey my gratitude to my family. Since the day that I started my doctoral research the parents and brother of my husband have always been there for me, asking about my progress, cutting out related articles from the newspapers, and babysitting for Evelien so that I can meet deadlines. It was so comforting to know that I could rely on them for support. Likewise, my parents and sister have been incredible. Despite her demanding work schedule, my sister read through all of my chapters. Where others may have been afraid to give their honest feedback, my sister never held back, and I thank her for that. I am grateful to my Dad, who might not have always known what I was working on, but who cheered me on nonetheless. I would also like to thank my Mom. More than any other person throughout my life she has offered me unwavering love and support. Lastly, I would like to acknowledge my husband, Theo, and our daughter, Evelien, who remind me everyday what is truly important in life. Without Theo's patience, jokes, cooking, feedback, encouragement and love I could never have produced this book. I am truly blessed. Any errors or omissions are entirely my own.

Utrecht, June 2011

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	nowledgments of Abbreviations	vii xvii
LIST	of Addieviations	XVII
Inti	RODUCTION	
	pter 1	2
Intr	oduction	3
1.1	Victims and Criminal Justice	3
1.2	International Criminal Trials	9
1.3	Core Themes: The Link between Human Rights,	
	International Criminal Justice and Victims' Rights	12
	1.3.1 Fair Trial Standards	13
	1.3.2 Victims' Procedural Rights	18
1.4	Central Research Question	21
1.5	Structure	25
1.6	Aim and Methodology	27
1.7	Conclusion	30
Par	T I ORIGINS AND INFLUENCE	
Cha	pter 2	
Vict	ims and Theories of Criminal Justice	33
2.1	Introduction	33
2.2	Distinctions between Civil Litigation and Criminal Law	34
2.3	Traditional Theories of Criminal Justice: Where Do Victims Fit In?	36
	2.3.1 Retributivism	37
	2.3.2 Utilitarianism	41
2.4	Reforming Criminal Justice Institutions	44
2.5	Victims' Interests: What Do Victims Want?	47
2.6	Restorativism	51
2.7	Mixed Theories of Criminal Justice	57
2.8	Criminal Justice Theories, Victims and International Criminal Justice	59
	2.8.1 Traditional Theories at the International Level	60

#### Table of Contents

2.9	2.8.2 Restorativism at the International Level Conclusion	61 63
2.7	Concrasion	03
	pter 3 nestic Criminal Justice and Victim Participation Models	65
	•	
3.1	Introduction	65
3.2	Comparing Traditions, Systems and Approaches	67
3.3	Civil Law and Common Law Traditions	70
3.4 3.5	The Inquisitorial Procedural Approach	71 74
3.6	The Adversarial Procedural Approach	
3.7	Conceptualizing Participation  Models of Victim Participation at the Domestic Level	76 78
3.7	Models of Victim Participation at the Domestic Level 3.7.1 Complainant	78 78
	3.7.2 Victim-Witness	79
	3.7.2 Victifi- Witness 3.7.3 Civil Party / Civil Complainant	79
	3.7.4 Private / Subsidiary / Auxiliary Prosecutor	81
	3.7.5 Impact Statement Provider	84
	3.7.6 Restorative Practices	86
3.8	Reflections on Victim Participation: A Fundamental Divide	00
2.0	Concerning the Role and Rights of Parties, Participants and Courts	89
3.9	Conclusion	91
	pter 4	0.2
Inte	rnational Developments and Victims of Crime	93
4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	UN General Assembly Declarations	94
	4.2.1 Victims' Declaration	95
	4.2.2 Basic Principles	98
4.3	Human Rights Bodies and Victims' Rights	104
	4.3.1 Human Rights Committee	105
	4.3.2 European Court of Human Rights	106
	4.3.3 Inter-American Court of Human Rights	111
	4.3.4 Inter-American Commission on Human Rights	115
	4.3.5 African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights	116
	4.3.6 African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights	117
4.4	Other International Developments and Initiatives	119
	4.4.1 International Treaties, Resolutions and Principles	119
	4.4.2 European Initiatives	120
4.7	4.4.3 A Victims' Convention?	125
4.5	A Shift in Emphasis?	126
4.6	Conclusion	128

## PART II EXPERIMENTING LABORATORIES

	pter 5 rnational Criminal Courts: A Wide Range of Practices	133
IIIC	Thational Clininial Courts. A Wide Range of Fractices	133
5.1	Introduction	133
5.2	Nuremberg and Tokyo Military Tribunals	135
5.3	The Ad Hoc Tribunals	137
	5.3.1 Participation as Witnesses	140
	5.3.2 Participation as Amici Curiae	141
	5.3.3 Participation in the Form of Victim Impact Statements	143
	5.3.4 Calls for Greater Participation in Relation to Reparations	145
5.4.	Hybrid Courts	148
	5.4.1 Special Court for Sierra Leone	148
	5.4.2 East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes	151
	5.4.3 UNMIK / EULEX War Crimes Panels in Kosovo	156
	5.4.4 Special Tribunal for Lebanon	159
5.5	Conclusion	163
	pter 6	
	im Participation and the Extraordinary Chambers	1.65
in th	ne Courts of Cambodia	167
6.1	Introduction	167
6.2	Negotiating History and Framework of the ECCC	168
6.3	Victims and the ECCC	173
	6.3.1 Participation	173
	6.3.2 Reparation	177
	6.3.3 Protection	178
6.4	Participation in Practice	179
	6.4.1 Application Process	179
	6.4.2 Pre-Trial Proceedings: Case 001 and 002	185
	6.4.3 Trial Stage: Case 001, The <i>Duch</i> Trial	192
	6.4.4 Appeals	203
6.5	Procedural Issues Arising Out of Participation	204
	6.5.1 Disclosure Issues	205
	6.5.2 Evidentiary Issues	205
	6.5.2.1 Witnesses, Civil Parties and Dual Status	206
	6.5.2.2 Familiarization and Proofing	207
	6.5.2.3 Proposing Witnesses	207
	6.5.2.4 Questioning of Witnesses and Accused	208
	6.5.2.5 Civil Party Testimony	212
66	Victim Assistance	216

#### Table of Contents

6.8	Legal Representation Legal Aid	217 219	
	Civil Society Groups	220	
6.10	Conclusion	221	
	oter 7 m Participation at the International Criminal Court	225	
7.1	Introduction	225	
7.2	Negotiating History and Framework of the ICC	226	
7.3	Victims and the ICC	232	
	7.3.1 Participation	234	
	7.3.2 Reparation	239	
	7.3.3 Protection	239	
7.4	Participation in Practice	240	
	7.4.1 Application Process	240	
	7.4.2 Article 68(3) Requirements	257	
	7.4.3 Pre-Trial Stage	261	
	7.4.3.1 Preliminary Examination Phase	261	
	7.4.3.2 Investigation Phase	267	
	7.4.3.3 Confirmation of Charges Phase	273	
	7.4.4 Trial Stage	291	
	7.4.5 Appeals	303	
7.5	Procedural Issues Arising Out of Participation	307	
	7.5.1 Disclosure Issues	308	
	7.5.2 Evidentiary Issues	311	
	7.5.2.1 Witnesses, Civil Parties and Dual Status	312	
	7.5.2.2 Familiarization and Proofing	314	
	7.5.2.3 Proposing Witnesses	315	
	7.5.2.4 Questioning Witnesses	315	
7.6	7.5.2.5 Victim Testimony	321	
	Victim Assistance	325 326	
7.7	Legal Representation	320 329	
7.8	Legal Aid Civil Society Groups and Intermediaries	329	
7.9	Civil Society Groups and Intermediaries		
7.10	0 Conclusions		

### CONCLUSION

	apter 8 and Recommendations	339
8.1	Introduction	339
8.2	Participation as a Human Rights Standard?	339
8.3	Victim Participation in International Criminal Proceedings	
	8.3.1 Uneasy Transplantation	341 342
	8.3.2 Areas of Concern Arising Out of Increased Participation:	
	Fair Trial Rights of Accused	346
8.4	<u> </u>	
	in International Criminal Proceedings?	357
	8.4.1 Give Sufficient Regard for the Core Objectives	
	of the Criminal Process	357
	8.4.2 Reject the Balancing Consciousness and Recognize	
	the Primacy of the Rights of Accused	360
	8.4.3 Focus on Services for Victims	362
	8.4.4 Embrace Pluralism	363
8.5	Final Observations	364
Sam	nenvatting (Summary in Dutch)	367
	liography	385
	le of Cases	409
Inde	ex	439
Curi	riculum Vitae	447

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Art. Article(s)

ACHR American Convention on Human Rights

ACmHPR African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights
ACtHPR African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights

ASP Assembly of States Parties

CAVR Commission for Reception, Truth and Reconciliation in East Timor

CHR UN Commission on Human Rights
DRC Democratic Republic of Congo
DSS Defense Support Section

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms

ECOSOC United Nations Economic and Social Council

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights e.g. exempli gratia (for example)

EU European Union

EULEX European Union Rule of Law Mission in Kosovo

GA General Assembly

HRC Human Rights Committee

IACHR Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

IACtHR Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Ibid. Ibidem

ICC International Criminal Court

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

ICJ International Court of Justice

ICTR International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia

i.e. id est (namely)

IGO Inter-Governmental Organization ILC International Law Commission

IMT International Military Tribunal (Nuremberg)
IMTFE International Military Tribunal for the Far East

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

#### List of Abbreviations

OAS Organization of American States
OAU Organization for African Unity

OTP Office of the Prosecutor

OPCD Office of Public Counsel for Defense OPCV Office of Public Counsel for Victims

par. paragraph para. paragraphs p. page pp. pages

RPE Rules of Procedure and Evidence

SC Security Council

SCSL Special Court for Sierra Leone SCU Special Crimes Unit of the SPSC

SPSC Special Panel for Serious Crimes in East Timor

TRCs Truth and reconciliation commissions
UDHR Universal Declaration of Human Rights

UN United Nations

UNCHR United Nations Commission on Human Rights

UNIIIC United Nations International Independent Investigation Commission

UNSubCHR United Nations Sub-Commission on Human Rights UNPO Unrepresented Nations and Peoples Organization

UNMIK United Nations Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo

VPRS Victim Participation and Reparation Section

VSS Victim Support Section
VWU Victims and Witnesses Unit
WSV World Society of Victimology

WWII World War II