

HUMAN RIGHTS PROTECTION IN THE EUROPEAN LEGAL ORDER:
THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE EUROPEAN
AND THE NATIONAL COURTS

HUMAN RIGHTS PROTECTION
IN THE EUROPEAN LEGAL
ORDER: THE INTERACTION
BETWEEN THE EUROPEAN
AND THE NATIONAL COURTS

Edited by

Patricia POPELIER
Catherine VAN DE HEYNING
Piet VAN NUFFEL



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom
mail@intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK:
Hart Publishing Ltd.
16C Worcester Place
Oxford OX1 2JW
UK
Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30
Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for the USA and Canada:
International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)
Email: info@isbs.com


Distribution for Austria:
Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag
Argentinerstraße 42/6
1040 Wien
Austria
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24
Email: office@nvw.at

Distribution for other countries:
Intersentia Publishers
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Human rights protection in the European legal order: The interaction between the European and the national courts
Patricia Popelier, Catherine Van de Heyning and Piet Van Nuffel (eds.)

© 2011 Intersentia
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Doesburg, Theo van (1883-1931): Simultaneous Counter-Composition, 1929-30. New York, Museum of Modern Art (MoMA). Oil on canvas, 19 3/4 × 19 5/8' (50.1 × 49.8 cm). The Sidney and Harriet Janis Collection. Acc. n.: 588.1967. © 2011. Digital image, The Museum of Modern Art, New York/Scala, Florence



ISBN 978-1-78068-010-1
NUR 828



British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

CONTENTS

The interaction between European and national courts as to human rights protection: The editors' introduction	1
1. Human rights protection by the European Court of Justice in the shadow of the European Convention on Human Rights	3
2. The attitude of the European Court of Human Rights <i>vis-à-vis</i> European Union law	6
3. Interaction between the European Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights	7
4. Impact of the co-existence of European protection mechanisms on the national constitutional courts	8
5. Impact of the ECHR on national constitutional courts	10
6. Impact of EU fundamental rights on national constitutional courts	11
7. Preserving human rights or preserving protection mechanisms?	12
I. THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE AND THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS	15
Chapter 1. The use of the ECHR and Convention case law by the European Court of Justice	
Bruno DE WITTE	17
1. Introduction	17
2. The Convention: A source of special guidelines or of binding norms?	19
3. Systematic or eclectic use of the Convention?	24
4. Consequences of the Lisbon Treaty	33
Chapter 2. The EU as a party to the European Convention of Human Rights: EU law and the European Court of Justice case law as inspiration and challenge to the European Court of Human Rights jurisprudence	
Catherine VAN DE HEYNING and Rick LAWSON	35
1. Introduction	35
2. Inspiration and challenge	39
2.1. The Luxembourg case law as inspiration for the European Court of Human Rights jurisprudence – and more	39

2.1.1. The jurisprudence of the EU courts as inspiration for the Strasbourg jurisdiction	39
2.1.2. Taking into account EU law as ‘law of the land’	42
2.1.3. The ECtHR as a means to enforce compliance with EU law	45
2.1.4. Regard of the Luxembourg case law, not reliance?	46
2.2. European Union law as challenge for the European Court of Human Rights’ jurisprudence	49
2.2.1. The <i>Bosphorus</i> doctrine and its application	49
2.2.2. The doctrine after accession to the ECHR	56
3. Future prospects of the relationship between the Strasbourg and Luxembourg courts	61
4. Concluding remarks	64

Chapter 3. No place like home: Discretionary space for the domestic protection of fundamental rights

Catherine VAN DE HEYNING	65
------------------------------------	----

1. Legitimate reasons for constitutional discretionary space: Diversity and subsidiarity	67
2. Maximisation clauses: Application and critique	71
2.1. Article 53 ECHR in the Strasbourg case law	71
2.2. The rebirth of the maximisation clause?	73
2.2.1. The maximisation clause in the EU Charter	73
2.2.2. A new direction for the maximisation clause in the Strasbourg case law	74
2.3. Limits of a maximisation clause	78
3. Alternative approaches providing discretionary space	82
3.1. Margin of appreciation and the domestic legal order	83
3.1.1. Margin of appreciation doctrine	83
3.1.2. A limited discretionary space	86
3.2. A doctrine not without flaws	87
3.3. Restraint towards domestic judgments	91
4. No place like home: Promising new direction?	94

Chapter 4. European human rights, supranational judicial review and democracy. Thinking outside the judicial box

Samantha BESSON	97
---------------------------	----

1. Introduction	97
2. The notion of supranational judicial review	102
3. Supranational judicial review in Europe	104
3.1. Supranational judicial review by the ECtHR	105
3.1.1. The status of ECHR rights in domestic law	105

3.1.2.	The jurisdiction of the ECtHR	106
3.1.3.	The effects of a ECtHR's judgement in domestic law	108
3.2.	Supranational judicial review by the ECJ	112
3.2.1.	The status of EU fundamental rights in domestic law	112
3.2.2.	The jurisdiction of the ECJ on fundamental rights.	116
3.2.3.	The effects of an ECJ's judgement in domestic law	118
3.3.	European supranational judicial review compared.	120
4.	The democratic legitimacy of supranational judicial review	123
4.1.	The democratic legitimacy of judicial review <i>tout court</i>	123
4.2.	The democratic legitimacy of judicial review in the supranational context	126
4.2.1.	Reassessing the issue	126
4.2.2.	Different questions.	128
4.2.3.	Different answers	133
5.	European legal pluralism and the relationship between European courts.	138
5.1.	The role of European human rights review in circumstances of legal pluralism.	138
5.2.	The consequences for the relationships between European courts. . .	140
6.	Conclusion	143
II.	THE IMPACT OF THE COEXISTENCE OF THE EUROPEAN COURTS ON THE NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS	147
Chapter 5. Belgium. The supremacy dilemma: The Belgian Constitutional Court caught between the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice		
	Patricia POPELIER	149
1.	The protection of fundamental rights by the Belgian courts	149
1.1.	First track: Case law of the Court of Cassation (Supreme Court). . . .	149
1.2.	Second track: The establishment of the Belgian Constitutional Court.	150
2.	The relationship between national law and international law according to Belgian law	151
2.1.	The primacy of international law: A (moderated) monist view.	151
2.2.	EU law.	153
3.	The influence of ECHR and EU law on the national protection of human rights	154
3.1.	The influence of the ECHR on the national protection of human rights	154
3.2.	The influence of EU law on the national protection of human rights	156

4. The relation between the national and the European protection of human rights	158
4.1. The position of the Constitutional Court in human rights proceedings	158
4.1.1. An exhaustive remedy?	158
4.1.2. Reference to the Constitutional Court in the case of analogous human rights	159
4.2. Consequences of the <i>Simmenthal</i> case	161
4.3. Preliminary references to the European Court of Justice	164
4.4. The maximisation clause	166
5. The protection of human rights in converging legal orders: The <i>Money Laundering Case</i>	168
6. Conclusion	170

Chapter 6. East European Countries. On secret legislation, blanket data recording, arrest warrants and property rights: Questions on the rule of law and judicial review in the EU in the light of post-communist constitutions

Anneli ALBI	173
1. The centrality of the rule of law in the post-communist constitutional reforms and the commitment to the ECHR	173
2. Past criticisms regarding judicial review and rights protection by the ECJ	177
3. Recent questions on the review of EU measures	181
3.1. On secret legislation: <i>Heinrich</i> case	181
3.2. On blanket electronic surveillance: The EU Electronic Data Retention Directive 2006/24	184
3.3. The European Arrest Warrant: An erosion of <i>nulla poena sine lege</i> ? ..	185
3.4. On property rights and legal certainty: From the ‘Banana saga’ to a ‘Sugar saga’	189
3.4.1. Retroactive fines on undertakings: Overview of direct actions and domestic cases	190
3.4.2. Impossibility of removing stocks held by private individuals and households	191
4. Supremacy of EU law: A case for rigorous rather than minimal judicial review?	195
5. Teleological interpretation and effectiveness of EU law: Questions regarding balancing, limits, fines and double standards	199
6. Revisiting the role of constitutions and constitutional courts in EU scholarly discourse: Beyond the lens of sovereignty and euro-friendliness	204

Chapter 7. France. The impact of European fundamental rights on the French Constitutional Court

Laurence BURGORGUE-LARSEN	211
1. Introduction. The ‘Europeanization’ of the French Constitution	211
2. The Constitutional Council and European Union Law.	214
2.1. The Constitutional Council and Primacy.	214
2.2. The Constitutional Council and the Reference for a Preliminary Ruling (Article 267 TFEU)	218
3. The Constitutional Council and the Power of the European Convention on Human Rights	225
3.1. The Constitutional Council is controlled by the European Court of Human Rights.	226
3.1.1. <i>Decision</i> control by the Constitutional Council	226
3.1.2. <i>Procedure</i> control before the Constitutional Council.	229
3.2. The Constitutional Council under the influence of the European Court of Human Rights’ case law.	234

Chapter 8. Germany. The Federal Constitutional Court of Germany in the context of the European integration

Rainer ARNOLD	237
1. The dual function of the Constitutional Court.	237
1.1. Constitutional Court and the EU: Some basic observations	237
1.2. The Constitutional Court and traditional international law.	238
1.3. The jurisdictional means of control.	239
1.4. Approximation of the national and inter/supranational orders by interpretation.	241
2. The basic order of the European Communities and its acceptance by the Federal Constitutional Court	241
2.1. The three elements of the supranational order.	241
2.2. The EU not a State but a ‘Staatenverbund’ – The vagueness of this term.	242
3. Constitutional limits of the European integration.	244
3.1. Formal and substantive limits – Article 23 §1 BL	244
3.2. Three substantive integration limits developed by the jurisprudence.	245
3.2.1. Constitutional parallelism	245
3.2.2. The <i>ultra vires</i> concept.	245
3.2.3. Constitutional identity	246
3.3. Values and substitutive parallelism: A functional integration approach.	246

3.3.1.	The dignity of man as a basis of fundamental rights	246
3.3.2.	Supranational fundamental rights for the supranational order	246
3.3.3.	From unwritten to written fundamental rights in the EU . . .	246
3.3.4.	Joining the ECHR.	247
3.4.	National or supranational fundamental rights? – The <i>Solange I</i> and <i>II</i> decisions	247
3.5.	The functional-substitutive approach of the Constitutional Court . .	249
3.6.	Rule of law	249
3.7.	Limits of integration: <i>Ultra vires</i> and constitutional review	250
3.7.1.	The principle of <i>compétences d’attribution</i> and <i>ultra</i> <i>vires</i> actions	250
3.7.2.	The obligation to address the ECJ	250
3.7.3.	The Maastricht decision and the <i>ultra vires</i> concept	251
3.7.4.	The journey back to EU law conformity: The <i>Mangold</i> case . .	251
3.8.	Limits of integration: Constitutional identity	252
3.8.1.	Constitutional identity through Article 79 §3 BL?	252
3.8.2.	National identity in the sense of Article 4 §2 of the new EU Treaty.	252
3.8.3.	The term of constitutional identity going back to the <i>Solange</i> jurisprudence	253
3.8.4.	A functional approach to identity?	253
3.8.5.	The involvement of the ECJ.	254
3.8.6.	Harmonising the national and supranational perspectives on constitutional identity	254
4.	The Constitutional Court as a protector of supranational law.	255
4.1.	The Constitutional Court as a guardian of the ECJ	255
4.2.	What is a violation of this guarantee?	255
4.3.	The ‘cooperation’ between the Constitutional Court and the ECJ . . .	256
5.	The Constitutional Court and the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR)	257
5.1.	The ECHR as an international treaty transformed into German law	257
5.2.	Constitutionalising the ECHR	257
5.3.	The monistic effect of rule of law	257
5.4.	The <i>Görgülü</i> decision and its deficiencies	258

Chapter 9. Italy. The impact of the European courts on the Italian Constitutional Court

Giuseppe MARTINICO and Oreste POLLICINO	261
1. The protection of fundamental rights by the Italian courts	261
2. The relation of national law and international law according to Italian law	262
2.1. Italian dualism as a starting point of the national Constitutional Court	262
2.2. EU Law	264
2.2.1. The Italian Constitutional Court before EU law: The counter-limits doctrine	265
2.2.2. Preliminary references to the European Court of Justice	266
2.2.3. The impact of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights on Italian case law	269
3. The European Convention on Human Rights	273
3.1. The Simmenthal doctrine for the ECHR? The viewpoint of the national non-constitutional judges	275
3.2. The landmark decisions of 2007	276
3.2.1. The new parameter of Article 117, paragraph 1, of the Constitution	277
3.2.2. A possible alternative judicial path for the Constitutional Court?	279
3.2.3. A look at the follow up to such decisions	282
4. The key role of the ordinary judges: From the risk of a ‘double standard’ to the reaction of the ordinary judges	285

Chapter 10. The Netherlands. A Case of constitutional leapfrog. Fundamental rights protection under the Constitution, the ECHR and the EU Charter in the Netherlands

Monica CLAES and Gert Jan LEENKNEGT	287
1. Introduction	287
2. Introducing the actors: A brief overview of the Dutch court system	289
3. Constitutional review: Not a judicial matter	290
4. Dutch law and international law	293
5. The Dutch Constitution and the European Union: Don’t ask, don’t tell ..	295
6. EU law in Dutch courts: Routine, or is it?	298
7. The ECtHR: A substitute constitutional court?	301
8. Competition from the other Europe? The ECJ and fundamental rights ..	302
9. Caught in the middle? Dutch courts and conflicts between ECtHR and ECJ case law	305
10. Talking to the neighbours: On judicial dialogues	306

Chapter 11. Spain. The impact of the European Convention of Human Rights and the Charter of Fundamental rights of the European Union on Spanish constitutional law: Make a virtue of necessity

Enrique GUILLÉN LÓPEZ 309

1. Preliminary observations. Law and rights in the formation of a new Europe..... 309
2. The theoretical framework of the impact of the doctrine of the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice on the fundamental rights expressed in the Spanish constitutional system. The legal instruments for integration..... 313
3. The European Convention on Human Rights and the case law of the European Court of Human Rights, conflict and dialogue 319
 - 3.1. The theoretical framework behind the Constitutional Court. Article 10.2 as an interpretative mandate and not as a mechanism for creating new rights (Constitutional Court judgment 236/2007) . 320
 - 3.2. The Constitutional Court is as an instrument for the protection of fundamental rights enshrined in the Spanish Constitution – and not other rights 321
 - 3.3. Analysis of the internal constitutional case law cited for various rights under the European Convention on Human Rights. Analysis of the rights that may create a new framework 322
 - 3.3.1. Sexual equality (Article 14 of the European Convention on Human Rights) 323
 - 3.3.2. Right to a fair trial (Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights) 324
 - 3.3.3. Right to respect for private and family life (Article 8.1 European Convention on European Rights) 326
 - 3.3.4. Confidential correspondence (Article 8 of the European Convention on European Rights) 328
 - 3.3.5. Freedom of expression (Article 10 European Convention on Human Rights) 329
 - 3.3.6. Right to free elections (Article 3 of the Additional Protocol) . 330
 - 3.3.7. Protection of property (Article 1 of the Additional Protocol) 330
 - 3.4. Condemnatory judgments made against Spain by the European Court of Human Rights..... 332
4. Fundamental rights within the European Union and the Spanish constitutional system 333
5. Conclusions 340

Chapter 12. The United Kingdom. The influence of European law on the protection of fundamental human rights in United Kingdom law	
Brice DICKSON	343
1. Introduction	343
2. Fundamental human rights protection at the EU level	345
3. Pre- and post-2000 approaches to the ECHR	350
4. Influences on the UK Parliament	352
5. Influences on the relationship between UK judges and the UK Parliament	353
6. Influences on the UK's common law per se	355
6.1. The right to privacy and refusal to develop the common law	356
6.2. Developing the common law beyond the ECHR	359
6.3. Hesitation and doubts	361
7. Conclusion	363
Chapter 13. Protection of European human rights by highest courts in Europe: The art of triangulation	
Wim VOERMANS	365
1. At the heart of the matter: Observing European human rights requires constitutional balancing	365
2. The European compound human rights system: Sharing rights and courts	367
3. Constitutional calibration of European human rights review	370
3.1. The search for coherence	370
3.2. The dilemma of autonomy	373
4. Coordination and conflict resolution strategies	375
5. In conclusion: Adding to Europe's common human rights gene pool	378
<i>About the authors and editors</i>	379

